

Modelling of electricity cost risks and opportunities in the gold mining industry

LF van der Zee
12663425

Thesis submitted for the degree *Doctor Philosophiae* in
Electronic Engineering at the Potchefstroom Campus of the
North-West University

Promoter: Dr R Pelzer

May 2014

It all starts here TM



NORTH-WEST UNIVERSITY
YUNIBESITI YA BOKONE-BOPHIRIMA
NOORDWES-UNIVERSITEIT

®

Abstract

Title: Modelling of electricity cost risks and opportunities in the gold mining industry
Author: Mr. L.F. van der Zee
Supervisor: Dr. R. Pelzer
Keywords: Electricity cost risk, modelling, electricity cost savings, ISO management, DSM strategies, electricity reporting and management system.

Carbon tax, increased reactive power charges, tariff increases and the Energy Conservation Scheme (ECS) are some of the worrying electricity cost risks faced by large South African industries. Some of these proposed cost risks are not enforced as yet, but once approved could threaten company financial viability and thousands of jobs.

Managing multiple cost risks associated with electricity consumption at several mines can be laborious and complex. This is largely due to circumstantial rules related to each potential electricity cost risk and unique mine characteristic. To limit the electricity cost risks for a mining company, clear strategies and focus areas need to be identified.

No literature was found that provides a simplified integrated electricity cost risk and mitigation strategy for the South African gold mining industry. Previous studies only focused on a single mine or mining subsystem. Literature pertaining to potential risks is available, however the exact impact and mitigation on the gold mining industry has yet to be determined.

The aim of this study is to accurately predict the impact of electricity cost risks and identify strategies that could alleviate their cost implications. Electricity consumption and installed capacities were used to benchmark mines and categorise them according to investigated risks. The benchmarked results provided an accurate starting point to identify best practices and develop electricity cost saving strategies. This study will highlight the additional benefits that can be obtained by managing electricity usage for a group of mines or mining company.

Newly developed models are used to quantify savings on pumping, compressed air and cooling systems. To manage and report on the potential risks and mitigation, an ISO 50001 based energy management system was developed and implemented. The applied and developed models can also be adjusted to review and manage the potential cost risks on other types of mines.

Derived risk and mitigation models were further used to quantify the impact on one of the largest gold mining companies in South Africa. These models indicate a potential annual price increase of 12%, while mitigation strategies could reduce the electricity consumption by more than 7%. Mitigation savings resulted from proposed projects as well as behavioural change-induced savings due to improved management. Over a five-year period the projects identified could result in electricity costs savings of between R675-million and R819-million.

Acknowledgements

Firstly I want to thank the Lord Jesus Christ, the Author of salvation, for giving me the ability to complete my studies, and providing me with motivation, creativity and peace throughout my life.

Furthermore, I would like to thank the following individuals who helped me during this study:

- TEMM International and HVAC International for funding the research and providing all the data and computational resources.
- Prof. E.H. Mathews and Prof. M. Kleingeld for providing funding, guidance and support.
- Dr. R. Pelzer for his guidance, support and for reviewing the document.
- Dr. J. Vosloo for his valuable insights in electricity profiling and sharing of experiences in electricity cost management.
- Dr. G.E. du Plessis for reviewing the document.
- A. Budge for proof reading the document.
- My wife Leanne, for her endless love, support and patience.
- My parents for supporting me throughout my studies and providing me with the best opportunities in life.
- My parents-in-law for their support and patience.
- To all my family and friends for their support, prayers and friendship.

Contents

	Page
Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Tables	vi
List of Figures	viii
Nomenclature	xi
Abbreviations	xv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Electricity demand in South Africa	1
1.2 Mitigation strategies	2
1.3 Electricity cost risks	4
1.4 Implications faced by the gold mining industry	5
1.5 Research objectives	7
1.6 Contributions of this study	8
1.7 Brief overview of this thesis	11
References: Introduction	12
2 Electricity cost risks	17
2.1 Introduction	17
2.2 Power Conservation Programme	17
2.3 Reactive power	33
2.4 Carbon tax	39
2.5 Predicted price hikes in the gold mining industry	46
2.6 Design of a model	54
2.7 Conclusion	57
References: Electricity cost risks	57
3 Mitigation through benchmarking and DSM	62
3.1 Introduction	62
3.2 Benchmarking electricity consumption	62
3.3 Pumping	71
3.4 Compressed air	92
3.5 Cooling	108
3.6 Benchmarking and optimisation results	132
3.7 Conclusion	135
References: Mitigation through benchmarking and DSM	135
4 Implemented risk management	140
4.1 Introduction	140

