

Catalytic steam gasification of large coal particles



School of Chemical and Minerals Engineering
Coal Research Group

Sansha Nel

B. Eng (Chem. Eng.)(North-West University)

Dissertation submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree
Masters in Chemical Engineering at the Potchefstroom campus of the
North-West University, South Africa

Supervisor: Prof. H.W.J.P. Neomagus

Co-supervisor: Prof. J.R. Bunt

Co-supervisor: Prof. R.C. Everson

November 2011

Declaration

I, Sansha Nel, hereby declare that the dissertation entitled: “**Catalytic steam gasification of large coal particles**”, submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for a Masters degree in Chemical Engineering (M. Eng.), is my own work, unless otherwise specified in text, and that this dissertation has not been submitted to any other tertiary institution either in part or as a whole.

Signed at Potchefstroom, on the day of, 2011.

Sansha Nel

Acknowledgement

I would like to acknowledge and thank the following people who have motivated and guided me through the course of this study, and without whom I could not have succeeded:

- Firstly and most importantly to my Heavenly Father, who has blessed me with the knowledge and insight to further my studies, and who always gives me strength and guidance in everything I do;
- Professors Hein Neomagus, John Bunt and Ray Everson for their much appreciated guidance, support and motivation throughout this investigation;
- Sasol for the financial support to conduct this study;
- Jan Kroeze and Adrian Brock for their technical assistance;
- Dr. Lourens Tiedt for conducting all the SEM scans and EDS analyses;
- Paul Keanly from X-Sight X-ray Services for conducting all the tomography scans and for assisting me with the data processing;
- Liezl Schoeman at UIS Analytical Services for all her help and suggestions regarding the XRF analysis;
- My parents and brother for their unconditional love, support and motivation;
- And lastly, my significant other, Hennie, for all his love and support and the much needed motivational speeches.

Abstract

Catalytic gasification has been studied extensively in order to develop more efficient and economic coal conversion processes. Fundamental studies regarding catalytic gasification have thus far focused on experimentation with small coal particles and powders. The lack of knowledge regarding the application of large coal particles in steam gasification studies, in particular catalytic steam gasification, is the motivation behind this investigation.

A washed bituminous, medium rank-C Highveld coal (seam 4) was selected for this study, and a general characterisation of the coal was conducted. It was found that the ash content of the washed coal is 12.6 wt.% (air-dried basis). Based on the gross calorific value of 26.6 MJ/kg (air-dried basis), the coal sample was graded as a grade B coal. XRF analysis of the ash indicated that the coal is rich in SiO_2 and Al_2O_3 , with a low potassium oxide content (0.53 wt.%) which is typical for South African coal.

Potassium carbonate (K_2CO_3) was selected as catalyst, and the excess solution impregnation method was used to impregnate large coal particles (5 mm, 10 mm, 20 mm and 30 mm). The pH of the impregnation solution stabilised after three weeks, which led to the assumption that impregnation is complete. Two methods were used to determine the catalyst loading obtained after impregnation: XRF was used to determine the wt.% K in the ash, while ion specific electrode (ISE) was used to measure the $[\text{K}^+]$ decrease in the impregnation solution. XRF results indicated the maximum catalyst loading obtainable for large coal particles, with the specific impregnation method, to be between 0.68 – 0.83 wt.% K (coal basis). XRF can be used to determine the catalyst loading by measuring the K content in the ash, while ISE can be used to semi-quantitatively predict the catalyst loadings of large coal particles. The catalyst distribution was studied using SEM and tomography analyses. SEM scans showed that the formation of cracks occurred as a result of impregnation, and EDS analysis indicated that the majority of the catalyst is concentrated around the outer surface of the particles. Tomography scans, and mineral volume analysis, indicated that the mineral matter of the coal particles increased after impregnation.

The effect of catalyst addition on reactivity was investigated by conducting steam gasification experiments with 5 mm and 10 mm particles, in a large particle TGA. The 20 mm and 30 mm particles did not remain intact after impregnation and were therefore not used for the reactivity experiments. Reactivity experiments were performed at temperatures ranging from 800 °C to 875 °C, with a steam concentration of 80 mol%. Graphs illustrating conversion as

a function of time indicated that the addition of K_2CO_3 to the coal samples increased the reaction rate. This was quantified by determining the reactivities of the raw and catalysed samples using linearised homogeneous model plots. The reaction rate was found to be temperature sensitive, and independent of particle size, which indicated that experiments were conducted in the chemical reaction control regime. A slight decrease in activation energy was observed with the addition of K_2CO_3 , from 191 kJ/mol (raw coal) to 179 kJ/mol (catalysed coal). Microscope images of raw and catalysed chars indicated that the addition of a catalyst may reduce agglomeration.

