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ABSTRACT

The future of children is being threatened to a steadily increasing extent, not least by the implications of the global obesity epidemic and ever-decreasing general levels of physical activity. Although empirical evidence has demonstrated conclusively that physical activity has numerous benefits for the health of children, many children throughout the world do not engage in the recommended 60 minutes of physical activity per day. While overall physical fitness constitutes a significant indicator of health. Anthropometric indices as indicators of body composition are also important issues to study in order to predict the health-related physical fitness of children. Regular assessments and evaluations of children in terms of these parameters are essential for making accurate assessments of their growth, development, and states of health.

This study was conducted in order to determine the health-related physical fitness, anthropometric characteristics, and levels of physical activity of Zimbabwean children between the ages of 10 and 12 years. The research sample comprised a total of 809 children, of whom 356 were boys and 453 were girls. The mean age was 11.03 years. Anthropometric measurements were made in accordance with the standard procedures of the International Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry (ISAK). Measurements were made of body mass, stature, triceps, biceps, subscapular, and supraspinale skinfolds, and minimum waist and gluteal girths. Nine health-related physical fitness tests were used to assess the children according to the EUROFIT and Physical Best protocols. The physical fitness tests measured were: the sit and reach test to measure flexibility in centimetres, the standing broad jump to test leg power in centimetres, the flamingo balance test over a period of 1 minute, the handgrip strength test which measured strength in kilograms, the sit-up test to measure abdominal strength over a 30-second period, the bent-arm hang test to measure upper body strength in seconds, the 10×5-metre shuttle run test to measure speed and agility in seconds, the 50-metre sprint to measure speed in seconds, and the 1.5-mile run test to measure aerobic endurance, which was scored in minutes. Levels of physical activity were assessed through the administration of the PAQ-C questionnaire and scores were recorded in hours.

The results of the study revealed that 14.8% of the children were either overweight or obese. A preponderance of underweight children was found to coexist with obesity, with 62.4% of the children classified as being thin. Grade 1 thinness was found among 5.9% of the children, while a further 5.9% exhibited grade 2 thinness. Significant gender differences (p≤0.05) were found for all of the anthropometric variables and indices, apart from waist girth. Significant gender
differences (p≤0.05 and p≤0.001) were also found in the scores for the sit and reach, standing broad jump, flamingo balance, sit-up, bent-arm hang, 10×5-metre shuttle run, 50-meter sprint, and 1.5-mile run physical fitness tests. The boys outperformed the girls in all of the physical fitness tests, apart from the sit and reach and the flamingo balance tests. The levels of physical activity in which the children engaged each day were considered to be relatively high, as a large majority of 85.2 % walked to and from school.

Both positive and negative correlations were found between measurements of body composition and scores for physical fitness tests. Measurements of body composition correlated strongly with one another (p<0.05). Strong positive correlations were found between BMI and scores for the standing broad jump, r=0.08, sit-up, r=0.01, 1.5-mile run, r=0.30, and the handgrip strength tests, r=0.26 right hand and r=0.24 left hand. Conversely, strong negative correlations were found between BMI and scores for the bent-arm hang test r=-0.15 and also between percentages of body fat and scores for the bent-arm hang test r=-0.49.

Regular measurement of Zimbabwean children is to be encouraged, as doing so would provide the information which only regular cross-sectional and longitudinal research studies are able to provide concerning trends pertaining to overweight and obese children and adolescents, which is generally lacking in sub-Saharan Africa. The continued measurement of Zimbabwean children would also significantly increase the amount of information which is available pertaining to health-related physical fitness, anthropometric characteristics, and levels of physical activity. The acquisition of a proper understanding of childhood development in the Zimbabwean context is the surest means of securing the future of the population of the country.

**Key words:** Children, physical fitness, anthropometry, body composition, physical activity, Zimbabwe.
OPSOMMING

Die gesondheidstatus van kinders het die afgelope jare toenemend ’n saak van dringendheid geword, veral gesien in die lig van die epidemië wat tans rondom obesiteit bestaan, asook die toename van fisieke onaktiwiteit. Empiriese bewyse toon duidelik aan dat fisieke aktiwiteit verskeie voordele inhoud vir kinders. Ten spyte hiervan, is daar baie kinders wêreldwyd wat nie die voorgeskrewre 60 minute fisieke aktiwiteit daagliks verrig nie. Fisieke fiksheid is ’n belangrike maatstaf vir gesondheid. Antropometrie en antropometriese indekse as aanduiders van liggaamsamestelling is daarom belangrike parameters wanneer kinders bestudeer word. Gereelde antropometriese evaluerings is daarom baie belangrik aangesien dit help om groei en ontwikkeling asook gesondheidstatus by kinders beter te verstaan.

Die doel van hierdie studie was daarom om die gesondheidswetenskaplike fisieke fiksheid, antropometrie en fisieke aktiwiteitsvlakke van kinders in Zimbabwe te bepaal. Die kinders was 10-12 jaar oud. ’n Totaal van 809 kinders waarvan 356 seuns en 453 dogters, het deel gevorm van hierdie studie. Antropometriese metings is geneem volgens die standaard prosedures soos voorgeskryf deur die “International Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry (ISAK)”. Die metings wat geneem is sluit in liggaamsmassa, liggaams lengte, velvoue (triseps, biseps, subskapulêre en supraspinale) en omtrekke (minimum abdomen, heup en kuit). Nege gesondheidsverwante fisieke fiksheidstoetse is afgeneem volgens die “EUROFIT” en die “Physical Best” protokolle. Die fisieke fiksheidstoetse wat gemeet is, was: die sit-en-reik toets vir soepelheid in cm, die staande verspringtoets vir eksplosiewe beenkrag in cm, die flamink balanstoets in 1 min, die handgreepsterkte toets wat gemeet is in kg, opsitte wat gebruik is om abdominale krag te meet in 30 sek, gebuigde armhangtoets om krag in die bolyf te toets in sek, die 10x50 pendel hardloopoetoets vir spoed en behendigheid in sek, die 50m nallooptoets vir spoed in sek en laastens die 1.5 myl hardloopoetoets om aerobiese uithouermoë te toets in min. Fisieke aktiwiteit is gemeet met die PAQ-C vraelys en is bepunt deur tyd in ure uit te druk.

Die resultate van die studie het aangetoon dat 14.8% van die kinders oorgewig of obees was. Daar is ook gevind dat ondergewig by die kinders voorkom, met 62.4% van die kinders wat as ondergewig geklassifiseer is. By 5.9% van die kinders is graad 1 skraalheid, en by nog 5.9% van die kinders is graad 2 skraalheid bevind, wat hulle as ondergewig geklassifiseer het. Betekenisvolle geslagsverskille (p≤0.05) is gevind vir al die antropometriese veranderlikes en indekse, behalwe vir abdomenomtrek. Betekenisvolle geslagsverskille (p≤0.05 and p≤0.001) is ook gevind vir die sit-en-reik toets, die staande verspringtoets, die flamink balanstoets, opsitte, gebuigde
armhangtoets, 10X50 “shuttle” hardlooptoets, die 50m naellooptoets en laastens die 1.5 myl hardlooptoets. Die seuns was beter as die meisies in al die fisieke fiksheidstoetse behalwe in die sit-en-reik toets en die flamink balanstoets. Die vlak van daaglikse fisieke aktiwiteit van die kinders was relatief hoog aangesien die oorgrote meerderheid van die kinders (85.2 %) skooltoe geloop het.

Beide positiewe en negatiewe korrelasies is gevind tussen die liggaamsamestelling en fisieke fiksheidstoetse wat gemeet is. Metings van liggaamsamestelling het hoog gekorreleer met mekaar (p<0.05). Daar is ook goeie positiewe verbande gevind tussen LMI en die korrelasies vir die staande verspringtoets, r=0.08, opsitte, r=0.01, 1.5 myl hardlooptoets, r=0.30 en die handgreeksterkte toets, r=0.26 vir die regterhand and r=0.24 vir die linkerhand. Goeie omgekeerde korrelasies is gevind tussen die LMI en die gebuigde armhangtoets r=-0.15. Omgekeerde korrelasies is ook waargeneem tussen persentasie liggaamsvet en die gebuigde armhangtoets r=-0.49.

Die gereelde meting van kinders van Zimbabwe word baie sterk aangemoedig. Dit sal help om die gaping wat daar in die literatuur bestaan met betrekking tot oorgewig en obesiteit by kinders te oorbrug. Die deurlopende meting van die kinders van Zimbabwe, sal ook 'n toename meebring van die informasie wat beskikbaar is oor die gesondheidsverwante fiksheid, antropometrie en fisieke aktiwiteitsvlakke. Dit kan ‘n groot bydrae lewer in die verstaan van die ontwikkeling van kinders in verskeie kontekste, maar veral in die Zimbabwe konteks.

**Sleutelwoorde:** Kinders, fisieke fiksheid, antropometrie, liggaamsamestelling, fisieke aktiwiteit, Zimbabwe
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1.1 Introduction

Over the past few decades the health of children has become a global concern, as a result of the childhood obesity epidemic which has plagued the world since the 1980s (Ogden et al., 2007: 2087). Obesity affects children in all socio-economic groups (Raj & Kumar, 2010:599; Montessori Model United Nations, 2014:3-4). According to the report of the Commission on Ending Childhood Obesity, the number of obese and overweight infants and children in the world rose from 32 million in 1990 to 42 million in 2013. The figures for the African continent for the same period were 4 million and 9 million, respectively (WHO ECHO Report, 2014:1). Obesity is recognised as a medical condition which results from the accumulation of excess fat in the body, to the extent that it affects health adversely (House of Commons HC23, 2004:11-12; Anderson & Butcher, 2006:20; Mahmood, 2015:6). Children with a body mass index (BMI) equal to or exceeding the 95th BMI percentile which is specific to their ages and their genders are classified as being obese. Children with a BMI equal to the 85th but below the 95th percentiles are classified as being overweight (Raj & Kumar, 2010:598-599).

The obesity epidemic also coexists with undernutrition, which still affects some regions of the world, particularly in the developing countries and the war-torn regions of Central Africa, West Africa, North Africa, Eastern Europe, and the Middle East (UN/DESA, 2013:89). According to a report of the Save the Children Fund, the growth of one (1) in every four (4) children in the world is stunted (Save the Children, 2012:1-2) and research has shown that stunting is frequently associated with undernutrition (Kruger et al., 2014:2, 6). At least 2.6 million children die of malnutrition each year, a statistic which accounts for a third of the number of deaths of children throughout the world (Save the Children, 2012:1-2). Both overnutrition and undernutrition severely affect the ability of children to cope with normal daily activities, which has grave implications for their quality of life (Malina et al., 2011:827). Nutrition and energy balance have a decisive bearing upon body composition (Heyward & Wagner, 2004:4). Body composition, which can be described as the quantification of constituents of the body in terms of fat mass and fat free mass, is a significant measure of health. It is therefore a strong measure of being either overweight or obese (Heyward & Wagner, 2004:4).

From an epidemiological perspective, the global increase in obesity has been shown to be closely associated with the corresponding global increase in physical inactivity, among other factors.
Other factors which contribute to childhood obesity include social factors, genetics, the role played by media in the advertising of junk food and nutritional habits, which can even include the length of time for which a baby is breastfed (Consumers International, 2004:10-13; Swana & De Lange, 2015:276-278; Mahmood, 2015:6). Physical activity is very beneficial for the overall health and development of children (Strong et al., 2005:736). Studies have also shown that physical inactivity and the conditions of being overweight or obese during childhood and adolescence are strongly correlated with severe health problems during adulthood (Eisenmann et al., 2005:50-51).

1.2 Problem statement

The problem of childhood obesity has been on the agenda of many international conferences and programmes of action and interventions have been formulated and implemented to tackle it (European Union, 2014:2, 3). However, the numbers of overweight and obese people throughout the world continue to increase each year. It is estimated that if the present trends in obesity continue, the number of obese infants and children will have reached 70 million by 2025 (WHO ECHO Report, 2014:1). Scientific research has shown that body mass index (BMI) during childhood is a strong predictor of adult obesity (Dietz, 1998:522; Hills et al., 2011:866; Delisle et al., 2014:11). As the children of today will become the parents, the workforce, and the leaders of tomorrow, it becomes increasingly imperative that the problem of childhood obesity should be confronted vigorously and overcome, in order to secure the future of the children of the world.

Research into obesity has not been equitably distributed (WHO, 2012:41; Toriola et al., 2012:60; WHO ECHO Report, 2014:14; Katzmarzyk, 2014:71, 74; Manyanga et al., 2014:2, 7). Not all countries have benefited to the same extent from in-depth investigations of their numbers of overweight people and their levels of obesity, which has resulted in the available literature not being sufficiently comprehensive and has limited the effectiveness of the interventions which have been implemented to combat the obesity epidemic (Andreasi et al., 2010:501; Pangani et al., 2016:4; WHO, 2016:34). Annual data and other forms of regular monitoring of levels of obesity, overnutrition, undernutrition, physical activity, and noncommunicable diseases are now readily available in the developed world, where funding is available to conduct regular national surveys and research (WHO, 2010b:7). A good example of this level of commitment could be provided by the regular British House of Commons Health Committee report on obesity, whose first volume was published in 2004 (House of Commons HC23, 2004:1).
Another relevant example could be provided by the British National Child Development Study (1958 Birth Cohort Study), which endeavoured to assess the cohort of children who were born in 1958 at various ages (Power & Elliott, 2006:34-41). This longitudinal study has provided valuable data concerning physical, educational, and social development (Power & Elliott, 2006:34-41). Of particular significance in the fight against obesity is the information which this study generated with respect to non-communicable diseases (NCDs), child development, and physical activity. The many repeated measurements which have been made concerning the 1958 cohort have included measurements of height and weight, the food frequency questionnaire, exercise habits, measurements of standing and sitting height, blood pressure, pulse, blood lipids, waist and hip circumferences, and assessments of chronic, widespread pain and depression (Power & Elliott, 2006:35-36). These repeated measurements have identified a link between childhood conditions and diseases which occur during adulthood (Power & Elliott, 2006:39). The study of the year 2000, of the 1958 cohort, which was conducted by Lake and associates was able to demonstrate a strong cause and effect relationship between chronic lower back pain in early adulthood and weight gain (Lake et al., 2000:248-249).

By contrast, accurate and up to date data is generally difficult to find in Africa and other developing countries (Mustapha & Sanusi, 2013:206; Muthuri et al., 2014:5, 20; Pangani et al., 2016:2), largely as a result of a lack of funding for national, provincial, and district surveys and studies. Those statistics which are available tend to be based on estimates (The Economist Intelligence Unit Limited Report on NCDs, 2014:13). Large-scale studies and national surveys are particularly scarce on the African continent. South Africa is one of the few countries in Africa which has seen increased research into childhood obesity, physical activity, nutrition, and associated problems. However, the South African studies still fall far short in terms of the amount of research which is required to accumulate sufficient data for the country as a whole. The systematic review of 2015 of studies and research which have been conducted in the domain of obesity, the nutritional status of children, and physical activity attests to this fact (Monyeki et al., 2015:1170). Consequently, there is therefore a gap in the relevant available descriptive literature, not only in terms of the levels of the conditions of being overweight or obese and NCDs in populations, but also concerning other health-related concerns which affect African children (Naik & Kaneda, 2015:9). As Zimbabwe is one of the developing countries in which research concerning children has not been extensive (Makaza et al., 2015:16; Naik & Kaneda, 2015:6-7; Manyanga et al., 2016:5, 8, 14), conducting a study of Zimbabwean children should serve to make a meaningful contribution to
augmenting the amount of descriptive and correlational data which is available concerning the children of southern Africa.

Increased physical activity greatly improves the health of children. The benefits range from a significantly reduced likelihood of premature death, increased cardiorespiratory fitness, stronger bones, a reduced risk of developing non-communicable diseases (NCDs), improved mental health, and improved muscular health (Pangrazi, 2000:280; Hills et al., 2011:867-868). The World Health Organization recommends 30 minutes of moderate exercise 3 to 5 days a week for adults to experience health benefits (WHO, 2010a:8). It is recommended that children and adolescents should have at least 60 minutes of daily exercise (WHO, 2010a:7; WHO, 2016:21). The 21st century has seen great changes in lifestyles, which are characterised by ever-increasing numbers of people living in urban areas, not only in developed countries, but also in developing countries. Motorised modes of transport (Sarmiento et al., 2015:S110), increased concerns for safety, and the ever-increasing availability of electronic games are among the factors which have contributed to reduced levels of physical activity among 21st century children (Raj & Kumar, 2010:598-607; Jacobs, 2013:5; Nawab et al., 2014:3; Olaya-Contreras et al., 2015:604, 605). In Zimbabwe, urban migration, globalisation, increased use of technology, and the breakdown of the traditional family unit have resulted in drastic changes in lifestyles, with severely adverse consequences for the health of those who are affected by the changes (Manyanga et al., 2016:5).

Physical activity levels, among other factors, influence not only, health-related physical fitness (Pangrazi, 2000:282; Moselakgomo, 2014:15), but also body composition (Andeasi, 2010:499, 501). Consequently, the combined effect of the factors which are represented by all of these constructs are therefore important in determining the degree of health which children enjoy and also the level of risk of disease to which they are exposed. It follows that the monitoring of trends cannot be performed and the prevention of the conditions of being overweight or obese among children cannot be accomplished without also performing accurate assessments of physical activity levels and health-related physical fitness (Pangrazi, 2000:283, 284; Monyeki et al., 2008:316; European Union, 2014:18-20). The trend in the evaluation and quantifying of physical activity is moving increasingly towards the use of pedometers and accelerometers at present, as they provide objective numerical measurements (Beighle & Pangrazi, 2006:221; Pavlidou et al., 2011:26).

However, in those instances in which resources of this sort are not available, as they are generally not in the case of Zimbabwe, an evaluation of practices and levels of physical activity can nevertheless be made through self-report questionnaires, as questionnaires are still very useful for
conducted large-scale surveys of physical activity. Many studies have been conducted using self-report physical activity questionnaires (Kowalski et al., 1997:174; Gidding et al., 2006:2388; Mciza et al., 2007:121, 122; Huang et al., 2009:339; Biddle et al., 2011:1; Toriola & Monyeki, 2012:795; Moselakgomo, 2014:23). Studies which have been conducted in different parts of the world have demonstrated close relationships among anthropometry, body composition, physical activity levels, and health-related physical fitness (Monyeki et al., 2005:879; Eisenmann, 2007:1726-1728; Ortega et al., 2010:259; Mamabolo et al., 2011:61-64; Pahkala et al., 2013:74, 75; Bailey et al., 2014:1149-1151; Toriola et al., 2015:5-7). As this study has endeavoured to investigate these concerns with respect to children in Zimbabwe, it is to be hoped that it will make a meaningful contribution to the data which is available to the scientific community in this domain of children’s health.

Until about 2010 there was a tendency to exclude children from discourses concerning NCDs, as chronic lifestyle diseases were generally considered, to a large extent, to affect adults only, although clinical research has revealed that large numbers of children are also presenting with risk factors for NCDs (The NCD Alliance, 2011:4), which increases the risk of premature death in adulthood. The NCDs which affect children include cardiovascular, metabolic, endocrinal, hormonal, pulmonary, skeletal, renal, gastrointestinal, and psychosocial diseases (Hills et al., 2011:866). The cardiovascular diseases and disorders include hypertension, left ventricular hypertrophy, and the early onset of atherosclerosis, while the metabolic and endocrinal NCDs include insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, metabolic syndrome, Type 2 Diabetes, menstrual abnormalities, and polycystic ovarian syndrome (Daniels, 2006:49; Raj & Kumar, 2010:601; Yanovski, 2015:4-5). The pulmonary NCDs which afflict children include asthma, increased bronchial hyperactivity, and obstructive sleep apnea, while the gastrointestinal and skeletal NCDs range from non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, gallstones, hepatic fibrosis, gastroesophageal reflux, tibia vara, osteoarthritis, to slipped capital femoral epiphysis (Daniels, 2006:522). Children can also suffer from renal problems, which include increased sensitivity to sodium, decreased natriuresis and proteinuria. Like adults, children are not immune to psychosocial problems, which can range from low self-esteem, and progressive withdrawal, to chronic depression (Daniels, 2006:49; Raj & Kumar, 2010:601; Yanovski, 2015:5).

An awareness of this state of affairs prompted the United Nations, through its General Assembly and its various agencies, to highlight the magnitude of the obesity epidemic and to encourage member states to adopt appropriate strategies to combat it (The NCD Alliance, 2011:4-5). The
report of the World Health Organisation of 2012 (WHO, 2012:21) provides suggested strategies which could be implemented globally in order to facilitate the prevention of childhood obesity. The report suggests 3 levels at which the global epidemic could be tackled, namely, through government structures, population-wide policies, and community-based interventions (WHO, 2012:16-21). The global action plan of the World Health Organisation for the period between 2013 and 2020 provides further guidelines for combating obesity and reducing the prevalence of NCDs (WHO, 2013:8-13). As a member of the United Nations, Zimbabwe is expected to implement the resolutions of the various UN agencies, including those of the World Health Organisation (WHO), concerning the need to combat childhood obesity (WHO, 2004:5-8; WHO, 2008:22-27; United Nations, 2008:18-21). However, financial constraints make it very difficult and, in many instances, impossible for Zimbabwe to fulfil its obligations. The extent of the lack of resources which hinders the ability of the country to make any significant progress in this respect is illustrated by a statistic from 2012, which reveals that it was estimated that 31% of the 138 000 deaths which were recorded that year were attributable to NCDs (Zimbabwe, 2013:4; Riley & Cowan, 2014:207). Against the backdrop of this alarming finding, the report of the WHO of 2014 concerning country profiles for NCDs concluded that Zimbabwe did not have fully operational, integrated, multi-sectional policies or strategies to combat alcohol abuse and tobacco consumption, or to promote healthy diets and to maintain a fully functional surveillance system (Riley & Cowan, 2014:207).

The Institute of Medicine (IOM, 2004:1) points out that children spend most of their schooldays at school. Consequently, physical education programmes can play a vital role in the fight against childhood obesity, as they constitute the cornerstone of the physical activity programme of any progressive school (American Alliance for Health Physical Education Recreation and Dance, 2013:2; Edginton et al., 2014:434-435). Physical education programmes can be effectively used to promote lifelong habits with respect to activity (Active Living Research, 2007:1-3; Erwin et al., 2013:322, 328-331). The necessity of making regular measurements of health-related physical fitness in schools as a component of physical education programmes and studies of childhood obesity cannot be over emphasised. Making regular measurements helps to assess the effectiveness of the physical activity programmes which are followed by the school system and also helps to provide children with goals to which they may aspire, while cultivating a lifelong love for active lifestyles (Vanhees et al., 2005:104; Strong et al., 2005:736, 737; Story et al., 2006:143-168; Schieffer & Thomas, 2012:155-158). Comparisons can be made within groups of children whose physical fitness is measured, and to assist teachers to formulate strategies to help individual children to improve their motor ability (Rhea & Peterson, 2012:8-10, 12-13). In many developed
countries, regular assessments of the health-related physical fitness of children are integrated into the physical education programmes of schools (Cvejic et al., 2013:136, 138-141).

Zimbabwe has reached a point of transition in terms of both Physical Education and physical activity (Manyanga et al., 2016a:S341). A new curriculum for Physical Education was introduced in 2017, which is intended to remain in use until 2022. The new curriculum consists of four syllabi, which cater for the needs of learners at different levels, namely, infant school, junior school, secondary school and mass displays (Government of Zimbabwe Curriculum Development Unit (GZCDU), 2015a; GZCDU, 2015b; GZCDU, 2015c). The new curriculum makes Physical Education an examinable subject at both the primary and secondary school levels. Physical Education has been part of the Zimbabwean school curriculum since independence. It replaced the subject which was known as Physical Training (PT), which had been introduced into the curriculum of Southern Rhodesia after the Second World War (Mudekunye & Sithole, 2012:714). The subject has not always been diligently taught, as in some cases it was not accorded the same value as subjects such as English, mathematics, and science (Mudekunye & Sithole, 2012:714).

At present there is no mechanism in place to ensure that Physical Education is taught during the school periods which are allocated to the subject (Manyanga et al., 2016b:19). The perception of Physical Education as an unimportant, non-academic subject has been witnessed in other parts of the world (Active Living Research, 2007:1; De Ridder & Coetzee, 2013:241-245; Monyeki, 2014:335).

At present Zimbabwe lags behind most other countries in many areas of research, including research into childhood obesity, as a result of a lack of funding, among other factors. The lack of funding greatly affects the availability of published research, to the extent that studies which had been conducted specifically concerning Zimbabwean children between the ages of 10 and 12 years could not be found. The unavailability of baseline data concerning Zimbabwean children necessitated the conducting of the Healthy Kids Nutrition and Physical Activity: Zimbabwe Baseline Survey of 2014 (Makaza et al., 2015:9). As no earlier extensive studies had been conducted and owing to the lack of funding for conducting research into the health of the population in Zimbabwe, it was deemed to be of crucial importance to adopt a three-pronged approach to the survey, in order to maximise the benefits which could be derived from the opportunity to gather the much-needed data. The three pronged approach entailed administering a questionnaire to determine levels of nutritional knowledge and physical activity, taking anthropometric measurements, and making assessments of the physical fitness of the children. The
variety of data which was collected provided an opportunity to assess a range of different facets of the nutritional and physical fitness status of children in Zimbabwe. This research study has drawn on the data which was collected by the Healthy Kids Nutrition and Physical Activity: Zimbabwe Baseline Survey, in order to answer the following research questions:

- What are the health-related physical fitness, anthropometry and physical activity levels of Zimbabwean children aged 10-12 years old?

- What is the relationship between body composition, physical fitness and levels of physical activity for Zimbabwean children aged 10-12 years old?

The findings of this study of Zimbabwean children should be of great significance to the scientific community, as they should alleviate the present paucity of descriptive and correlational data concerning the children in southern Africa. The study should also be significant for its ability to provide eloquent testimony to the benefits of physical education and the necessity of regular health-related physical fitness assessments of children in Zimbabwe. The evaluation of habits and practices pertaining to physical activity, through the use of both objective and subjective methods within the school system, has the potential to provide a useful basis for commencing meaningful discussions, both in the classroom and among educationists as well as those who are tasked with drafting policy, concerning the value of physical activity for children. Lifelong active healthy lifestyles would significantly reduce levels of being overweight and obese, and incidences of related NCDs, which would, in turn, reduce the great social and financial burden which is imposed by NCDs on an impoverished economy. As the study made use of data which had been collected in school settings, its findings are of great relevance to the promotion of the new physical education curriculum. They should benefit Zimbabwean schoolchildren by providing data which can be used to improve the teaching of Physical Education. The study should contribute to an increased awareness of the need to increase levels of physical activity among schoolchildren, in order to improve their health, which should provide long-term benefits for the population as a whole.

1.3 Objectives of the study

The two principal objectives of the study were:

- To determine the health-related physical fitness, anthropometry and physical activity levels of Zimbabwean children aged 10–12 years old.
• To determine the relationship between body composition, physical fitness, and levels of physical activity of Zimbabwean children aged 10 –12 years old.

1.4 Hypotheses

The research study was based upon the following hypotheses:

• Significant differences in health-related physical fitness and levels of physical activity will be found for Zimbabwean children aged 10 –12 years old.

• A significant negative relationship will be found between levels of physical activity and the body composition for Zimbabwean children aged 10 –12 years old.

1.5 Structure of the dissertation

The dissertation is submitted in an article format as approved by the North-West University senate in the following format:

CHAPTER 1: This chapter consists of the introduction, the problem statement, and objectives. The NWU Harvard guidelines will be used for referencing.

CHAPTER 2: Health-related physical fitness, body composition, and physical activity during childhood – a literature review. All citations and references are presented according to the NWU Harvard guidelines.

CHAPTER 3 (ARTICLE 1): Health-related physical fitness, anthropometry, and physical activity levels of Zimbabwean children aged 10 –12 years old. This article will be submitted for publication to the International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. The referencing style used will be that of the journal.

CHAPTER 4 (ARTICLE 2): The relationship between body composition, physical fitness, and the levels of physical activity of Zimbabwean children aged 10 –12 years old. This article will be submitted for publication to the African Journal for Physical Activity and Health Sciences. The referencing style used will be that required by the journal.

CHAPTER 5: This will be the final chapter providing the summary, conclusions, limitations, and recommendations of the study. All citations and references are presented according to the NWU Harvard guidelines.
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2.1 Introduction

The reduction and prevention of childhood obesity is currently one of the highest priorities for the world (European Union, EU, 2014:2). Overweight and obesity have been conclusively shown to exert a severely negative influence upon the lives and health of children and young people throughout the world. Prevention and reduction have become crucial imperatives. In order to achieve these objectives, it is necessary to investigate contemporary trends concerning overweight and obese children in relation to their physical activity levels and health-related physical fitness. As physical inactivity has been ranked as the fourth leading cause of premature death throughout the world (Kohl et al., 2012:294, 300), its eradication holds the key to improving the health and quality of life of both children and adults.

Health-related physical fitness, body composition and physical activity can be used to paint a picture of the status of the health of individual people or specific groups. An assessment of body composition, which would include the measurement of adiposity, is a significant indicator of being either overweight or obese. By contrast, physical fitness is considered to represent an equally significant marker of health (Ortega et al., 2008:8). Regular assessment of these parameters in populations is crucial to gaining an accurate understanding and assessment of trends pertaining to overweight and obesity. In this chapter the topic is investigated by providing a systematic overview and analysis of the literature which is available concerning overweight and obesity, health-related physical fitness, body composition, including anthropometric characteristics, as well as physical activity in childhood. The chapter also endeavours to provide a comprehensive overview of contemporary trends throughout the world concerning the prevalence, measurement and status of overweight and obesity, and also to assess and evaluate the solutions which are being sought, at present, to remedy the situation. The literature review commences by investigating the research topic from a broad perspective, before narrowing its focus to Zimbabwe.

2.2 Childhood obesity

Childhood obesity is usually defined as the accumulation of excess body fat (Anderson & Butcher, 2006:20). Childhood overweight is described as having a weight that is above the weight defined by reference standards for percentiles for a specific age and gender (Anderson & Butcher, 2006:20;
Ogden et al., 2010:243; Raj & Kumar, 2010:598). The reference standards which are measurements of BMI which are used to determine cut-off points for children, were arrived at through the combination of an anthropometric indicator, a reference population and the establishment of cut-points (WHO, 2006:229; Cole et al., 2000:1-2; De Onis & Lobstein, 2010:458-459). Children who have BMI scores which are greater than or equal to the 95th percentile for their specific genders and ages are generally defined as being obese. Those who have BMI scores which are greater than the 85th percentile and lower than the 95th percentile are classified as being overweight (Dietz & Bellizzi, 1999:123S, 125S). At present three normograms are in use for determining overweight and obesity in children and adolescents, namely, the normograms of the World Health Organisation (WHO, 2006:238, 244), the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) (Cole et al., 2000:3; Cole et al., 2007:3-4) and the Centres for Disease Control (Kuczmaraki et al., 2002:1-103). These normograms yield different results in terms of the levels of being overweight and obesity which they detect (Cole et al., 2000:4-6; Lobstein et al., 2004:13-15; Shields & Tremblay, 2010:267-269; De Onis & Lobstein, 2010:458). Shields and Tremblay, 2010:272 found in their study of Canadian children that although increases in obesity appeared to be more pronounced when they used the cut off-points of the IOTF, the percentage point increases were similar for the three normograms. Despite the apparent differences in cut-points for being overweight and obesity, empirical research reveals unequivocally that the prevalences of overweight and obese children represent a grave public health concern (WHO-Europe, 2007:8; Raj & Kumar, 2010:599, 604; Shields & Tremblay, 2010:272-273; Wang et al., 2011:816-817; Gebremedhin, 2015:6). The high prevalences of overweight and obesity are also the cause of a myriad problems in relation to public health (Raj & Kumar, 2010:604; Yanovski, 2015:4), which are associated mainly with the costs which accompany high incidences of non-communicable chronic diseases (Finkelstein, 2012:570).

2.2.1 Children’s health and obesity

The World Health Organization defines health as total well-being, a term which has physical, mental and social implications (WHO, 2014:1). The period of childhood and adolescence is a very important period, since growth events and experiences during this period will inevitably exert a profound influence upon the remainder of his or her life (Ebbeling et al., 2002:473). In the past, traditional wisdom in many cultures and societies tended to hold that fat children were healthy. However, research has debunked this myth and demonstrated conclusively that obesity during childhood not only exposes people to a very high risk of developing many chronic diseases in
adulthood, but also increases the likelihood of suffering from ill health during childhood itself (Ebbeling et al., 2002:473). Research also indicates convincingly that once both children and adults become obese, the process is very difficult to reverse or overcome (Dietz, 1998:522; De Onis & Lobstein, 2010:458). As complications which result from obesity may manifest themselves only in later life (Lee, 2009:76), it is often difficult to determine the exact point at which an overweight or obese child starts suffering the effects. Lee (2009:75-76) pointed out that children were already presenting with symptoms of chronic diseases that were previously associated only with adults. The obesity-related diseases include cardiovascular, orthopaedic, neurological, pulmonary, renal, gastrointestinal, endocrinial, and psychosocial diseases and disorders (Daniels et al., 2009:e490; Gupta et al., 2012:58-60).

Empirical studies have demonstrated the prevalence of metabolic syndrome among children and adolescents and cogent arguments have been advanced for obesity to be ranked as the most debilitating metabolic disease in the world (De Ridder & Coetzee, 2013:239). Metabolic syndrome has been described as entailing a cluster of risk factors which increase the risk of Type 2 Diabetes and cardiovascular disease. The cluster includes hypertension, glucose intolerance, abdominal obesity, and dyslipidaemia (Raj & Kumar, 2010:600; Gupta et al., 2012:58). In two studies (Kim et al., 2007:113; Park et al., 2009:531) it was found that there had been an increase in the prevalence of metabolic syndrome among Korean children between the ages of 2 and 19 years during the period between 1998 and 2001. The findings of the studies indicated increases in the prevalences of metabolic syndrome from 6.8% to 9.2% and 2.2% to 3.6%, respectively. Interestingly Park and associates reported a decrease in prevalence of metabolic syndrome to 1.8% among the boys and girls for the year 2005. This decrease occurred although there had been a rise in the numbers of obese children during the period (Park et al., 2009:531). This finding could be explained by the increase in the number of children who participated in exercise during the period which had been covered by the studies (Park et al., 2009:232).

A strong correlation was found between hypertension and high BMI or obesity in three studies (Nielsen & Andersen, 2003:233; Agyemang et al., 2005:4; Salman et al., 2011:2-3), which served to confirm that being overweight or obese severely undermines the health of children. In addition, scientific studies of obese and non-obese children have revealed a strong link between childhood obesity generated cardiovascular disease risk factors and the development of early endothelial dysfunction and increased arterial stiffness (Zhu et al., 2005:339-340; Peña et al., 2006:4468-4469; Skilton & Celermajer, 2006:1042-1043). Evidence also suggests that obesity exerts a
negative influence upon the cognitive and motor development of children (Camargos et al., 2016:412-413). Close association between childhood obesity and the prevalence of other conditions and diseases has also been observed in the following studies conducted by (Papoutsakis et al., 2013:91-92; Wearing et al., 2006:244; Minges et al., 2017:77), namely, in relation to asthma, musculoskeletal diseases, and psychosocial disorders, respectively.