4.2	Electricity management and reporting	141
4.3	Implemented reporting and management system	145
4.4	Conclusion	158
	References: Implemented risk management	159
5	Conclusions and recommendations	162
5.1	Summary of work done	162
5.2	Contributions to the field	165
5.3	Limitations of modelling	166
5.4	Recommendations for further work	166
	Appendix	168
A	Power system report	168
A.1	Reference consumption calculation	169
B	ECS rules summary	170
B.1	Reference consumption calculation	171
B.2	Allocation management	172
C	Eskom Megaflex rates	173
C.1	Monthly electricity charges	174
D	Complex power	176
D.1	Complex power diagram	177
E	Weekly electricity management reports	178
F	Monthly risk reports	190
	References: Appendix	211

List of Tables

2.1	Adjustment factors for corresponding excess electricity charges [2].	25
2.2	Annual price comparison between the power factor price scenarios of 0.8 and 0.95.	35
2.3	Table illustrating the global warming potential of greenhouse gases [19]. . . .	39
2.4	Power consumption and emission relationship [25].	41
2.5	Comparison between the different funding models provided by Eskom.	50
2.6	Rates and applicable technologies for the ESCo funding model [47].	51
2.7	Rates and applicable technologies for the Standard Offer funding model [47].	51
2.8	Rates applicable for the Performance Contracting funding model [47].	52
2.9	Risk scenarios for expected price increase.	56
3.1	Scale of operations for the eight selected mines of the selected mining company.	67
3.2	The profitability and operational costs of the selected mines.	68
3.3	Mine depth and the classification of the mining method for the eight mines selected.	69
3.4	Production and annual electricity consumption values for the selected mines.	69
3.5	Installed pumping capacities for the mines with related production and electricity consumption.	72
3.6	Cost comparison of 1 MW load shift and constant profile.	84
3.7	Installed pump capacity of the underground pumping stations of Mine E. . . .	86
3.8	Supply line pressure and the line pressure after the PRV on the mining levels of Mine E.	86
3.9	Pressure control ranges and time for the control valves.	90
3.10	Identified electricity cost savings on the dewatering service of the selected mines.	91
3.11	Illustration of implemented compressed air mitigation strategies on South African mines [25].	98
3.12	Installed compressor capacities for the mines with related production and electricity consumption.	101
3.13	Minimum operating pressures related to the mining periods of Mine A shaft A-1#.	103
3.14	Identified electricity cost savings on the compressed air service of the selected mines.	107
3.15	Installed cooling system capacities with related production and electricity consumption.	109
3.16	Cooling system design specifications for Mine E.	126
3.17	Surface BAC specifications for Mine E.	126
3.18	Precooling tower specifications for Mine E.	126

3.19	Savings contribution of each system.	130
3.20	Identified electricity cost savings on the cooling service of the selected mines.	131
3.21	Results of the possible obtainable mitigation in relation to total power consumed and each main service.	132
3.22	Results of the possible obtainable load reduction in relation to total power consumed and each main service.	132
4.1	Summary of the power consumption for the main mining services and overall power consumption.	147
4.2	Percentage improvement in relation to electricity consumption and services (summer only).	157
4.3	Percentage improvement in relation to electricity consumption and services. .	157
4.4	Percentage improvement for each mine and total electricity reduction.	158
5.1	Risk scenarios for the expected price increase.	163
5.2	Possible electricity reduction through identified DSM projects.	164
C.1	Eskom monthly charges breakdown [1].	174

List of Figures

1.1	Eskom capacity added and projected energy gap [7].	2
1.2	Verified accumulated savings and annual targets [7].	3
1.3	The average tariff increase for the past fifteen years with predicted increases for the next four years [11].	4
1.4	Breakdown of annual electricity purchases for the large electricity consumers at Eskom 2012 [7].	6
1.5	Mining cost increase for the South African mining company Sibanye [22].	6
1.6	The analysis of electricity cost risks for the South African gold mining industry.	11
2.1	Illustration of the two main components of the PCP [2].	18
2.2	ECS total electricity allocation summary [2].	22
2.3	ECS allocation management summary [2].	23
2.4	Percentage electricity cost increase relative to the percentage over consumption.	29
2.5	Scenario one: ECS price increase with default monthly allocation.	30
2.6	Scenario two: Poor allocation and high ECS penalty charges.	30
2.7	Graph illustrating the cost effect of improved electricity allocation.	31
2.8	Cost breakdown of the monthly charge illustrating the effect of reactive power charges with a power factor of 0.8.	35
2.9	Cost breakdown of the monthly charge illustrating the effect of reactive power charges with a power factor of 0.95.	36
2.10	Illustration of the monthly price decrease in relation to the power factor.	37
2.11	Comparison between payback periods when reactive power is charged throughout the year.	38
2.12	The predicted carbon tax cost increase for 2015-2020.	42
2.13	Payback calculations for a normal and CDM submitted 1 MW electricity savings project [32].	44
2.14	History trend of CER spot price ¹	44
2.15	History of average annual price increases from Eskom.	46
2.16	Power costs increases for a selected mining company 2005-2017.	47
2.17	Power consumption and electricity price comparison [44].	48
2.18	Direct mining cost comparison between 2006 and 2012.	48
2.19	Compressor electricity profile of Modikwa Platinum Mine.	53
2.20	The estimated payback periods and cost for the DSM models provided by Eskom.	54
2.21	Approach for quantifying the combined cost risk.	55
2.22	Illustration of the quantified risk scenarios.	57