Opsomming

Katalitiese vergassing is voorheen al breedvoerig ondersoek met die doel om meer doeltreffende en ekonomiese steenkool vergassingsprosesse te ontwikkel. Fundamentele studies aangaande katalitiese vergassing het tot dusver gefokus op eksperimentering met klein steenkool partikels en poeiers. Die gebrek aan kennis rakende die aanwending van groot steenkool partikels vir steenkool vergassing studies, in besonder katalitiese vergassing, is die motivering agter dié ondersoek.

'n Bitumineuse, medium rang-C Hoëveld steenkool (laag 4) is gekies vir die studie, en 'n algemene karakterisering van die steenkool was gedoen. Dit was gevind dat die as-inhoud van die steenkool 12.6 % (massa basis) is, wat relatief laag is vir Suid-Afrikaanse steenkool. Die steenkool was gegradeer as 'n graad B steenkool, volgens 'n verbrandingswarmte waarde van 26.6 MJ/kg. XRF analise het getoon dat die steenkool ryk is in SiO_2 and Al_2O_3 , met 'n lae kalium oksied inhoud (0.53 %, massa basis), wat kenmerkend is van Suid-Afrikaanse steenkool.

Kalium karbonaat (K_2CO_3) was die gekose katalis, en die groot steenkool partikels (5 mm, 10 mm, 20 mm and 30 mm) was geïmpregneer deur middle van die oormatige oplossing metode. Die pH van die impregneringsoplossing het gestabiliseer na drie weke, wat gelui het tot die aanname dat impregnering klaar is. Twee metodes was gebruik om die katalisinhoud in die steenkool te bepaal na impregnering: XRF was gebruik om die massa % K in die as te bepaal, terwyl ISE gebruik was om die afname in $[\text{K}^+]$ in die oplossing te bepaal. XRF resultate het aangedui dat 'n maksimum katalisinhoud van tussen 0.68 – 0.83 % K (massa basis) vergrygbaar is vir die impregnering van groot steenkool partikels. XRF kan gebruik word om die katalisinhoud te bepaal deur die K inhoud in die as te analiseer, terwyl die katalisinhoud op 'n semi-kwantitatiewe manier voorspel kan word d.m.v. ISE. Die katalis verspreiding was bestudeer met SEM en tomografiese analises. SEM foto's het gewys dat die impregneringsmetode die vorming van krake veroorsaak in die partikels, en EDS analise het aangedui dat die meerderheid van die katalis rondom die buite oppervlakte van die steenkool partikels gekonsentreerd is. Tomografiese foto's, en mineral volume analises, het gewys dat die mineraalinhoud van die partikels vermeerder na impregnering.

Die effek van katalis byvoeging op die reaktiwiteit van die steenkool was bestudeer deur stoom vergassing eksperimente te doen met die 5 mm en 10 mm partikels, in 'n groot partikel TGA. Die 20 mm en 30 mm partikels was nie gebruik vir stoom

vergassingseksperimente nie, aangesien hulle verbreekel het na impregnering. Eksperimente was uitgevoer by temperature in die omgewing van 800 °C tot 875 °C, met 'n stoomkonsentrasie van 80 mol.%. Grafieke wat die omsetting as 'n funksie van tyd voorstel het aangedui dat die byvoeging van K_2CO_3 tot die steenkool partikels, die reaksie tempo verhoog. Dit was gekwantifiseer deur die reaktiwiteite van die rou en gekataliseerde partikels te bepaal deur gebruik te maak van gelineardiseerde homogene model grafieke. Dit was ook gevind dat die reaksie tempo temperatuur-sensitief is en onafhanklik van partikelgrootte, wat aandui dat die eksperimente uitgevoer is in die chemiese-reaksie beherende regime. 'n Afname in aktiveringsenergie is ook waargeneem met die toevoeging van K_2CO_3 , van 191 kJ/mol (rou) na 179 kJ/mol (gekataliseerd). Mikroskoop foto's van rou en gekataliseerde steenkool het ook gewys dat die byvoeging van katalis agglomerasie verminder.