2.2.2 Global trends in childhood obesity

According to a report of the Commission on Ending Childhood Obesity, in 2014, an estimated 41 million of the children in the world under the age of 5 years were either overweight or obese (WHO, 2016:9). Africa accounted for 10.3 million of these children, thereby accounting for 25% of the overweight or obese children under the age of five in the world (WHO, 2016:9). The global trend in obesity is pointing to a sharp rise in the numbers of overweight and obese children and adolescents (Lobstein et al., 2015:2510; Neil, 2016:5; UNICEF, WHO & World Bank Group, 2017:7). In a study which was conducted by Ng et al. (2014:770), it was established that the worldwide rates of childhood obesity increased by 47.1% between 1980 and 2013. The number of overweight and obese children and adults increased from 857 million in 1980 to 2.1 billion in 2013 (Ng et al., 2014:770). In order to appreciate the implications of the trends in the rise of childhood overweight and obesity among the world’s children, it is necessary to examine the data which has been provided by organisations such as the World Health Organisation (WHO), in conjunction with that which has been provided by individual studies which have been conducted in different parts of the world. Table 2-1 represents surveys and studies of the global, regional and national trends in childhood obesity, which are discussed from sections 2.2.2 to 2.2.5 of this chapter.

Europe has been one of the regions at the forefront in the monitoring of childhood overweight and obesity. Well organised systems for surveillance at both the national and regional levels have been in place for several decades (Lifestyle Statistics Team/Health and Social Care Information Centre-hscic, 2014:19; Brug, 2007:2). By 2007, data sets for prevalence were already available for 46 of 52 countries in the European region (WHO Europe, 2007:3). An increase in the prevalence of overweight and obesity has been observed (Stratton et al., 2007:1175). However Rokholm et al. (2010:441) concluded, after evaluating the findings of 52 international studies that there appeared to be a stabilisation in rates of obesity, not only in Europe, but also in Australia, Russia, the USA and Japan. Rokholm and colleagues also observed that while a degree of stabilisation of rates appeared to be taking place, there were actually areas such as China and Vietnam where dramatic
increases in numbers of overweight and obese children were discernible (Rokholm et al. 2010:441).

Data from the large 2007-2010 IDEFICS (Identification and prevention of Dietary and Lifestyle-induced health Effects in Children and InfantS) study of children between the ages of 2.0 and 9.9 years, from eight European countries, generated a mean prevalence of overweight children of 21.1% for girls and 18.6% for boys (Ahrens et al., 2014:S103). The countries which participated in the study were Belgium, Cyprus, Estonia, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Spain and Sweden (Ahrens et al., 2014:S100). A more recent study of European data collected between 1980 and 2013, found an increase in the prevalence of overweight and obesity of 23.8% and 22.6% over the period for boys and girls aged under 20 years old respectively. It was observed however that the means for the individual countries ranged from 15.9% in Andorra to 33.7% in Greece for the boys under 20 years (Ng et al., 2014:775). The differences in the prevalence in the various European countries could be attributed to diversity in local socio-economic circumstances, including varying proportions of non-native populations (Ahrens et al., 2014:S104).

National health surveys to monitor rates of overweight and obesity and other health indicators have been conducted in the United States and Canada for decades. In the United States the 2012 statistical report of Ogden and associates examined trends in childhood obesity for the period 2003-2004 through 2010-2012. Their report was based upon data which had been generated from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). The study found a decrease in the rate of obesity for children aged 2-5 years from 14% in the 2003-2004 period to 8% in the 2010-2012 period (Ogden et al., 2014:6, 7). Using the CDC cut-off points for overweight and obesity the study by Skinner and Skelton (2014:565) established that 32.2% of the American children aged 2-19 years were overweight during the period 2011-2012. The findings of the study also indicated that 17.3% of the children were obese, while 5.9% and 2.1% fell into the Class 2 and Class 3 obesity categories, respectively. Another study conducted by Ogden et al., (2012:484) examined trends in childhood BMI, using United States national data for six survey periods from 1999-2010. A significant increase in rates of childhood obesity were observed among 6-11 year old boys, rising from 17.9% for the 1999-2000 period to 18.3% for the 2009-2010 period (Ogden et al., 2012:489).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country or Region</th>
<th>Year data collected</th>
<th>Age/Gender: B-boys G-girls</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Overweight % &amp; Underweight %</th>
<th>Overweight %</th>
<th>Obesity %</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global (Developed)</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>2-19 B &amp; G</td>
<td>16.9 B; 16.2 G</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Ng et al., 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2-19 B &amp; G</td>
<td>23.8 B; 22.6 G</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Ng et al., 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2-17</td>
<td>8661</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>Shields &amp; Tremblay, 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012/2013</td>
<td>3-19 G &amp; G</td>
<td>2460</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>Rudd &amp; Sharma, 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>2007-2010</td>
<td>2-9.9 B</td>
<td>9331</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>Cole’s Ref</td>
<td>Ahrens et al., 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2-9.9 G</td>
<td>9170</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>Cole’s Ref</td>
<td>Ahrens et al., 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E Europe &amp; C Asia</td>
<td>1999-2011</td>
<td>15-18 G rural</td>
<td>4051</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Jaacks et al., 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15-18 G</td>
<td>3680</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Jaacks et al., 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>5-17 B</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Wang &amp; Lim, 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5-17 G</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Wang &amp; Lim, 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>2012-2014</td>
<td>8-11 B &amp; G</td>
<td>1068</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Keane et al., 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK (Liverpool)</td>
<td>1998-1999</td>
<td>9-11 B</td>
<td>1313</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Stratton et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003-2004</td>
<td>9-11 B</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Stratton et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>1971-1974</td>
<td>2-19 B</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Fryar et al., 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2-19 G</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Fryar et al., 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1988-1994</td>
<td>2-19 B</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>Fryar et al., 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2-19 G</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>Fryar et al., 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1999-2000</td>
<td>2-19 B</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>Fryar et al., 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2-19 G</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>Fryar et al., 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009-2010</td>
<td>2-19 B &amp; G</td>
<td>4111</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>Ogden et al., 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011-2012</td>
<td>2-19 B &amp; G</td>
<td>26 690</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>Ogden et al., 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>7-15 B &amp; G</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>Ogden et al., 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>5-17 B &amp; G</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Margetey et al., 2001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007-2008</td>
<td>2-14 B &amp; G</td>
<td>2 788 232</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>NH S</td>
<td>Australasian Ins., 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Pooled population)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2-18 B &amp; G</td>
<td>264 905</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Olds et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>11-12 B &amp; G</td>
<td>871</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>Cole’s Ref</td>
<td>Turnbull et al., 2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>11-12 B &amp; G</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>Cole’s Ref</td>
<td>Turnbull et al., 2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2006-2007</td>
<td>2-14 B &amp; G</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>MOH, 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011-2012</td>
<td>2-14 B &amp; G</td>
<td>90700</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>MOH, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country or Region</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Age/Gender</td>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Overweight &amp; Obesity %</td>
<td>Underweight %</td>
<td>Overweight %</td>
<td>Obesity %</td>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>7-12 B &amp; G</td>
<td>8861</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.10 (1985)</td>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>Liu et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>7-18 B &amp; G</td>
<td>226602</td>
<td>14.9 B &amp;8.9 G</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ji et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>5-14</td>
<td>67956</td>
<td>17.85</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>He et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>1979-1981</td>
<td>6-11 B &amp; G</td>
<td>270720</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>Guptia et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islands</td>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>13-16 B &amp; G</td>
<td>10424</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Chenian, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>2005-2006</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>46655</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kumar &amp; Faisal, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009-2010</td>
<td>6-15 B &amp; G</td>
<td>1634</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>Galante et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013-2014</td>
<td>12-15 B &amp; G</td>
<td>1721</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Kovalskys et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>5-18 B &amp; G</td>
<td>10135</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Balbul &amp; Hoque, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>1999-2011</td>
<td>15-18 G</td>
<td>10135</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Jaacks et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>2004-2005</td>
<td>7-9 B &amp; G</td>
<td>2913</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Cole Pengpid &amp; Peltzer, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>7-10 B &amp; G</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Cole Pengpid &amp; Peltzer, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>13-15 B &amp; G</td>
<td>28368</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>Galante et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>12-15 B &amp; G</td>
<td>1588</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Kovalsky et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2-19 B</td>
<td>25.4B &amp;27.3G</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Wang &amp; Lim, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>6 B &amp; G</td>
<td>25.4B &amp;27.1G</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Wang &amp; Lim, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012-2013</td>
<td>6-12 B &amp; G</td>
<td>2195 B &amp; G</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Kurumi &amp; Ghorbani, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2005-2010</td>
<td>2-18 B &amp; G</td>
<td>10.88</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Kelishadi et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahrain</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>12-17 B</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>Al-Sendi et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>12-17B</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>Al-Sendi et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>15-18 B</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Bader et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>15-18 G</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Bader et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>15-18 B</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Musaiger et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>1999-2000</td>
<td>10-14 B</td>
<td>7205</td>
<td>475</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Musaiger et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>10-14 B</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>475</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Musaiger et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libya</td>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>15-18 B</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>280</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Musaiger et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15-18 G</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Musaiger et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country or Region</td>
<td>Year data collected</td>
<td>Age/Gender B-boys G-girls</td>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Overweight &amp; Obesity %</td>
<td>Underweight %</td>
<td>Overweight %</td>
<td>Obesity %</td>
<td>Standard WHO, IOTF, CDC, Cole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palestine</td>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>15-18 B</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Musaiger et al., 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15-18 G</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>15-18 B</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Musaiger et al., 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15-18 G</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>12-18 B</td>
<td>2766</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Cole’s Ref El-Hazma et al., 2002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12-18 G</td>
<td>3076</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2-18 B &amp; G</td>
<td>12852</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>Al-Dossary et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>5-18 B &amp; G</td>
<td>19317</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>El Mouzan et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United A. Emirates</td>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>15-18 B</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>Musaiger et al., 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15-18 G</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>1999-2</td>
<td>15-18 G</td>
<td>5100000</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>IOTF/Others Muthuri et al., 2014a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0-5 B &amp; G</td>
<td>1138</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>IOTF Jaacks et al., 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.0 Urban</td>
<td>11.0 Urban</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>WHO UNICEF, WHO, 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1948-2013</td>
<td>5-17 B &amp; G</td>
<td>190149</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>WHO Asi et al., 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>0-5 B &amp; G</td>
<td>6400000</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>IOTF WHO Mustapha &amp; Sanusi, 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>10-18 B &amp; G</td>
<td>1138</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>WHO Nagwa et al., 2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>13-18 B &amp; G</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>WHO Yusuf et al., 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10-19 B &amp; G</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>IOTF, WHO Reddy et al., 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>10-19 B &amp; G</td>
<td>2031</td>
<td>16.35</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>CDC Mustapha &amp; Sanusi, 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1992-2013</td>
<td>14-22 B &amp; G</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>CDC Georegeregs et al., 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>2012-2013</td>
<td>15-19 B &amp; G</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>CDC Alemu et al., 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>1-9 B &amp; G</td>
<td>2894</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>IOTF Steyn et al., 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>13-19 B &amp; G</td>
<td>9522</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Cole, IOTF Reddy et al., 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2001-2004</td>
<td>6-13 B &amp; G</td>
<td>10195</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>CDC IOTF Armstrong et al., 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.5 B</td>
<td>4.8 G</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>IOTF Armstrong et al., 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>15 B</td>
<td>773</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>WHO, Cole Ginsburg et al., 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15 G</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Ginsburg et al., 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>13-19 B</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>IOTF, NCHS Kimani-Murage et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>13-19G</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>IOTF, NCHS Kimani-Murage et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>14-19 B &amp; G</td>
<td>9371</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Col, IOTF Reddy et al., 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>10-16 B &amp; G</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>CDC, BMI Toriola et al., 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Africa (R)</td>
<td>1999-2011</td>
<td>15-18G rural</td>
<td>1195</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>IOTF Jaacks et al., 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>6-10 B &amp; G</td>
<td>19263</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>WHO Oulamara et al., 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>4405</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>WHO Gebremedhan, 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>WHO Mushonga et al., 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: Pvt Sc-private school, Pub Sc-public school, B- boy, G-girl, R-region, CDC-Centres for Disease Control, IOTF-International Obesity Task Force, WHO-World Health Organisation, E. Europe-Eastern Europe, C. Asia-Central Asia, UK-United Kingdom
A number of empirical studies have indicated that there have been significant increases in the numbers of overweight and obese children in many countries in the Middle East (Mirmiran et al., 2010:1024; Stott et al., 2013:151). The region appears to have some of the highest rates in childhood obesity in the world. Studies have found high rates of prevalence of both overweight and obesity as illustrated in Table 2-1. Examples of such findings include studies by Al-Sendi et al. (2003:473), El-Bayoumy et al. (2009:155), Al-Dossary et al. (2010:1005), and Musaiger et al. (2012:1924). The combined findings of these studies revealed that rates of prevalence of overweight and obesity ranged from 10.43% to 46.3% among the Arabic-speaking countries. The high rates of overweight and obesity can be explained by the finding that the Middle East has the highest dietary energy surplus among developing countries (Mirmiran et al., 2010:1010) and has also witnessed a significant decrease in levels of physical activity (Mirmiran et al., 2010:1014).

A study of eight Asian countries found an average prevalence of overweight or obesity of 9.9% for children aged 13-15 years old (Pengpid & Peltzer, 2016:252). The eight countries were Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, Malaysia, Myanmar, Thailand, the Philippines and Vietnam. The rates of prevalence of overweight and obesity in six of the countries were higher among boys than girls, with the exception of Cambodia and Myanmar, where rates were higher among girls. The overall rates of prevalence of overweight and obesity for the region were 11.5% for girls and 8.3% for boys (Pengpid & Peltzer, 2016:252). A particularly high rate of 36.1% was found in Brunei Darussalam (Pengpid & Peltzer, 2016:252). The strength of the study of Pengpid and Peltzer (2016) lies in its use of nationally representative data, which had been collected using the standardised global school-based student health survey protocols of the WHO, which enabled valid comparisons across the eight countries to be made (WHO-GSHS, 2013). The inherent weakness in the study however just as in the Manyanga et al., (2014:6), which study discussed in section (2.2.3) below, was the use of self-reported data for measurements of weight and height. Self-reported data pertaining to parameters such as weight and height tends to be prone to bias (Ezzati et al., 2006:251, 254). It can be argued however that the WHO protocols provide a very useful module which can be applied throughout the world and provides a straight forward method of collecting useful data to track international trends pertaining to overweight and obesity (WHO-GSHS, 2013). The findings of a Vietnamese study of rural and urban preschool children in 2015, in which objective measurement was used, revealed rates of prevalence which were higher than those which were found in the study of Pengpid and Peltzer (2016:252). The Vietnamese study found a combined
rate of prevalence of 14.5% for boys and girls. The rate of prevalence among rural preschool children was 7.6%, while it was significantly higher at 21.1% among their urban counterparts (Do et al., 2015:7). Although for both groups the rates were higher than the national average of 5.6%, the dramatically higher rate for urban pre-schoolers is in line with results found in other studies.

Australia and New Zealand like other high income countries have been monitoring trends in overweight and obesity (Swinburn & Wood, 2013:60). A study by Olds et al. (2010:60) concluded after examining 41 datasets that there had been a sharp increase in obesity from 1985 to the late 1990s, which had been followed by a plateau in the rates of increase. The rates for the prevalence of obesity rose from 1% in 1985 to 5.4% in 1996 among the boys aged 2-18 years while the rates increased from 0.8% to 5.7% among the girls over the same period. The combined rates of obesity for the girls and boys were 11.2% in 2008 (Olds et al., 2010:60) but had dropped to 7.4% in the 2011-2012 period (Magarey, 2001:563). Although the rates of prevalence appear to have stabilised, the numbers of children who were affected in real terms remained unacceptably high. The Australian Institute of Health and Welfare estimated that one in four children aged 5-17 (translating to 1 million) were overweight or obese during the 2014-2015 period (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2016:1). In New Zealand there was an estimated increase in the incidence of overweight children between the ages of 11 and 12 years, from 11.0% in 1989 to 20.9% in 2000 (Turnbull et al., 2004). The Ministry of Health report for 2011-2012 revealed that there appeared to be a stabilisation in the rate for overweight children, which was found to be 21% among boys and girls between the ages of 2 and 14 years. The combined rate of obesity was found to be 10% (Ministry of Health, 2012). Empirical studies in both Australia and New Zealand have shown that levels of overweight and obesity tend to be particularly high among members of indigenous populations (Obesity Working Group, 2009:6; Ministry of Health, 2012:25, 26). This finding can be explained by the tendency for indigenous populations to be relegated to the lower socio-economic groups, which research has consistently found to be particularly vulnerable to becoming overweight or obese (Rokholm et al., 2010:840-841; Pavela et al., 2016:134).

2.2.3 Prevalence of childhood obesity in Africa

On the African continent empirical research has shown that the issue of childhood overweight and obesity is no longer a problem of only the developed or First World countries, but that it also ravages developing countries (Ebbeling et al., 2002:478; Gupta et al., 2012:64). Although
the studies in many African countries have indicated that rates of prevalence of overweight and obesity that were lower than those in some developed countries, the rates of increase however appeared to be higher in the developing world (WHO, 2016:4, 9).

In a systematic review conducted by Muthuri and associates of 283 African studies from 1964-2013, it was concluded that there had been an upward rise in overweight and obesity in school-aged children in sub-Saharan Africa (Muthuri et al., 2014a:19). The weighted average rates for overweight and obesity for the consolidated population of boys and girls were found to be 10.6% and 2.5%, respectively (Muthuri et al., 2014a:14). In most of the studies which were included, the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) standards were used, which helped to offset any possible suggestion that the use of different sets of standards would introduce an element of inconsistency to the averages which the systematic review generated (Muthuri et al., 2014a:5, 19). The standards are established measurements which are used to classify levels of overweight and obesity which are applicable to different populations of children (Shields & Trembley, 2010:272; Muthuri et al., 2014a:5, 19). Consequently, the findings of the systematic review provide a valid evaluation of trends pertaining to overweight and obesity despite the apparent limitations which could appear to be inherent in a study in which findings have been obtained by applying different sets of standards for the measurements which were taken.

The findings of individual studies which were conducted in Africa revealed significant differences in the prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adolescents. In a comparative study of data from seven African countries, namely, Benin, Djibouti, Egypt, Ghana, Mauritania, Malawi and Morocco, it was found that rates for overweight ranged between 8.7% and 31.4%, while those for obesity ranged from 0.6% to 9.3%. The children were aged 13-17 years (Manyanga et al., 2014:7). In Ethiopia, abnormally low rates of 5.4% were found for overweight and 0.5% for obesity (Gebregergs et al., 2013:3). In a study which was conducted by Wolde and Belachew (2014:53), the prevalence of overweight among Ethiopian children was 7.3% and 3.4% for obesity. By contrast, the findings of a study in Sudan yielded rates of 10.8% for the prevalence of overweight and 9.7% for obesity among 10-18 year old children (Nagwa et al., 2011:410). A number of studies in West Africa found varying rates for overweight and obesity. One of the studies found 8.6% for overweight and 1% for obesity (Abah et al., 2012:54), while a study by Musa et al. (2012:1372) yielded rates of 12.4% and 2.3%, respectively. Mustapha and Sanusi found rates of 5.76% and 1.13%, respectively, in their research sample in 2013. The mean age was 14.28 years (Mustapha & Sanusi, 2013:208).
Prevalence rates of 12.4% and 1.9% (Wamba et al., 2013:5) and 6.8% and 5.45% (Kumah et al., 2015:2) were reported for overweight and obesity respectively, in Cameroon. Participants were aged 8-20 years (Wamba et al., 2013:5; Kumah et al., 2015:2).

Empirical studies to assess prevalence of overweight and obesity are particularly scarce in southern Africa, apart from South Africa, where numerous studies have been conducted. In South Africa a wide range of statistics for overweight and obesity were reported. Reddy and associates found rates of 16.9% and 4% for overweight and obesity respectively (Reddy et al., 2008:205-206), while Toriola et al. (2012:59) reported 11% for overweight and 4.4% for obesity in girls aged 10-16 years. Other South African studies found varying prevalence rates of overweight and obesity such as 10.2% and 3.2% among white boys 6-13 years (Armstrong et al., 2006:441) and 9% and 3.8% for girls (Tathiah et al., 2013:720) respectively. Higher overweight and obesity prevalence rates of 11.2% and 4.8% among 13-18 year old learners Van Niekerk et al. (2014:22) and 20.2% and 5.5% among 14-19 year old boys and girls Reddy et al. (2012:264) were also found for South Africa. Scholars have argued that South Africa has one of the highest levels of obesity on the African continent. Gebremedhin (2015) conducted a study of rates of prevalence among children between the ages of 0 and 59 months in other Southern African countries. In his study, Gebremedhin found overweight and obesity rates that were below 10% for the different countries. Zambia had overweight and obesity rates of 5.4% and 3.4%, respectively, while those for Malawi were 8.7% and 5.8%. Even lower rates of prevalence of overweight and obesity were also found in Mozambique 7.7% and 4.2% and Namibia 3.7% and 2.3%, respectively (Gebremedhin, 2015:4). It can be asserted that since the African countries are at different stages of social, economic, and political development, the drivers of overweight and obesity affect the countries differently, hence the wide range in the prevalence of overweight and obesity across the continent (WHO, 2016:10).

Many studies which have been conducted on the African continent have established that overweight and obesity often coexist with incidences of being underweight, which is an inherent problem faced by the developing countries. This is problematic as it creates a double burden for the developing world (Amidu et al., 2013:32; Tzioumis & Adair, 2014:230-231,239; Monyeki et al., 2015:1165-1166). For example, the following studies found prevalence of underweight ranging from 5.2%-74.2% among their study samples (Reddy, 2008:205; Puckree et al., 2011:4; Jacobs & De Ridder, 2012:44; Toriola et al., 2012:59; Wamba et al., 2013:5; Manyanga et al., 2014:6; Mushonga et al., 2014b:8; Kumah et al., 2015:3; Pangani et al.,
Consequently, African countries have to deal with the financial burden of ensuring food security for the under-nourished and also the immense financial burden on the health system which is created by obesity-related health problems.

2.2.4 Prevalence of childhood obesity in Zimbabwe

Reliable and accurate, nationally representative data pertaining to the prevalence of overweight and obesity are difficult to find for Zimbabwe, as a lack of funding hampers empirical research, thereby placing severe limitations upon the availability of published research. As it has already been noted, no published studies of children between the ages of 10 and 12 years could be found. Studies which were found on other age groups of children and youth in Zimbabwe will be reported here. A 2006 study focusing on the nutritional profiles of development and physical activity of diabetic children, reported an average BMI of 18.2 for both the diabetic group (42 children) and the control group (49 children) with the age of the children 6-15 years (Djarova et al., 2006:4-5). A study which was conducted by Gebremedhin (2015:4) found a prevalence for combined overweight and obesity among preschool children of 6.8%. The study was based upon representative data which had been generated by the Demographic and Health Survey, which had obtained data from a sample of 4405 children between the ages of 0 and 59 months (Gebremedhin, 2015:4).

The findings of a study which was conducted in order to evaluate data which had been obtained from 34 000 primary school children in sixteen suburbs of Harare in Zimbabwe, during the period between 2003 and 2011, revealed that incidences of underweight children and stunting were rising. Fluctuating rates in the prevalence of wasting among boys ranged from 3.5% to 4.8% during the period, with the highest rate being recorded in 2007. Fluctuations in rates which were observed among girls ranged between 3.5% and 6.3% (Mushonga et al., 2014a:8845). A more recent study of 320 preschool children found prevalence rates for overweight and obesity of 5.3% and 1.9%, respectively (Mushonga et al., 2014b:8). The small numbers of overweight and obese children which were found among the Zimbabwean primary school children could be attributed to generally dire economic conditions in the country at present.

Another one of the limited number of published studies (Mufunda & Makuyana, 2016:139) of young Zimbabweans focused on youth and young adults aged between 18 and 35 years. The mean age for the study was 23 years. The study found that 9% of the participants were
overweight and 15% were obese. However, the findings of the study could not be considered to be representative of the population of the country as a whole, as convenience sampling had been used to select the research population. The research sample comprised 96 participants, who were enrolled at the same university (Mufunda & Makuyana, 2016:140). The study though small demonstrated that overweight and obesity are also prevalent in Zimbabwe.

The most comprehensive study to date has been the Zimbabwe Baseline Survey of 2015, which was a cross-sectional study of 4402 children between the ages of 8 and 15 years. Results showed that the mean body mass of the measured population was below the means found in studies conducted elsewhere. For example, the mean body mass for 10-year-old girls and boys were 31.90kg and 30.61kg, respectively (Makaza et al., 2015:123), while in a study which was conducted outside of Zimbabwe by Lopes et al. (2014:894) it was found that body mass was 36.61±8.9 for girls and 37.0±9.4 for boys of the same age group. The Zimbabwean study revealed that most of the children had normal BMI scores for their ages. The CDC standards were used for the BMI classification (Makaza et al., 2015:128-129, 211). These findings were similar to the results found by Gebremedhin (2015:3). The lack of published studies emphasises the great need for research which is able to provide more extensive nationally representative data pertaining to the prevalence of overweight and obesity among Zimbabwean children and adolescents.

2.2.5 Children’s right to health

On November 20, 1989 the United Nations General Assembly adopted Resolution 44/25, the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), which recognises the vulnerability of children, their status as human beings in their own right, and the need for them to be accorded equal rights as citizens of the international community (UNICEF, 1989:1-2). Under this convention children are accorded in article 6, the right to receive all possible forms of assistance to enable them to attain their full potential. Furthermore, article 24 states that children have the right to health, while article 39 emphasises their right to protection from neglect, harm, or abuse (UNICEF, 1989:4, 8, 11). In view of these provisions as well as others made by the convention, scholars and other concerned stakeholders have begun viewing the issue of childhood obesity from a child human rights angle (American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), 2010:839; Mitgang, 2011:555; Ó Cathaoir, 2016:250-251).
As it has already been noted, the obesity epidemic is rising at alarming rates (WHO, 2016:4, 11). Although some developed or First World countries appear to be experiencing slower rates of increase, to date no country has succeeded in reining in the epidemic. Consequently, some researchers have suggested that combating the epidemic within a broader agenda of upholding the rights of children could oblige individual countries to institute laws and controls to reduce their numbers of overweight and obese children significantly (AAP, 2010:840; Ó Cathaoir, 2016:252, 255, 257). In some developed countries, such as the United States, where extreme levels of obesity have been observed, some scholars have proposed intervention by the state in the interests of children who are afflicted by obesity (Mitgang, 2011:581, 585). Although researchers may not all agree upon how the epidemic may best be tackled, it does appear that one of the most effective means of combating it could be through increased commitment, on the part of the state, in countries throughout the world (Brug, 2007:3; Wang et al., 2007:186-187).

2.3 Health-related physical fitness

Physical fitness has been defined in many ways with some definitions combining both health-related and skill-related elements (Safrit, 1990:10; Malina, 2001:163; ACSM, 2008:2; Ortega et al., 2008a:2; Cvejic et al., 2013:136). However, it is generally agreed that physical fitness is a construct which encompasses ten components which enable people to function and engage in physical activity in an optimal manner, without undue fatigue or discomfort, as well as to participate successfully in sport (Safrit, 1990:10; Vanhees et al., 2005:103, 107; ACSM, 2009:3; Cvejic et al., 2013:136). Health-related physical fitness has four closely related principal attributes which together promote optimal health (Ruiz et al., 2010a:2; Catley & Tomkinson, 2011:11; Cvejic et al., 2013:143). The components are cardiorespiratory fitness, musculoskeletal fitness (strength, and endurance), flexibility, and body composition (ACSM, 2008:3). By contrast, skill-related physical fitness components include agility, power, speed, balance, coordination and reaction time, which are motor skills which are associated with enhanced sport performance (ACSM, 2008:3). Each component will now be discussed.

2.3.1 Components of health-related physical fitness

2.3.1.1 Cardiorespiratory fitness

Cardiorespiratory fitness entails the efficiency with which the cardiovascular and pulmonary systems are able to supply working muscles with sufficient oxygen for an extended period of
time (Ortega et al., 2008a:2). Although a balance is desirable for the five health-related components (ACSM, 2008:3), cardiorespiratory fitness is arguably the most important of the components of health-related physical fitness (Cjevic et al., 2013:136). Cardiorespiratory fitness provides the physiological foundation that impacts the other components and is very important for metabolic health (Cvejic et al., 2013:137). High levels of cardiorespiratory fitness in childhood and adolescence have been scientifically shown to greatly impact body fatness, cardiorespiratory fitness and other health outcomes in adulthood (Malina, 2001:167; Eisenmann et al., 2005:50; Ruiz et al., 2010:2; Cjevic et al., 2013:143).

2.3.1.2 Musculoskeletal fitness

Musculoskeletal fitness involves muscular strength and muscular endurance which constitute the healthy functioning of the system (Vanhees et al., 2005:108; Czejic et al., 2013:137). Muscular strength is the maximum force which a muscle is able to exert, while muscular endurance is the ability of a muscle to perform repeated contractions over a period of time (Ortega et al., 2015:535). High musculoskeletal fitness in children and adolescents ensures good posture, a healthier back, reduces risk of metabolic diseases as well as increases tolerance in relation to muscular fatigue (Artero et al., 2011:709; Smith et al., 2014:1214, 1217).

2.3.1.3 Flexibility

Flexibility is the ability of a joint to move through its full range of motion (ACSM, 2008:70; Ortega et al., 2015:535) involving the bones, tendons, ligaments and muscles at the joint (ACSM, 2008:70). Studies have shown that flexibility plays an important part in health through its role in posture, balance, back health and prevention of injuries in activities of daily living (ACSM, 2008:70). Too little or too much flexibility are ordinarily both undesirable, as either may increase the risk of musculoskeletal injuries (Heyward, 2010:265, 267).

2.3.1.4 Body composition

Body composition refers to the proportions of fat, muscle, bone, cartilage and water in the body (Eisenmann, 2007:1724) with fat being the most important element with regards to implications for health (ACSM, 2008:44). High percentages of body fat contribute to overweight and obesity, both of which have a negative impact on health through increased risk of disease (Yanovski, 2015:4). Body composition is therefore a very important indicator of an individual’s health (Ruiz et al., 2010a:4).
2.4 Measuring children’s physical fitness

Researchers have been interested in the measurement of the physical fitness of young people since the 1940s and 1950s (Morrow et al., 2009:2). Interest in empirical research in this field was generated by a number of factors. These included among others, the need to establish normative data for children, the need to determine athletic performance, the need to assess levels of physical fitness as well as the need to determine trends in children’s physical fitness (Rubin & Suchomel, 1985:97; Catley & Tomkinson, 2011:7, 11). The rise of the obesity epidemic and its associated burden upon health care systems (Wang et al., 2011:816-817, 823; Naik & Kaneda, 2015:2) has however heightened the interest in physical fitness testing, as scholars agree that monitoring of children’s physical fitness levels is crucial in the fight against the obesity epidemic (Castro-Piñero et al., 2011:577; Ortega et al., 2011:22). The physical fitness testing of children has evolved over several decades (Vanhees et al., 2005:107). There has been a significant move away from a focus on sport performance oriented physical fitness testing (Freedson et al., 2000:S78; Jackson, 2006:160; Pate et al., 2013:516) to a focus on more health-related physical fitness testing which has greater relevance to public health (Caspersen et al., 1985:128; Malina, 2001:163; Ruiz et al., 2010a:1; Catley & Tomkinson, 2011:8).

The physical fitness of children and youth is best measured in the laboratory using gold standard physical fitness tests (Castro-Piñero et al., 2009:3; Ruiz et al., 2010:1). The high cost of such laboratory testing is however not practical for epidemiological studies and surveys (Catley & Tomkinson, 2011:1). Consequently, it became necessary to develop valid and reliable field tests aimed at assessing the various health-related components that describe physical fitness in children and youth (Castro-Piñero et al., 2009:3; Ruiz et al., 2010:1, 4). These simple, easy to administer field tests were criterion validated, against the gold standard laboratory tests (Freedson et al., 2000:S78; Bianco et al., 2015:453). They are combined to create highly practical test batteries which can be used in studies of large populations (Ruiz et al., 2010:4; Bianco et al., 2015:463). The validity of a test refers to its ability to measure what it is intended to measure, while its reliability refers to its ability to produce similar results if it is carried out under similar conditions (Ortega et al., 2008b:S50). There are more than a dozen Fitness Test batteries that are used to test the physical fitness of children and adolescents throughout the world (Castro-Piñero et al., 2009:4; Bianco et al., 2015:460). The numbers of test items which are included in the batteries range from four to ten tests (Safrit, 1990:10; Bianco et al., 2015:460).
2.4.1.1 Measuring cardiorespiratory fitness in children

The laboratory gold standard for measuring cardiorespiratory fitness is the maximal oxygen uptake (VO$_{2\text{max}}$) test, which entails exercising to exhaustion or failure (Armstrong & Welsman, 1994:435; Haff & Dumke, 2012:165). The consumption of oxygen is measured through the use of sophisticated equipment (Aadland et al., 2014:5). Submaximal tests, which require ending the exercise just before exhaustion such as using the multistage submaximal test on an ergometer or the Ebbeling single-stage submaximal treadmill test, are more commonly used (Haff & Dumke, 2012:175, 179). Some scholars have argued that both the maximum aerobic fitness test and laboratory-based submaximal tests are not suitable for use with most children, as the tests require them to perform to the point of near exhaustion. They argue that many children may be unwilling to perform maximally (Armstrong & Welsman, 1994:431; Rice & Howell, 2000:152) which is what ensures validity of the assessment of aerobic capacity (Voss & Sandercock, 2009:59). Rice and Howell maintain that estimation of children’s peak oxygen uptake rather than maximum oxygen consumption, using validated and reliable field-based cardiorespiratory fitness tests, is more appropriate (Rice & Howell, 2000:153). However, other studies have demonstrated the ability of children and adolescents to participate successfully in studies which require near maximum levels of performance (Eiberg et al., 2005:726, 728; Kotte et al., 2016:1770). The participants in the study of Eiberg et al. were particularly young children, between the ages of 6 to 7 years (Eiberg et al., 2005:725). Moreover, criterion validated field tests also elicit that the participating children perform to near maximum (Hamlin et al., 2014:85). Aadland and other scholars emphasise that direct measurement of VO$_{2\text{max}}$ is very expensive, time-consuming and requires highly skilled personnel such that it cannot be used for large studies (Aadland et al., 2014:5).