3.1	Illustration of the benchmark approach used to identify possible mitigation strategies.	65
3.2	Comparison of mines for electricity consumed per tonne milled.	70
3.3	Allocation results of gold mines in South Africa other than those in the study [4].	71
3.4	Amount of pumping electricity consumed related to production.	73
3.5	Simplified dewatering layout of a typical deep level gold mine in South Africa.	74
3.6	System pump curves illustrating the relationship between the number of pumps and head [8].	74
3.7	Relationship between the leak size and flow rate.	76
3.8	Relationship between pressure and flow rate according to Equation 3.2. . . .	77
3.9	Relationship between leak diameter and daily cost to pump out the leaked water.	77
3.10	Measured relationship between pressure and flow rate for a specific valve [13].	78
3.11	Daily flow profile of a deep level gold mine of the selected mining group. . .	80
3.12	Simplified approach taken to identify the potential of a dewatering system of a gold mine.	81
3.13	Constant 1 MW load with an optimal load shift profile comparison.	82
3.14	Load shift cost illustration of a 1 MW load in the low demand season.	83
3.15	Load shift cost illustration of a 1 MW load in the high demand season. . . .	83
3.16	Percentage load reduction in relation to price decrease.	84
3.17	Simplified layout of the dewatering system of Mine E.	87
3.18	Electrical pump baseline of Mine E.	88
3.19	Proposed installation of control valves and instrumentation.	89
3.20	Controlled water flow and baseline flow of Mine E.	90
3.21	Relationship between system pressure and compressor power consumption [25].	94
3.22	Compressed air electricity wastage related to leak size.	97
3.23	Compressed air electricity cost related to leak size.	97
3.24	Compressed air savings strategy.	100
3.25	Amount of compressor electricity consumed in relation to production.	101
3.26	Simplified layout of the compressed air network of Mine A.	102
3.27	Pressure of shaft A-1# and power baseline of Mine A.	103
3.28	System pressure control test by switching off Compressor 3.	104
3.29	Underground layout of proposed pneumatic control valves.	105
3.30	Estimated compressed air savings by incorporating pressure set point control and control valves.	106
3.31	Pressure set point control of the supply pressure at shaft A-1#.	106
3.32	Compressed air electricity consumption profile after project implementation.	107
3.33	Virgin rock temperatures below surface [35].	109
3.34	Amount of cooling electricity consumed in relation to production.	110
3.35	Simplified layout of a typical cooling system on a gold mine.	111

3.36	Typical steps needed to derive and calculate the potential load shift possibility on a cooling system.	115
3.37	Evaporator flow rate comparison of VSD-controlled flow and valve restricted flow.	116
3.38	Power reduction and VSD motor speed relationship [42].	117
3.39	Chiller machine cost savings with VSD installation payback scenarios [40].	118
3.40	Pump and fan cost savings with VSD installation payback scenarios.	119
3.41	Cost comparison of average R/kW for different size chiller VSDs.	119
3.42	Cost comparison of average R/kW for different size pump and fan VSDs.	120
3.43	VSD power savings related to speed reduction [42].	122
3.44	A simplified approach for investigating the possible implementing of VSDs on a cooling system.	123
3.45	Basic layout of Mine E's surface cooling system.	125
3.46	Basic layout of Mine E surface cooling system with proposed BAC valve and VSD implementation.	128
3.47	VSD condenser pumps electricity savings and flow comparisons.	129
3.48	VSD evaporator pumps electricity savings and flow comparisons.	129
3.49	VSD BAC pumps electricity savings and flow comparisons.	130
3.50	Mitigation scenarios and potential cost impact.	134
4.1	PDCA cycle with the assigned task for the reporting system implemented.	143
4.2	Data management and reporting methodology implemented for this study.	144
4.3	Total power consumption of Mine H for September 2013.	148
4.4	Cooling system power consumption of Mine H for September 2013.	148
4.5	Mining power consumption of Mine H for September 2013.	149
4.6	Total-to-date power consumption for Mine H for September 2013.	149
4.7	Main selected services with daily average baseline value and usage.	150
4.8	Mining power consumption profile for Mine H for September 2013.	150
4.9	Illustration of the improved load profile resulting from management reporting.	151
4.10	Benchmarking used in mine monitoring: Compressed air.	153
4.11	Benchmarking used in mine monitoring: Pumping.	154
4.12	Benchmarking used in mine monitoring: Cooling system.	155
4.13	Relationship between production and electricity consumption - Mine E.	156
4.14	Optimal and worst-case compressed air power consumption in relation to production.	156
5.1	Cost risk model with derived simplification steps.	167
A.1	Power system report sent out from Eskom to all the large consumers.	169
C.1	Eskom Megaflex rates: 2011/2012 [1].	175
D.1	Complex power diagram.	177