Table of Contents

DECLARATION	II
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	III
ABSTRACT	IV
OPSOMMING	VI
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VIII
LIST OF FIGURES	XII
LIST OF TABLES	XIV
LIST OF SYMBOLS	XII
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1.INTRODUCTION	5
2.2.COAL	5
2.2.1. Origin and formation of coal	5
2.2.2. South African coalfields	8
2.3.COAL COMPOSITION	9
2.3.1. Microscopic constituent of coal	10
2.3.2. Macroscopic constituents	12
2.4.GASIFICATION	13
2.4.1. Coal gasification process	14
2.4.2. Research on large particle gasification	15
2.5.CATALYTIC GASIFICATION	17
2.5.1. Advantages of catalytic gasification	18
2.5.2. Factors influencing the catalytic effect	18
2.5.3. Catalyst selection	19
2.5.4. Catalyst addition	25
2.6.GASIFICATION MECHANISMS	29
2.6.1. Steam gasification mechanism	29
2.6.2. Catalytic gasification mechanism	30
2.7.SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS CATALYTIC GASIFICATION RESEARCH	34

CHAPTER 3: OBJECTIVE OF INVESTIGATION	39
3.1.INTRODUCTION	39
3.2.AIM	39
3.3.OBJECTIVES OF THIS INVESTIGATION	39
3.4.SCOPE OF THIS INVESTIGATION	40
CHAPTER 4: COAL CHARACTERISATION.....	41
4.1.INTRODUCTION	41
4.2.ORIGIN OF THE COAL SAMPLE	41
4.3.SAMPLE PREPARATION	42
4.4.COAL CHARACTERISATION PROCEDURES	44
4.4.1. Chemical analysis	44
4.4.2. Mineral analysis	45
4.5.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	46
4.5.1. Chemical analysis of coal	46
4.5.2. Mineral analysis	48
4.6.SUMMARY	49
CHAPTER 5: IMPREGNATION.....	50
5.1.INTRODUCTION	50
5.2.MATERIALS USED	50
5.2.1. Coal	50
5.2.2. Impregnation solution	50
5.3.EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY	50
5.3.1. Coal sample preparation	51
5.3.2. Experimental equipment	52
5.3.3. Experimental procedures and analyses	54
5.4.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	59
5.4.1. Impregnation measurements	59
5.4.2. Effect of impregnation on particles	60
5.4.3. Catalyst loading	61
5.4.4. Catalyst distribution	65

5.5.SUMMARY	71
CHAPTER 6: STEAM REACTIVITY EXPERIMENTS.....	73
6.1.INTRODUCTION	73
6.2.EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY	73
6.2.1. Chemicals	73
6.2.2. Experimental set-up	73
6.2.3. Experimental procedure and specifications	75
6.3.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	78
6.3.1. Normalisation of experimental results	78
6.3.2. Influence of impregnation	80
6.3.3. Factors influencing reactivity	82
6.3.4. Kinetic evaluation	86
6.3.5. Surface effect of catalyst addition	92
6.4.SUMMARY	95
CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	96
7.1.CONCLUSIONS	96
7.1.1. Coal characterisation	96
7.1.2. Impregnation	96
7.1.3. Steam reactivity experiments	97
7.2.RECOMMENDATIONS	97
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	99
APPENDIX A.....	106
A.1. PROCEDURE AND CALIBRATION FOR ISE MEASUREMENTS	106
A.2. CALCULATION OF CATALYST LOADING FROM ISE RESULTS	107
A.3. CALCULATING K FROM K₂O (XRF RESULTS)	108
A.4. XRF RESULTS	109
A.5. CATALYST LOADING CALCULATIONS	109

A.6. PROCEDURE AND DATA PROCESSING FOR CT SCANS	110
A.7. CT SCANS	116
A.8. VOLUME PREDICTIONS	123
A.9. ISE ERROR PREDICTIONS	123
APPENDIX B.....	125
B.1. CONVERSION-TIME GRAPHS	125
B.2. EXPERIMENTAL VALUES FOR IM AND VM AND ASH CONTENT	127
B.3. EXPERIMENTAL ERRORS FOR AVERAGE CONVERSION RUNS	127
B.4. PARTICLE SIZE INFLUENCE FOR 825 °C, 850 °C AND 875 °C	128
B.5. DETERMINATION OF REACTIVITY, K	130
B.6. TEMPERATURE PROFILE DURING GASIFICATION	131