Both Castro-Piñero et al. and Bianco et al. maintain that the 20-metre shuttle run test (20mSRT) is the most valid field-based test for testing cardiorespiratory fitness in children (Castro-Piñero et al., 2009:18; Bianco et al., 2015:463). Criterion validity for this test was established in studies in which it was carried out in conjunction with the use of portable gas analysers or cross-validated against the graded treadmill test (Ruiz et al., 2010a:3). Other commonly used cardiorespiratory field fitness tests include, the 1-mile run/walk test, the ½- mile run/walk test, the ¼-mile run/walk test and the 1.5-mile run/walk test (Castro-Piñero et al., 2009:6). It could be argued that the 20mSRT is popular not only because of its high validity but also because it requires little space and many children can be tested simultaneously. However, children need
to be highly motivated and strongly encouraged when performing this test, because its validity depends upon maximal levels of performance at its end (Voss & Sandercock, 2009:59).

2.4.1.2 Measuring muscular strength and endurance in children

Castro-Piñero and others comment that most assessments of musculoskeletal fitness do not have gold standard tests (Rice & Howell, 2000:153; Castro-Piñero et al., 2009:939). Laboratory tests for muscular strength entail the measurement of isometric, isokinetic, or isotonic contractions, using free weights, machines for the 1-repetition maximum test (1RM), isometric dynamometers and sophisticated force power racks connected to computers, which generate detailed force-time results output (Rice & Howell, 2000:153; Haff & Dumke, 2012:250, 256). The one repetition maximum test which measures the maximal amount of weight that can be bench-pressed or leg-pressed one time, is considered the gold standard for testing muscular strength (Castro-Piñero et al., 2009:939). Some scholars however, discourage its use to test children, for fear of possible damage to their epiphyseal plates (Faigenbaum & Myer, 2010:57). The test is also labour-intensive requiring thorough instruction of participants and very close supervision (Milliken et al., 2008:1345). Faigenbaum and others contend that the 1RM Test can be used safely with children, provided that the correct protocol is followed and safety precautions are taken (Faigenbaum et al., 2003:164). However, when large numbers of children need to be tested, the handgrip strength test is the field test of choice for assessing upper body maximal strength (Bianco et al., 2015:453). It is a validated and reliable test (Castro-Piñero et al., 2009:942) and handgrip dynamometers are affordable (Bellace et al., 2000:46). The standing broad jump and the vertical jump are generally recommended tests, for assessing lower body strength in children, although insufficient criterion validation studies have been conducted concerning them (Milliken et al., 2008:1345; Castro-Piñero et al., 2009:939). Local muscular endurance in children is assessed using the push-up and sit-up tests (Ganley et al., 2011:214; Haff & Dumke, 2012:258-259).

2.4.1.3 Measuring flexibility in children

Flexibilty is joint-specific (Heyward, 2010:266; Bianco et al., 2015:457). In laboratory settings flexibility is measured with a goniometer considered to be the gold standard (Haff & Dumke, 2012:81) or with the flexometer or inclinometer (Heyward, 2010:268). Inclinometers which are used in laboratories can be either mechanical or electronic. Both the goniometer and inclinometer produce valid and reliable results (Haff & Dumke, 2012:80). It can be argued that
hamstring, back and shoulder flexibility are the most important in the assessment of health-related flexibility fitness, owing to the direct bearing which they have upon ailments such as lower back pain (Smith et al., 2014:1214). Poor flexibility in these areas can create difficulties in the performance of activities of daily living as well as in sport participation (Heyward, 2010:265; Bianco et al., 2015:457).

Although direct measurement of joint flexibility can be used outside of the laboratory, the indirect measurement of flexibility is the preferred method for surveys involving children’s health-related fitness (Ganley et al., 2011:211). The sit and reach test, which measures more hamstring than lower back flexibility is considered the most reliable field-based flexibility test (Heyward, 2010:273; Ayala et al., 2012:225; Bianco et al., 2015:458). Over the years various versions of the sit and reach test have emerged, all of which have been used in studies of children (Bianco et al., 2015:457-458). The modified sit and reach test has moderate validity and reliability (Safrit, 1990:22; Ruiz et al., 2010a:4; Ayala et al., 2012:220).

### 2.4.1.4 Measuring body composition in children

In the living, the gold standard laboratory assessment of body composition is through densitometry, performed through hydrostatic weighing or air plethysmography as well as dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) (Fields & Goran, 2000:619; Heyward, 2010:191). Hydro-densitometry is based upon the Archimedes principle of displacement to predict body density, lean body mass as well as body fat through the use of mathematical formulae to divide the body into fat mass and fat-free mass (Wells & Fewtrell, 2006:614; ACSM, 2009:9-10).

Fields and Goran examined the use of the 4-compartment model in body composition assessment in children using hydrostatic weighing, plethysmography, DEXA, and total body water and concluded that the 4-compartment model was superior (Fields & Goran, 2000:619). Brambilla and associates argued that magnetic resonance imaging produced superior results in studies of children as it could accurately account for regional fat distribution (Brambilla et al., 2006:27). In their study Talma and associates noted that although bioelectrical impedance provided a practical method for assessing body composition in children it still required an improvement in the measuring devices. They noted conflicts in criterion-validated results as well as measurement and prediction errors (Talma et al., 2013:903). Although many of the measuring techniques which have been discussed in this section can be safely used with
children, they are expensive to administer and are more suitable for clinical and smaller studies of children, rather than for large-scale epidemiological studies (Wells & Fewtrell, 2006:617).

Scholars observe that simpler more accessible methods of predicting body fat are more appropriate in surveys and epidemiological studies, particularly when they are used in combination (Wells & Fewtrell, 2006:615). The anthropometric method using body measurements of height and mass, skinfolds, circumferences, skeletal diameters and anthropometric indices (BMI, WHR) is the most commonly used field method (Heyward, 2010:217-221). As the measurement of skinfolds is the most technically demanding exercise in anthropometric studies, it can result in errors in the calculation of subcutaneous (Brodie et al., 1998:299). Anthropometric measurements are valid measures and predictors of body fat in children (Bianco et al., 2015:448).

2.5 Trends in children’s health-related physical fitness

Over the past five decades there has been disagreement over trends in children and adolescent health-related physical fitness. Some researchers have suggested that there appears to be a steady decline in the general fitness of children (Tomkinson & Olds, 2007, cited by Mountjoy et al., 2011:840; Armstrong et al., 2011 cited by Mountjoy et al., 2011:840) while others have contended that there was no evidence for the claims (Harris & Cale, 2006:208). According to Harris and Cale, in 2006 little data was available to validate the claims, which had been sensationalised by the media, that the fitness of young people had declined and that methodological problems and inconsistencies at the time made the claims problematic (Harris & Cale, 2006:207).

A 2003 study of Canadian children showed that there had been a decline in the physical fitness test results of the children in the study when compared against the 1981 normative values established by the Canada Fitness Survey (Brunet et al., 2007:641). Stratton et al. (2007:1174-1175) also observed a decline in the cardiorespiratory fitness of English children aged 9 to 11 years old over the course of six assessments, which were conducted between 1998 and 2004, using the 20MSRT (Stratton et al., 2007:1174-1175). By contrast, Voss and Sandercock (2009) found that the 11 to 16-year-olds who participated in their study in the United Kingdom exceeded the European mean for the 20mSRT. However, the findings of several other studies have also demonstrated a decline in the aerobic power of children and adolescents (Pate et al., 2006:1010; Boddy et al., 2010:257-258; Dumith et al., 2010:646). It also appears that although
there has been a general decline in the aerobic performance of children and adolescents, levels of performance tend to vary significantly throughout the world. Comparative studies of the performance of children and youth in the 20mSRT using data from over 280 studies conducted in countries throughout the world, revealed great variances in performance (Olds et al., 2006:1032; Lang et al., 2016:4-5). It has been argued that the variance observed could be accounted for by the differences in levels of socioeconomic development, climate, culture as well as other factors (Olds et al., 2006:1033-1035; Lang et al., 2016:6-7).

It cannot be disputed that secular trends have also been observed in the body composition of children and adolescents (Fredriks et al., 2000:110; Livingstone, 2001; 111; Monyeki et al., 2015:1168). Secular trends have been detected not only in BMI scores, but also in waist circumferences (Must & Anderson, 2006:593). In some developed countries however there appears to be a plateauing in the levels of BMI in the children and adolescents as shown by absence of exponential rise in rates of overweight and obesity (Olds et al., 2010:62).

### 2.6 Anthropometry in children

Anthropometry refers to body dimensions or parameters which can be used to assess or describe the physique of an individual person. Body dimensions include body mass, circumferences and girths, skinfolds, bone breadths and height (Fryar et al., 2012:1). These basic dimensions can be combined to create derived indices, which add further detail to the description of an individual in place of using sophisticated laboratory equipment (Goon et al., 2013:822). The indices include body mass index (BMI), waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), waist-to-height ratio (WHR), fat mass index (FMI), fat-free mass index (FFMI), and percentage body fat (BF%). Anthropometric data which is obtained from children is very important in many fields of research. Tracking of childhood anthropometry is vital for assessing growth, maturity and nutritional status (Fryar et al., 2012:1). General health, risk factors for disease and the effectiveness of physical activity interventions can all be determined for both individual children and groups by using anthropometry. Anthropometric measurements are particularly useful when they are used in combination (Ashwell & Gibson, 2016:2).

#### 2.6.1 Body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) and disease

Body mass index is a simple derived anthropometric measurement which has many uses and applications. It is the most commonly used indicator of overweight and obesity (Klimek-
Piotrowska et al., 2015:2). Although BMI scores do not differentiate between weight due to excess fat and that due to muscle mass, the BMI is a fairly useful index for initial screening. Some researchers maintain that BMI is the best index to use in large epidemiological studies (Nihiser et al., 2007:652; Goon et al., 2013:822). On the other hand others argue that waist circumference (WC) is a more useful predictor of risks to health (Janssen et al., 2004:381), as central obesity is considered to represent a higher risk factor than total body fat (%BF) scores (Heyward & Wagner, 2004:185). A study by Bailey et al. (2013:749) established that adolescents in their research sample who had hypertriglycemic waists were more likely to suffer from or develop metabolic syndrome than those who did not.

Many studies of children have used BMI to determine levels of overweight and obesity around the world. One such study found 45.4% overweight Caribbean adolescents by using both BMI and skinfolds, while a study of the Andibila of Nigeria revealed that the children had relatively low body mass indices, which served to confirm the usefulness of BMI as an accurate means of assessing adiposity (Nichols & Cadogan, 2009:255; Goon et al., 2013:826). Katzmarzyk and colleagues used the Bogalusa Heart Study to derive thresholds for BMI scores and waist circumference measurements, which could predict cardiovascular risk factors for children and adolescents between the ages of 5 and 18 years (Katzmarzyk et al., 2004:e201). The Ellisras longitudinal study of children between the ages of 7 and 13 years yielded a positive significant relationship among BMI, WC and systolic blood pressure. The study also found low to moderate relationship among WC, BMI and diastolic blood pressure (Monyeki et al., 2008:314).

Other studies which have used BMI and WHR to observe risk factors include Ng et al. (2006:327) among a Canadian Cree population, Juonala et al. (2011:1878) to link high childhood BMI with adult cardiovascular risk factors, Eisenmann, (2005:49), to determine risk factors due to truncal adiposity in Australian children and Hatipoglu et al. (2008:385) to determine thresholds for BMI scores and waist circumferences to predict risk factors for cardiovascular disease. Fernandez and colleagues conducted a comparative study to establish differences in levels of risks of disease among children of various ethnicities in a nationally representative sample of children in the United States (Fernandez et al., 2004:443). In addition, Eisenmann et al. (2005:49) demonstrated, through a 10-year longitudinal study, that adolescent BMI, WC, and %BF scores and measurements were inversely related to the performance of adults in treadmill tests. The researchers found that adults who performed well had lower BMI,
WC, and %BF scores and measurements than those who did not. A study of 3038 adolescents found that 412 adolescents who were obese had 3 times more cardiovascular risk clustering factors than the other participants in the study (Schwandt et al., 2010:668). Another study of 13 557 adolescents found a high BMI to be independently associated with a high risk of hypertension among both fit and unfit participants (Nielsen & Andersen, 2003:233).

2.6.2 Skinfolds, body fat, waist-to-height ratio (WHtR) and disease

Skinfolds and WHtR are useful surrogates for abdominal or trunk adiposity (Ashwell & Gibson, 2016:1). They can be used to evaluate levels of risk for cardiovascular and metabolic diseases (Heyward & Wagner, 2004:185). A study of adolescents in Cracow concluded that the waist-to-height ratio combined with the sum of 4 skinfolds for girls was best for assessing adiposity while the waist-to-hip ratio was best of assessing adiposity in adolescent boys (Klimek-Piotrowska et al., 2015:8, 10). In the Thusa Bana study, central adiposity was estimated using waist circumference, skinfolds as well as the WHtR. The study was able to establish that the growth of 29% of the children was stunted and that 3.7% of the children were overweight. This indicated high levels of retarded growth in the study population (Motswagole et al., 2012:65).

A study by Goon and colleagues found differences between the genders for WHtR and suggested that the differences could be accounted for by the differences in shape between boys and girls (Goon et al., 2009:674), alluding to the sexual dimorphism that is apparent in the human race as maturity occurs. Low central fat patterning was also found among the Andibila 7-14 year olds as indicated by the low subscapular-to-tricep ratios (Goon et al., 2013:827). Another study that used WHtR found statistically significant different ratios between Brazilian boys and girls (De Padua et al., 2014:415). Ramoshaba and associates used the neck circumference and upper arm circumference in determining the association between blood pressure and anthropometric measurements in the Ellisras study. They concluded that there was a significant relationship between the two circumferences and the blood pressure readings of the participants (Ramoshaba et al., 2015:6).

Some scholars strongly argue that WHtR is more useful than other measurements as a central adiposity screening tool as well as a strong predictor of cardiometabolic disease (Li et al., 2013:60). Two studies (Bailey et al., 2013:749) and (Li et al., 2013:60) showed that the WHtR
was highly significantly correlated with the levels of blood pressure, triglycerides, and fasting blood glucose.

2.7 Physical activity

Physical activity (PA) is defined as bodily movement which is produced by the skeletal muscles, resulting in energy expenditure (Caspersen et al., 1985:126; Bouchard & Shephard 1994:77). The above definition although appearing simple also points to the complexity of physical activity (Hills et al., 2014:11) as physical activity is influenced by a number of factors such as body mass, frequency, intensity of the bodily movement (Vanhees et al., 2005:104), motor skill, ground reaction forces and even the cultural context in which the activity takes place (Malina, 2001:162). Westerterp further argues that habitual PA is a function of genetic makeup accounting for much of the individual variability that is observed (Westerterp, 2009:825). The sum total of daily physical activity is accumulated through engaging in a variety of activities such as play, household chores, exercise and sport (Caspersen et al., 1985:127-128), all of which are important in determining the impact of such activity upon the health of the people concerned. In children PA is not accumulated as a single bout since childhood activities tend to be sporadic (Janssen & LeBlanc, 2010:12). Research has shown that the level of physical activity (low, moderate or high intensity) will have a different impact on the health or life of an individual (Caspersen et al., 1985:127; WHO, 2003:4).

Internationally it is recommended that children aged between 5-17 years engage in MVPA for 60 minutes daily (WHO, 2010:20; Janssen & LeBlanc, 2010:12) and that the bulk of this activity should be aerobic (Janssen & LeBlanc, 2010:13). A study of 1808 adolescents confirmed that the current recommendation for MVPA was associated with healthy CRF but that 15 minutes spent in vigorous PA daily resulted in even better CRF (Martinez-Gomez et al., 2010:753-754). Public health research is also concerned with the prevention of weight gain. To this end Saris and colleagues argued that much higher levels of moderate physical activity were necessary for both children and adults to prevent weight gain which is the focus of the fight against obesity (Saris et al., 2003:101, 103, 111). A study of 280 children aged 8-10 years established that the time spent in MVPA was associated with less adiposity than total PA, in a 12 month longitudinal study using objectively measured PA (Fisher et al., 2011:4). The researchers also recommend that children in this age group should engage in activities to strengthen their bones and muscles three times a week (WHO Europe, 2015:4). Alberga and colleagues were able to clearly demonstrate the different benefits of cardiorespiratory training.
resistance training, combined cardiorespiratory and resistance training against a non-exercising
group. The Alberga study was of 304 adolescents (Alberga et al., 2016:259, 261).

2.7.1 Benefits of physical activity for children

Participating in regular physical activity (PA) of various types and intensities brings with it a
myriad of health benefits for children and adolescents through its protective effect (President’s
Council on Fitness and Sport, 2000:2, 8). A study examining 86 research studies concluded
that vigorous PA provides additional health benefits (Janssen & LeBlanc, 2010:12). The World
Health Organisation points out that PA improves the health of children by reducing body fat,
improving the regulation of glucose metabolism, increasing cardiovascular health, reducing the
risk of various cancers, lowering blood pressure, improving musculoskeletal and mental health,
and also by significantly reducing the risk of premature death (WHO, 2003:6). All the above
benefits have been examined and described in many empirical studies, some of which are
discussed in the sections which follow.

Lätt and colleagues established that in normal weight adolescent boys both quantity and level
of physical activity were strongly negatively associated with both body composition and
cardiovascular fitness. The researchers did not however find an association between PA and
measures of body fatness as well as fitness in the overweight boys. The study was particularly
significant in that the researchers used objectively measured PA, as well as skinfold
measurements combined with DEXA scans to measure BC and a bicycle ergometer to measure
VO₂ peak (Lätt et al., 2013:4,7). A study by Chaput and associates concluded that PA was very
important for weight control (Chaput et al., 2011:7). Other studies also found significant
associations between levels of physical activity and cardiovascular fitness (Huang & Malina,
2002:15; Hsieh et al., 2014:7). In addition, a study by Ortega and associates further showed
that children who were more physically active exhibited higher levels of cardiovascular fitness
than those who were less active, irrespective of their individual levels of adiposity (Ortega et
al., 2008c:126-127).

Telama and associates demonstrated the long-term benefits of high levels of physical activity
in a 21-year longitudinal study. They found that consistent PA between the ages of 9 and 18
years significantly increased the likelihood of remaining active during adulthood (Telama et
al., 2005:270, 272). By contrast, from a 20-year longitudinal study which tracked both PA and
physical fitness (PF), it was concluded that PA had low stability coefficients largely since it is
behavioural, but that there was a relatively strong relationship between PA during childhood and PF during adulthood. This was in terms of the influence which levels of PA during childhood exerted upon the maximal aerobic power of adults, which was measured by means of a maximal treadmill test (Kemper et al., 2001:188-189). Based on the knowledge which has been accumulated concerning the benefits of PA during adulthood, it cannot be refuted that continuing PA during adulthood would theoretically result in a better quality of life. A comprehensive systematic review by Biddle and Asare concluded that physical activity during childhood and youth had numerous psychosocial and cognitive benefits (Biddle & Asare, 2011:9) enabling young people to be more productive and cope better with daily life.

The following studies noted a positive relationship between physical activity and an increase in bone mineral density among prepubertal girls (Courteix et al., 1998:156; Heinonen et al., 2000:1015), adolescent males and females (McKay et al., 2011:99), and from adolescence through to adulthood (Kemper et al., 2000:851). High-impact physical activity has been observed to be particularly beneficial for children (Janssen & LeBlanc, 2010:10) and was observed to generate the greatest benefit by increasing bone area in adolescent males and increasing bone density in adolescent females (McKay et al., 2011:100). Kruger and colleagues from a two-year longitudinal study demonstrated that physical activity positively impacted linear growth of children (Kruger et al., 2012:259) although as the researchers point out, the snack provided during the conducting of the study could also have contributed to the catch up-growth which was observed in the research sample (Kruger et al., 2012:259-260).

2.7.2 Measurement of children’s physical activity

Accurate measurement of physical activity is crucial to obtaining a proper understanding of the relationship between PA and health (Westerterp, 2009:823; Hills et al., 2014:1). Ainsworth and colleagues further argue that assessments of PA help to identify optimal doses for the reduction of risk of disease (Ainsworth et al., 2015:388) which has significant implications for public health. Physical activity is a complex construct which encompasses a number of dimensions (Plasqui & Westerterp, 2007:2372), involving energy expenditure, duration, intensity, type of activity and context (Rachele et al., 2012:208). The measurement of PA is based on the quantification of physical activity related energy expenditure (Vanhees et al., 2005:103), over a specific period of time, in order to establish PA habits (Plasqui & Westerterp, 2007:2372; Westerterp, 2009:823).
It can be argued that no single instrument is available to measure all of the dimensions which are of interest to researchers who conduct studies of physical activity (Laporte et al., 1985:143; Kohl et al., 2000:S74; Hills et al., 2014:1). Loprinzi and Cardinal maintain that there is a need to design PA measurements specifically for children especially in view of the sporadic nature of their PA (Loprinzi & Cardinal, 2011:15). In PA research the instrument of choice would depend upon the specific parameters which are of interest for a specific study since each method comes with both strengths and weaknesses (Vanhees et al., 2005:104; Biddle et al., 2011:2; Loprinzi & Cardinal, 2011:15; Hills et al., 2014:1).

2.7.2.1 Objective methods

Doubly labelled water (The gold standard)

Although direct calorimetry, through the measurement of heat production, provides the gold standard for measuring PA, it is often not practical to use it (Vanhees et al., 2005:104). Indirect calorimetry, such as through the use of doubly labelled water (DLW), is the gold standard in the assessment of total energy expenditure in physical activity research (Vanhees et al., 2005:104; Armstrong & Welsman, 2006:1073; Plasqui & Westerterp, 2007:2372; Corder et al., 2009:862; Rachele et al., 2012:208; Hills et al., 2014:1, 3). The use of DLW entails the ingestion of the stable isotopes of hydrogen and oxygen (\(^{2}\text{H}^{18}\text{O}\)). After they have been ingested, the isotopes distribute themselves throughout the body and are eliminated over time. The difference in the rates of elimination of the two isotopes indicates the quantity of carbon dioxide which has been produced, which, in turn, is used to measure the amount of energy which has been expended (Vanhees et al., 2005:104; Hills et al., 2014:2-3). Samples of body fluids such as saliva, blood, or urine are analysed through mass spectrometry for this purpose (Westerterp, 2009:824).

The DLW method is also used as the method of choice for the validation of field methods (Armstrong & Welsman, 2006:1073; Westerterp, 2009:823). The DLW method does not interfere with an individual’s daily activity so that is good for use in the assessment of everyday total energy expenditure (Vanhees et al., 2005:105). Baseline energy expenditure can be calculated by use of a ventilated hood enabling the calculation of activity energy expenditure (AEE) using the formula AEE=0.9×TEE.BEE, in which TEE = total energy expenditure and BEE = baseline energy expenditure (Westerterp, 2009:824). The use of DLW works best when combined with other methods such as accelerometers since it does not provide information.
concerning the type, intensity, frequency or duration of PA (Kohl et al., 2000:S73; Plasqui & Westerterp, 2007:2372). The production of the isotopes is very expensive and the analysis of the results quite technical so that the use of the doubly labelled water is not usually used for large-scale studies (Sylvia et al., 2014:199). In addition, some children appear to be averse to ingesting the isotopes.

**Motion sensors**

Motion sensors are an objective method that can be used to measure PA in children. It is important to note that in spite of their benefits there is an absence of standardised procedures for calibrating objective measuring instruments (Freedson et al., 2012:S1). Pedometers were among the earliest inventions to objectively measure PA. The devices are relatively simple and use a spring mechanism to register human movement (Vanhees et al., 2005:105). Pedometers are very accurate in counting steps in running and walking but they are not able to register other non-ambulatory forms of PA, such as that involving the use of the upper body (Plasqui & Westerterp, 2007:2372; Corder et al., 2008:978; McClain & Tudor-Locke, 2009:528). Consequently, their range of applications and the research questions which they can be used to answer are limited. Sylvia and colleagues argue that these small devices are good for measuring moderate physical activity. Their other limitation concerns their inability to register the intensity, duration or even the frequency of activities (Sylvia et al., 2014:201). Corder and associates further argue that outputs from different brands of pedometers are generally not comparable (Corder et al., 2008:978) making comparisons between the findings of individual studies difficult.

A study of 77 boys and girls aged 10 to 12 years demonstrated that the intensity of the PA could be established in studies using pedometers by calculating the steps per minute (Graser et al., 2009:24). However it should be noted that the different stride lengths of the steps of children of different ages make it difficult to make comparisons across age groups (Corder et al., 2008:978). Butte and colleagues state that pedometers may overestimate steps at slow speed and underestimate steps at faster speeds (Butte et al., 2012:S8). The quality of pedometers has improved and they remain popular among researchers (McCain & Tudor-Locke, 2009:528-529), as they are convenient to use in large studies to promote PA. Studies of a large-scale that have used pedometers include, but are not limited to (Vincent & Pangrazi, 2002:432; Duncan et al., 2006:1406; Duncan et al., 2008:3; Laurson et al., 2008:210; Horne et al., 2009:194).
Accelerometers are small devices which are able to monitor motion in various planes such that they are able to record PA more accurately and comprehensively than pedometers. They are available as uniaxial, biaxial and triaxial units. A study which was conducted by Plasqui and colleagues demonstrated that the triaxial accelerometers were more efficient for assessing PA in free living conditions (Plasqui et al., 2005:1368) while another study concluded that most uniaxial accelerometers show little correlation with results which had been obtained from the use of DLW (Plasqui & Westerterp, 2007:2376). As they display no visual data, they do not encourage fiddling or tampering and are, consequently, convenient for using with children (McClain & Tudor-Locke, 2009:529; Hills et al., 2014:7). Accelerometers can provide information pertaining to the frequency, intensity as well as total amount of PA in daily life in a non-invasive manner and on a minute-by-minute basis (Westerterp, 2009:825; Hills et al., 2014:6). They can also be used to collect data concerning PA in free living conditions over an extended period of time. After performing a detailed analysis of 41 studies, Plasqui & Westerterp concluded that not all of the data which accelerometers had provided correlated strongly with those which had been obtained from the use of doubly labelled water (Plasqui & Westerterp, 2007:2373, 2376).

Hills and colleagues also noted that some brands may underestimate PA especially that generated by the upper body (Hills et al., 2014:7) since they are not able to detect such motion. Some models of accelerometers are able to detect gait abnormalities (Butte et al., 2012:S8). Data generated by accelerometers is however difficult and time consuming to interpret (Colley & Tremblay, 2011:783-784; Butte et al., 2012:S8; Freedson et al., 2012:S2). In addition, results are affected by the use of different epochs and cut-points (Ekelund et al., 2011:862) and different methods of determining the cut-points (Colley & Tremblay, 2011:783). The lack of standardisation in the manufacture of accelerometers, at present, makes it very difficult to make meaningful comparisons between the findings of different studies (Butte et al, 2012:S8, S10).

There has since been advancement in the accelerometers which are now available (Plasqui & Westerterp, 2007:2377) but still care needs to be taken in choosing accelerometers for empirical studies, to avoid over estimating or underestimating levels of physical activity. In their study Ekelund and colleagues reiterated the urgent need for consensus concerning the standardisation of accelerometer intensity cut-points in research pertaining to PA. Progress in this area was seen in the study by Colley and Tremblay which showed that 3 levels of activity intensity could be clearly determined in research through the use of an improved mathematical
equation, rather than the arithmetic mean (Colley & Tremblay, 2011:787). Further progress was also seen in a recent study which presented a paradata model for assessing and presenting accelerometer data. This was based on the ISCOLE study (Tudor-Locke, et al., 2015:4, 6). It is to be hoped that similar studies will help to achieve an adequate degree of standardisation of data in accelerometer-based objective studies of PA.

**Heart rate monitors**

Heart rate monitors provide another valid and reliable objective means of measuring PA (Armstrong & Welsman, 2006:1075; Corder et al., 2008:982; Hills et al., 2014:5). Their validity is based on the assumed linear relationship between heart rate and oxygen consumption (Corder et al., 2008:981; Hills et al., 2014:5). Researchers have suggested that data which is obtained from children through monitoring their heart rates is difficult to interpret, as their physical activity tends to be sporadic and to consist of short bursts of activity. (Corder et al., 2008:981). The problem with using heart rate monitors to assess PA is that heart rate can be affected by other factors such as stress, fatigue, training status and food intake among others (Armstrong & Welsman, 2006:1075). Their use is also affected by interference from other monitors or equipment (Corder et al., 2008:981). A significant advantage of heart rate monitors stems from their ability to be individually calibrated, thereby enabling both individual and group evaluations to be carried out (Hills et al., 2014:5). Despite inherent limitations, Armstrong and Welsman (2006:1076) demonstrated over a period of 10 years, using specific thresholds, that heart rate monitors could be used effectively for studying PA in young people especially time which was spent in moderate to vigorous physical activity (Corder et al., 2008:982; Hills et al., 2014:5). Heart rate monitors can also be used in conjunction with accelerometers to enhance the findings of research studies (Butte et al., 2012:S9; Hills et al., 2014:8).

**Armbands**

Armbands have recently been added to the range of instruments and devices which can be used for making objective assessments of PA. A study of a small sample of 22 adolescents showed validity of the armbands in the measurement of PA but also concluded that the accelerometer appeared more accurate (Van Hoye et al., 2014:86). In an earlier study of 40 subjects it was found that the SenseWear Pro2 armband over estimated resting energy expenditure (REE) by 12.7% and the researchers concluded that more work was required to improve the instrument
(Predieri et al., 2013:2467, 2470). Studies which have been conducted using armbands to measure the PA of participants in a number of different age groups include, but are not limited to those of (Andreacci et al., 2006:S255; Andreacci et al., 2007:36; Calabro et al., 2009:1715; Soric et al., 2012:1184; Benito et al., 2012:3156 and Lee et al., 2016:42).

**Direct observation**

Direct observation is the oldest objective method for measuring PA. It entails making direct observations of PA and recording data according to predetermined coded categories (Kohl et al., 2000:S56; Vanhees et al., 2005:104). Direct observation has very high validity (Kohl et al., 2000:S61) and is particularly useful for making evaluations of the PA of young children who are not able to complete questionnaires and are likely to tamper with pedometers (Sylvia et al., 2014:199). The principal disadvantage of direct observation is that it is very time-consuming and therefore not suitable for large-scale studies (Vanhees et al., 2005:104; Armstrong & Welsman, 2006:1074), despite its potential for providing additional information, such as the contexts in which PA occurs.

**2.7.2.2 Subjective methods**

**Questionnaires**

Questionnaires represent by far the most frequently used method of assessing physical activity (Kohl et al., 2000:S59, S63). They can either be administered on their own or used in conjunction with other methods (Corder et al., 2008:978). Questionnaires are designed to measure PA by capturing information concerning types, frequencies, and intensities of PA (Ainsworth et al., 2015:388) and are useful for determining compliance with recommended levels of PA. They require validation against DLW (Vanhees et al., 2005:106) or other criterion-based methods, such as accelerometers (Ekelund et al., 2011:859). Questionnaires to determine levels of PA require respondents to recall their levels of PA over periods of time, which can range from a single day, to a week, a month, a year, or even a lifetime (Rachele et al., 2012:208; Sylvia et al., 2014:200). The seven-day period is the most frequently used time frame. Physical activity questionnaires can be completed by individual participants in a study or by a proxy, as they generally are in the cases of young children or elderly people (Biddle et al., 2011:2). Proxy reporting can be affected by the inability of adults to remember and report the PA of children accurately (Kohl et al., 2000:S59; Corder et al., 2009:867). Interviewer-assisted questionnaires can also be used (Vanhees et al., 2005:106), particularly in quantitative
historically-based studies (Ainsworth et al., 2015:388). Baard and Mckersie used interviewer-administered questionnaires to establish the levels of PA of 713 children between the ages of 7 and 10 years (Baard & Mckersie, 2014:116). Biddle and colleagues rightly point out that a number of important considerations should be made when selecting a self-report PA instrument (Biddle et al., 2011:2) in order for the research to effectively collect adequate and meaningful data.

The advantage of questionnaires is that they are easy to administer and cheap for use in large scale surveys. Questionnaires are useful for categorising physical activity levels and estimating energy expenditure of groups of people by applying metabolic equivalents (MET), as provided by Ainsworth et al., 2000:S500-S501; Westerterp, 2009:827), but are not able to accurately measure PA at a personal level (Armstrong & Welsman, 2006:1071). Questionnaires are also very useful in physical activity research as they are able to capture additional relevant information, such as the time, type and the context of the PA (Booth et al., 2002:1986), which objective methods are not able to capture. It should always be remembered though that the accuracy of questionnaires hinges on the memories of the participants (Ekelund et al., 2011:859).

Biddle and associates identified the Physical Activity Questionnaire, Youth Risk Behaviour Surveillance Survey and The Teen Health Survey as best self-report PA instruments for use in the European Project ALPHA (Biddle et al., 2011:3, 6). Validation studies of the most frequently used questionnaires have included the following studies and reviews Kohl et al., (2000:S60), Booth et al. (2002:1993), Corder et al. (2009:266, 269), and Biddle et al. (2011:6). In view of the low to moderate validity of questionnaires, Kohl and associates highly recommended that questionnaires be used in conjunction with other PA measuring instruments (Kohl et al., 2000:S72). Kahn and colleagues used questionnaires to determine a decline in physical activity among 12 812 male and female adolescents, over a three year period (Kahn et al., 2008:373).

Other studies that successfully used questionnaires are; Kimm and associates to establish that there was a decline in PA levels among both black and white girls (Kimm et al., 2002:712), Burke and colleagues to determine levels of PA in response to a lifestyle intervention among children (Burke et al., 2015:216), Armstrong and colleagues to report that a third of the youth in the 2001/2002 study of 22 European countries were meeting the recommended levels of PA (Armstrong & Welsman, 2006:1072) as well as, Jalali-Farahani and colleagues to establish that
boys spent 5.86 hours and girls spent 3.74 hours on sporting activities per week (Jalali-Farahani et al., 2016:4). Armstrong and Welsman further argue that questionnaires are useful for assessing trends in PA, including age and gender-related differences (Armstrong & Welsman, 2006:1071).

**Diaries and physical activity logs**

Van Hoye et al. (2014:83, 86) found a reasonable correlation in their study between the data pertaining to PA which was provided by diaries and that which was captured by accelerometers. From this finding they concluded that diaries represented a valid means of gathering data in large studies (Van Hoye et al., 2014:83, 86). Rachele and colleagues examined a number of studies and also concluded that diaries were reasonably valid instruments for assessing PA in children (Rachele et al., 2012:210). By contrast, Kohl and colleagues argued that diaries are not easy to use in studies of children, as they require scrupulous attention to detail in order to capture all relevant information (Kohl et al., 2000:S59) there by creating a high participant burden (Hills et al., 2014:10). PA logs provide checklists of physical activities for which participants indicate participation and the intensity of the PA by choosing from provided levels (Rachele et al., 2012:209). PA logs, like diaries have a high participant burden (Ainsworth et al., 2015:389). Diaries and PA logs are however useful instruments to provide additional information such as the setting for the physical activity and energy expenditure (EE) can be estimated by using the relevant metabolic equivalents (Hills et al., 2014:10; Ainsworth et al., 2015:389).