List of figures

Figure 2.1: Comparison of gasification rates for coal char catalysed with various	22
Figure 2.2: Competition of alkali cation.....	23
Figure 2.3: Oxygen transfer and intermediate hybrid mechanism.....	31
Figure 4.1: Bulk coal sample in a cone-shaped pile.....	42
Figure 4.2: Four cone-shaped piles of equal volume	43
Figure 4.3: Rotary Splitter	43
Figure 5.1: 30 mm hand selected particle.....	52
Figure 5.2: FEI QUANTA 200 ESEM integrated with Oxford INCA X-SIGHT EDS	56
Figure 5.3: Sample preparation for SEM and EDS analyses	56
Figure 5.4: HMXST CT system	58
Figure 5.5: pH measurements during impregnation.....	59
Figure 5.6: Influence of impregnation on 20 mm and 30 mm particles.....	60
Figure 5.7: Comparison of XRF and ISE results.....	64
Figure 5.8: SEM scans of raw coal particle (outside and inside).....	65
Figure 5.9: SEM scans of impregnated coal particle (outside and inside).....	66
Figure 5.10: SEM images of the outer surface of a raw and impregnated particle	67
Figure 5.11: CT scan of impregnated 30 mm particle	69
Figure 5.12: Clipping plane of 30 mm particle	69
Figure 5.13: Slice view of raw 30 mm particle	70
Figure 5.14: Slice view of impregnated 30 mm particle	70
Figure 6.1: Experimental set-up of the large particle TGA	74
Figure 6.2: Mass loss curve for raw 5 mm particles, at 825 °C.....	78
Figure 6.3: Normalised mass loss curve for raw 5 mm particles, at 825 °C	79
Figure 6.4: Conversion-time graph for raw 5 mm particles, at 825 °C.....	80
Figure 6.5: Effect of impregnation on reactivity.....	81
Figure 6.6: Conversion as a function of time, for 5 mm particles	82
Figure 6.7: Conversion as a function of time, for 10 mm particles	83
Figure 6.8: Influence of temperature on reactivity.....	84
Figure 6.9: Influence of particle size on reactivity at 800 °C	85
Figure 6.10: Linearised HM plot ($-\ln(1-X)$ vs. t).....	87
Figure 6.11: Linearised HM plot up to 70 wt.% conversion (5 mm).....	88
Figure 6.12: Linearised HM plot up to 70 wt.% conversion (10 mm).....	88
Figure 6.13: Combined Arrhenius plot for 5 mm and 10 mm particles	91
Figure 6.14: Char samples of raw and impregnated 5 mm particles.....	93

Figure 6.15: Char samples of raw and impregnated 10 mm particles	93
Figure 6.16: SEM scans of 5 mm char samples (100 μm)	94
Figure 6.17: SEM scans of 5 mm char samples (1 mm)	94
Figure A.1: ISE calibration curve	107
Figure A.2: Positioning of 5 mm particles for CT scans	111
Figure A.3: Positioning of 20 mm particle for CT scans	111
Figure A.4: Volume rendering tool interface	112
Figure A.5: CT scan obtained from volume rendering analysis.....	114
Figure A.6: CT scan illustrating minerals in coal particles.....	115
Figure A.7: Volume analyser data histogram.....	116
Figure A.8: CT scan of 5 mm raw coal particles	117
Figure A.9: CT scan of 5 mm impregnated coal particles	117
Figure A.10: CT scan of 10 mm raw coal particles	118
Figure A.11: CT scan of 10 mm impregnated coal particles	118
Figure A.12: Clipping plane of 10 mm particles	119
Figure A.13: Slice view of raw 10 mm particles	119
Figure A.14: Slice view of impregnated 10 mm particles	120
Figure A.15: CT scan of raw 20 mm coal particle	120
Figure A.16: CT scan of impregnated 20 mm coal particle	121
Figure A.17: Clipping plane of 20 mm particles	121
Figure A.18: Slice view of raw 20 mm coal particle	122
Figure A.19: Slice view of impregnated 20 mm coal particle.....	122
Figure B.1: Conversion-time graphs for 5 mm particles.....	125
Figure B.2: Conversion-time graphs for 10 mm particles.....	126
Figure B.3: Influence of particle size on reactivity at 825 $^{\circ}\text{C}$	128
Figure B.4: Influence of particle size on reactivity at 850 $^{\circ}\text{C}$	129
Figure B.5: Influence of particle size on reactivity at 875 $^{\circ}\text{C}$	129
Figure B.6: Determination of reactivity, k, from slope (5 mm)	130
Figure B.7: Determination of reactivity, k, from slope (10 mm)	131
Figure B.8: Temperature profile during gasification experiment at 875 $^{\circ}\text{C}$	132