**2.7.3 Global trends in children’s physical activity**

Sisson and Katzmarzyk have rightly pointed out that an understanding of the prevalence of physical activity around the world is of utmost importance. The information gathered can be used to compare different communities, countries and regions and to monitor changes over time (Sisson & Katzmarzyk, 2008:607), as well as to inform public policy (Pate et al., 2002:306). International trends in the levels of PA like many other trends cannot be accurately determined due to inequity in monitoring as well as the differences in the methods used in assessment of PA (Sisson & Katzmarzyk, 2008:612; Sallis et al., 2016:1328). In addition, most of the trends which have been identified pertaining to the PA of children and adolescents have been informed by studies which have been conducted in the developed world (Muthuri et al., 2014c:767). Differences in thresholds applied when objective methods are used also makes
determination of PA levels in children and adolescents difficult (Ekelund et al., 2011:862). The developing countries are lagging behind in data collection in this area and when data is collected, subjective methods are mainly used to do so (Sallis et al., 2016:1327). It has been suggested that even in the developed world, cross-country accelerometry studies are still rare (Verloigne et al., 2012:2; Guinhouya et al., 2013:305). Some countries and regions have however been conducting PA surveillance studies for several decades (Tremblay et al., 2016:S344, S354).

Surveys and studies suggest secular trends in levels of physical activity for children throughout the world, with many children not meeting the recommended 60 minutes of daily PA (Malina & Little, 2008:380, 382; Sallis et al., 2016:1327). Studies have demonstrated that there tends to be a decline in PA levels among both genders during adolescence (Armstrong & Welsman, 2006:1082). Kimm and associates found a decline in PA levels among both black and white adolescents in their study sample (Kimm et al., 2002:712). From an evaluation of the findings of 28 studies it was concluded that a great many children and youth do not engage in the recommended levels of physical activity. The study found that Australia, China and Ireland had the most active young people, while Tonga, Belgium and France had the least active (Sisson & Katzmarzyk, 2008:611).

Ekelund and associates argue that in view of the absence of standardisation in the assessment of PA levels in youth as well as the limited longitudinal and repeated cross-sectional studies evidence for a secular trend in children’s PA is not conclusive (Ekelund et al., 2011:863). However a more recent study by Tremblay and colleagues while acknowledging the weaknesses in the assessment methods pointed to the fact that many children around the world did not meet the recommended quantities of PA (Tremblay et al., 2016:S358-S359). The study by Tremblay and colleagues was of particular significance because it included PA data for children from 38 different countries and from different continents (Tremblay et al., 2016:S353).

Objectively measured PA appears to provide a wide variety of PA trends. A synthesis of physical activity data for 20 000 European children generated using objective methods concluded that 71-87% of the children were meeting recommended levels of physical activity when using a cut-off of >2000cpm. The figure however drastically dropped to 3-5% when the higher cut-off of >3000cpm was used (Guinhouya et al., 2013:309). However an earlier study showed low levels of physical activity of 4.6% for girls and 16.8% for boys among 10-12 year
old children from five European countries (Verloigne et al., 2012:5). One of the earliest studies using objective methods in a large population of 5595 children aged 11 years, found that although many children were physically active smaller percentages were actually engaging in the required levels of moderate to vigorous physical activity (Riddoch et al., 2007:964, 967). A study by Fisher and associates established that only 1% of the children in the research sample of 8-10 year olds, were engaging in the recommended levels of PA (Fisher et al., 2011:5). The above studies however showed variability in PA levels in the countries of the European region and this was influenced by the various local socioeconomic and cultural differences (Verloigne et al., 2012:6; Guinhouya et al., 2013:305).

Studies from other parts of the developed world have also shown that many children do not meet the recommended levels of PA (Craig et al., 2010:1641; Sallis et al., 2016:1327).

### 2.7.4 Children’s physical activity on the African continent

The accurate assessment of trends in the physical activity levels of African children and adolescents is hampered by the lack of time-trend studies (Muthuri et al., 2014b:3342). Nationally representative surveys in Africa are particularly scarce, even in South Africa, where considerable headway has been made in PA research (Draper et al., 2014:S99; Uys et al., 2016:S266). A detailed synthesis of the findings of 71 peer-reviewed research papers concerning children and adolescents in sub-Saharan Africa revealed that PA levels varied significantly among different groups (Muthuri et al., 2014b:3338-3341). The numbers of children who were physically active for longer than 60 minutes per day ranged from below 10% to over 88% (Muthuri et al., 2014b:3338-3341). The majority, 72.2% of the studies used subjective measurements, while only 27.8% used objective methods of PA assessment. The researchers concluded that there were too many differences among the studies such that specific trends in the levels of PA could not be determined (Muthuri et al., 2014b:3352).

The participation of increasing numbers of African countries in the Healthy Active Kids Report Card programme as well as other international research initiatives could help to generate more accurate information concerning levels of PA among African children. A study emanating from such collaborative efforts demonstrated that there were similarities in the PA profiles of Canadian and Kenyan children (Muthuri et al., 2014c:774). It has been suggested that since the developing African countries are going through a socioeconomic transition which includes urbanisation, a study of the physical activity of children in both rural and urban areas using
accelerometry could greatly enhance the understanding of the transition (Prista et al., 2009: 385).

2.7.5 Children’s physical activity in Zimbabwe

Accurate levels of physical activity among Zimbabwean children are difficult to determine at present, owing to a lack of nationally representative data and published studies (Manyanga et al., 2016:S337). The severe paucity of published studies makes it difficult to obtain data to establish time trends, inform public health policy, or to compare Zimbabwean children with others in the region or elsewhere.

A comparative study of children and adolescents aged 6-14 years showed that the children in the healthy group spent 2.5 hrs playing sport daily, while the children who were suffering from diabetes mellitus spent only 0.8 hours playing organised sport each day (Djarova et al., 2006). However, a larger study using data from the Global School-based student Health Survey, of children aged 13-15 years (n=3853) reported that only 30.2% of the children were physically active (Peltzer, 2009:175). In a subsequent study, Peltzer found that only 13.7% of the adolescents were physically active (Peltzer, 2010:275). The sample for the two studies was however not nationally representative, as the subjects were drawn only from Harare, Bulawayo and parts of Manicaland province (Peltzer, 2009:175).

Mushonga and colleagues found that 67% of preschool children (n=320) in their study sample of 3-5 year olds engaged in average to high physical activity (Mushonga et al., 2014b:9). The inaugural 2016 Zimbabwe Report Card on the Physical Activity for Children and Youth in Zimbabwe in 2016 revealed that more than 63% of children in the rural areas were engaging in the recommended 60 minutes of physical activity a day, while among urban children the figure was only 55% (Manyanga et al., 2016:S338). It is important to note that although these data were of children from the ten provinces of Zimbabwe, studies with much larger samples are required in order to have true national representation. It is therefore not surprising that Manyanga and associates concluded that there was a lack of sufficient data to inform the inaugural Zimbabwe report Card on Physical Activity for Children and Youth (Manyanga et al., 2016:S340).
2.8 Physical activity at school

Children spend most of their days at school (Pate et al., 2006:1220; Izaki, 2015:10), making schools the ideal places to influence and positively impact the lives of children and adolescents (Izaki, 2015:10), including the prevention of obesity (Gonzalez-Suarez et al., 2009:424). Various scholars have reported that schools can provide an ideal place and can take a leadership role in the provision of physical activity opportunities for children (Chappelle, 2001:91; Pate et al., 2006:1214; Active Living Research, 2007:1; Buscemi et al., 2014:1). Opportunities for physical activity during the course of school day can be provided for through a number of ways, including school sports, recreational intramural games or physical activity clubs, recess, physical education and physical activity breaks during non PE classes (WHO, 2000:11; Pate et al., 2006:1216). Figure 2-1 provides a graphic representation of the forms which encouraging physical activity could take. In this study, focus will be on the role that physical education can play in increasing the physical activity levels of children and adolescents.

![Figure 2-1: The role schools can play in increasing physical activity among children (Sources: Pate et al., 2006:1215-1220; Izaki, 2015:12-17)](image-url)
2.8.1 Physical activity through physical education

Physical education, a pedagogical discovery of the 17th and 18th centuries (Guedes, 2007:31), holds a unique position in the school curriculum (Hardman, 2008:3). Physical education is, arguably, the only subject which has the potential and responsibility to educate the body in the knowledge and development of movement skills which enable people to engage with enjoyment in numerous physical activities (Guedes, 2007:48). Additionally, physical education goes beyond the teaching purely physical skills, as it contributes to the development of the whole person through imparting knowledge concerning the rules of games, fair play, bodily awareness, personal development, social inclusion (European Commission, 2013:7, 17; Van Deventer, 2014:193) as well as emotional, cognitive, motivational and moral concepts (Bailey, 2006:398; Mihaela & Iulian, 2015:42). It can also be argued that for many children, PE provides one of few opportunities to be physically active. In fact, the role of physical education in maintaining the health of children is so crucial that access to physical education is a fundamental human right (WHO, 2000:10; UNESCO, 2015:10). It should be noted however, that for PE to be truly beneficial, at least 50% of the lessons should be devoted to physical activities (ACS CAN, 2012:4). Sutherland and colleagues showed that there is a need to reduce time spent on administrative issues during PE lessons (Sutherland et al., 2016:140).

2.8.2 Global trends in physical education

Physical education (PE) or physical education and sport (PES) has evolved over the centuries, facing various crises over the period (Guedes, 2007:47). Research has revealed that there is a considerable diversity throughout the world in relation to the status which is accorded to PE and how the subject is taught (WHO, 2000:10-11; Guedes, 2007:47; Hardman, 2008:6; Mihaela & Iulian, 2015:43). Hardman however argues that in spite of the differences there is congruence that converges in the emphasis upon the physically educated person (Hardman, 2008:3). All over the world PE has faced some relegation at some point (Guedes, 2007:32), in one form or the other limiting the influence of the subject upon the health of children (WHO, 2000:10; Du Toit et al., 2007:244; International Platform on Sport and Development, 2009:6). The relegation has included the reduction of the time which is allocated to PE, the poor training which is received by PE teachers, reduced allocations of financial resources to PE and, in some cases, the complete removal of the subject from the curricula of schools (Le Masurier & Corbin, 2006:44; Graber et al., 2014, in Chin & Edginton 2014:532), usually in favour of subjects which are deemed to have greater academic significance (Nziramasanga, 1999:363). Physical
education is threatened by the emphasis which schools tend to place upon literacy and numeracy, even in countries where PE enjoys a high status, such as Australia (Georgakis & Wilson, 2014, in Chin & Edginton, 2014:19).

A study by Hardman showed that 89% of the primary schools and 87% of the secondary schools worldwide had legal requirements for the teaching of PE and that the subject was taught for a length of between 8-14 years of the child’s school lives of children, with the average being 12 years (Hardman, 2008:4). The content of PE lessons varies over the period to cater for the developmental needs of the learners at the various stages. Onofre and colleagues (2012:17) also showed that PE at the nursery school level was compulsory in 63.6% of European countries in their study. Early involvement in PE is deemed very important in the development of motor skills essential for life as well as successful sport participation. Kirk argued that inequalities in this early access to PE and PA may impact levels of PA in adult lives (Kirk, 2005:240).

Hardman argued that the value which is accorded to PE varies across the globe. He found that PE was afforded low status in 30% of the countries which he surveyed for his study, while the percentages were higher elsewhere, with PE enjoying high status in 80%, 75%, and 67% of African, Asian, and Latin American countries, respectively (Hardman, 2008:6). Pate and colleagues on their part showed that PE is mandatory in schools in many states of the United States, a decline in the numbers of children who participate in it have been observed in recent decades (Pate et al., 2006:1215). The obesity epidemic has ignited renewed interest in improving PE for all children in Europe, America, and other countries, such as Australia. This trend is ably demonstrated by the monitoring systems which have been introduced and the budgetary provisions which have been made by national governments and non-governmental organisations.

In all European countries the progress of children in PE is monitored and assessed several times per year, using formative and summative methods (European Commission, 2013:15; Mihaela & Iulian 2015:45). In the United States most states have regular monitoring systems for PE in place (Pate et al., 2006:1215). The United States Congress also monitors PE through the requirements of statutes, such as the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), which emphasise PE as a vital component of a well-rounded education (Cooper et al., 2016:134). National bodies, such as the Institute of Medicine, Centres for Disease Control and Prevention, and the
National Physical Activity Alliance all play active roles to promote PE (Cooper et al., 2016:135).

Many countries in Africa like in other developing countries face challenges in the teaching of PE. In many of the countries PE has a comparatively low status (Chappell, 2001:92; Wanyama & Quay, 2014:749). The low priority which is accorded to the subject is apparent from the lack of funding which is allocated to the teaching of the subject which, in turn, is evident from a dearth of facilities. In addition, teachers who are qualified to teach the subject are either non-existent or are poorly trained. Ojo reported in his study of PE in Nigeria that, not only was the teaching of the subject hampered by a lack of facilities, but also by an overloaded school curriculum (Ojo, 2015:40, 46). Middle-income countries such as India and South Africa tend to fare better, in some respects, than the low-income countries (Chappell, 2001:89). In South Africa, although the history of PE dates back to the 1800s, it faced relegation that saw it removed from the school curriculum for a time, before being subsequently reintroduced into the curriculum in recent years (De Ridder & Coetzee, 2013:240-241). The subject does not however stand alone in the South African curriculum as it is taught only as part of the subject which is known as Life Orientation. South Africa therefore needs to reintroduce PE as a subject in its own right to more effectively impact the lives of youth in the country (Shaw et al., 2014 in Chin & Edginton, 2014: 441, 444, 447). Chappell argued that many governments of many developing countries wish to improve the teaching of PE but, in many cases they are overwhelmed by prevailing circumstances in their countries (Chappelle, 2001:94).

A recent emphasis in the debate pertaining to the value of PE has centred on the need for not just its inclusion in the school curriculum, but also on the quality of the PE classes (Le Masurier & Corbin, 2006:45; UNESCO, 2011:2; UNESCO, 2014:10; Van Deventer, 2014:196; Cooper et al., 2016:137). Quality PE is characterised by meaningful content and appropriate instruction while providing sufficient time for learning. In their study, Le Masurier and Corbin identified 10 principal reasons to encourage and fine tune the provision of quality PE (Le Masurier & Corbin, 2006:49). Van Deventer’s study concludes that a holistic approach to the teaching of PE, which emphasised the ‘what’, ‘how’, ‘why’, ‘when’, and ‘where’ components of instruction and also the active participation of parents, represented the optimal means of instilling in learners a lifelong enjoyment of physical activity (Van Deventer, 2014:197). Chin and Edginton argue that the provision of culturally relevant best practices into high quality
physical education holds the key to making physical education effective (Chin & Edginton, 2014: 4, 6).

2.8.3 Cost-effectiveness of physical education programmes

Empirical evidence suggests that financial investment as well as time spent in physical education is not wasted (Barrett et al., 2015:152). The cost-effectiveness of PE can be examined from a monetary point of view as well as from a health and academic outcome point of view as well as from a societal or community impact point of view. A study by Barrett and colleagues using a simulated national cohort model which they adapted from the Australian Assessing Cost Effectiveness demonstrated that the introduction of a PE programme in primary schools could result in small saving of $60.5 million in healthcare costs due to the resulting reduction in BMI in the children. Adding extra PE time and trained teachers would result in a saving of $89.7 million and also substantially reduce the cost per BMI unit (Barrett et al., 2015:151). Although these projections may appear insubstantial at face value, they nevertheless demonstrate that public health benefits accrue from positive investment in effective and high quality PE programmes. Indeed, it can be argued that the benefits would be even more substantial, if we take into account the potential improvements in the physical fitness, emotional and mental well-being of the children, which would accompany the projected financial saving.

A study by Castillo and associates using objective measurements to assess the effectiveness of PE lessons, found that children were more physically active on the days on which they participated in PE. The study also demonstrated, through the use of the 20-metre shuttle run test, that the cardiorespiratory fitness of the children had improved (Castillo et al., 2015:754-755). Odiango and others also demonstrated that the physically impaired adolescents in their study responded well to an 8-week PE intervention. Improvements were observed in terms of reduced resting heart rates, improved cardiorespiratory fitness, reduced body weight and also increased flexibility (Odiango et al., 2010:1917). Sanchez-Vaznaugh and associates found that students in compliant schools were fitter than those in non-compliant schools, when they compared the physical fitness of students in Californian school districts which either complied or did not comply with the provision of 200 minutes of PE every 10 days. Their findings revealed that 60.1% of the children in compliant schools and 57.1% of those in non-compliant schools met or exceeded the cardiorespiratory fitness zone levels which were set by the Cooper Institute (Sanchez-Vaznaugh et al., 2012:455). It could be argued that if PE sessions are able
to contribute to increasing the cardiorespiratory fitness of children, their academic lives would benefit accordingly. This argument is based upon empirical evidence, such as that which is provided by the study of Chaddock-Heyman and associates, which demonstrated that there was a strong correlation between the aerobic fitness of children and the microstructure of white brain matter (Chaddock-Heyman et al., 2014:4). This finding demonstrates conclusively that PE benefits all learners (ACS CAN, 2012:4), irrespective of gender, socioeconomic status, location or physical ability.

Over the decades PE has been relegated because of the belief that it wasted time which could be spent in the teaching of ‘academic subjects’. Empirical research has however showed that participation in PA, including that offered in PE may improve academic performance through a number of mechanisms (Bailey, 2006:399; Ruiz et al., 2010b:920; Donnelly et al., 2013:6-7; Esteban-Cornejo et al., 2015:536, 538). **Figure 2-2** below is based upon the findings of numerous empirical studies and demonstrates the crucial role which PE plays in both the physical development and the academic performance of children and youth.

![Figure 2-2: Benefits of physical education (Source: Centres for Disease Control and Prevention, 2010:9)](image-url)
2.8.4 Physical education in Zimbabwe

The teaching of PE in Zimbabwe, has faced cycles and challenges just like in other parts of the world. Chinamasa and Musiyamhanje explain that the origins of the subject can be traced to the army and police during the time of the former British colony of Southern Rhodesia, while Mudekunye and Sithole trace them, more precisely, to physical training in the aftermath of the Second World War (Mudekunye & Sithole, 2012:710; Chinamasa & Musiyamhanje, 2015:31). There is agreement however that the earlier form of PE, known as physical training (PT), was teacher-centred and emphasised drills for physical development (Chinamasa & Musiyamhanje, 2015:31) no doubt for military preparedness. Chinamasa and Musiyamhanje further claim that at that point the subject was found on every school timetable with the class teachers being responsible for providing PT to their classes (Chinamasa & Musiyamhanje, 2015:31). After independence, PT took on a new identity in Zimbabwe, as PE was introduced to promote healthy lifestyle and also to facilitate the development of life skills (Mudekunye & Sithole, 2012:710). The syllabus required that PE have both theoretical and practical components and the subject was to be taught by fully qualified teachers (Chinamasa & Musiyamhanje, 2015:33). Although the new orientation was a laudable one, the new syllabus was seldom taught in the prescribed manner.

It appears that over the decades PE in Zimbabwe suffered relegation as happened to the subject in other parts of the world. Physical Education was offered as a subject in the teacher training colleges. Teachers who majored in PE were often students who had failed to secure places in the so-called ‘more academic subjects’ (Nziramasanga, 1999:363). There was a general misconception that PE as a subject was intended for dull students or those whose academic capabilities were deemed to be limited (Nhamo & Muswazi, 2014:3). The misconception has been found to be prevalent in several other countries, such as Kenya (Mwisukha et al., 2014, in Chin & Edginton, 2014:273). In their study Nhamo and Muswazi further argue that PE was generally considered to be an unimportant subject (Nhamo & Muswazi, 2014:4). This conclusion was confirmed in another study, whose findings revealed that the subject tended to be taught mainly by student teachers as a component of their teacher training practice requirement (Mudekunye & Sithole, 2012:714). The time which was allocated to PE classes was often considered as ‘free’ time in many primary schools and the subject was not included in the curricula of secondary schools (Nhamo, 2012:65). It was also found that in some instances, teachers used PE periods to teach mathematics, to complete their marking of books,
and also to enable children to attend to corrections, although some teachers used the time to allow the children to participate in traditional indoor games (Chinamasa & Musiyamhanje, 2015:36). PE was a constant feature in the scheme books of the primary teachers during this period (Nhamo, 2012:67) even though it was not being taught.

Indeed the teaching of PE has been hampered in Zimbabwe, not only by the prevalence of negative attitudes towards the subject, but also by a number of other factors. Key among these have been a shortage of equipment and facilities (Nhamo, 2012:68-69), a lack of adequate supervision (Mudekunye, 2012:714), a lack of adequate training of teachers (Nhamo, 2012:68; Nhamo & Muswazi 2014:3), a lack of status as an examinable subject (Chinamasa & Musiyamhanje, 2015:71) and the difficulties which have been encountered in interpreting the syllabus (Nhamo, 2012:69; Chinamasa & Musiyamhanje, 2015:34).

The government of Zimbabwe endorses all of the Physical Education and Physical activity declarations of the United Nations General Assembly and associated agencies. Through its Curriculum Development Unit, it has introduced new physical education syllabi for learners from preschool through to secondary school for the period between 2017 and 2022 (GZCDU 2015a; GZCDU 2015b; GZCDU 2015c). Inherent in all of the syllabi is the recognition that “Physical Education plays an important role in the total development of the learner. Through physical education learners acquire the knowledge, skills, right attitudes and values towards the pursuit of a lifelong physically active and healthy lifestyle. Physical education provides a platform and valuable opportunities to develop self-management skills” (GZCDUa 2015:1). A new feature of the syllabi is that it makes PE an examinable subject such that it can no longer be relegated, as a grade for the subject is now a requirement in the termly reports of all learners.

The new physical education syllabi are very comprehensive. They require age-appropriate coverage of theory and practical activities related to the human body, safety and health, balances, locomotion and movement, game skills, aquatics, gymnastics, and athletics and the use of a wide range of methodologies (GZCDUa, 2015:6-19; GZCDUb, 2015:6-11; GZCDUc, 2015:62-65). The teaching of PE in the Zimbabwean schools is now intended to progressively build the learner’s understanding in a systematic way which theoretically should produce physically educated learners with all round health literacy. The addition of some elements of basic health-related physical fitness testing in schools would facilitate bringing Zimbabwean schools up to date with contemporary trends pertaining to PE around the world. Schools in other parts of the world require the levels of BMI and physical fitness to be assessed regularly
(Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, 2000:3; Ballard et al., 2005:8; NHAHPERD, 2007:18; National Association for Sport and Physical Education & American Heart Association:8, 63-66; Gee, 2015:271; Mihaela & Iulian, 2015:45). At present the new Zimbabwean syllabi for physical education do not make provision for health-related physical fitness testing in schools.

2.8.5 Increasing children’s interest in physical education

Policy in relation to physical education in each school should prioritise the need to increase the amounts of PA in which children actually engage, through the formulation and implementation of appropriate motivational strategies. Appointing fully qualified specialist teachers who possess the knowledge and ability to apply a variety of teaching methods can help significantly to retain the interest of learners in the subject (Izaki, 2015:11). The effectiveness with which teachers are able to teach PE can make a very significant contribution to the physical competence of learners, and, in turn, increase their intrinsic motivation. Kirk argued that the perceived competence of teachers and coaches can influence the degree to which learners feel motivated to participate in sports (Kirk, 2005:242). A study by Erwin and colleagues showed that intrinsic motivation played a significant role in student participation in a variety of different PE settings (Erwin et al., 2013:329-330). The study, through the use of pedometers and accelerometers further showed that levels of PA were different in different types of PE lessons (Erwin et al., 2013:331), which confirmed the need for variety in the teaching of PE. In addition, learners can be significantly motivated through the provision of appropriate equipment and facilities (Izaki, 2015:13).

The interest of learners in physical activity including PE, usually declines during their adolescent years, thereby creating a challenge for schools (Pate et al., 2009:278). The decline in interest is often particularly prevalent among girls, who may develop misconceptions concerning PE (Ojo, 2015:48). A study which introduced an 8-month New Moves physical education intervention programme for girls only generated encouraging results. The findings revealed that 91% of the participants expressed overall satisfaction with the programme, 85% liked the physical activities which were included in it, 77% indicated that participating in the programme had increased their levels of PA, 69% reported increased self-esteem, while 92% of the parents also indicated their satisfaction with the New Moves programme (Neumark-Sztainer et al., 2003:47). Kirk also argues that early experiences in both PA and PE helps to reduce the rates at which learners are prone to drop out from participating in physical activities
during the later years of their adolescence (Kirk, 2005:248). It is further suggested that early experiences of PE should place less emphasis upon competitive success, but rather promote the development of motor skills and emphasise play and enjoyment (Kirk, 2005:249). The provision of a wide range of activities in PE lessons can increase participation (Izaki, 2015:15), as doing so has great potential for reducing boredom and increasing enjoyment among both genders (Izaki, 2015:12).

2.9 Conclusion

It can be concluded, that existing literature, points to an international increase in the levels of childhood obesity (Muthuri et al., 2014a:20; Skinner & Skelton, 2014:561, 564; Tzioumis & Adair, 2014:233. The literature also suggests that in some of the developed countries of the world there appears to be a levelling off in the numbers of overweight and obese children, although the percentages remain very high (Rodd & Sharma, 2016:8). Researchers have also concluded that the increasing numbers of children who are affected by overweight and obesity in the developing world are increasing creating a double financial burden for their countries, which are obliged to spread their limited resources to combat both undernutrition and overnutrition (Manyanga et al., 2014:2; Muthuri et al., 2014b:3328; Tzioumis & Adair, 2014:233. The result is that many developing countries confine their endeavours to attempting to eradicate poverty and undernutrition (Muthuri et al., 2014b:3328), as they do not consider that overnutrition represents a pressing public health concern (Kumar & Faisal, 2015:408). Left unabated overweight and obesity can easily rise to the same levels as in the developed countries (Webber et al., 2012:5), largely as the developing world undergoes a nutritional and socioeconomic transition which results in significantly increased levels of physical inactivity (Webber et al., 2012:1). Zimbabwe is one such affected developing country. Physical inactivity is the major risk factor for a wide range of noncommunicable diseases (Guinhoya et al., 2013:301).

Reviewed literature has clearly shown the need to monitor the trends in overweight and obesity throughout the world. Paucity in available literature for some regions and countries was noted, pointing to the need for empirical research and national surveys in the countries concerned. It was clear from the literature review that the developing world urgently requires large-scale studies in the area of overweight and obesity to accurately inform public health policy (Muthuri et al., 2014a:20).
Underweight, overweight and obesity all greatly affect children’s growth and quality of life (Wang et al., 2011:815). In view of this, the available literature shows that the regular monitoring of the health-related physical fitness including body composition and physical activity, of children everywhere can play an important role in tracking the growth and development of children throughout the world (Kumar & Faisal, 2015:409; Tzioumis & Adair, 2014:234. Literature showed that the successful implementation of programmes to combat levels of overweight and obesity require multipronged effort which include providing children with sufficient opportunities to be physically active. In view of the length of time that children spend at school, literature has identified schools as the ideal place at which children’s health can be positively impacted (Cooper et al., 2016:133, 134). This impact is especially important in the role schools can play in increasing levels of physical activity. Although the focus in part of this literature review was on the role that physical education can play in promoting increased physical activity, Figure 2-1 provides a concise summary to illustrate the opportunities to increase physical activity in the school settings. Schools indeed can play a key role in improving childhood and adolescent health through availing opportunities for increased physical activity.
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3.1 Abstract

**Background:** Health-related physical fitness and physical activity levels are significant determinants of the health status of children. Research studies pertaining to these variables are limited in sub-Saharan African countries, particularly in Zimbabwe. The purpose of this study therefore was to describe and evaluate the health-related physical fitness, anthropometry and physical activity levels of Zimbabwean children aged 10-12 years old.

**Methods:** A cross-sectional study design on a total of 809 (356 boys and 451 girls) aged 10 to 12 years existing data collected by the Zimbabwe Baseline Survey was analysed. Anthropometric variables and indices of height, weight, skinfolds, gluteal girth, waist girth, BMI, SSKF, %BF, WHR and WHtR were analysed for the whole group, by gender and by age group. Nine physical fitness test items were assessed, namely, the sit and reach, standing broad jump, flamingo balance, hand grip strength, sit up, bent-arm hang, 10×5m shuttle run, 50m sprint, and 1.5-mile run tests. Physical activity levels were assessed by administering the PAQ-C questionnaire.

**Results:** Combined overweight and obesity was found to be 14.8%. Grade 1 and grade 2 thinness were each exhibited by 5.9% of the children. Significant gender differences were found for all of the anthropometrical variables and indices (≤0.05 and ≤ 0.001), apart from waist girth. Significant gender differences were found in performance in the standing broad jump, sit up, bent-arm hang, 10×5m shuttle run, 50m sprint and 1.5-mile run tests (p≤0.001). Only 43% of the children played outside for more than 1 hour after school.

**Conclusion:** Both thinness and combined overweight and obesity exists in the sampled Zimbabwean children. It was apparent that Zimbabwean children use walking as a mode of transport and spent an hour watching TV or using electronic games. Zimbabwean children performed poorly in strength fitness tests. Given the public health implications these findings, strategic intervention geared towards the reduction of thinness and overweight as well as promotion of physical activity among Zimbabwean children are urgently needed.

**Key words:** Children; health; anthropometry; physical fitness; physical activity; obesity; Zimbabwe
3.2 INTRODUCTION

Both underweight and obesity are serious public health problems among children in sub-Saharan Africa [1]. In 2010, the World Health Organisation estimated that 81% of the world’s children aged between 11 and 17 years were insufficiently physically active [2]. The findings of studies have revealed that a subcutaneous fatness rebound, which results from nutritional recovery, is associated with over fatness or obesity in later life [3, 4]. Evidence shows that obesity has a multifactorial nature resulting from genetic, epigenetic, behavioural, physiological, environmental and sociocultural factors leading to an imbalance between energy intake and expenditure over an extended time period [5]. Emerging empirical evidence has confirmed that excessive fatness is closely related to the metabolic complications of obesity, cardiovascular disease, inactivity, poor physical fitness and psychosocial problems [6, 7, 8, 9].

It has been conclusively established that both physical activity and physical fitness have a significant bearing upon the health and development of children [10, 11, 12]. Both physical activity and physical fitness require regular monitoring worldwide [1, 13]. Regular surveillance studies can provide meaningful evidence-based data which can be used to inform health and socioeconomic policies [1].

Although data abound on the relationship of obesity and physical activity among children and adults in developed countries [1], limited data are available for developing countries [14]. There appears to be inadequate investigated data on sub-Saharan children [15], with South Africa being the exception. Numerous studies of health-related physical fitness, anthropometry and physical activity are available on South Africa [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]. Additionally, Monyeki and colleagues [22] reported a high prevalence of thinness among rural pre-primary and primary school children. In this study, it was apparent that thinness represented a much greater public health problem than overweight [22]. Findings of a study of rural South African children showed that the children had high levels of physical activity [16]. Studies by Monyeki et al. [16] and Amusa et al. [17] showed that boys performed better than girls in the physical fitness tests for strength and power. The findings of a study of Namibian children revealed significantly low levels of physical activity, accompanied by equally significantly high incidences of overweight and obesity among the 16 to 18 year old girls in the research sample [23].
Hardly, any studies on the health-related physical fitness, anthropometry and physical activity levels of Zimbabwean children exist [24]. There is a great need to collect data and create databases to facilitate making standardised international comparisons [1, 13, 14]. The purpose of this study therefore was to describe and evaluate the health-related physical fitness, anthropometry and physical activity levels of Zimbabwean children aged 10-12 years old.

3.3 METHODS AND MEASUREMENTS

Study design

The study used a cross-sectional design on existing data from Healthy Kids Nutrition and Physical Activity: Zimbabwe Baseline Survey, collected from primary school children who were purposively selected in 15 primary schools in Zimbabwe [25]. The schools included urban, rural, mine and boarding schools. Children who were enrolled in Grades 4, 5, and 6 were invited to participate in the study. The initial research sample comprised 4402 children, aged between 8 and 15 years. The main objective of the Zimbabwe Baseline Survey was to obtain baseline data for Zimbabwean children concerning their nutritional and physical fitness status, nutritional knowledge, attitudes towards nutrition, anthropometric characteristics and levels of physical activity. The purpose of the survey was to provide Nestlé Zimbabwe with information which could be used to make an objective evaluation of the nutritional programmes and other related programmes which were being implemented in the various provinces at the time and also to design strategies and programmes for the future. Ethical clearance and permission were sought from the Medical Research Council of Zimbabwe (MRCZ) and the appropriate certificate was issued (Study Number: A/1900). Permission was also sought from and granted by the Ministry of Primary and Secondary Education. North-West University granted permission to perform the analyses of the secondary data (Ethics number: NWU-00067-17-S1.).

Participants

For the current study, a total of 809 children (356 boys and 453 girls), aged 10 to 12 years, was extracted from the initial sample of 4402 children who participated in the main Zimbabwe Baseline Survey of 2015 [25]. The criteria for inclusion in this study were that the children should be between 10 and 12 years of age and have provided complete data in the form of the questionnaire, anthropometric measurements and scores for health-related physical fitness.
Equipment and data collection

Training and Pilot Study

Planning and training sessions for researchers and research assistants were conducted in preparation for collecting the data. To ensure that the protocols which had been adopted were appropriate and to give the researchers an adequate amount of practice in gathering the data, a pilot study was conducted at a school in Bulawayo in Zimbabwe.

Questionnaires

The questionnaire which was employed in the Zimbabwe Baseline survey comprised three sections. The first covered demographic information: date of birth, gender, grade, height and weight. The second covered nutritional knowledge, nutritional attitudes, the foods and meals which had been consumed on the previous day and also those which had been consumed during the previous seven days [25]. The last section dealt with the physical activities in which learners participated on a regular basis and also those which had been performed on the previous day [26]. The physical activity section was based on the questions which are asked in the Physical Activity Questionnaire for Children (PAQ-C) [26]. Each of the 9 (PAQ-C) questionnaire items was scored between 1 (low) and 5 (high physical activity), and a mean score of all items constitutes the overall PAQ score [26]. Percentages of time in hours spent in the various physical activities were then calculated for the total group and sub-groups. This physical activity measuring instrument has ‘r’ values ranging from r=0.45 to r=0.82 across the various areas examined [26]. The questionnaires were available in English, Shona and Ndebele.

Anthropometric measurements

The anthropometric measurements for the Zimbabwe Baseline Survey were made according to the standard procedures which have been developed by the International Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry (ISAK) [27]. The measurements were made by qualified Level 2 and Level 3 anthropometrists. The learners wore minimal clothing and were barefoot. The measurements included body mass, stature, arm span, skinfolds (i.e. triceps, biceps, subscapular, supraspinale and medial calf measurements), and gluteal girth [27]. Skinfolds were measured to the nearest 0.1mm. Stature was measured to the nearest 0.1cm. Breadths were measured to the nearest 0.1mm. All measurements of skinfolds and breadths were taken on the right side of the body. To ensure the reliability and quality of the data which was
collected, the researchers and research assistants were assigned to measuring only specific anthropometric variables throughout the survey. The anthropometric measurements were taken twice. The Seca Alpha Model 770 Digital weighing scale was used to measure body mass, while the Lufkin metal anthropometric tape measure was used to measure girths, circumferences and arm spans. The Harpenden Skinfold Caliper was used to measure skinfolds and a Seca stadiometer was used to measure stature.

BMI was calculated as weight divided by height squared (weight/height$^2$), waist-to-hip ratio calculated as weight divided by gluteal girth (WHR), and waist-to-height ratio (WHtR) calculated as waist divided by height. BMI cut-off of Cole et al. [28] were used to classify children into normal weight (BMI between the 18.5 and <16), overweight (BMI = 25 to <30) and obesity (BMI ≥30) as +1 and +2 standard deviation, respectively, and thinness grades 1 (−1 standard deviation = (BMI between 17 to <18.5), 2 (−2 standard deviation; BMI between 16 to <17), and 3 (−3 standard deviation = (BMI = <16).

Percentage body fat (%BF) was calculated from the sum of triceps and subscapular skinfolds using the Slaughter equation, which has been recommended for use in children from different settings [29].

**Health-related fitness tests**

The Health-related fitness tests for motor performance were conducted in accordance with the Eurofit and Physical best protocols [30, 31]. These field tests have been used successfully in other studies [31]. The health-related fitness tests were conducted both indoors and outdoors. The testing commenced with the least fatiguing tests and concluded with the most fatiguing tests of physical fitness. The learners were cheered on during the performance of the fitness tests to encourage optimal levels of performance.

The children first participated in a warm-up session, which was led by one of the researchers or research assistants.

Cardiorespiratory fitness was assessed using the 1.5-mile run test. Time taken to complete the run was recorded as the score. To ensure standardisation in this test, a standard perimeter of 400 metres was measured out in the rural schools, using a surveyor’s wheel.
Muscular fitness was assessed in terms of both strength and endurance. The standing broad jump test was used to measure leg power in cm. Learners attempted the test twice, aiming to attain the greatest horizontal distance. Upper body static strength was measured using the handgrip test. A hand dynamometer was used to measure maximal force exerted by both arms, one at a time. The flexed-arm hang test was used to test upper body muscular endurance, which was measured in seconds. Abdominal muscular endurance was measured using the sit-up test, which measured the maximum number of sit-ups which the learners could perform in 30 seconds.

Flexibility was measured by using the sit and reach test, with flexibility being measured in cm. In this test the learners bent their trunks and reached over as far as possible on the sit and reach box. Two trials were performed and the better distance was considered as the final score.

Agility and speed were measured using the 10×5-metre sprint shuttle test and the 50-metre dash. Scores for both tests were measured in seconds. The flamingo balance test was used to assess balance. The test measured balance by the number of times the children failed to retain their balance during a 1-minute period.

Analysis of data

The data which was analysed in this study was obtained from the original Zimbabwe Healthy Kids Baseline Survey data set, and the analyses were performed by using the IBM SPSS Statistics version 24 software. All variables were checked for normality by means of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Descriptive statistics of frequencies, modes, ranges, means and standard deviations were used to analyse the various variables pertaining to the health-related physical fitness, anthropometry and levels of physical activity of the children. Differences between the means were analysed by means of an independent t-test and the Mann–Whitney U test was used to analyse categorical values. The level of significance was set at p≤0.05.

3.4 RESULTS

Tables 3-1 and 3-2 show the descriptive characteristics of the research sample of 809 children, for the total group and by gender, respectively. The mean age of the children in the sample was 11.03±.79 years. An average height 142.86±8.60 cm was calculated while the range of 114.70 cm to 171.40 cm was observed. The results show that 14.8% of the children were overweight while 62.4% were thin (cf. Figure 3-1). Higher proportions of girls tended to be overweight
than boys, who were generally thinner. Overweight increases with age, with high percentage of overweight in older children on the one side while on the other hand thinness decreases with age.

Table 3-1 Descriptive characteristics (mean, min, max and standard deviation) for the total group (N=809)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anthropometric measurements and body composition</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>142.86</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>114.70</td>
<td>171.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>33.71</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>69.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triceps skinfold (mm)</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biceps skinfold (mm)</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscapular skinfold (mm)</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supraspinale skinfold (mm)</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>58.17</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>83.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>.82</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (Kg/m²)</td>
<td>16.36</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>11.41</td>
<td>32.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSKF (mm)</td>
<td>13.89</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>50.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%BF</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>64.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Health-related physical fitness

| Sit and reach (cm)                              | 10.90 | 8.32 | -20.0   | 35.0    |
| Standing broad jump (cm)                        | 131.63| 19.62| 81.5    | 215.0   |
| Flamingo balance test (x/sec.)                  | 9.32  | 5.70 | 0       | 36.0    |
| Handgrip strength (R) (kg)                      | 14.92 | 6.42 | 0       | 36.0    |
| Handgrip strength (L) (kg)                      | 13.56 | 6.26 | 0       | 34.0    |
| Sit-ups maximum (x/sec.)                        | 12.53 | 5.17 | 0       | 31.0    |
| Bent-arm hang (sec.)                            | 10.24 | 9.51 | 0       | 60.0    |
| 10 x 5m shuttle run (x/sec.)                    | 15.57 | 1.36 | 10.3    | 21.4    |
| 50m sprint (sec.)                               | 9.15  | 1.15 | 7.1     | 19.7    |
| 1.5-mile run (min.)                             | 14.01 | 3.51 | 0       | 24.2    |

BMI Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1 thinness</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2 thinness</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal weight</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight/Obesity</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: WC - Waist circumference, WHR - Waist to hip ratio, BMI - Body mass index, SSKF - Sum of Skinfold, %BF - Percentage body fat, WHtR - Waist to height ratio, SD - Standard deviation

No significant (p>0.05) gender differences were found for age and waist girth, while significant gender differences were found for gluteal girth, SSKF and % BF (p≤0.001. The girls were significantly (p≤0.05) taller and heavier than the boys (Table 2). In terms of WHR and WHtR, the boys had significantly (p≤0.05) higher mean values than the girls.
No significant gender difference was found for handgrip strength (cf. Table 3-2). The boys (p≤0.001) performed significantly better than the girls in the standing broad jump (136.53 vs 124.76), sit-ups (14.41 vs 11.04), bent-arm hang (13.69 vs 7.57), 10x 5-m shuttle run (15.05 vs 15.96), 50 m sprint (8.82 vs 9.41) and 1.5-mile run (12.60 vs 15.16) physical fitness tests.

Table 3-3 shows the anthropometric, body composition characteristics, as well as the performance in the health-related physical fitness tests of the children by age and gender. The anthropometric and body composition means increased by age for both boys and girls for most of the parameters. The mean score for height increased by 5.34 cm between the 11-year-old and the 12-year-old boys. A greater increase of 7.73 cm in mean height was found between the 10-year-old boys and the older 12-year-old boys. Among the girls the mean height increased by 10.7 cm between the 10- and the 12-year-olds. The weight, skinfolds, waist circumference BMI and % body fat scores all increased by age group, among both the boys and the girls. For example, the mean weight among the boys increased by 5.52kg from the youngest age group to the oldest age group.

![Figure 3-1 BMI categories for the total group, gender and age groups](image-url)
Table 3-2 Gender differences for the health-related physical fitness and anthropometry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>P-value of the differences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>11.02</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>140.99</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>144.33</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>32.23</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>34.87</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triceps skinfold</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscapular skinfold</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist girth</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>58.03</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>58.29</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gluteal girth</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>69.04</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>73.23</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>16.08</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>16.57</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist/Hip Ratio</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSKF</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>12.05</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>13.32</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%BF</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>17.67</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health-related physical fitness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sit and reach</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>9.82</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>11.78</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing broad jump</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>136.53</td>
<td>23.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>124.76</td>
<td>20.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flamingo balance test</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handgrip strength (R)</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>14.74</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>15.08</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handgrip strength (L)</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>13.24</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>13.81</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sit-ups maximum</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>14.41</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bent-arm hang</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>13.69</td>
<td>10.31</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>7.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 x 5m shuttle run</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>15.05</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>15.96</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5m sprint</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>9.41</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5-mile run</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>12.60</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>15.16</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: WC-Waist circumference, WHR-Waist to hip ratio, BMI-Body mass index, SSKF-Sum of Skinfold, %BF-Percentage body fat, WHtR-Waist to height ratio, SD-Standard deviation, p≤0.05

The mean scores for the WHR were similar, with only very slight differences in the standard deviation among the boys. Among the girls a greater variation in WHR was observed with a difference of 0.04 in the mean scores of the 10- and 11-year-old girls. A similar trend was evident in the results for WHtR.

The results for the performance of the children in the health-related fitness tests were varied across the different age groups of both boys and girls. The mean scores increased with age in some tests, while they decreased in others. In the sit and reach test a difference of 1.48cm was found between the mean scores of the 10- and 11-year-old boys (10.55±8.23 vs 9.07±7.35 cm), while a difference of 0.77 cm was found between the mean scores of the 11-and 12-year-old
boys. A similar trend was found in the mean scores for the flamingo balance test, in which the 11-year-old boys outperformed the 10 year-olds by 0.84 seconds, while the 11-year-olds outperformed the 12-year-olds by 0.41 seconds.

Table 3-3 Anthropometry and health-related physical fitness by age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anthropometry and body composition</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age 10 Mean±SD</th>
<th>Age 11 Mean±SD</th>
<th>Age 12 Mean±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>136.49±7.06</td>
<td>141.83±7.66</td>
<td>144.22±7.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>138.54±7.57</td>
<td>144.70±7.54</td>
<td>149.24±7.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>28.87±4.76</td>
<td>33.13±6.47</td>
<td>34.39±6.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>30.67±6.37</td>
<td>35.18±7.63</td>
<td>38.70±8.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triceps skinfold</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>6.36±2.74</td>
<td>6.81±2.98</td>
<td>6.96±3.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>7.94±3.45</td>
<td>8.74±3.58</td>
<td>9.30±3.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscapular skinfold</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>5.17±1.75</td>
<td>5.37±1.58</td>
<td>5.44±1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>6.03±2.49</td>
<td>6.63±2.99</td>
<td>7.28±3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist girth</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>56.39±5.13</td>
<td>58.26±5.13</td>
<td>59.27±3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>56.39±4.82</td>
<td>58.18±4.74</td>
<td>60.21±5.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gluteal girth</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>66.74±5.23</td>
<td>69.73±5.57</td>
<td>70.71±5.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>69.26±6.96</td>
<td>73.67±7.55</td>
<td>76.35±8.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist/Hip Ratio</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>85.20±7.06</td>
<td>84.4±7.05</td>
<td>84.4±7.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>82.8±7.96</td>
<td>78.8±7.92</td>
<td>79.2±7.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>15.44±1.75</td>
<td>16.32±1.63</td>
<td>16.44±1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>15.63±1.98</td>
<td>16.67±2.61</td>
<td>17.32±2.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSKF</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>11.54±4.30</td>
<td>12.18±4.40</td>
<td>12.39±4.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>13.91±5.76</td>
<td>15.37±5.83</td>
<td>16.8±6.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%BF</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>8.74±5.16</td>
<td>9.44±5.30</td>
<td>9.70±5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>15.81±7.39</td>
<td>17.74±7.67</td>
<td>19.34±8.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHtR</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>42±6.04</td>
<td>41±6.04</td>
<td>41±6.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>41±6.03</td>
<td>40±6.03</td>
<td>41±6.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Health-related physical fitness

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sit and reach</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>10.55±8.23</td>
<td>9.07±7.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>9.71±7.30</td>
<td>12.56±8.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing broad jump</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>131.19±23.30</td>
<td>133.25±24.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>118.99±19.71</td>
<td>127.65±19.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flamingo balance test</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>9.24±6.01</td>
<td>8.41±4.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>9.26±5.99</td>
<td>9.42±5.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handgrip strength (R)</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>11.83±5.71</td>
<td>14.96±6.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>13.44±5.97</td>
<td>14.37±5.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>11.98±5.84</td>
<td>13.44±6.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sit-ups maximum</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>13.14±4.56</td>
<td>14.46±5.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>9.77±4.35</td>
<td>11.24±4.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bent-arm hang</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>12.76±9.9</td>
<td>14.33±4.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>8.44±9.34</td>
<td>6.90±6.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 x 5m Shuttle Run</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>15.25±1.18</td>
<td>14.96±1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>16.28±1.45</td>
<td>16.00±1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50m sprint</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>9.72±0.83</td>
<td>8.70±0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>9.84±1.32</td>
<td>9.36±1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5-mile run</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>12.76±2.72</td>
<td>12.8±2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>13.84±3.58</td>
<td>15.18±3.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: WC-Waist circumference, WHR-Waist to hip ratio, BMI-Body mass index, SSKF-Sum of Skinfold, %BF-Percentage body fat, WHtR-Waist to height ratio, SD-Standard deviation.

The mean scores for the standing broad jump, handgrip strength, and sit-up tests all improved with age among the boys.
The older boys outperformed the younger boys in one of the running physical fitness tests. The 12 year old boys did better than the 10 year old boys in the 50m sprint by 0.44 seconds (8.68±1.22 vs 9.12±0.83 seconds) respectively. The performances of the 11-and 12-year-old boys were similar for the 50m sprint (8.70±0.71 vs 8.68±1.22 seconds) respectively. A decrease and increase in time taken was observed in the results of the 10×5m shuttle run and 1.5-mile run. The 11-year-old boys were the fastest (14.96±1.08) and there was a difference of 2.29 seconds between their mean score and that of the 10-year-old boys (15.25±1.18 seconds). In the 1.5-mile run test there was a difference of 0.56 minutes between the fastest age group who were the 12-year-olds and the slowest, age group who were the 10-year-olds (12.26±2.50 vs 12.82±3.01 minutes).

The results for the girls were varied. The older girls outperformed the younger girls in the sit and reach test, the flamingo balance test, the handgrip strength test, the sit-up test, the 10×5 metre shuttle run, and the 50m sprint. The younger girls however outperformed the older girls in the 1.5-mile run. A 3.2 cm difference was found between the mean scores of the 12-year-old and 10-year-old girls for the sit and reach test. Performance of the 11-and 12-year-old girls was similar in the sit and reach test with a difference of only 0.35 cm in the mean scores. There was an increase of 8.66 cm between the mean scores of the 10-year-olds and the 11-year-olds for the standing broad jump test (118.99±19.71 vs127.65±19.61 cm). There was a very small decrease of 1.33 cm between the mean scores for the 11-year-olds and 12-year-olds. A small difference of 1.67 seconds between the mean score of the 10-year-old girls and 12-year-olds (9.20±5.99 vs 10.87±5.51) in the flamingo balance test was observed. The mean for the 10-year-olds in the 50m sprint was greater by 0.48 seconds than that of the 11-year-olds, whose mean score, in turn was 0.26 greater than that of the 12-year-olds. In the 1.5-mile run the younger 10-year-old girls outperformed the older 12-year-olds by 1.98 minutes (13.84±3.58 vs 15.82±3.20) minutes, while a difference in performance of 0.64 minutes was found between the 11-year-old and 12 year old girls.

Table 3-4 presents data on physical activity scores for the total group, by gender and p-values of the gender differences. Less than half of the children in the study (43%) reported being involved in PA for longer than 1 hour after school, with boys (45%) outperforming the girls (40%). Thirty percent (30%) of the participant reported spending more than 1 hour playing outside after school. Nineteen percent (19%) of the participants spent one hour watching television and 12.5% reported spending 1 hour playing electronic games, with the respective
percentages being higher for boys than girls for both activities (21.5% vs 14.1%; 16.6% vs 11.0%). A small minority of 5.7% of the total group watched television for more than 5 hours a day, with the percentages for boys and girls being almost the same.

A minority of 24.2% of the participants reported not having spent time watching television on the previous day, while 43.1% had not played any electronic games. By contrast, 2.3% reported having spent more than 5 hours playing electronic games. Although a large majority of 85.2% reported that they walked to and from school, only 24.2% of the children chose walking as their preferred mode of transport. Most appeared to have strong preferences for commuting to and from school either by bicycle or motor car. More than half of the children (63.7% and 58.3%) reported having participated in exercise or sporting activities that made their hearts pump fast and breathing hard. There were significant gender differences for preferred modes of transport (p≤0.001) and also for the time which was spent playing or participating in vigorous sporting activities (p≤0.001).

The results for the physical activity levels for different age groups are shown in Table 3-5. Across the ages, the results show that 47% of the 10-year-old children spend less than one hour outside playing after school, with staggering decreases in ages 11 (41.9%) and 12 (39.4%). Less than 50% of the children across the three age groups spend more than 1 hour playing outside after school. The percentage of time spent in watching TV and playing electronic games was high in the category of one hour. Higher percentages of the 11-year-olds spent time watching television (7.1%) or playing electronic games (3.8%), by comparison with the 10-year-olds (3.6% and 3.6%, respectively) and 12-year-olds (3.3% and 4.5%, respectively). More than 80% of the children across the age groups walk to school. Of the 10-year-olds, 31.6% indicated that they would prefer to go to school by bicycle, while for the 11-year-olds the percentage was 7.3% and for the 12-year-olds it was 30.9%. Travelling to school by car was preferred by 33.7% of the 10-year-olds, 34% of the 11-year-olds, and 27.6% of the 12-year-old.
Table 3-4 Physical activity scores for the total group, by gender and p-values of the gender differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical activity variables</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How many hours do you spend outside playing after school?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>.559</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than one hour</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one hour</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many hours did you spend watching videos or TV yesterday?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than half an hour</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half an hour</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One hour</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One and a half hours</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two hours</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two and a half hours</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three hours</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three and a half hours</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four hours</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four and a half hours</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five hours</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than five hours</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many hours did you spend playing electronic games?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than half an hour</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half an hour</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One hour</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One and a half hours</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two hours</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two and a half hours</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three hours</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three and a half hours</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four hours</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four and a half hours</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five hours</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than five hours</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of travel did you use to and from school yesterday?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus/Kombi</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is your preferred method of getting to and from school?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus/Kombi</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yesterday, did you exercise or participate in sports activities that made your heart beat fast and made you breathe hard for at least 20 minutes?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yesterday, did you exercise or participate in physical activities that made your heart beat fast and made you breathe hard for at least 20 minutes?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3-5 Physical Activity by age group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical activity variables</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>10 years</th>
<th>11 years</th>
<th>12 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How many hours do you spend outside playing after school?</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less than one hour</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than one hour</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many hours did you spend watching videos or TV yesterday?</td>
<td>Less than half an hour</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Half an hour</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One hour</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One and a half hours</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two hours</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two and a half hours</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three hours</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three and a half hours</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Four hours</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Four and a half hours</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Five hours</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than five hours</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many hours did you spend playing electronic games?</td>
<td>Less than half an hour</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Half an hour</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One hour</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One and a half hours</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two hours</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two and a half hours</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three hours</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three and a half hours</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Four hours</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Four and a half hours</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Five hours</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than five hours</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What method of travel did you use to and from school yesterday?</td>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>89.17</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motorcycle</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bus/Kombi</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Car</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is your preferred method of getting to and from school?</td>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motorcycle</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bus/Kombi</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Train</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Car</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yesterday, did you exercise or participate in sports activities that made your heart beat fast and made you breathe hard for at least 20 minutes?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yesterday, did you exercise or participate in physical activities that made your heart beat fast and made you breathe hard for at least 20 minutes?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.5 DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to evaluate and describe the health-related physical fitness, anthropometry and physical activity levels of Zimbabwean children aged 10 to 12 years. The results of this study should help to augment the descriptive data which is available concerning children in Southern Africa which has been provided by studies such as conducted by [8, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 32, 33] It also provides a glimpse into the status of children in Zimbabwe with regards to their anthropometry, health-related physical fitness and physical activity. The main findings of the study shows both thinness and overweight among the 10 to 12 years old Zimbabwean children, with more (62.4%) children presenting with thinness compared to overweight (14.8%). Physical fitness varied by age and gender, with boys performing better than girls in almost all fitness tests. Overall, the Zimbabwean children walk to school and spend at least 1 hour a day watching television or playing electronic games.

Differences as well as similarities were found in the anthropometric results obtained for the Zimbabwean sample when compared with the results of other groups of 10-to 12-year-old children measured in different parts of the world. The 10-year-old boys in the Zimbabwe study were shorter than the 10 year old boys from Mpumalanga, province of South Africa while the Zimbabwean 10-year-old girls were however taller [19]. Both 10-year-old Zimbabwean boys and girls were significantly taller than the 10-year-old Andibila from Nigeria [34]. By contrast, the Zimbabwean 11-year-olds were found to be significantly shorter than their counterparts in a European study [35]. The result for the mean height of the 12-year-old boys in this study was similar to that found among the 12-year-old Limpopo boys by [19], while the boys in the study by [36] were shorter. The results of this study revealed that the mean body mass of the Zimbabwean children was less than those which had been found among children of the same age groups in other studies [19, 34, 35].

The BMI rates for the Zimbabwean boys were generally lower than those for children measured in the Limpopo province of South Africa as well as those measured in Europe studies [19, 34, 35] but were higher than the BMI rate for 11 year old boys found by Jacobs and De Ridder [23], among rural black children in the North West province of South Africa. The BMI rates of the Zimbabwean girl children were lower than those of findings of other studies, but were higher than those which were found among the Andibila of Nigeria and the girls from Limpopo, South Africa [19, 34]. The differences in the mean BMI scores between the Zimbabwean girls
and the Andibila of Nigeria could be explained by the fact that the Andibila study subjects live a very commercially isolated traditional life which would most likely limit their exposure to calorie dense foods. The Andibila also live a very active lifestyle characterised by manual labour and walking everywhere [34]. The sum of skinfolds and % BF were also lower among the Zimbabwean boys and girls although not all of the studies had provided data for these parameters. The findings of the WHtR were similar to those of children in the African studies, except for the 10-year-old girls from Mpumalanga, South Africa but were significantly lower than that found for the European children [19, 34, 35]. The waist circumferences found among the Zimbabwean children in this study were similar to those found among the other African children but were significantly lower than the results found among the European children [34, 35]. Waist circumference, BMI and % BF are strong indicators of nutritional status [36]. It could be argued that the European children coming from the developed world have greater access to food than the children from Zimbabwe, South Africa and Nigeria hence influencing their body composition.

The increased prevalence of childhood overweight and obesity witnessed in different parts of the world is the result of a complex interaction between the environment and genes [37]. The level of overweight and obesity in the present study sample was found to be 14.8%. The observed combined overweight and obesity are somewhat comparable to other African studies [15]. The combined overweight and obesity rates in Malawi 14.5%, Sierra Leone 16.9% and Comoros 15.9% [38], were reported. These African country overweight and obesity rates were however much lower than those reported by Ng et al., who reported rates of 33% and 38% for overweight and obesity respectively in 9-12 year old Cree children of Canada [39]. A recent study of Brazilian children also found high rates of overweight and obesity of 26.2% and 28.4% among the boys and girls respectively [6]. The joint 2017, UNICEF/WHO/World Bank Group world report on child malnutrition estimates the levels of combined overweight and obesity to be 5.2% for the African continent and 10.1% for southern Africa, respectively [38].

In this study of Zimbabwean children, prevalence of 5.9% and 5.9% for grade 1 and 2 thinness respectively. This finding is similar to findings of other studies in Africa where both overweight and thinness were observed [15, 21, 22, 34]. The results of this study reflect the double burden of undernutrition and overnutrition that characterises many developing countries [1]. The lower BMI, WHtR and WC mean values found among the Zimbabwean children can possibly be explained by the current low standard of living in Zimbabwe which greatly influences the
nutritional levels of the children. Zimbabwe is currently classified as one of the poorest countries in Africa facing many socioeconomic challenges [40].

The health-related physical fitness of children is dependent upon the time which they spend in physical activity [13], the levels of physical activity in which they engage, and genetics [11, 41]. There is growing concern over the declining levels of physical fitness among young people [6, 15]. The comparisons of the performance of the 10-12 year old Zimbabwean children in the health-related fitness tests with that of children elsewhere was made difficult by the fact that published results with the same tests for the age group were hard to find and also owing to the use of different protocols and norms in the published studies which were available. The varied reporting of results among studies also makes comparisons difficult. The findings of this study showed that the performance of the Zimbabwean children was lower than that of children in other studies for the sit and reach test, the standing broad jump, the bent-arm hang and sit-up tests [17, 19, 33, 35, 36, 40]. A study by Andreasi et al. [42] also reported poor levels of physical fitness in Brazilian children especially among girls.

Cardiorespiratory fitness is an important measure of health in children and adolescents, further influencing cardiorespiratory fitness later in life [42]. Adequate comparison of this measured dimension was hampered by the use of the 1.5-mile run in this study when most other studies utilised tests run over shorter distances. The Zimbabwean boys and girls however performed better in the 10×5m shuttle run test and the 50m sprint test than children of similar ages in other studies [17, 33]. Their performance in the 50m sprint was comparable with results found by Monyeki et al. in 2005 [16]. A study by Ng et al in 2006 [39] also found poor aerobic fitness in their study population. The lower performance of the Zimbabwean children in a number of the health-related physical fitness tests could be explained by the lack of participation in school-based physical activities that could help develop mastery of the elements that were tested. Physical Education is not considered a serious subject in most primary schools [43], and this is a worrying situation. The better performance of the children in the 10×5m shuttle run and the 50m sprint could be explained by the fact that 85% of the children walk to and from school. It is possible that the children get enough practise of take-off speed especially if they have to run to and from school when late and from playing games such as “tag”, which are common among Zimbabwean children. The findings of consistently better performance among the boys than the girls in most of the physical fitness tests are consistent with the findings of other studies [39].
A high level of physical activity is desirable in children, as it is associated with reduced risk of cardiovascular disease as well as increased life expectancy [37]. Physical inactivity has been identified as one of the top five risk factors for global mortality [2]. Continued participation in adequate levels of physical activity is crucial as it has been shown that the benefits of physical activity for health are not preserved indefinitely, but are determined by current physical activity [41]. This study found that most of the children did not play outside after school with only 32.4% reporting playing outside for more than 1 hour a day. These results are consistent with findings in other studies that have found that children are spending less time outside [44] and many do not engage in recommended levels of physical activity [39, 44]. This is a cause for concern as physical activity is an important factor in the human energy balance [2]. The results of the HELENA study of adolescents from 10 European countries however found very high self-reported levels of physical activity [45]. The HELENA study found that the adolescents were spending 165 minutes per day in physical activity with 32 minutes being spent in vigorous physical activity [45]. The findings of the study upon which this research article has been based indicated that the girls in the sample were generally less physically active than the boys, which tended to confirm the findings of several other studies [18]. This study found that the oldest age group of 12-year-olds spent more time playing outside and were generally more physically active. This is not consistent with other studies, which have shown that children tend to become less active as they grow older [18, 41, 46]. Interestingly, our study also found that the youngest age group of 10-year-olds spent the most time watching television, while the middle group of 11-year-olds spent the most time playing electronic games. A study by Diouf et al. found that the children in their study spent 65% of their time in sedentary behaviours [47] while it is generally recommended that children should spend a maximum of 2 hours a day watching television [19]. Excessive time spent in physical inactivity by children increases the risk of overweight and obesity [19, 46, 47], which in turn, increases the risk of developing many debilitating chronic diseases during adulthood [37].

**Strengths and Limitations**

A major strength of this study is that it used data collected from children in primary schools from all the 10 provinces of Zimbabwe. This makes the findings fairly representative of the average school children in Zimbabwe, although caution must be taken when interpreting the results since children from the wealthier private schools were not part of the schools covered. The cross-sectional nature of the study design, measuring health-related parameters at a specific point in time represents a weakness. Repeated cross-sectional measurements or a
A longitudinal study would provide a more comprehensive picture of the health-related physical fitness, anthropometry and physical activity levels of the 10 to 12 year old Zimbabwean children. Even though resources are scarce in Zimbabwe, another strength of the study is that the study analysed a wide range of health-related physical fitness parameters, which should serve to improve descriptive data which is available concerning children in southern Africa. The use of data from the 1.5-mile test although giving a picture of the cardiorespiratory fitness level of the Zimbabwean children made comparisons with other studies difficult since most studies on children tend to use aerobic fitness tests which are run over shorter distances with the 20mSRT being the most popular test. Another weakness of this study is that the data for physical activity levels was collected using subjective methods. The cross-sectional nature of the study may have limited the study one way or the other, given the fact that in a longitudinal study development of the problem can be easily identified.

### 3.6 CONCLUSIONS

Despite the limitations which have been enumerated, the study nevertheless provides a descriptive baseline picture of the health-related physical fitness, anthropometry and physical activity of 10-12 year old children in Zimbabwe. The study established that the children in the research sample did not spend sufficient time playing outdoors indicating a need to promote increased physical activity among primary school children. This is essential to improve the present and future health of the children of Zimbabwe. The study also established that the children had low physical fitness in the muscular endurance and muscular strength tests. Zimbabwean children use walking as a mode of transport. The findings concerning the level of underweight and overweight has shown that Zimbabwe, like other African countries going through socioeconomic transition faces the danger of continued undernutrition juxtaposed over increased overweight in children such that appropriate public policy needs to be put in place to deal with both elements. This may ensure that the levels of childhood overweight and obesity do not rise to the high levels already faced by some high-income countries namely the United States, Canada, and New Zealand, some medium-income countries such as Brazil, South Africa, and Saudi Arabia, and low-income countries such as Djibouti and Sudan. This is especially so since most African countries do not have effective obesity monitoring programmes in place as they focus more on childhood infectious diseases. The regular measurement of BMI in nationally representative samples of primary school children is highly
recommended. Future research in Zimbabwe could further expand the measurement of physical activity and health-related physical fitness to include those children enrolled in private primary schools. The future studies should however use aerobic fitness tests such as the 20mSRT that are commonly used in studies of children throughout the world to make for easier comparisons. It is recommended that future studies be conducted using objective methods to measure levels of physical activity, such as pedometers and accelerometers.
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4.1 Abstract

Objectives: The objective of this study was to determine the relationship between body composition, physical fitness and levels of physical activity of Zimbabwean children aged 10-12 years old.

Methods: Body composition was determined using skinfolds, BMI, sum of skinfolds, %Body fat and waist circumference. Nine physical fitness tests were used to measure health-related physical fitness. The Physical Activity Questionnaire for Children (PAQ-C) was used to assess levels of physical activity. Spearman’s correlation coefficient was used to determine the relationships between variables.

Results: The results were varied. Measures of body composition, namely, BMI, waist circumference, %BF and Sum of skinfolds were strongly correlated (r=51 to r=83; P<0.05) with one another. Strong positive correlations were found between BMI and scores for the standing broad jump, sit-up, 1.5-mile run and handgrip strength tests (p<0.05). Negative correlations were found between BMI and scores for the bent-arm hang test (r=-0.15, p<0.05) and also between %BF and scores for the bent-arm hang test (r=-0.49, p<0.05).

Conclusions: Both positive and negative correlations were found between the measures of body composition and the scores for the various physical fitness tests. The correlations between the variables appear to have been influenced by the generally low BMI of the research sample.

Key words: Body composition, physical fitness, physical activity, correlation, Zimbabwe.
4.2 Introduction

The health of children and adolescents has become a cause for international concern. This is caused by the ever-increasing global childhood and adolescent overweight and obesity, increased prevalence of NCDs (Patton et al., 2012; Sawyer et al., 2012), and the ever-increasing physical inactivity among young people (Bauman et al., 2012; Kohl et al., 2012). Increased physical activity is very important in the prevention of overweight and obesity in children and adolescents (Ekelund et al., 2004), contributing significantly to improved health and the reduced risk of NCDs throughout the life course (Oja et al., 2010). Physical fitness, which is described as a set of attributes enabling one to participate in physical activity (Caspersen et al., 1985), is an important marker of health (Ortega et al., 2008). Empirical evidence indicates that both physical activity level and intensity have an influence upon physical fitness (Ruiz et al., 2006; Hussey et al., 2007; Hsieh et al., 2014).

Scholars are particularly interested in the relationships which exist between body composition, physical fitness and physical activity because a comprehensive understanding of these three parameters can shed light on childhood and adolescent growth (Andreasi et al., 2010; Dumith et al., 2010) and health status (Esmaelzadeh & Siahkouhian, 2011). Association between physical activity and body composition during adolescence is however complicated by the physical developmental changes which take place during this period, particularly in girls (Reichert et al., 2015). From their study of Canadian children, Brunet and associates (2007) concluded that there had been a general decline in the physical fitness of Canadian children during the period between 1981 and 2003, which had been accompanied by a strong negative correlation with body composition. The children were measured at the ages of 7, 8 and 10. The findings of other empirical studies have demonstrated a strong inverse correlation between physical activity and overweight (Bovet et al., 2007), among physical activity, adiposity and BMI (Brunet et al., 2007; Dumith et al., 2010; Marques et al., 2016), between physical fitness and blood pressure (Brunet et al., 2007) and also between physical activity and screen time (Bai et al., 2016). Research has also revealed strong associations among physical activity, weight and physical fitness (Artero et al., 2010; Dencker & Anderson, 2011). Aboshkair et al. (2012), argue that while the associations between BMI and cardiorespiratory fitness, are well researched less research has been done concerning the combined relationships among body fat, BMI and cardiorespiratory fitness. Their study also established a positive impact of increased or higher physical activity on health-related physical fitness (Aboshkair et al., 2012).
Although published studies and data are now available concerning the association among body composition, physical fitness and levels of physical activity for both children and adults in the developed countries, relatively little similar data is available concerning the developing world, particularly sub-Saharan Africa. In the developing countries, research into adolescent health tends to focus on health problems, rather than developmental issues, reducing the attention which is given to issues of prevention and association (Fatusi & Hindin, 2010). The purpose of this study was therefore to determine the association between body composition, health-related physical fitness and the levels of physical activity of Zimbabwean children aged 10-12 years old.

4.3 Methodology

The research followed a cross-sectional study design on the data collected during the conducting of the Healthy Kids Nutrition and Physical Activity: Zimbabwe Baseline Survey. Data for 809 children (356 boys and 453 girls) aged 10 and 12 years was used. The study consisted of children from Grades 4 to 6 who were enrolled in 15 purposively selected primary schools from all ten provinces of Zimbabwe (Makaza et al., 2015). The Zimbabwe Baseline Survey was approved by the Medical Research Council of Zimbabwe (MRCZ) and the certificate number was A/1900. Written informed consent was given by parents, guardians and children and written assent was also given by all participating children. The Ministry of Education also gave permission for the survey to be conducted. Permission to conduct this study was granted by both the Medical Research Council of Zimbabwe (MRCZ) and the Health Research Ethics Committee (HREC) of the North-West University. The approval numbers are MRCZ/B/1091 and NWU-00067-17-S1, respectively.

Data were collected during October and November of 2014. The Baseline Survey involved a questionnaire which collected information on nutritional knowledge, their attitudes, the foods and meals which they had consumed during the previous 7 days, the types of physical activity in which they engaged on a regular basis as well as that engaged in, on the previous day. The questionnaire as well as the consent and assent forms were made available in English, Shona and Ndebele. All children enrolled in Grades 4 to 6 and present on the day on which the data was collected had equal opportunity to participate in the study if their parents and they themselves individually indicated that they consented to do so. A total of 1062 children were randomly selected to participate in tests of health-related physical fitness. For the purposes of this study, data which had been obtained from 809 children was analysed. The anthropometric measurements were made according to standard ISAK specifications. Children were in minimal clothing (Stewart et al., 2011). The fitness
tests were conducted according to the standardised Eurofit (1988) and American Alliance for Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Dance (2013) protocols. The health-related physical fitness tests conducted were sit and reach, standing broad jump, flamingo balance test, handgrip strength, sit-ups, bent-arm hang, 10x5m shuttle run, 50m sprint and the 1.5-mile run.