List of tables

Table 2.1: Summary of large particle gasification research	15
Table 2.2: Summary of catalytic gasification research.....	35
Table 4.1: Size fractions of export coal sample.	42
Table 4.2: Coal characterisation procedures	44
Table 4.3: Chemical analysis of coal sample.....	46
Table 4.4: XRF analysis of ash sample	48
Table 5.1: Sieve size ranges for particle selection.....	51
Table 5.2: 4-Star Plus Benchtop Multiparameter Meter Specifications	53
Table 5.3: Potassium ISE Specifications	53
Table 5.4: XRF results for catalyst loading	61
Table 5.5: K content (in wt.%) of K_2CO_3 impregnated char samples.....	62
Table 5.6: ISE results for catalyst loading	63
Table 5.7: EDS results	67
Table 5.8: Results for mineral volume (Vol.).....	71
Table 6.1: Equipment specifications for large particle TGA	75
Table 6.2: Reaction specifications for gasification experiments.....	76
Table 6.3: Reactivity values, k (h^{-1})	89
Table 6.4: Catalytic effectiveness.....	90
Table 6.5: Activation energies, E_a (kJ/mol).....	92
Table A.1: XRF results in wt.% K_2O	109
Table A.2: Validation of volume analyser measurements.....	123
Table A.3: Initial and final impregnation solution concentrations	124
Table B.1: Experimental values for inherent moisture and volatile matter and ash contents	127
Table B.2: Error % for average conversion runs.....	128

List of symbols

Roman Symbols

Symbol	Description	Units
A	Pre-exponential factor	$\text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{bar}^{-m}$
E_a	Activation energy	kJ/mol
ΔH^0	Enthalpy of reaction	kJ/mol
k	Reaction rate constant/ reactivity	h^{-1}
k_{cat}	Reactivity of catalysed coal samples	h^{-1}
k_{raw}	Reactivity of raw coal samples	h^{-1}
$K_2\text{O}_{\text{initial}}$	Mass $K_2\text{O}$ present in coal before impregnation	wt.%/g ash
$K_2\text{O}_{\text{loaded}}$	Mass $K_2\text{O}$ impregnated	wt.%/g ash
$K_2\text{O}_{\text{total}}$	Total mass $K_2\text{O}$ present in coal after impregnation	wt.%/g ash
K_{mass}	Mass K impregnated	g/g coal
K_{loaded}	Mass K impregnated	wt.%/g coal
$[\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3]$	K_2CO_3 concentration decrease	mol/L
$[\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3]_i$	Initial K_2CO_3 of impregnation solution	mol/L
$[\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3]_f$	Final K_2CO_3 of impregnation solution	mol/L
$[\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3]_{\text{adsorbed}}$	K_2CO_3 concentration impregnated/adsorbed	mol/L
$[\text{K}^+]_{\text{adsorbed}}$	K^+ concentration impregnated/adsorbed	mol/L
M_0	Initial mass of coal sample	g
M_t	Mass of coal sample at time t	g
M_{ash}	Mass of residual ash after gasification	g
r	Reaction rate	$\text{mol}_{\text{gas}}/\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}$
R	Molar gas constant	J/mol.K
t	time	hr or s
T	Temperature	K or °C
X	Conversion	-
X_c	Carbon conversion	-

Nomenclature

Abbreviations	Description
AFT	Ash fusion temperature
ASTM	American Society for Testing Materials
CCG	Catalytic coal gasification
CT	Computer tomography
DAEM	Distributed activation energy model
d.a.f.	Dry ash free basis
ECI	Excess co-impregnation
EDS	Energy dispersive spectrometry
ESI	Excess solution impregnation
FSI	Free swelling index
FTIR	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
GCV	Gross calorific value (MJ/kg)
HM	Homogeneous model
IM	Inherent moisture
ISE	Ion-specific electrode
ISO	International Standards Organization
LH	Langmuir-Hinshelwood rate equation
PVI	Pore volume impregnation
RCM	Random capillary model
ROM	Run-of-mine
RPM	Random pore model
SABS	South African Bureau of Standards
SANS	South African National Standards
SCM	Shrinking core model
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
SNG	Substitute natural gas
SS-NMR	Solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance
TGA	Thermogravimetric analyser
TG-DTA	Thermogravimetric/Differential Thermal Analyser
VM	Volatile matter
WCI	World Coal Institute
WCIM	Wetness co-impregnation method
WSI	Wetness sequential impregnation
XRD	X-ray diffraction

XRF X-ray fluorescence analysis