**Demographic questionnaire**

The questionnaire captured demographic information concerning ages, genders, and the grades of the children.

**Anthropometric measurements**

The height of the children was measured by means of a stadiometer. The height of the children was measured while the children had no shoes and recorded to the nearest 0.1cm. The weight was measured while the children wore minimal clothing and recorded to the nearest 0.1kg. A Harpenden skinfold calliper was used to measure skinfolds. The triceps and subscapular skinfolds were measured to the nearest 0.1mm. Percentage of body fat was calculated from the sum of the triceps and subscapular skinfolds using the equation which was developed by Slaughter et al., (1988), which has been approved for use with children from different settings. The waist circumference was measured to the nearest 0.1cm with a Lufkin flexible steel anthropometric tape measure.

**Physical Fitness**

Nine physical fitness tests were part of this study. The flamingo balance test was used to determine the ability of the children to balance on one leg. The children were required to stand barefoot, with one leg flexed and held at the back, on a beam which was 3cm wide, 5cm high and 50cm long. The number of times which the children lost their balance in a 60-second period were counted and recorded. A score of zero was awarded if a child lost balance 15 times during the first 30 seconds of the test. The children were required to hold onto a horizontal bar with their chins above the bar for the flexed-arm hang test, which measured upper body strength. Their scores were recorded in seconds. The handgrip strength test also measured upper body strength. The children were required to squeeze a dynamometer forcefully and continuously, for at least 2 seconds, with each hand. The scores were recorded to the nearest 0.1kg. A standardised sit and reach box was used for the sit and reach test to measure the flexibility of the back and the hamstrings of the children. Scores were measured to the nearest 0.1cm. The sit-up test, to measure abdominal strength, was performed for
30 seconds with the knees bent at 90 degrees. The children were required to raise their trunks to touch their knees with their elbows, with their arms crossed in front of their chests. Their scores comprised the number of sit-ups which they were able to perform successfully in 30 seconds. The standing broad jump test measured lower body strength and the children were required to jump as far as they could from a stationery position. The distance jumped was measured to the nearest 0.1cm. The 10×5m shuttle run was used to measure speed and agility. The children were required to run as fast as they could between two lines, which had been drawn 10 metres apart and to pivot at each end, in order to repeat the run five times. The test was scored in seconds. The 50-metre sprint test was also used to assess speed and agility and required the children to run as fast as they could over a distance of 50 metres. Running commenced from a standing position and the results were scored in seconds. The 1.5-mile run tested their aerobic fitness, with the scores being recorded in the form of the time which was taken to complete the run in minutes.

4.4 Data analysis

Descriptive statistics of frequencies, means and standard deviations were used to analyse body composition, health-related physical fitness and levels of physical activity. The Mann-Whitney U-test was used to determine differences between genders. Spearman’s correlation coefficient was used to determine correlations among the variables of body composition, health-related physical fitness, and levels of physical activity. Correlations were determined for the whole group and also for both genders. The statistical analyses were performed by making use of Version 25 of the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software. The level of significance was set at p<0.05.
4.5 Results

Table 4-1: Characteristics of sample population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anthropometric measurements and body composition</th>
<th>C-MEAN</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>B-MEAN</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>G-MEAN</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>11.02</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>142.86</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>140.99</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>144.33</td>
<td>8.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>33.71</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>32.23</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>34.87</td>
<td>8.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triceps skinfold (mm)</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>3.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscapular skinfold (mm)</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist girth (cm)</td>
<td>58.17</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>58.03</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>58.29</td>
<td>5.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist-to-hip ratio</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>16.36</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>16.08</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>16.57</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of skinfolds (mm)</td>
<td>13.89</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>12.05</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>15.32</td>
<td>6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Body fat</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>17.67</td>
<td>8.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist-to-height-ratio</td>
<td>.41</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.41</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Health-related physical fitness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>C-MEAN</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>B-MEAN</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>G-MEAN</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sit and reach (cm)</td>
<td>10.90</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>9.82</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>11.78</td>
<td>8.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing broad jump (cm)</td>
<td>131.63</td>
<td>19.62</td>
<td>136.53</td>
<td>23.62</td>
<td>124.76</td>
<td>20.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flamingo balance test (x/sec)</td>
<td>9.32</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>5.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handgrip strength (R/kg)</td>
<td>14.92</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>14.74</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>15.08</td>
<td>6.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handgrip strength (L/kg)</td>
<td>13.56</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>13.24</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>13.81</td>
<td>6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sit-ups Maximum (x/sec)</td>
<td>12.53</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>14.41</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>4.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bent-arm hang (sec)</td>
<td>10.24</td>
<td>9.51</td>
<td>13.69</td>
<td>10.31</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>7.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x5m shuttle run (x/sec)</td>
<td>15.57</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>15.05</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>15.96</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50m sprint (sec)</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>.97</td>
<td>9.41</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5-mile run (sec)</td>
<td>14.01</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>12.60</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>15.16</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KEY:
C-MEAN=COMBINED MEAN, B-MEAN=BOYS MEAN, G-MEAN=GIRLS MEAN, SD=STANDARD DEVIATION
cm=centimetres, kg=kilograms, sec=seconds, x=times, R=Right, L=Left, BMI=Body mass index
mm=millimetres

Table 4-1 and Figure 4-1 summarise the anthropometric characteristics of the research sample. The mean height was 142.86 cm and the mean weight was 33.71 kg. The girls were heavier than the boys and had a higher mean percentage of body fat, while 14.83% of the children were classified as being overweight. A large portion of the children were classified as being thin or underweight. The boys outperformed the girls in all of the physical fitness tests except the flamingo balance, the sit and reach and the handgrip strength tests, in which the girls outperformed the boys.
Physical Activity

The physical activity of the children was captured by the (PAQ-C) Physical Activity Questionnaire for Children (Kowalski et al., 2004). The children provided information on the physical activities they engaged in regularly as well as those engaged in on the previous day. Responses to the questions were given according to categories. These responses were added up and percentages were calculated for the group of children. Table 4-2 shows the physical activity by age group. Only 23.9% of the 10 year olds spent more than one hour playing outside compared to 37.2% of the 11 year olds and 37.4% of the 12 year olds. Most of the children walked to school. The percentages of children who walked to school were as follows; 89.17% of the 10 year olds, 83.4% of the 11 year olds and 86.9% of the 12 years. A considerable percentage of the children preferred to go to school by bicycle. The highest percentage of 31.6% was among the 10 year olds followed by 30.9% among the 12 year olds and then 27.3% among the 11 year olds. Many of the children reported having participated in vigorous physical activity on the previous day. The percentages were as follows; 52.2% of the 10 year olds, 60.0% of the 11 year olds and 63.2% of the 12 year olds.
Table 4.2: Physical Activity by age group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical activity variables</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>10 years</th>
<th>11 years</th>
<th>12 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How many hours do you spend outside playing after school?</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less than one hour</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than one hour</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many hours did you spend watching videos or TV yesterday?</td>
<td>Less than half an hour</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Half an hour</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One hour</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One and a half hours</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two hours</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two and a half hours</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three hours</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three and a half hours</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Four hours</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Four and a half hours</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Five hours</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than five hours</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many hours did you spend playing electronic games?</td>
<td>Less than half an hour</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Half an hour</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One hour</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One and a half hours</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two hours</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two and a half hours</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three hours</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three and a half hours</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Four hours</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Four and a half hours</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Five hours</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than five hours</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What method of travel did you use to and from school yesterday?</td>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>89.17</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motorcycle</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bus/Kombi</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Car</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is your preferred method of getting to and from school?</td>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motorcycle</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bus/Kombi</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Train</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Car</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yesterday, did you exercise or participate in sports activities that made your heart beat fast and made you breathe hard for at least 20 minutes?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yesterday, did you exercise or participate in physical activities that made your heart beat fast and made you breathe hard for at least 20 minutes?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table taken from Mavingire et al., 2018, page 123

Table 4.3 demonstrates the associations between the various variables pertaining to body composition, health-related physical fitness and levels of physical activity for both boys and girls. Significant positive associations were observed weight and subscapular skinfold, waist girth, BMI,
sum of skinfolds, fat % and waist-to-height ratio at a level of p<0.01. Weight was significantly negatively associated with waist-to-hip ratio. Weight was positively significantly associated with the following fitness tests: sit and reach, flamingo balance, 10×5-metre shuttle run, and the 50-metre sprint at a level of p<0.01. BMI was significantly positively associated with all skinfolds, fat %, and waist girth. BMI was also significantly positively associated with some fitness tests, namely, the sit and reach, standing broad jump, handgrip strength, and 1.5-mile run tests, although but was significantly negatively associated with the bent-arm hang at p<0.01.

In addition, significant associations were observed between fat % and other body composition variables as well as between fat % and fitness tests. Significant positive associations at p<0.01 were found between waist girth and the anthropometric variables of height, weight, triceps skinfold, subscapular skinfold, BMI, sum of skinfolds and fat %. Significant positive associations at p<0.05 and p<0.01 were observed between waist girth and the fitness tests of flamingo balance, handgrip strength and the anthropometric index of waist-to-height ratio. Positive associations were found between the sit and reach test and the anthropometric variables. The standing broad jump and the bent-arm hang tests were inversely associated with the anthropometric variables with the associations being stronger for the bent-arm hang.
### Table 4-3: Correlation coefficients for boys and girls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Height (%)</th>
<th>Weight (%)</th>
<th>Triceps (%)</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Fat (%)</th>
<th>Waist/HeightR</th>
<th>SIT and reach</th>
<th>1.5m-run</th>
<th>1.5-mile run</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>.84**</td>
<td>.37**</td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.48**</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>.32**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.34**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>.84**</td>
<td>.51**</td>
<td>.57**</td>
<td>.66**</td>
<td>.38**</td>
<td>.31**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.34**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triceps</td>
<td>.37**</td>
<td>.51**</td>
<td>.83**</td>
<td>.49**</td>
<td>.49**</td>
<td>.21**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.34**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscapular</td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.57**</td>
<td>.93**</td>
<td>.47**</td>
<td>.39**</td>
<td>.23**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.34**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist</td>
<td>.48**</td>
<td>.49**</td>
<td>.57**</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>.46**</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.26**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist/ Hip ratio</td>
<td>-.43**</td>
<td>-.38**</td>
<td>-.49**</td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.23**</td>
<td>-.37**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.26**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>.51**</td>
<td>.91**</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.55**</td>
<td>.46**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.34**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat %</td>
<td>.40**</td>
<td>.51**</td>
<td>.91**</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.55**</td>
<td>.46**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.34**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIT and reach</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.21**</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.16**</td>
<td>.08**</td>
<td>.06**</td>
<td>.01**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing broad jump</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.08**</td>
<td>.07**</td>
<td>.05**</td>
<td>.08**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.26**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handgrip L</td>
<td>.31**</td>
<td>.34**</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td>.02**</td>
<td>.03**</td>
<td>.26**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handgrip R</td>
<td>.34**</td>
<td>.36**</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.01**</td>
<td>.26**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bent-arm hang</td>
<td>-.18**</td>
<td>-.20**</td>
<td>-.41**</td>
<td>-.16**</td>
<td>.06**</td>
<td>.16**</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.04**</td>
<td>-.12**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x5m shuttle</td>
<td>-.07</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td>-.23**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>-.14**</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.33**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50m sprint</td>
<td>-.14**</td>
<td>-.08**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.26**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY**
- Height = Height of subject
- W = Waist
- Wt = Weight
- Triceps = Triceps
- BMI = Body mass index
- Fat = Fat percentage
- WB = Waist-to-body mass index
- HGR = Handgrip right
- BAH = Bent-arm hang
- SIT = SIT and reach
- HGL = Handgrip left
- SBJ = Standing broad jump
- SB = 50m shuttle run
- SS = Sum of skinfolds
- SU = Sit-ups
- 1.5m = 1.5-mile run

*Correlation is significant at 0.05 level
**Correlation is significant at 0.01 level
Table 4-4: Correlation coefficients for boys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Triceps</th>
<th>SSx</th>
<th>Waist G</th>
<th>WJR</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Fat %</th>
<th>WHR</th>
<th>S &amp; R</th>
<th>SJ</th>
<th>EB</th>
<th>HGR</th>
<th>HGL</th>
<th>Sit Up</th>
<th>BAH</th>
<th>10x5</th>
<th>50m</th>
<th>1.5m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>.81**</td>
<td>.46**</td>
<td>.78**</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>.30**</td>
<td>.52**</td>
<td>.97**</td>
<td>.97**</td>
<td>.21**</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.02**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.03**</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.19**</td>
<td>.28**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>.81**</td>
<td>.46**</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.59**</td>
<td>.31**</td>
<td>.79**</td>
<td>.48**</td>
<td>.47**</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.32**</td>
<td>.31**</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.23**</td>
<td>.31**</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.21**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triceps</td>
<td>.25**</td>
<td>.46**</td>
<td>.78**</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>.30**</td>
<td>.52**</td>
<td>.97**</td>
<td>.97**</td>
<td>.21**</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.02**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.03**</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.19**</td>
<td>.28**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscapular</td>
<td>.25**</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.78**</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.21**</td>
<td>.50**</td>
<td>.90**</td>
<td>.90**</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.27**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.20**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist girth</td>
<td>.40**</td>
<td>.59**</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.57**</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.62**</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.16**</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.22**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist/Height</td>
<td>-.33**</td>
<td>-.31**</td>
<td>-.30**</td>
<td>-.21**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>-.19**</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>-.29**</td>
<td>.41**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.18**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>.25**</td>
<td>.79**</td>
<td>.52**</td>
<td>.57**</td>
<td>.19**</td>
<td>.53**</td>
<td>.53**</td>
<td>.27**</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of SKF</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td>.48**</td>
<td>.97**</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>.53**</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.22**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat %</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td>.47**</td>
<td>.97**</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.29**</td>
<td>.53**</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.29**</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.22**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist/Height</td>
<td>-.42**</td>
<td>-.09</td>
<td>.21**</td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.62**</td>
<td>.41**</td>
<td>.27**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sit and reach</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.11**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing b</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.16**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.19**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.30**</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.16**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flamingo b</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.11**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handgrip L</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td>.32**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>-.15**</td>
<td>.29**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>-.13**</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.19**</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.91**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.34**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.33**</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.33**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handgrip R</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.31**</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>-.18**</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>-.11**</td>
<td>-.12**</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.91**</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td>.21**</td>
<td>.36**</td>
<td>.33**</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.33**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sit-ups</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.30**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.35**</td>
<td>.37**</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.11**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bent-arm h</td>
<td>-.11**</td>
<td>-.11**</td>
<td>-.27**</td>
<td>-.15**</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>-.28**</td>
<td>-.29**</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>-.24**</td>
<td>-.20**</td>
<td>-.22**</td>
<td>.21**</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>-.25**</td>
<td>-.10</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x5m</td>
<td>-.19**</td>
<td>-.23**</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>-.20**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>.36**</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>.50**</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50m sprint</td>
<td>-.28**</td>
<td>-.31**</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>-.23**</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.34**</td>
<td>.32**</td>
<td>.37**</td>
<td>.25**</td>
<td>.50**</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.17**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5-mile run</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KEY
- Height=Height of subject
- Weight=Weight of subject
- Triceps=Triceps skinfold
- SSx=Subscapular skinfold
- Waist G=Waist girth
- BMI=Body mass index
- WHR=Waist-to-hip ratio
- S & R=Sit and reach
- SJ=Sprint jump
- EB=Elbow hang
- HGR=Handgrip right
- HGL=Handgrip left
- Sit Up=Sit-ups
- 10x5=10x5m shuttle run
- 50m=50-metre sprint
- 50%=Fat Percentage
- BAH=Bent-arm hang
- F=Flamingo balance

*= Correlation is significant at 0.05 level  **= Correlation is significant at 0.01 level
Among the boys, weight and waist girth were positively associated with anthropometric variables of height, skinfolds, BMI and fat %. The association for weight with BMI were particularly strong, with a correlation coefficient of 0.79. The fat percentage was negatively associated with most fitness tests at a significance of p<0.05. Both negative and positive associations were observed among the results for the girls. Height was significantly associated with most of the variables, particularly when the p-value was set at p<0.01 level. Waist girth and BMI were significantly positively associated with the majority of the anthropometric variables. The level of significance was at the p<0.01 level. BMI was positively associated with the sit and reach, flamingo balance and handgrip tests, but was negatively associated with performance in the bent-arm hang and the 10×5-metre shuttle run.
### Table 4-5: Correlation coefficients for girls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Triceps</th>
<th>SSc</th>
<th>Waist</th>
<th>WHR</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>S &amp; R</th>
<th>SJ</th>
<th>F B</th>
<th>HGR</th>
<th>HCL</th>
<th>S U</th>
<th>BAH</th>
<th>100s</th>
<th>50m</th>
<th>1.5mile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height</strong></td>
<td>.34**</td>
<td>.38**</td>
<td>.49**</td>
<td>.56**</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>.49**</td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.21**</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>.38**</td>
<td>.11*</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.19**</td>
<td>.31**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight</strong></td>
<td>.84**</td>
<td>.53**</td>
<td>.63**</td>
<td>.73**</td>
<td>.39**</td>
<td>.87**</td>
<td>.59**</td>
<td>.11*</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.40**</td>
<td>.36**</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.16**</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.02**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Triceps</strong></td>
<td>.38**</td>
<td>.53**</td>
<td>.82**</td>
<td>.58**</td>
<td>.47**</td>
<td>.53**</td>
<td>.97**</td>
<td>.34**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>13**</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.37**</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.11*</td>
<td>.40**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subscapular Sf</strong></td>
<td>.49**</td>
<td>.63**</td>
<td>.82**</td>
<td>.71**</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>.59**</td>
<td>.92**</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>11**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.37**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.34**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Waist girth</strong></td>
<td>.56**</td>
<td>.73**</td>
<td>.58**</td>
<td>.71**</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.69**</td>
<td>.66**</td>
<td>.64**</td>
<td>.19**</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>19**</td>
<td>.27**</td>
<td>.25**</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.19**</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.06**</td>
<td>.17**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Waist/Hip ratio</strong></td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>.39**</td>
<td>.47**</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.46**</td>
<td>.32**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.21**</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.08**</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.33**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI</strong></td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.59**</td>
<td>.97**</td>
<td>.92**</td>
<td>.66**</td>
<td>.46**</td>
<td>.58**</td>
<td>1.00**</td>
<td>.23**</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>14**</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.39**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.38**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fat %</strong></td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.59**</td>
<td>.97**</td>
<td>.92**</td>
<td>.66**</td>
<td>.46**</td>
<td>.58**</td>
<td>1.00**</td>
<td>.23**</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>14**</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.39**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.38**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Waist/Height R</strong></td>
<td>.21**</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.34**</td>
<td>.38**</td>
<td>.64**</td>
<td>.32**</td>
<td>.38**</td>
<td>.38**</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.25**</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sit and reach</strong></td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.19**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.25**</td>
<td>.21**</td>
<td>.23**</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.16**</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.19**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standing b jump</strong></td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.04**</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.27**</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>.28**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flamingo b</strong></td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.19**</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.31**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Handgrip R</strong></td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>.40**</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.27**</td>
<td>.21**</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.90**</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.16**</td>
<td>.31**</td>
<td>.10**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Handgrip L</strong></td>
<td>.38**</td>
<td>.36**</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.25**</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td>.25**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.90**</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.34**</td>
<td>.12**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sit-ups</strong></td>
<td>.11*</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.23**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bent-arm hang</strong></td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.16**</td>
<td>.37**</td>
<td>.37**</td>
<td>.19**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.16**</td>
<td>.39**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.27**</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.16**</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.32**</td>
<td>.21**</td>
<td>.17**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10x5m shuttle</strong></td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.16**</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.31**</td>
<td>.34**</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.32**</td>
<td>.38**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>50m sprint</strong></td>
<td>.19**</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.25**</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.21**</td>
<td>.21**</td>
<td>.38**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.5-mile run</strong></td>
<td>.31**</td>
<td>.59**</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>.34**</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.33**</td>
<td>.36**</td>
<td>.38**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.19**</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.31**</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.27**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY**
- **Height**=Height of subject
- **Weight=**Weight of subject
- **Triceps=**Triceps skinfold
- **WHR=**Waist-to-height ratio
- **BMI=**Body mass index
- **SS=**Subscapular skinfold
- **S & R=**Sit and reach
- **HGR=**Handgrip right
- **HCL=**Handgrip left
- **S U=**Sit-ups
- **BAH=**Bent-arm hang
- **100s=**100m shuttle run
- **50m=**10 metre sprint

* *=Correlation is significant at 0.05 level
** *=Correlation is significant at 0.01 level
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4.6 Discussion

The combined prevalence of overweight and obesity in the research sample of children was 14.8%, which is higher than the UNICEF/WHO/World Bank Group estimated levels for Africa and Southern Africa for 2017, of 5.2% and 11.8% respectively (UNICEF/WHO/World Bank Group, 2017). According to research, obesity and poor cardiorespiratory fitness greatly contribute to the increased prevalence of cardiovascular disease (Hsieh et al., 2014). It has been shown that children and adolescents with higher levels of cardiorespiratory fitness have healthier cardiovascular profiles than those whose levels of cardiovascular fitness are lower (Ortega et al., 2008). Increased physical activity is highly recommended in the prevention of childhood and adolescent obesity (Reichert et al., 2015). The study of the associations among body composition, physical fitness and physical activity in young people in different settings is therefore key to understanding the dynamics of the fight against the obesity epidemic. Bovet et al. (2007) found strong inverse associations between physical fitness and overweight in over 4000 adolescents in the Seychelles (Bovet et al., 2007).

Moderate and strong associations found for this study will be discussed. Strong associations were found between measures of adiposity and body weight. The positive correlations with weight ranged from r=0.51 for association with the triceps skinfold to r=0.83 for association with BMI showing reasonable agreement between the various measures of adiposity. These results are in agreement with findings of Brunet et al. (2007), who found significantly higher correlations of r=0.90 and r=0.86 between BMI and waist circumference among Canadian boys and girls, respectively (Brunet et al., 2007). The fact that percentages of body fat was strongly positively correlated with the skinfolds and sum of skinfolds shows that skinfolds are a good indicator of adiposity in the study population.

Inverse associations are usually expected between BMI and tests that require the body to be projected through space (Kim et al., 2005; Monyeki et al., 2005; Bovet et al., 2007). However a positive association was found between BMI and the standing broad jump for the children in our study. This could be explained by the fact that a large portion of the sample was underweight. This was similar to the findings of Monyeki and colleagues in an undernourished population. In the study by Monyeki and colleagues, the result was ascribed to the possibility that the BMI was a result of more muscle mass, rather than fat (Monyeki et al., 2005).
The positive association between BMI and handgrip strength, $r=0.26$ and $r=0.24$ for the combined genders for the right and left hand respectively is similar to the findings of Bovet et al. (2007). On the other hand, the percentages of body fat and the sum of skinfolds were inversely associated with the handgrip strength test. The reasons for this observation are not clear since it would have been expected that underweight children would perform poorly in the handgrip test since they would be expected to also have less absolute muscle mass required for good performance in this test (Artero et al., 2010). Stature correlated positively with the right and left handgrip strength tests. This finding was similar to that of Muhumbe & Van Gent among rural and urban boys in the Eastern Cape province of South Africa (Muhumbe & Van Gent, 20114).

Inverse correlations are usually observed between BMI and percentage body fat with physical fitness tests requiring speed and agility as well as in lifting of the body (Bovet et al., 2007; Brunet et al., 2007). In this study however, only weak insignificant negative correlations were found between BMI and scores for the 10×5-metre shuttle run and the 50-metre sprint in the combined sample. The finding could possibly be explained by the fact that the majority of the children were thin. It has been argued that both being underweight and overweight will affect physical performance (Monyeki et al., 2005; Artero et al., 2010). Expected significant negative correlations were however observed among the boys. Unexpected positive correlations found between the sum of skinfolds measurements and percentages of body fat with the 1.5-mile run test for aerobic fitness in the combined group and among the girls differed from the findings of other research studies. Ostojic et al. (2011) found strong negative correlations between aerobic fitness and percentages of body fat among Serbian school children aged 6 to 14 years.

In the bent-arm hang test, the correlations of $r=-0.15$ with BMI and $r=-0.49$ with percentages of body fat confirmed previous findings that underweight children tend to perform better in the test (Artero et al., 2010). Andreasi and colleagues point out that significant negative correlations are usually observed between BMI and waist circumference and abdominal strength (Andreasi et al., 2010). By contrast, it was found in this study that scores for the sit-up test were positively correlated with the BMI scores. The finding was an unexpected one, as it is normally assumed that the thin children would find it easy to lift their trunks. The most plausible conclusion could be that the poor performance of the thin children in the test could be attributed to the negative influence which being underweight exerts upon muscular fitness (Bovet et al., 2007; Artero et al., 2010; Aboshkair et al., 2012).
As it has been reiterated throughout the article, physical activity is crucial to developing and maintaining cardiorespiratory fitness (Hsieh et al., 2014). Increased mechanisation, not only in work but also in modes of transport and leisure activities, has led to a drastic decrease in physical activity, making inactivity a major public health problem of both young and old (Blair & Morris, 2009). No gold standard method exists for the measurement of daily physical activity (Denker & Andersen, 2011). Although physical activity is recommended for the prevention and treatment of obesity (Reichert et al., 2015), alarmingly large numbers of children and adolescents throughout the world do not engage in the recommended daily 60 minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity (WHO, 2016). This is of concern since daily physical activity has a bearing on the levels of aerobic and muscular physical fitness as well as body composition, both of which affect children’s cardiovascular health quite early in life (Ortega et al., 2008).

The majority of children in this study (85%) use active transport to and from school. This it can be argued contributes considerable time to their daily physical activity. This should be interpreted with a measure of caution though since the children were not asked about how long the daily commuting to school, took in order to estimate the distances which they covered. In the rural schools it was observed that the children had to travel in groups suggesting that the distances which they covered may have been considerable, necessitating the organising of groups to ensure their safety. Although most of the children walked to and from school, only 30% reported playing outside for more than an hour after school. It is possible that the children may have engaged in the performance of household chores after school, particularly the girls as is the case in many African communities (Prista et al., 2003). A considerable number of children (63.7% of the boys and 58.3% of the girls) reported participating in exercise that elevated the heart rate while a small minority of 5.3% reported having watched television for more than 5 hours on the previous day. Although the levels of physical activity of the children were not computed to metabolic equivalents, it would appear that the use of active transport benefits the children considering the levels of overweight in the study population. Sarmiento and associates in their study of 12 countries found that children who used active school transport were less likely to be overweight or to have high percentages of body fat than those who used forms of automotive transport. The countries in the study were Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, Colombia, Finland, India, Kenya, Portugal, South Africa, the United Kingdom and the United States of America (Sarmiento et al., 2015). The interpretation in this case should be taken with caution however since other factors may contribute to the low weight of many of the study subjects.
Limitations

The study had several inherent limitations. Body composition was assessed by means of field research methods. The physical activity was measured using a questionnaire which is subject to bias. In addition, the amount of physical activity in which the children engaged by walking to and from school could not be determined, as the distances were not established.

4.7 Conclusion

One of the significant findings of the study was that a majority of the children in the study fell into the thinness categories in relation to BMI. This had a bearing on the outcome of the results of the fitness tests. Both positive and negative correlations especially between BMI and health-related fitness tests were found. Active transportation contributed to the levels of physical activity in which the children engaged. Future studies should however aim to quantify the time which is spent commuting in order to estimate the distances which are covered and to arrive at accurate assessments of daily physical activity.
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5.1 SUMMARY

Childhood health has become a topical issue in 21st century international affairs and public health (Alberga et al., 2012:261; European Association for the Study of Obesity EASO & C3 Collaborating for Health, 2014:5, 7; Güngör, 2014:129). Indeed, the future of the desired world trends in obesity rests upon the successful navigation of childhood and adolescence by every child (Alberga et al., 2012:268; Güngör, 2014:140; WHO, 2016:10, 40). Physical activity is an important element in the growth of children contributing much to their overall health (WHO, 2012:4). This study looked at various issues concerning childhood and adolescent health. It was observed that childhood overweight and obesity having reached epidemic levels continue to grow worldwide (Lobstein et al., 2015:2510; WHO, 2017a:6), alongside the ever-increasing levels of physical inactivity (Muth, 2015:34, 39). In 2016 it was estimated that more than 124 million of the children and adolescents of the world aged between 5-19 years were obese (WHO, 2017b:1).

Childhood obesity usually leads to adult obesity and its accompanying comorbidities (Biro & Wien, 2010:1503S; WHO, 2017a:6). Childhood obesity however also leads to serious childhood health problems which impact quality of life. Key among these health problems are Type 2 Diabetes mellitus, asthma, hypertension, polycystic ovarian syndrome, early puberty, sleep apnea, dyslipidemia, as well as a range of musculoskeletal and psychosocial problems (Lakshman et al., 2012:1771; Güngör, 2014:133). The monitoring of trends of childhood overweight and obesity, physical activity and physical fitness is key in the global effort to reduce and prevent obesity (WHO, 2016:38; WHO, 2017a:9). An examination of the relevant available literature revealed that a gap exists in the information available concerning childhood obesity, physical activity and related health issues for some parts of the world, including Zimbabwe. The aim of this study was therefore to determine:

The health-related physical fitness, anthropometry and physical activity levels of Zimbabwean children aged 10-12 years.

The study is presented in article format with Chapters 3 and 4 taking the form of research articles which are to be submitted for publication in accredited peer-reviewed journals. The first chapter was devoted to an elucidation of the problem statement, the objectives and hypotheses of the study.
It was apparent that there is a gap in the literature concerning health-related physical fitness anthropometry and physical activity levels of 10-12 year old children in Zimbabwe.

Chapter 2 took the form of a review of the relevant available literature pertaining to the health-related physical fitness, body composition and physical activity during childhood. Particular emphasis was placed upon assessing contemporary trends in the prevalence of overweight and obesity. It was abundantly evident from the literature that childhood overweight and obesity are a matter of public health (Jaacks et al., 2015:434). It was observed from literature that increased physical activity has numerous benefits for children and adolescents. The benefits include optimal growth and development, healthy body composition, reduced risk of NCDs and increased self-esteem among others (Monyeki, 2014:332-333). The literature also confirmed that regular monitoring and measuring of health-related physical fitness, body composition and physical activity are key in the fight against childhood overweight and obesity (Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion, 2013:12).

Reliable test batteries involving field tests have been designed for the assessment of childhood health-related physical fitness and body composition (Ortega et al., 2008:S55). Childhood physical activity can be determined by using either subjective or objective methods. The current trend in assessing physical activity is moving towards more use of objective methods (Freedson et al., 2012:S1; Ainsworth et al., 2015:390). The literature tended to suggest that the limited numbers of studies which had been conducted in the developing world could be attributed, to a large extent, to the unaffordability of using objective methods for many developing countries. Subjective methods, particularly those which entail the use of variants of the physical activity questionnaire, are still useful and practical for large-scale epidemiological studies.

Many children do not meet the recommended 60 minutes per day of physical activity. Literature confirmed that children spend most of their waking hours at school. This makes schools key centres for the promotion of physical activity among school-age children (New Zealand Ministry of Education, 2007:13; Story et al., 2009:72). The provision of quality PE in schools has great potential for contribute meaningful physical activity for all school children. In Zimbabwe PE has faced mixed fortunes over the years as has occurred in other parts of the world. Literature revealed that Zimbabwe introduced new PE syllabi in 2017 which make PE an examinable subject at the primary and secondary school levels. Chapter 2 concluded with a summary of the types of strategies which could be adopted in order to promote a diverse range of physical activities in schools.
Chapter 3 is a research article investigating, ‘Health-related physical fitness, anthropometry, and physical activity levels of Zimbabwean children aged 10-12 years old’. Chapter 4 takes the form of another research article investigating, ‘The relationship between body composition, physical fitness, and levels of physical activity of Zimbabwean children aged 10-12 years.’ Both articles comprise detailed discussions of the research designs which were developed to conduct the respective studies, the research methods which were employed, the results which were generated, and discussions of their findings and conclusions.

5.2 CONCLUSIONS

The conclusions of the study are in accordance with the objectives and hypotheses which were articulated in Chapter 1.

5.2.1 Hypothesis 1: Significant differences in health-related physical fitness and levels of physical activity will be found for Zimbabwean children aged 10-12 years.

Significant gender differences were found in the results for the performance of eight physical fitness tests, $p \leq 0.05$. Significant gender differences were observed for the sit and reach, standing broad jump, flamingo balance, sit-ups, bent arm hang, 10×5m shuttle run, 50m sprint and 1.5 mile run physical fitness tests. The boys performed better than the girls in six of the eight tests, in which statistically significant gender differences were found. In terms of levels of physical activity, the boys were found to be significantly more active than the girls. Among the boys, 45% reported spending more than an hour playing outside after school, compared with 40% of the girls. Less than half of the children (43.1%) reported that they played with electronic games. Accordingly, hypothesis 1 is accepted.

5.2.2 Hypothesis 2: A significant negative relationship will be found between levels of physical activity and body composition for Zimbabwean children aged 10-12 years.

The results of the study showed that the majority of the children who participated in the study were underweight. Children with grade 1 and grade 2 thinness, were 5.9% each while 62.4% of the children were classified as generally thin. The children had considerable levels of physical activity indicated by the fact that 85.2% of the children reported walking to and from school. The time taken to commute and the distances which they covered during the commuting were however not determined. Scores for physical activity were calculated according to time, specifically hours. Metabolic equivalents were not used to score physical activity. Significant positive correlations
were found between body composition and scores for the sit and reach, handgrip strength, and flamingo balance physical fitness tests. Significant negative correlations were found between the body composition and the standing broad jump and the bent arm hang physical fitness tests. A similar statistical correlation between body composition and physical activity could not be established, owing to the method which was used to score physical activity. Owing to the high contribution of walking to the overall levels of physical activity of the children, hypothesis 2 is partially accepted.

5.3 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

The study was not without limitations. Interpretation of the results should be made in the light of a number of inherent weaknesses. One limitation lies in the sample selection. Although the study sample was drawn from primary schools located in the ten provinces of Zimbabwe, the fact that these schools had to have been part of the group of schools involved with Nestlé, introduced some form of bias in the selection. Although the participating primary schools represented average schools in Zimbabwe, there was no representation of the children from the affluent primary schools. The cross-sectional nature of the research design was also a weakness, as repeated measurements in a longitudinal study would have provided a more comprehensive picture of the health-related physical fitness, anthropometry, and physical activity of the Zimbabwean children aged 10-12 years. Another weakness of the study was that the physical activity was assessed using a subjective method, namely, the administration of a physical activity questionnaire. The fact that body composition was assessed using field methods rather than laboratory methods was also a weakness.

5.4 RECOMMENDATIONS

From the findings of this study, it is evident that there is a need to conduct further research concerning Zimbabwean children in the following respects:

- More cross-sectional studies of nationally representative samples are needed to determine the prevalence of overweight and obesity among Zimbabwean children between the ages of 6 and 18 years.
- More cross-sectional studies with larger nationally representative samples are needed to measure health-related physical fitness, anthropometry, and physical activity among 10- to 12-year-old Zimbabwean children.
• Longitudinal studies are needed to provide a more comprehensive appraisal of health-related physical fitness, body composition, and physical activity of Zimbabwean primary school children.

• Studies are needed in which laboratory methods are used to assess health-related physical fitness and body composition, and objective methods are used to assess physical activity among children.

• Cross-sectional and longitudinal studies are needed to determine health-related physical fitness, body composition, and physical activity among secondary school children in Zimbabwe.
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**Submission Checklist**

Please

1. read the Aims & Scope to gain an overview and assess if your manuscript is suitable for this journal;
2. use the Microsoft Word template or LaTeX template to prepare your manuscript;
3. make sure that issues about publication ethics, research ethics, copyright, authorship, figure formats, data and references format have been appropriately considered; and
4. ensure that all authors have approved the content of the submitted manuscript.

**Manuscript Submission Overview**

**Types of Publications**

*IJERPH* has no restrictions on the length of manuscripts, provided that the text is concise and comprehensive. Full experimental details must be provided so that the results can be reproduced. *IJERPH* requires that authors publish all experimental controls and make full datasets available where possible (please read the guidelines about Supplementary Materials and references to unpublished data carefully).

Manuscripts submitted to *IJERPH* should neither been published before nor be under consideration for publication in another journal. The main article types are as follows:
• **Articles:** research manuscripts that report new evidence or new conclusions. The journal considers all original research manuscripts provided that the work reports scientifically sound experiments and provides a substantial amount of new information. Authors should not unnecessarily divide their work into several related manuscripts, although short *Communications* of preliminary, but significant, results will be considered. Replications of previous studies are permitted if clearly indicated as such.

• **Reviews:** review manuscripts provide concise and precise updates on the latest progress made in a given area of research. Systematic reviews should follow the PRISMA guidelines.

• **Case reports:** Case reports present detailed information on the symptoms, signs, diagnosis, treatment (including all types of interventions), and outcomes of an individual patient. Case reports usually describe new or uncommon conditions that serve to enhance medical care or highlight diagnostic approaches.

• **Conference Papers:** Expanded and high quality conference papers are also considered in *IJERPH* if they fulfill the following requirements: (1) the paper should be expanded to the size of a research article; (2) the conference paper should be cited and noted on the first page of the paper; (3) if the authors do not hold the copyright to the published conference paper, authors should seek the appropriate permission from the copyright holder; (4) authors are asked to disclose that it is conference paper in their cover letter and include a statement on what has been changed compared to the original conference paper.

**Submission Process**

Manuscripts for *IJERPH* should be submitted online at susy.mdpi.com. The submitting author, who is generally the corresponding author, is responsible for the manuscript during the submission and peer-review process. The submitting author must ensure that all eligible co-authors have been included in the author list (read the criteria to qualify for authorship) and that they all have read and approved the submitted version of the manuscript. To submit your manuscript, register and log in to the submission website. Once you have registered, click here to go to the submission form for *IJERPH*. All co-authors can see the manuscript details in the submission system, if they register and log in using the e-mail address provided during manuscript submission.

**Accepted File Formats**

Authors must use the Microsoft Word template or LaTeX template to prepare their manuscript. Using the template file will substantially shorten the time to complete copy-editing and publication of accepted manuscripts. Accepted file formats are:

• **Microsoft Word:** Manuscripts prepared in Microsoft Word must be converted into a single file before submission. When preparing manuscripts in Microsoft Word, the *IJERPH Microsoft Word template file* must be used. Please insert your graphics (schemes, figures, etc.) in the main text after the paragraph of its first citation.

• **LaTeX:** Manuscripts prepared in LaTeX must be collated into one ZIP folder (include all source files and images, so that the Editorial Office can recompile the submitted PDF). When preparing manuscripts in LaTeX, please use the *IJERPH LaTeX template files*. You can now also use the online application writeLaTeX to submit articles directly to *IJERPH*. The MDPI LaTeX template file should be selected from the writeLaTeX template gallery.
• *Supplementary files:* May be any format, but it is recommended that you use common, non-proprietary formats where possible (see below for further details).

**Cover Letter**

A cover letter must be included with each manuscript submission. It should be concise and explain why the content of your paper is significant, placing your findings in the context of existing work and why it fits the scope of the journal. Please confirm that neither the manuscript nor any parts of its content are currently under consideration or published in another journal. Any prior submissions of the manuscript to MDPI journals must be acknowledged. The names of proposed and excluded reviewers should be provided in the submission system, not in the cover letter.

**Note for Authors Funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH)**

This journal automatically deposits papers to PubMed Central after publication of an issue. Authors do not need to separately submit their papers through the NIH Manuscript Submission System (NIHMS, [http://nihms.nih.gov/](http://nihms.nih.gov/)).
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**Preparation of a Manuscript**

**General Considerations**

- **Research manuscripts** should comprise:
  - **Front matter:** Title, Author list, Affiliations, Abstract, Keywords
  - **Research manuscript sections:** Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion, Conclusions.
  - **Back matter:** Supplementary Materials, Acknowledgments, Author Contributions, Conflicts of Interest, References.

- **Review manuscripts** should comprise the front matter, literature review sections and the back matter. The template file can also be used to prepare the front and back matter of your review manuscript. It is not necessary to follow the remaining structure. Structured reviews and meta-analyses should use the same structure as research articles and ensure they conform to the PRISMA guidelines.

- **Case reports** should include a succinct introduction about the general medical condition or relevant symptoms that will be discussed in the case report; the case presentation including all of the relevant de-identified demographic and descriptive information about the patient(s), and a description of the symptoms, diagnosis, treatment, and outcome; a discussion providing context and any necessary explanation of specific treatment decisions; a conclusion briefly outlining the take-home message and the lessons learned.

- **Abstract graphic:** Authors are encouraged to provide a graphical abstract as a self-explanatory image to appear alongside with the text abstract in the Table of Contents. Figures should be a high quality image in any common image format. Note that images displayed online will be up to 11 by 9 cm on screen and the figure should be clear at this size.

- **Abbreviations** should be defined in parentheses the first time they appear in the abstract, main text, and in figure or table captions.
• **SI Units** (International System of Units) should be used. Imperial, US customary and other units should be converted to SI units whenever possible.

• **Accession numbers** of RNA, DNA and protein sequences used in the manuscript should be provided in the Materials and Methods section. Please also see the section on [Deposition of Sequences and of Expression Data](#).

• **Equations:** If you are using Word, please use either the Microsoft Equation Editor or the MathType add-on. Equations should be editable by the editorial office and not appear in a picture format.

• **Research Data and supplementary materials:** Note that publication of your manuscript implies that you must make all materials, data, and protocols associated with the publication available to readers. Please disclose at the submission stage any restrictions on the availability of materials or information. Read the information about [Supplementary Materials](#) and Data Deposit for additional guidelines.

• **Preregistration:** Where authors have preregistered studies or analysis plans, links to the preregistration must be provided in the manuscript.

• **Guidelines and standards:** MDPI follows standards and guidelines for certain types of research. See [http://www.mdpi.com/editorial_process](http://www.mdpi.com/editorial_process) for further information.
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**Front Matter**

These sections should appear in all manuscript types

• **Title:** The title of your manuscript should be concise, specific and relevant. It should identify if the study reports (human or animal) trial data, or is a systematic review, meta-analysis or replication study. When gene or protein names are included, the abbreviated name rather than full name should be used.

• **Author List and Affiliations:** Authors' full first and last names must be provided. The initials of any middle names can be added. The PubMed/MEDLINE standard format is used for affiliations: complete address information including city, zip code, state/province, country, and all email addresses. At least one author should be designated as corresponding author, and his or her email address and other details should be included at the end of the affiliation section. Please read the [criteria to qualify for authorship](#).

• **Abstract:** The abstract should be a total of about 200 words maximum. The abstract should be a single paragraph and should follow the style of structured abstracts, but without headings: 1) **Background:** Place the question addressed in a broad context and highlight the purpose of the study; 2) **Methods:** Describe briefly the main methods or treatments applied. Include any relevant preregistration numbers, and species and strains of any animals used. 3) **Results:** Summarize the article's main findings; and 4) **Conclusion:** Indicate the main conclusions or interpretations. The abstract should be an objective representation of the article: it must not contain results which are not presented and substantiated in the main text and should not exaggerate the main conclusions.

• **Keywords:** Three to ten pertinent keywords need to be added after the abstract. We recommend that the keywords are specific to the article, yet reasonably common within the subject discipline.
Research Manuscript Sections

- **Introduction:** The introduction should briefly place the study in a broad context and highlight why it is important. It should define the purpose of the work and its significance, including specific hypotheses being tested. The current state of the research field should be reviewed carefully and key publications cited. Please highlight controversial and diverging hypotheses when necessary. Finally, briefly mention the main aim of the work and highlight the main conclusions. As far as possible, please keep the introduction comprehensible to scientists working outside the topic of the paper.

- **Materials and Methods:** They should be described with sufficient detail to allow others to replicate and build on published results. New methods and protocols should be described in detail while well-established methods can be briefly described and appropriately cited. Give the name and version of any software used and make clear whether computer code used is available. Include any pre-registration codes.

- **Results:** Provide a concise and precise description of the experimental results, their interpretation as well as the experimental conclusions that can be drawn.

- **Discussion:** Authors should discuss the results and how they can be interpreted in perspective of previous studies and of the working hypotheses. The findings and their implications should be discussed in the broadest context possible and limitations of the work highlighted. Future research directions may also be mentioned. This section may be combined with Results.

- **Conclusions:** This section is mandatory, and should provide readers with a brief summary of the main conclusions.

Back Matter

- **Supplementary Materials:** Describe any supplementary material published online alongside the manuscript (figure, tables, video, spreadsheets, etc.). Please indicate the name and title of each element as follows Figure S1: title, Table S1: title, etc.

- **Acknowledgments:** All sources of funding of the study should be disclosed. Clearly indicate grants that you have received in support of your research work and if you received funds to cover publication costs. Note that some funders will not refund article processing charges (APC) if the funder and grant number are not clearly and correctly identified in the paper. Funding information can be entered separately into the submission system by the authors during submission of their manuscript. Such funding information, if available, will be deposited to FundRef if the manuscript is finally published.

- **Author Contributions:** For research articles with several authors, a short paragraph specifying their individual contributions must be provided. The following statements should be used "X and Y conceived and designed the experiments; X performed the experiments; Y analyzed the data; W contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools; Y wrote the paper." **Authorship must include and be limited to those who have contributed substantially to the work. Please read the section concerning the criteria to qualify for authorship carefully.**

- **Conflicts of Interest:** Authors must identify and declare any personal circumstances or interest that may be perceived as inappropriately influencing the representation or interpretation of reported research results. If there is no conflict of interest, please state "The authors declare no conflict of interest." Any role of the funding sponsors in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses or interpretation of data; in the writing of
the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results must be declared in this section. If
there is no role, please state “The founding sponsors had no role in the design of the
study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the
manuscript, and in the decision to publish the results”.

- **References:** References must be numbered in order of appearance in the text (including
table captions and figure legends) and listed individually at the end of the manuscript. We
recommend preparing the references with a bibliography software package, such as
EndNote, ReferenceManager or Zotero to avoid typing mistakes and duplicated
references. We encourage citations to data, computer code and other citable research
material. Include the digital object identifier (DOI) for all references where available. If
available online, you may use reference style 9. below.

- Citations and References in Supplementary files are permitted provided that they also
appear in the main text and in the reference list.

In the text, reference numbers should be placed in square brackets [], and placed before the
punctuation; for example [1], [1–3] or [1,3]. For embedded citations in the text with pagination,
use both parentheses and brackets to indicate the reference number and page numbers; for example

The Reference list should include the full title as recommended by the ACS style guide. The style
file for endnote, MDPI.ens, can be found at http://endnote.com/downloads/style/mdpi References
should be described as follows, depending on the type of work:

- **Journal Articles:**
  1. Author 1, A.B.; Author 2, C.D. Title of the article. *Abbreviated Journal Name* Year, Volume,
     page range, DOI. Available online: URL (accessed on Day Month Year).

- **Books and Book Chapters:**
  2. Author 1, A.; Author 2, B. *Book Title*, 3rd ed.; Publisher: Publisher Location, Country, Year;
     pp. 154–196; ISBN.
  3. Author 1, A.; Author 2, B. Title of the chapter. In *Book Title*, 2nd ed.; Editor 1, A.; Editor 2, B.,
     Eds.; Publisher: Publisher Location, Country, Year; Volume 3, pp. 154–196; ISBN.

- **Unpublished work, submitted work, personal communication:**
  4. Author 1, A.B.; Author 2, C. Title of Unpublished Work. status (unpublished; manuscript in
     preparation).
  5. Author 1, A.B.; Author 2, C. Title of Unpublished Work. *Abbreviated Journal Name* stage of
     publication (under review; accepted; in press).
  6. Author 1, A.B. (University, City, State, Country); Author 2, C. (Institute, City, State, Country).
     Personal communication, Year.

- **Conference Proceedings:**
  7. Author 1, A.B.; Author 2, C.D.; Author 3, E.F. Title of Presentation. In *Title of the Collected
     Work* (if available), Proceedings of the Name of the Conference, Location of Conference, Country,
     Date of Conference; Editor 1, Editor 2, Eds. (if available); Publisher: City, Country, Year (if
     available); Abstract Number (optional), Pagination (optional).

- **Thesis:**
  8. Author 1, A.B. Title of Thesis. Level of Thesis, Degree-Granting University, Location of
     University, Date of Completion.

- **Websites:**
  9. Title of Site. Available online: URL (accessed on Day Month Year).

Unlike published works, websites may change over time or disappear, so we encourage you create
an archive of the cited website using a service such as WebCite. Archived websites should be cited
Preparing Figures, Schemes and Tables

- File for Figures and schemes must be provided during submission in a single zip archive and at a sufficiently high resolution (minimum 1000 pixels width/height, or a resolution of 300 dpi or higher). Common formats are accepted, however, TIFF, JPEG, EPS and PDF are preferred.
- *IJERPH* can publish multimedia files in articles or as supplementary materials. Please contact the editorial office for further information.
- All Figures, Schemes and Tables should be inserted into the main text close to their first citation and must be numbered following their number of appearance (Figure 1, Scheme I, Figure 2, Scheme II, Table 1, etc.).
- All Figures, Schemes and Tables should have a short explanatory title and caption.
- All table columns should have an explanatory heading. To facilitate the copy-editing of larger tables, smaller fonts may be used, but no less than 8 pt. in size. Authors should use the Table option of Microsoft Word to create tables.
- Authors are encouraged to prepare figures and schemes in color (RGB at 8-bit per channel). There is no additional cost for publishing full color graphics.

Supplementary Materials, Data Deposit and Software Source Code

Data Availability

In order to maintain the integrity, transparency and reproducibility of research records, authors must make their experimental and research data openly available either by depositing into data repositories or by publishing the data and files as supplementary information in this journal.

Computer Code and Software

For work where novel computer code was developed, authors should release the code either by depositing in a recognized, public repository or uploading as supplementary information to the publication. The name and version of all software used should be clearly indicated.

Supplementary Material

Additional data and files can be uploaded as "Supplementary Files" during the manuscript submission process. The supplementary files will also be available to the referees as part of the peer-review process. Any file format is acceptable, however we recommend that common, non-proprietary formats are used where possible.
Unpublished Data

Restrictions on data availability should be noted during submission and in the manuscript. "Data not shown" should be avoided: authors are encouraged to publish all observations related to the submitted manuscript as Supplementary Material. "Unpublished data" intended for publication in a manuscript that is either planned, "in preparation" or "submitted" but not yet accepted, should be cited in the text and a reference should be added in the References section. "Personal Communication" should also be cited in the text and reference added in the References section. (see also the MDPI reference list and citations style guide).

Remote Hosting and Large Data Sets

Data may be deposited with specialized service providers or institutional/subject repositories, preferably those that use the DataCite mechanism. Large data sets and files greater than 60 MB must be deposited in this way. For a list of repositories specialized in scientific and experimental data, please consult databib.org or re3data.org. The data repository name, link to the data set (URL) and accession number, doi or handle number of the data set must be provided in the paper. The journal Data also accepts submissions of data set papers.

Deposition of Sequences and of Expression Data

New sequence information must be deposited to the appropriate database prior to submission of the manuscript. Accession numbers provided by the database should be included in the submitted manuscript. Manuscripts will not be published until the accession number is provided.

- **New nucleic acid sequences** must be deposited in one of the following databases: GenBank, EMBL, or DDBJ. Sequences should be submitted to only one database.
- **New high throughput sequencing (HTS) datasets** (RNA-seq, ChIP-Seq, degradome analysis, …) must be deposited either in the GEO database or in the NCBI’s Sequence Read Archive.
- **New microarray data** must be deposited either in the GEO or the ArrayExpress databases. The "Minimal Information About a Microarray Experiment" (MIAME) guidelines published by the Microarray Gene Expression Data Society must be followed.
- **New protein sequences** obtained by protein sequencing must be submitted to UniProt (submission tool SPIN).

All sequence names and the accession numbers provided by the databases should be provided in the Materials and Methods section of the article.

References in Supplementary Files

Citations and References in Supplementary files are permitted provided that they also appear in the reference list of the main text.
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Research Ethics

Research Involving Human Subjects

When reporting on research that involves human subjects, human material, human tissues or human data, authors must declare that the investigations were carried out following the rules of the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975 (https://www.wma.net/what-we-do/medical-ethics/declaration-of-helsinki/), revised in 2008. According to point 23 of this declaration, an approval from an ethics committee should have been obtained before undertaking the research. As a minimum, a statement including the project identification code, date of approval and name of the ethics committee or institutional review board should be cited in the Methods Section of the article. Data relating to individual participants must be described in detail, but private information identifying participants need not be included unless the identifiable materials are of relevance to the research (for example, photographs of participants’ faces that show a particular symptom). A written informed consent for publication must be obtained from participating patients in this case.

Editors reserve the rights to reject any submission that does not meet these requirements.

Example of Ethical Statements:

All subjects gave their informed consent for inclusion before they participated in the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of XXX (Project identification code).

Ethical Guidelines for the Use of Animals in Research

The editors will require that the benefits potentially derived from any research causing harm to animals are significant in relation to any cost endured by animals, and that procedures followed are unlikely to cause offense to the majority of readers. Authors should particularly ensure that their research complies with the commonly-accepted '3Rs':

- Replacement of animals by alternatives wherever possible,
- Reduction in number of animals used, and
- Refinement of experimental conditions and procedures to minimize the harm to animals.

Any experimental work must also have been conducted in accordance with relevant national legislation on the use of animals for research. For further guidance authors should refer to the Code of Practice for the Housing and Care of Animals Used in Scientific Procedures [1].

Manuscripts containing original descriptions of research conducted in experimental animals must contain details of approval by a properly constituted research ethics committee. As a minimum, the project identification code, date of approval and name of the ethics committee or institutional review board should be cited in the Methods section.

IJERPH endorses the ARRIVE guidelines (www.nc3rs.org.uk/ARRIVE) for reporting experiments using live animals. Authors and reviewers can use the ARRIVE guidelines as a checklist, which can be found at www.nc3rs.org.uk/ARRIVEchecklist.

Research Involving Plants

Experimental research on plants (either cultivated or wild) including collection of plant material, must comply with institutional, national, or international guidelines. We recommend that authors comply with the Convention on Biological Diversity and the Convention on the Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora.

For each submitted manuscript supporting genetic information and origin must be provided. For research manuscripts involving rare and non-model plants (other than, e.g., Arabidopsis thaliana, Nicotiana benthamiana, Oriza sativa, or many other typical model plants), voucher specimens must be deposited in an accessible herbarium or museum. Vouchers may be requested for review by future investigators to verify the identity of the material used in the study (especially if taxonomic rearrangements occur in the future). They should include details of the populations sampled on the site of collection (GPS coordinates), date of collection, and document the part(s) used in the study where appropriate. For rare, threatened or endangered species this can be waived but it is necessary for the author to describe this in the cover letter.

Editors reserve the rights to reject any submission that does not meet these requirements.

An example of Ethical Statements:

Torenia fournieri plants were used in this study. White-flowered Crown White (CrW) and violet-flowered Crown Violet (CrV) cultivars selected from ‘Crown Mix’ (XXX Company, City, Country) were kindly provided by Dr. XXX (XXX Institute, City, Country).

Arabidopsis mutant lines (SALKxxxx, SAILxxxx,…) were kindly provided by Dr. XXX, institute, city, country).

[Suggesting Reviewers]

During the submission process, please suggest three potential reviewers with the appropriate expertise to review the manuscript. The editors will not necessarily approach these referees. Please provide detailed contact information (address, homepage, phone, e-mail address). The proposed referees should neither be current collaborators of the co-authors nor have published with any of the co-authors of the manuscript within the last five years. Proposed reviewers should be from different institutions to the authors. You may identify appropriate Editorial Board members of the journal as potential reviewers. You may suggest reviewers from among the authors that you frequently cite in your paper.

[Return to top]
English Corrections

To facilitate proper peer-reviewing of your manuscript, it is essential that it is submitted in grammatically correct English. Advice on some specific language points can be found here.

If you are not a native English speaker, we recommend that you have your manuscript professionally edited before submission or read by a native English-speaking colleague. This can be carried out by MDPI's English editing service. Professional editing will mean that reviewers and future readers are better able to read and assess the content of your manuscript. All accepted manuscripts undergo language editing, however an additional fee will be charged to authors if very extensive English corrections must be made by the Editorial Office; pricing is according to the service here.
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Qualification for Authorship

Authorship must include and be strictly limited to researchers who substantially contributed to the design of the study, the production, analysis, or interpretation of the results, and/or preparation of the manuscript. Those who contributed to the work but do not qualify for authorship should be listed in the acknowledgments. More detailed guidance on authorship is given by the International Council of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE). The journal also adheres to the standards of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) that "all authors should agree to be listed and should approve the submitted and accepted versions of the publication. Any change to the author list should be approved by all authors including any who have been removed from the list. The corresponding author should act as a point of contact between the editor and the other authors and should keep co-authors informed and involve them in major decisions about the publication (e.g. answering reviewers’ comments)." [1]
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Editorial Procedures and Peer-Review

Initial Checks

All submitted manuscripts received by the Editorial Office will be checked by a professional in-house Managing Editor to determine whether it is properly prepared and whether the manuscript follows the ethical policies of the journal, including those for human and animal experimentation. Manuscripts that do not fit the journal's ethical policy will be rejected before peer-review. Manuscripts that are not properly prepared will be returned to the authors for revision and resubmission. After these checks, the Managing Editor will consult the journals’ Editor-in-Chief or the Guest Editor (or an Editorial Board member in case of a conflict of interest) to determine
whether the manuscript fits the scope of the journal and whether it is scientifically sound. No judgment on the significance or potential impact of the work will be made at this stage. Reject decisions at this stage will be verified by the Editor-in-Chief.

Peer-Review

Once a manuscript passes the initial checks, it will be assigned to at least two independent experts for peer-review. A single-blind review is applied, where authors' identities are known to reviewers. Peer review comments are confidential and will only be disclosed with the express agreement of the reviewer.

In the case of regular submissions, in-house assistant editors will invite experts, including recommendations by an academic editor. These experts may also include Editorial Board members and Guest Editors of the journal. In the case of a special issue, the Guest Editor will advise on the selection of reviewers. Potential reviewers suggested by the authors may also be considered. Reviewers should not have published with any of the co-authors during the past five years and should not currently work or collaborate with one of the institutes of the co-authors of the submitted manuscript.

Editorial Decision and Revision

All the articles, reviews and communications published in MDPI journals go through the peer-review process and receive at least two reviews. The in-house editor will communicate the decision of the academic editor, which will be one of the following:

- **Accept after Minor Revisions:**
  The paper is in principle accepted after revision based on the reviewer’s comments. Authors are given five days for minor revisions.

- **Reconsider after Major Revisions:**
  The acceptance of the manuscript would depend on the revisions. The author needs to provide a point by point response or provide a rebuttal if some of the reviewer’s comments cannot be revised. Usually, only one round of major revisions is allowed. Authors will be asked to resubmit the revised paper within ten days and the revised version will be returned to the reviewer for further comments.

- **Reject and Encourage Resubmission:**
  An article where additional experiments are needed to support the conclusions will be rejected and the authors will be encouraged to re-submit the paper once further experiments have been conducted.

- **Reject:**
  The article has serious flaws, makes no original contribution, and the paper is rejected with no offer of resubmission to the journal.

All reviewer comments should be responded to in a point-by-point fashion. Where the authors disagree with a reviewer, they must provide a clear response.

Author Appeals

Authors may appeal a rejection by sending an e-mail to the Editorial Office of the journal. The appeal must provide a detailed justification, including point-by-point responses to the reviewers' and/or Editor's comments. The Managing Editor of the journal will forward the manuscript and
relating information (including the identities of the referees) to an Editorial Board member. If no appropriate Editorial Board member is available, the editor will identify a suitable external scientist. The Editorial Board member will be asked to give an advisory recommendation on the manuscript and may recommend acceptance, further peer-review, or uphold the original rejection decision. A reject decision at this stage will be final and cannot be revoked.

In the case of a special issue, the Managing Editor of the journal will forward the manuscript and relating information (including the identities of the referees) to the Editor-in-Chief who will be asked to give an advisory recommendation on the manuscript and may recommend acceptance, further peer-review, or uphold the original rejection decision. A reject decision at this stage will be final and cannot be revoked.

Production and Publication

Once accepted, the manuscript will undergo professional copy-editing, English editing, proofreading by the authors, final corrections, pagination, and, publication on the www.mdpi.com website.

Clinical Trials Registration

Registration

Authors are strongly encouraged to pre-register clinical trials with an international clinical trials register or and to cite a reference to the registration in the Methods section. Suitable databases include clinicaltrials.gov, the EU Clinical Trials Register and those listed by the World Health Organisation International Clinical Trials Registry Platform.

CONSORT Statement

*IJERPH* requires a completed CONSORT 2010 checklist and flow diagram as a condition of submission when reporting the results of a randomized trial. Templates for these can be found here or on the CONSORT website (http://www.consort-statement.org) which also describes several CONSORT checklist extensions for different designs and types of data beyond two group parallel trials. At minimum, your article should report the content addressed by each item of the checklist. Meeting these basic reporting requirements will greatly improve the value of your trial report and may enhance its chances for eventual publication.

Publication Ethics Statement

*IJERPH* is a member of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). We fully adhere to its Code of Conduct and to its Best Practice Guidelines.

The editors of this journal enforce a rigorous peer-review process together with strict ethical policies and standards to ensure to add high quality scientific works to the field of scholarly publication. Unfortunately, cases of plagiarism, data falsification, image manipulation,
inappropriate authorship credit, and the like, do arise. The editors of *IJERPH* take such publishing ethics issues very seriously and are trained to proceed in such cases with a zero tolerance policy.

Authors wishing to publish their papers in *IJERPH* must abide to the following:

- Any facts that might be perceived as a possible conflict of interest of the author(s) must be disclosed in the paper prior to submission.
- Authors should accurately present their research findings and include an objective discussion of the significance of their findings.
- Data and methods used in the research need to be presented in sufficient detail in the paper, so that other researchers can replicate the work.
- Raw data should preferably be publicly deposited by the authors before submission of their manuscript. Authors need to at least have the raw data readily available for presentation to the referees and the editors of the journal, if requested. Authors need to ensure appropriate measures are taken so that raw data is retained in full for a reasonable time after publication.
- Simultaneous submission of manuscripts to more than one journal is not tolerated.
- Republishing content that is not novel is not tolerated (for example, an English translation of a paper that is already published in another language will not be accepted).
- If errors and inaccuracies are found by the authors after publication of their paper, they need to be promptly communicated to the editors of this journal so that appropriate actions can be taken. Please refer to our policy regarding publication of publishing addenda and corrections.
- Your manuscript should not contain any information that has already been published. If you include already published figures or images, please obtain the necessary permission from the copyright holder to publish under the CC-BY license. For further information, see the Rights and Permissions page.
- Plagiarism, data fabrication and image manipulation are not tolerated.
  - Plagiarism is not acceptable in *IJERPH* submissions.
    
    Plagiarism includes copying text, ideas, images, or data from another source, even from your own publications, without giving any credit to the original source.
    
    Reuse of text that is copied from another source must be between quotes and the original source must be cited. If a study's design or the manuscript's structure or language has been inspired by previous works, these works must be explicitly cited.
    
    If plagiarism is detected during the peer review process, the manuscript may be rejected. If plagiarism is detected after publication, we may publish a correction or retract the paper.
    
    Image files must not be manipulated or adjusted in any way that could lead to misinterpretation of the information provided by the original image.
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## APPENDIX B:

**Healthy Kids Nutrition and Physical Activity: Baseline Survey**

**Data Sheet**

School: _____________________________

Surname: ___________________________ Name _____________________________

D.O.B _____________________________ Test

Date: ______________________________

Gender: M [ ] F [ ]

### Anthropometric Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>Mean or median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Basic Body mass/Weight (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Basic Stature (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Basic Arm Span (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Skinfolds Triceps (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Skinfolds Biceps (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Skinfolds Subscapular (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Skinfolds Supraspinal (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Skinfolds Medial Calf (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Girths Arm relaxed (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Girths Arm-girth Flexed and tensed (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Girths Waist (minimum) (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Girths Gluteal (hips) (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Girths Calf (Maximum) (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Breadths Humerus Bone breadth (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Breadths Femur Bone Breadths (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data Sheet

School:___________________________
Surname:___________________________Name___________________________
D.O.B______________________________ Test
Date:__________________________
Gender: M  □    F  □

Motor Performance Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>TEST</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>Final Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flexibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sit and Reach (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leg power</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standing Broad Jump (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Balance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flamingo Balance Test (falls/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strength</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Handgrip strength</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Muscle endurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sit Up Test (max/30sec)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bend Arm Hang (secs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speed and Agility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10m x 5 shuttle run (secs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50m sprint (secs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aerobic endurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 mile/600m run</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX C:

INFORMED PARENTAL CONSENT

PROJECT TITLE: Nutritional and Physical Fitness profiles, Knowledge and Nutritional and Physical Activity Practices of Zimbabwean Primary School Children: The Zimbabwe Baseline Study.

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:
Mr. D. Makaza,

CO- INVESTIGATORS:
Mr. B. Khumalo, Dr. P. Makoni,

RESEARCHERS:
Dr. C.N. Chaibva, Mr. M.P.D. Gundani, Mr. E.M. Tapera, Mr. T. F. Mlalazi, Mr. M. Banda, Miss. K. Dlamini

What should you know about this research study:

- We give you this consent so that you may read about the purpose, risks, and benefits of this research study.

- The main goal of research studies is to gain knowledge that may help children.

- We cannot promise that this research will benefit your child.

- You have the right to refuse to allow your child to take part, or agree for your child to take part now and change your mind later.
• Whatever you decide, it will not affect your child’s regular school activities.

• Please review this consent form carefully. Ask any questions before you make a decision.

• Your choice to allow your child to participate is voluntary.

PURPOSE

You are being asked to allow your child to participate in a research study that is assessing the Nutritional and Physical fitness profile, Knowledge, Nutritional and Physical Activity practices of Zimbabwean Primary School Children: to ascertain fitness for sporting and to institute remedial interventions where applicable.

PROCEDURES AND DURATION

If you decide to allow your child to participate in the study he/she will be required to fill in a questionnaire. Furthermore, if she is among those randomly selected, the child’s height, weight, circumferences and skinfolds will be measured using skinfold calipers and tape measures. In addition physical fitness of the child will be assessed using stop watches and sit and reach boxes. Filling in the questionnaire will take about 20 minutes. The other measurements are estimated to take 40 minutes per child.

RISKS AND DISCOMFORTS

There are no anticipated risks related to participation in the study. However if the child experiences any form of discomfort, he/she should inform the research team members.
BENEFITS AND/OR COMPENSATION

There are no direct monetary benefits to participating in the study.

CONFIDENTIALITY

If you indicate your willingness for your child to participate in this study by signing this document, we plan to disclose the children’s information that we will collect via questionnaires to the researchers for data analysis and report writing, and MRCZ for inspection purposes.

Any information that is obtained in connection with this study that can be identified with your child will remain confidential and will be disclosed only with your, and when appropriate, your child’s permission. Participants will not be asked to enter their names, but will be asked to enter identification numbers created for this study, which will only be used for coding responses. Your child will be identified by a code number known only to the study staff. This number – not his/her name – will be used on all information about him. His/her name will never be used in any publication or presentation about the research study. His/her personal information will not be released without his/her written permission.

IN THE EVENT OF INJURY

In the event of injury resulting from your child’s participation in this study, treatment expenses will be borne by the study. In the event of injury, contact Mr. Daga Makaza, Department of Sports Science and Coaching, National University of Science and Technology, Box AC939, Ascot, Bulawayo, Zimbabwe; Cell +263772295476, Landline +2639282842 ext 2487, e mail dmakaza@gmail.com

VOLUNTARY PARTICIPATION

Participation in this study is voluntary. If you decide not to allow your child to participate in this study, your decision will not affect your child's ability to attend his/her school or receive any other services he/she normally receives. If you decide to allow your
child to participate, you and your child are free to withdraw your consent and assent and discontinue participation at any time without penalty.

OFFER TO ANSWER QUESTIONS

Before you sign this form, please ask any questions on any aspect of this study that is unclear to you. You may take as much time as necessary to think it over.

AUTHORIZATION

YOU ARE MAKING A DECISION WHETHER OR NOT TO ALLOW YOUR CHILD TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS STUDY. YOUR SIGNATURE INDICATES THAT YOU HAVE READ AND UNDERSTOOD THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE, HAVE HAD ALL YOUR QUESTIONS ANSWERED, AND HAVE DECIDED TO ALLOW YOUR CHILD TO PARTICIPATE.

The date you sign this document to enroll your child in this study, that is, today’s date, MUST fall between the dates indicated on the approval stamp affixed to each page. These dates indicate that this form is valid when you enroll your child in the study but do not reflect how long your child may participate in the study. Each page of this Informed Consent Form is stamped to indicate the form’s validity as approved by the MRCZ.

Name of Parent (please print) Date

__________________________________________  ____________
Signature of Parent or legally authorized representative  Time
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YOU WILL BE GIVEN A COPY OF THIS CONSENT FORM TO KEEP.

If you have any questions concerning this study or consent form beyond those answered by the investigator, including questions about the research, your rights as a research Participant or research-related injuries; or if you feel that you have been treated unfairly and would like to talk to someone other than a member of the research team, please feel free to contact the Medical Research Council of Zimbabwe on telephone 791792 or 791193.

For children 7 years old to 13 years old

The investigator can use the Informed Parental Consent Form that the parents or guardians are signing. Following the parent's signature portion, a separate paragraph should be added which includes at least the following statement.
My participation in this research study is voluntary. I have read and understood the above information, asked any questions which I may have and have agreed to participate. I will be given a copy of this form to keep.

_____________________________
Name of Participant

_____________________________
Signature of Participant
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INDLELA OKUZAQHUTSHWA NGAYO LESIKHATHI ESIZATHATHWA

Uma ungakhetha ukuthi umntanakho angene…………

Isilinganiso sokuphatha (fitness) sizakwenziwa ngabakufundeleyo lokho. Isilinganiso sobude(height), ukuqina(weight), ubuqatha bengalo(arm circumference) kanye lokumpompa kwenhliziyo( blood pressure) lakho kuzakwenziwa. Phezu kwalokho ukuqina komzimba womntwana kuzahlolisiswa kusetshenziswa imitshina eyenzelwa lokho. Okuzatholakala laphe yikho okuzasetshenziswa ukuveza impilakahle yomntwana. Abazali kuzodingeka ukuthi bachaze kabanzi ngempilo yemuli.
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INZUZO

Bonke abazaphatheka kuloluhlelo bangakangeleli ukuthola inzuzo yemali,
OKUYIMFIHLO

Uma ungavuma ukuthi umntanakho aaphatheke kuloluulhele, impumela izanikezwa kulabo abaqhuba lumsebenzi ukuze bayicubungule kuhle njalo babhale abakutholiileyo, babuye bayidlulisele kwabe Medical Research Council of Zimbabwe (MRCZ) ukuze bayihlolisise.

Konke okumayelana ngomntanakho okupathelane laloluhlelo akusoze kwatshelewa muntu ngaphandle kwemvumo yakho, kumbe uma kufanele, eyomntwana. Amabizo abantwana awasoze adingakale kodwa bazacelwa ukuthi basebenzise inombolo abazayiphiwa lapho elungiselelwe lo umsebenzi. Umntanakho uzabesaziwa ngalinombolo ezabisaziwa ngabaqhuba lumsebenzi kuphela, hatshi ibizo lakhe. Ibizo lomntwana alizukusetshenziswa ekulotshweni lekwethuleni kwalumsebenzi.

OKWENGOZI

Uma kuthe kwabalengozi emntwaneni ngokungena kuloluulhele, indleko zonke zizaphathwa yiloluulhele.

Uma kuthe kwabalengozi lingadinga u……………

INDLELA YOKUPHATHEKA


AMALUNGELO OKUBUZA

Ungakavumi kuyadingeka ukuthi ubuze yonke into engacacanga ngaloluulhele Ungathatha sonke isikhathi osidingayo usacabangisisa.

OKWEMVUMO

WENZA ISINQUMO SOKUTHI UYAVUMA KUMBE UYALA UKUTHI UMNTANAKHO ANGENE KULOLUULHOLE. UKULOBA IBIZO LAKHO KUVEZA
UKUTHI UBALILE WAZWISISA KONKE OKULOTSHWE PHEZULU, IMIBUZO YONKE OBULAYO IPHENDULWE KUHLE, NGAKHO USUKHE UKUTHI UVUMELI UMNTANAKHO ANGENE KULOLUHLELO.

Uhlelo lolu lusungulwa ngelanga lapho obhala khona ibizo lakho uvuma ukuthi umntanakho aphatheke kuloluhlelo kodwa lelilanga kumele lihambelane lelanga elikusidindo esifakwe kukhasi linye ngalinye.
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• Mune mvumo yokurambidza mwana wenyu kuti apinde mutsvakurudzo iyi, kana kumubvumira kuti apinde mutsvakurudzo iyi, asi munokwanisa kushandura pfungwa dzenyu panguva inotevera.
• Kuti mapa mwana mvumo kana kusamupa mvumo yekupinda mutsvakurudzo iyi hazvikanganisi nenzira ipi zvayo kudzidza kwemwana wenyu kuchikoro.
• Verengai gwaro iri murinzwisisizvakakwana. Bvudzai mibvudzo musati matenda kana kuramba.
• Hamumanikidzwi kuti mwana wenyu apinde mutsvakurudzo iyi.

CHINANGWA CHETSVAKURUDZO

Muri kukumbirwa kubvumira mwana wenyu kupinda mutsvakurudzo ine chinangwa chekutarisa nezvelhutano hwevana nezvimwe zvavanoita kuti vana vakure vakasimba.

MAITIRWONENGUVA YETSVAKURUDZO

ZVINGATYIRWA PATSVAKURUDZO IYI
Hapana zvinotyisa zvingawira vana vachapinda mutsvakurudzo iyi. Zvisinei kana vana vanaenge vapinda mutsvakurudzo iyi vakaona vasisakwanisi kuenderera mberi netsvakurudzo iyi, vanofanira kuzivisa vatvakiridzi nokukurumidza.

ZVINGAWANIKWA/MURIPO KUBVA MUKUPINDA MUTSVAKURUDZO IYI
Hakuna muripo wemari uchapiwa kune vachapinda mutsvakurudzo iyi.

KUCHENGETEDZWA KWEVICHABUDA MUTSVAKIRIDZO
Kana mabvuma kuti mwana wenyu apinde mutsvakiridzo iyi nokusaina gwaro rino vatsvakiridzi nevechikamu cheMRCZ ndivo chete vachava nemvumo yokuziva nezvichawanikwa mutsvakiridzo.

Zvichawanikwa patsvakiridzo ino zvichavanziva zvichingozoburtiswa chete nemvumo yenyu kana yemwana wenyu. Vana havanyori mazita avo pamapepa ose acharapiswa patsvakiridzo. Vana vachashandisa “manamba” anongozivikanwa navatsvakiridzi chete. Hakuna zita remwana richabuda muzvinyorwa zvinobva mutsvakiridzo.

KANA MWANA AKAKUVARA
Kana mwana akakuvara paanenge achiiita zvinoita netsvakurudzo, acharapiswa novanotungamira tsvakurudzo. Kana mwana akakuvara munotaura naMr. Daga Makaza, Department of Sports Science and Coaching, National University of Science and Technology, Box AC939, Ascot, Bulawayo, Zimbabwe; Cell +263772295476, Landline +2639282842 ext 2487, e mail dmakaza@gmail.com

KUSAMAKIDZWA KUPINDA MUTSVAKIRIDZO

MIBVUNZO NEZVETSVAKURUDZO
Munemvumo yokubvunza mibvunzo musati masaina gwaro rino. Munogona kutora nguva muchifunga musati masaina.

KUPA MVUMO
MURIKUTORA CHINHANHO CHOKUPA KANA KUSAPA MWANA WENYU MVUMO YOKUPINDA MUTSVAKIRIDZO. KUSAINA KWAMUNOITA KUNORATIDZA KUTI MAVERENGA MUKANZWISISA ZVIRIMUGWARO RINO HUYE MIBVUNZO YENYU YAPINDURWA. MABVUMA KUTI MWANA APINDE MUTSVAKURUDZO.
Zuva ramunobvuma kuti mwana apinde mutsvakurudzo (date), rinova zuva ranhasi, rinofanirwa kuenderana nezuva riripachitambi chiri pamapepa ari pagwaro rino. Zuva racho haritaridzi kuti mwana wenyu achange arimutsvakiridzo kwenguva yakadii. Zvitambi zviripagwaro rino zvinotaridzo kuti vechikamu cheMRCZ vakaongoorora vakabvumira tsvakurudzo ino.

Zita remubereki (nyorai zvinoverengeka)  Zuva/Date

____________________________________  ______
Panosaina muberek i kana anochengeta mwana  Nguva/Time

____________________________________
Ukama nemwana

____________________________________  ___________________________
Mufakazi  Panosaina mutsvakiridzi

(Optional)

MUCHAPIWA RIMWE GWARO ROKUSARA NARO

Kana mune mune imwe mibvunzo inechekuita nezvetsvakiridzo kana gwaro rino pamusoro peyapindurwa nemutsvakiridzi, kusanganisira mibvinzo yetsvakiridzo, kodzero
dzevanhu vanopinda mutsvakiridzo kana kukuva dzwa kuburikidza netsvakiridzo; kana muchinzwa kuti hamuna kubatwa zvinokufadzai makasununguka kutaura nevechikamu che Medical Research Council of Zimbabwe panhamba dzinoti 791792 kana 791193

Kuvana vane makore manomwe kusvika gumi namatatu


______________________________
Zita remwana

______________________________
Panosaina mwana
INSTRUCTIONS

• You will be asked to answer questions about what you eat and physical activity (exercise)
• You will be weighed, your height measured and other body measurements will be taken.
• No one at the school or at home will see the results.
• Taking part in this exercise is optional. Your choice about taking part will not affect your grades or your ability to take part in school activities.
• You may stop taking part in this project during the time you are being measured, while answering questions, or at any other time
• After you complete the questionnaire, the questionnaire will be returned to the interviewer.

Date of Birth……………………………………………………
Grade……………………………………………………………

Are you a boy or a girl? Boy Girl

NUTRITION

FOOD AND BEVERAGE INTAKE

I. How many servings of fruit did you have yesterday? (By a serve we mean a medium size apple, banana, orange or pear or two small pieces of fruit etc)
1. None □  2. One □  3. Two □  
4. Three □  5. More than three □  
6. Don’t Know □

2. Are Fruits good for your health?
1. Yes □  2. No □  3. Not really □  
4. Don’t know □

3. Do you like eating fruits?
1. Yes □  2. No □  3. Sometimes □  
4. Don’t Know □

4. How many servings of vegetables did you eat yesterday? (By a serving we mean dishing spoon cooked vegetable we mean rape, covo, cabbage, bhobola/muboora, ulude/rude, delele/derere, spinach/tsunga etc)
1. None  
2. One  
3. Two  
4. Three  
5. More than three  
6. Don’t Know

5. Are vegetables good for your health?  
1. Yes  
2. No  
3. Not really  
4. Don’t know

6. Do you like eating vegetables?  
1. Yes  
2. No  
3. Sometimes  
4. Don’t Know

7. How many servings of legumes did you eat yesterday? (By a serving we mean dishing spoon cooked sugar beans- madondo, green peas, cowpeas- n y e m b a / i n d u m b a , soya beans etc)  
1. None  
2. One  
3. Two  
4. Three  
5. More than three  
6. Don’t Know
8. Are legumes good for your health?
1. Yes  
2. No  
3. Not really  
4. Don’t know  

9. Do you like eating legumes?
1. Yes  
2. No  
3. Sometimes  
4. Don’t Know  

10. How many servings of potato crisps or other packaged snacks (like rings, jiggies, corn chips, biscuits, sweets etc) did you eat yesterday? By a serving we mean half a standard bag of crisps or one small snack pack.

1. None  
2. One  
3. Two  
4. Three  
5. More than three  
6. Don’t Know  

11. Are potato crisps and other packaged snacks good for your health?
1. Yes  
2. No  
3. Not really  
4. Don’t know  

208
12. Do you like eating potato crisps and other packaged snacks?


13. How many servings of take away or 'fast food' (e.g. Fish and chips, pies/pastry. fried chicken, hot dog, pizza. and hamburger etc) did you eat yesterday?


14. Are "take away" or "fast food" good for your health?


15. Do you like eating "take away" or "fast food"


16. How many bottles of soft drink (e.g. soda.) did you drink yesterday?
17. Are soft drinks good for your health?
1. Yes
2. No
3. Not really
4. Don’t know

18. Do you like taking soft drinks?
1. Yes
2. No
3. Sometimes
4. Don’t Know

19. How many servings of fruit juice did you drink yesterday? By serving we mean a glass or 300 ml. The juices include (fruit juice, diluted fruit juice drinks E.g. mazoe orange crush, cape camisa, minute maid, orange juice etc.)

1. None
2. One
3. Two
4. Three
5. More than three
6. Don’t Know

20. Are fruit juices good for your health?
1. Yes
2. No
3. Not really
4. Don’t know

21. Do you like taking fruit juices?
1. Yes
2. No
3. Sometimes
4. Don’t Know

22. How often do you take water to drink with meals or snacks?
1. Always
2. Quite often
3. Sometimes
4. Rarely or never
5. Don’t know

23. Did you have breakfast this morning?
1. Yes
2. No
3. Don’t Know

24. Do you think breakfast is important?
1. Yes
2. No
3. Not really
4. Don’t know

25. How many meals did you have yesterday?
1. None
2. One
3. Two
4. Three

26. Of the following grain products tick the ONES which you ate over the last one week
1. Bread
2. Cereals (Cornflakes, Wetabix)
3. Muffins
4. Rice
5. Noodles/pasta/spaghetti
6. Isitshwala/Sadza

27. Of the following milk and milk products listed below tick the ones which you ate over the last one week.

1. Milk
2. Yoghurt
3. Ice cream
4. Cheese
5. None

28. Of the following meat and meat products listed below tick the ones which you ate over the last one week.
1. Eggs
2. Fish
3. Meat
4. Pork
5. Sausage
6. Bacon
7. Turkey
8. Chicken
9. None

ACTIVITY

1. How many hours do you spend outside playing after school?
   1. None
   2. Less than one hour
   3. More than one hour
   4. Don’t know

2. How many hours did you spend watching video or TV yesterday?
   1. Less than half an hour
   2. Half an hour
   3. One hour
   4. One and half hours
   5. Two hours
   6. Two and half hours
   7. Three hours
   8. Three and half hours
   9. Four hours
   10. Four and half hours
   11. Five hours
   12. More than five hours
   13. Don’t know

3. How many hours did you spend playing electronic games (computer games, play station)?
1. Less than half an hour  
3. One hour  
5. Two hours  
7. Three hours  
9. Four hours  
11. Five hours  
13. Don’t know  

2. Half an hour  
4. One and half hours  
6. Two and half hours  
8. Three and half hours  
10. Four and half hours  
12. More than five hours  

4. What method of travel did you use to and from school yesterday?

1. Walking  
3. Motorcycle  
5. Train  

2. Bicycle  
4. Bus/Kombi  
6. Car  

5. What is your preferred method of getting to and from school?

1. Walking  
3. Motorcycle  
5. Train  

2. Bicycle  
4. Bus/Kombi  
6. Car  

6. Yesterday, did you exercise or participate in sports activities that made your heart beat fast and made you breathe hard for at least 20 minutes? (E.g. fast dancing, swimming, tennis, aerobics)
7. Yesterday did you exercise or participate in sports activities that made your heart beat fast and made you breathe hard for at least 20 minutes? (E.g. ploughing, hoeing, digging, running to or from school, herding animals etc.)

1. Yes □ 2. No □ 3. Don’t know □
IZIXHWAYISO

- uzabuzwa ngovume ukudla langendlela ezelula ngayo umzimba.
- isisindo somzimba wakho lobude lezinye izikalo zomzimba wakho zizosethenziswa.
- impumela izaba yimfihlo akula muntu ngakhaya kumbe esikolweni ozabayazi.
- ukubayinxhenye yalokhu, yikuthanda kwakho njalo ngeke kwakuphazamisa emsebenzini yakho yesikoI.
- ukhululekile ukutshiya loba yisiphi isikhathi, loba usuqalile ukuphendula imibuzo kumbe sokuthatha izikalozomzimba wakho.
- ungaqeda ukuphendula imibuzo uphendukise amaphephe emibuzo.

Usuku lokuzalwa……………………………… Iviyo/Ibanga ………………………………………

Ungumfana kumbe uyinkazana? Ngingumfana □ □ Ngiyinkazana □ □

OKUDLIWAYO LOKUPHUZWAYO

I. Izolo udle isilinganiso esinganani sesithelo? (Isilinganiso sibalisela okungaba liwolentshisi kumbe i-aphuli elilingeneyo kumbe izithelo ezincane ezimbili loba incezu ezimbili njalonjalo)

1. Ngitsho □ □ 2. eyodwa □ □ 3. ezimbili □ □
2. Izithelo zilungile emzimbeni wakho na?
1. Yebo
2. Hatshi
3. Kangilaqiniso
4. Angazi

3. Uyakukhwabitha ukudla izithelo na?
1. Yebo
2. Hatsi
3. Kwesinye isikhathi
4. Angazi

4. Izolo ude isilinganiso esinganani sombida? (isilinganiso lukhezu olulodwa lokuphakulula, olubalisela ikhabitshi, ibhobola, ulude, idelele leminye imihlobo yemibhida etshiyeneyo)

1. ngitsho
2. esisodwa
3. ezimbili
4. Ezintathu
5. Ezingaphezulu kwezintanthu
6. Angazi
5. Imibhida ilungile emzimbeni yakho na?

1. Yebo
2. Hatsi
3. Kangilaqiniso
4. Angazi

6. Uyakhwabitha ukudla umbida na?

1. Yebo
2. Hatsi
3. Kwesinye isikhathi
4. Angazi

7. Izolo udle isilinganiso esinganani sendlubu kumbe indumba. ((isilinganiso lukhezu olulodwa lokuphakulula))

1. Ngitshe
2. Esisodwa
3. Ezimbili
4. Ezintathu
5. Ezingaphezulu kwezintanthu
6. Angazi

8. Iindumba lendlubu zilungile emzimbeni wakho na?

1. Yebo
2. Hatsi
3. Kangilaqiniso
4. Angazi
9. **Uyakuhwabitha ukudla umbida na?**

1. Yebo
2. Hatsi
3. Kwesinye isikhathi
4. Angazi

10. **Izolo udle isilinganiso esinganani samabhisikiti, seziwiji loba amachipisi.**

Ngesilinganiso sithi inxhenye yepakede lamabhisikiti, leziwiji loba amachiphisi.

![Image of pack of cookies]

1. ngitsho
2. esisodwa
3. ezimbili
4. ezintathu
5. Ezidlula ezintathu
6. angazi

11. **Amabhisikiti, iziwiji loba amachipisi alungile emzimbeni na?**

1. Yebo
2. Ngitsho
3. Kangilaqiniso
4. Angazi

12. **Uyathanda ukudla amabhisikiti, iziwiji loba amachipisi na?**

1. Yebo
2. Ngitsho
3. Kwesinye isikhathi
4. Angazi

1. ngitsho  2. esisodwa  3. ezimbili 
4. ezintathu  5. Ezidlula ezintathu  
6. angazi

14. Ukekala okuphekwa ezitolo okugoqela inyama yenkukhu ekhanzingiweyo, amachipisi akhanzingiweyo loba inhlanzi ekhanzingiweyo kuqakathekile emzimbeni na?

4. Angazi

15. Uyakuthanda ukudla okuphekwa ezitolo okugoqela inyama yenkukhu ekhanzingiweyo, amachipisi akhanzingiweyo loba inhlanzi ekhanzingiweyo kuqakathekile emzimbeni na?

4. Angazi
16. Izolo unathe amabhodlela amangaki enamnede?

1. anginathanga  
2. Elilodwa  
3. Amabili  
4. amathathu  
5. Angaphezu kwamathathu  
6. angazi

17. Inamnede iqakathekile emzimbeni na?
1. Yebo  
2. ngitsho  
3. kangilaqiniso  
4. angazi

18. Uyakuthanda ukunatha inamnede?
1. Yebo  
2. ngitsho  
3. kangilaqiniso


1. akula  
2. esisodwa  
3. ezimbili
4. ezintathu
5. Ezidlula ezintathu
6. angazi

20. Umhluzi wezithelo uqakathekile emzimbeni na?
1. Yebo
2. hayi
3. kangilaqiniso
4. kangikwazi

21. Uyathanda ukunatha umhluzi wezithelo?
1. Yebo
2. ngitsho
3. Kwezinye izikhathi
4. angaz

22. Uvame ukunatha amanzi kangaki ngemva kokudla?
1. sikhathi sonke
2. Esikhathini esinengi
3. Kwesinye isikhathi
4. kangivamanga
5. Kangazi

23. Udlile ukudla kwekuseni namhla?
1. Yebo
2. hatshi
3. angazi

24. Ucabanga ukuthi ukudla kwekuseni kuqakathekile?
1. yebo
2. hatshi
3. kangilaqiniso
4. angazi

25. Udle kangaki izolo?
1. kangidlanga
2. kanye
3. kabili
4. kathathu
26. Khetha okudla okwenziwa ngamabele okewakudla kuliviki

1. isinkwa
2. Amasireli ekuseni
3. amabhanzi
4. ilayisi
5. isipagethi
6. Isitshwala

27. Yikuphi okudla okwenziwa luchago okudliyelo kuliviki

1. uchago
2. iyogathi
3. Ulaza uluqandayo
4. itshizi
5. akula

28. Khetha imhlobo yenyama oyidlileyo ivekini leyi
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>amaqanda</th>
<th>inhlanzi</th>
<th>eyenkomo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>eyengulube</td>
<td>isosage</td>
<td>ibhekhoni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>eyengalukhuni</td>
<td>eyenkukhu</td>
<td>akula</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IMISEBENZI

1. Uvame ukuthatha isikhathi esinganani udlala ngemva kokutshayisa esikolo?
   1. Ngitsho
   2. Ngaphansi kwehola
   3. Okwedlula ihola
   4. Angazi

2. Izolo uthethe isikhathi esenganani ukhangele umabonakude?

   1. ngaphansi kwenxhenye yehola
   2. Inxhenye lehola
   3. ihola eliloldwa
   4. Iholo elilodwa lenxhenye
   5. amahola amabili
   6. amahola amabili lenxhenye yehola
   7. amahola amathathu
   8. amahola amathathu lenxhenye yehola
   9. amahola amane
   10. amahola amathathu lenxhenye yehola
   11. amahola amahlanu
   12. amahola amahlanu lenxhenye yehola
   13. angazi

3. Izolo uthethe isikhathi esinganani udlalisa imtshina kagetsi yokuzilibazisa okugoqela imidlalo yamakomputha?

   1. ngaphansi kwenxhenye yehola
   2. Inxhenye lehola
   3. ihola eliloldwa
   4. Iholo elilodwa lenxhenye
   5. amahola amabili
   6. amahola amabili lenxhenye yehola
   7. amahola amathathu
   8. amahola amathathu lenxhenye yehola
   9. amahola amane
   10. amahola amathathu lenxhenye yehola
   11. amahola amahlanu
   12. amahola amahlanu lenxhenye yehola
   13. angazi
4. Izolo uhambe ngani esikolo?

1. ngenyawo
2. ngebhayisikili
3. Ngesithuthuthu
4. ngebhasi
5. ngesitimela
6. ngemota

5. ukhetha ukuhamba ngani esikolo?

1. ngenyawo
2. ngebhayisikili
3. Ngesithuthuthu
4. ngebhasi
5. ngesitimela
6. ngemota

6. Izolo ukuwaphatheka kwezemidlalo okwenze inhliziyo yakho yatshaya ngamadla okwemizuzo engangadlula amatshumi amabili? (lokhu kugoqela ukugijima, ukudlala unobhutshuzwayo, ukubhukutsha leminye imidlalo etshiyeneyo)

1. Yebo
2. Ngitsho
3. Angazi

7. Izolo ukuwaphatheka ekweluleni umzimba kumbe emsebenzi onzima okwenze inhliziyo yakho yatshaya ngamadla okwemizuzo engangadlula amatshumi amabili? (lokhu kubalisela ukulima, ukuhlakula ukwelusa izifuyo, ukugijima usiya esikolo)

1. Yebo
2. Ngitsho
3. Angazi

Siyabonga
GWARO REMIBVUNZO RAKANANGANA NERUZIVO
RWEZVEKUDYA NEKUTAMBA KWAVANA

Namba YeGwaro…………………………… Zuva……………………………

MAFAMBISIRWO

- Uchabvunzwa mibvunzo yakanangana nezvaunodya uye matambiro ako (exercise)
- Uchayerwa pachikero, urefu hwako huchatorwa uye dzimwe nhengo dzomuviri wako dzichayerwa.
- Hapana munhu achaziva zvichawanikwa pakuyerwa kwako.
- Haumanikidzwi kupinda mutsvakiridzo iyi, uye sarudzo yako yokupinda mutsvakiridzo haikanganisi madzidziro ako kana zvimwe zvaunoita pachikoro.
- Unokwanisa kurega nezvekuita netsvakiridzo iyi paunodira: paunenge uchiyerwa, paunenge uchipindura mibvunzo kana nguva ipi zvayo.
- kana wapedza kupindura mibvunzo iri pagwaro rino udzorere kumutsvakiridzi.

Zuva rako rekuzvarwa (Date of Birth)………………………………
Grade………………………………

Uri mukomana here kana musikana? Mukomana ☐ ☐ Musikana ☐ ☐

ZVAKANANGANA NEKUDYA

ZVAUNODYA NEZVAUNONWA

I. Wakaadya michero (fruit) yakawanda zvakadii nezuro
1. Handina kudya  
2. Umwechete/One  
3. Miviri/two  
4. Mitatu/ Three  
5. Yakadarika mitatu/more than three  
6. Handichazivi

---

2. Michero inoita kuti hutano hwako huve hwakanaka here?
1. Hongu/Yes  
2. Kwete/No  
3. Pamwe/ Not really  
4. Handizivi/ Don’t know

---

3. Unofarira kudya michero/fruits here?
1. Hongu/Yes  
2. Kwete/No  
3. Dzimwe Nguva/Sometimes  
4. Handizivi/Don’t Know

---

4. Wakadya muriwo wakawanda zvakadii nezuro? (Tinoera uwandu hwemuriwo nechipunu chinoshandiswa kupakura (dishing spoon) murivo wakabikwa we rape, covo, cabbage, bhobola/muboora, ulude/rude, delele/derere, spinach/tsunga etc)

---

1. Handina/None  
2. Chimwe/One  
3. Zviviri/Two  
4. Zvitatu/Three  
5. Zvinopfuura zvitatu/more than three  
6. Handichazivi/Don’t know
5. Muriwo unoita kuti hutano hwako huve hwakanaka here?
   1. Hongu/Yes
   2. Kwete/No
   3. Pamwe/Not really
   4. Handizivi/Don’t know

6. Unofarira kudya muriwo here?
   1. Hongu/Yes
   2. Kwete/No
   3. Dzimwe Nguva/Sometimes
   4. Handina mhinduro/Don’t Know

7. Wakadya chikafu chemhando rwebhinzi kana nyemba (legumes) chakawanda zvakadzi nezuro? (Tinoera uwandu nechipunu chinoshandiswa kupakura (dishing spoon) chikafu chakabikwa chemhando yesugar beans- madondo, green peas, cowpeas- n y e m b a / i n d u m b a, soya beans etc
   1. Handina/None
   2. Chimwechete/One
   3. Zviviri/Two
   4. Zvitatu/Three
   5. Zvinopfuura zvitatu
   6. Handizivi/Don’t Know

8. Chikafu chemhando hwebhinzi(legumes) chinoita kuti hutano hwako huve hwakanaka here?
   1. Hongu/Yes
   2. Kwete/No
   3. Pamwe/Not really
4. Handizivi/Don’t know

9. Unofarira kudya chikafu chemhando hwebhinzi (legumes) here?
4. Handizivi/Don’t Know

10. Wakadya chikafu chemuzvipepa chakafanana namachipisi (maringisi, jiggies, maputi, mabhisikitsi, zvihwitsi etc) chakawanda zvakadii nezuro? Tinoera uwandu hwemachipisi nehafu yepaketi inonyanya kushandiswa yemachipisi.

1. Handina/None  2. Chimwe/One  3. Zviviri/Two
4. Zvitatu/Three  5. Zvinopfuura zvitatu
6. Handizivi

11. Chikafu chemuzvipepa chakaita samachipisi chakanakira hutano hwako here?
4. Handizivi/Don’t know
12. Unofarira kudya machipisi kana chimwe chikafu chakaputirwa muzvipepa here?

1. Hongu/Yes  
2. Kwete/No  
3. Dzimwe nguva/Sometimes  
4. Handizivi/Don’t Know

13. Wakadya chikafu chomuma takeaway akaita seChicken Inn, Nandos (e.g. Hoven e machipisi, pies/pastry. Huku yakafurayiwa, pitsa nemahambega etc) chakawanda zvakadii nezuro?

1. Handina/None  
2. Chimwe/One  
3. Zviviri/Two  
4. Zvitatu/Three  
5. Zvinopfuura zvitatu  
6. Handizivi

14. Chakafu chomumatakeaway (Chicken Inn, Nandos etc) chine hutano here?

1. Hongu/Yes  
2. Kwete/No  
3. Pamwe/Not really  
4. Handizivi/Don’t know

15. Unofarira kudya chikafu chokumatakeaway here (Chicken Inn, Nandos etc) here?

1. Hongu/Yes  
2. Kwet/No  
3. Dzimwe nguva/Sometimes  
4. Handizivi/ Don’t Know
16. Wakanwa mabhodhoro mangani (e.g. coke, fanta.) nezuro?

1. Handina/None  
2. Rimwe/One    
3. Maviri/Two     
4. Matatu/Three  
5. Anopfuura matatu  
6. Handichazivi/Don’t Know

17. Zvinwiwa zvemumabhodhoro zvemhando yakaita secoke, fanta etc zvinopa hutano here?

1. Hongu/Yes    
2. Kwete/No     
3. Pamwe/Not really  
4. handizivi/Don’t know

18. Unofarira zvinwiwa zvemumabhodhoro zvakaita secoke nefanta here?

1. Hongu/Yes    
2. Kwete/No     
3. Dzimwe Nguva/Sometimes  
4. Handizivi/Don’t Know

19. Wakanwa zvinwiwa zvinobva mumichero (fruit juice) zvakawanda zvakadi nezuro? Tinoera uwandu hwechimwiwa tichishandisa girazi kana 300ml. Zvinwiwa zvinobva mumichero zvinobatanidza (zvisina kupamidzirwa mvura (kudhailutwa), zvakadhailutwa zvakaita semazoe orange crush, cape camisa, minute maid, orange juice etc.)
20. Zvimwiwa zvinobva mumichero zvakanakira hutano hwako here?
1. Hongu/Yes
2. Kwete/No
3. Pamwe/Not really
4. Handizivi/Don’t know

21. Unofarira kumwa zvinwiwa zvinobva mumichero here?
1. Hongu/Yes
2. Kwete/No
3. Dzimwe nguva/Sometimes
4. Handizivi/Don’t know

22. Unonwa mvura zvakadii panguva yekudy a kana paunodya zvokudyiradyira (snacks)?
1. Chero nguva/Always
2. Kakati wandei/Quite often
3. Dzimwe nguva/Sometimes
4. Kashoma/rarerly or never
5. Handizivi/Don’t know

23. Wadya kudya kwemangwanani (breakfast) here nhasi?
1. Hongu/Yes
2. Kwete/No
3. Handizivi/Don't Know
24. Unofunga kudya kwamangwanani (breakfast) kwakakakosha here?

25. Wakadya kangani nezuro?


27. Pamukaka nezvinobva mumukaka zvinotevera isa tsvunha pane zvawakadya pasvondo rakapera.


28. Pane nyama nezvinobva munyama zvinotevera isa tsvunha pane zvawakadya svondo rakapera.
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|

**KUTAMBATAMBA**

1. Unotamba kwamaawa mangani kana wabuda chikoro?
   1. Handitambi/None  
   2. Pasi peawa rimwe  
   3. Zvinopfuura awa rimwe  
   4. Handizivi/Don’t know

2. Wakatora maawa mangani uchiona chivhitivhiti (television) kana mafirimu pavidhiyo (video) nezuro?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Pasi peawa rimwe</th>
<th>2. Chikamu cheawa (half)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3. Awa rimwe</td>
<td>4. Awa nechikamu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Maawa maviri</td>
<td>6. Maawa maviri nechikamu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Maawa matatu</td>
<td>8. Maawa matatu nechikamu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11. Maawa mashanu 12. Zvinopfuura maawa mashanu

13. Handizivivi

3. Wakatora maawa mangani uchitamba mitambo yepamacomputer ne Television (computer games, play station e.t.c) nezuro.

1. Pasi peawa rimwe 2. Chikamu cheawa
3. Awa rimwe 4. Awa nechikamu
5. Maawa maviri 6. Maawa maviri nechikamu
7. Maawa matatu 8. Maawa matatu nechikamu
11. Maawa mashanu 12. Zvinopfuura maawa mashanu
13. Handizivivi

4. Wakashandisei pakufamba kuuya kuchikoro nekudzokera kumba nezuro?

1. Ndakafamba netsoka 2. Bhasikoro
5. Chitima 6. Car

5. Ndeipi nzira yaunofarira kushandisa pakufamba kana uchiuya kuchikoro nokudzokera kumba?

1. Kufamba netsoka 2. Bhasikoro
3. Chimudhudhudhu 4. Bhazi/Kombi
5. Chitima  
6. Motikari

6. Nezuro wakaita here zvinosimbisa muviri zvakaita sekumhanyamhanya kana kutamba mitambo yakaita kuti hana yako irove uchifemereka kwemaminitsi makumi maviri? (Kutsava, kutuhwina, kutamba bhora etc)

1. Hongu/Yes  
2. Kwete/No  
3. Handizivi/Don’t know

7. Nezuro wakaita here zvinosimbisa muviri zvakaita sekumhanyamhanya kana kutamba mitambo yakaita kuti hana yako irove uchifemereka kwemaminitsi makumi maviri? (Kurima mumunda nemombe kana madhongi, Kusakura, Kutimba, Kumhanya kuenda nekdzoka kuchikoro, Kurisa mombe e.t.

1. Hongu/Yes  
2. Kwete/No  
3. Handizivi/Don’t know

Tatenda
APPENDIX E:

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES
SPORTS SCIENCE & COACHING DEPARTMENT

The Provincial Education Director
Bulawayo Province
Bulawayo
2 September 2014
Dear Sir/Madam

REF: REQUEST TO CONDUCT RESEARCH PROJECT ON SCHOOL PUPILS

The Department of Sports Science and Coaching is seeking your permission to use primary school pupils as subjects in a research project. The study will run for two months starting 5 October 2014. The national project is being done jointly with Nestle Zimbabwe and in your province, it is targeting Mabhukudwana Primary School. Permission from the ministry was applied for through Nestle and was granted (attached). The title of the project is Nutritional and Physical Fitness profiles, Knowledge and Nutritional and Physical Activity Practices of Zimbabwean Primary School Children: The Zimbabwe Baseline Study

The objectives of the study are to:

1. To determine the knowledge of primary school children towards nutrition at 15 selected primary in schools in Zimbabwe.

2. To determine the attitudes of primary school children towards nutrition at 15 selected primary in schools in Zimbabwe.

3. To determine the nutritional and physical activity practices of primary school children towards nutrition at 15 selected primary in schools in Zimbabwe.
4. To describe the nutritional and physical fitness status of primary school children at 15 selected primary schools in Zimbabwe.

5. To determine the physical activity levels of primary school children at selected 15 primary schools in Zimbabwe.

The significance of the study:

1. The findings of the study will provide baseline data on which Nutritional Health policies affecting children could be based.

2. The results will be helpful in identifying children with abnormal Physical Growth and Development characteristics so that appropriate corrective intervention programmes could be designed.

3. The data on Physical Fitness could be harnessed by the Physical Education teachers and Sports Coaches and used to identify talented children who could be trained for competitive sports.

4. The study will provide normative data upon which future estimates of growth and developmental characteristics of Zimbabwean children could be compared.

5. The data will permit a comparison of Nutritional and Physical Fitness status of Zimbabwean children with those of children in populations elsewhere.

We are ready to provide further details of the research when called upon. We have attached the research proposal.

Research proposal.

Yours Faithfully

Makaza. D

Principal Investigator: Nestle Healthy Kids Project

Cc : E.O. Physical Education
Cc: Headmaster: Mabhukudwana Primary School