Nomenclature

General:

g	Gram
J	Joule
K	Kelvin
m	Metre
t	Tonne
W	Watt
Wh	Watt-hour

Energy Conservation Scheme:

A	Customer's total annual electricity allocation (MWh)
B	Customer's annual electricity allocation in respect of reference loads (MWh)
C	Customer's annual electricity allocation with post reference loads (MWh)
C_{ECS}	Total monthly electricity consumed (kWh)
CBc	ECS Control Band charge (R/kWh)
D	Customer's new connections or additional loads (MWh)
DBc	ECS Disincentive Band charge (R/kWh)
E	Customer's investment allocations or adjusted investment allocations (MWh)
E_{ECS}	Electricity cost with ECS penalties (Rand)
E_{Normal}	Electricity cost without ECS penalties (Rand)
PBc	ECS Punitive Band charge (R/kWh)
Rc	Reference consumption allocated (kWh)
Tc	Eskom tariff charge (R/kWh)

Reactive power:

AC	Administration charges (R)
AE	Active energy charge (R/kWh)
EL	Environmental levy charge (R/kWh)
NA	Network access charge (R/kVA/month)
ND	Network demand charge (R/kVA/month)
P	Real power (kW)
pf	Power factor (-)
Q	Reactive power (KVar)
RE	Reactive energy charge (R/kVArh)
RS	Electrification and rural subsidy (R/kWh)
S	Apparent power (kVA)
TN	Transmission network charges (R/kVA/month)

Pumping:

α	Flow coefficient (-)
ρ	Fluid density (kg/m ³)
ε	Pumping system efficiency (-)
E_{ps}	Daily energy used to extract water from the pump station (J)
g	Gravity acceleration constant (m/s ²)
h	Total head of the pumping station (m)
M	Mass of water pumped (kg)
P_{inside}	Pressure inside the pipe (Pa)
$P_{outside}$	Pressure outside the pipe (Pa)
Q	Volumetric flow (m ³ /s)
$E_{Theoretical}$	Calculated pumping energy required, excluding losses (kWh)
E_{Actual}	The actual pump electrical energy consumed (kWh)
$cos\varphi$	Load power factor (-)

I_L Line current (A)

V_L Line voltage (V)

Compressed air:

$w_{comp,in}$ Energy required to compress a unit mass of air (kJ/kg)

\dot{m}_{air} Compressed air mass flow rate (kg/s)

η_{comp} Compressor efficiency (-)

η_{motor} Efficiency of the electrical motor (-)

F_{line} Power to line pressure ratio (kW/kPa)

A Minimum cross-sectional area (m²)

$C_{discharge}$ Discharge coefficient (-)

k Specific heat ratio (-)

n Polytropic compression exponent (-)

$P_{electrical}$ Electrical power (kW)

$P_{electrical}$ Electrical power (kW)

p_{line} Line pressure (kPa)

p_{line} Line pressure (kPa)

p_1 Compressor inlet pressure (kPa)

p_2 Compressor discharge pressure (absolute pressure) (kPa)

R Gas constant (287 J/kg.K)

T_{inlet} Line temperature (K)

Cooling:

ΔT Change in temperature (°C)

\dot{m} Mass flow (kg/s)

\dot{m}_{in} Inflow (ℓ/s)

\dot{m}_{out} Outflow (ℓ/s)

\dot{Q}_c Chiller rated cooling capacity (MW)

\dot{W}_{rated} Pump or fan power rating (MW)

C_p	Specific heat constant (-)
COP	Coefficient of performance (-)
DL_1	Previous dam level (%)
DT_1	Previous dam temperature ($^{\circ}C$)
DT_2	New dam temperature ($^{\circ}C$)
Dv	Dam volume (m^3)
$EC_{chiller}$	Chiller electrical energy consumption (MWh)
$EC_{pump, fan}$	Pump or fan electrical energy consumption (MWh)
LF	Loading factor (-)
$m_{measured}$	Measured flow before VSD implementation (ℓ/s)
$m_{reduced}$	Reduced flow with VSD implementation (ℓ/s)
OH	Operating hours (h)
P_{pump}	Measured power usage of pump with measured flow (kW)
Q	Thermal energy (J)
$Q_{average}$	Electrical load of the plant (kW)
$Q_{installed}$	Installed capacity of the plant (kW)
t	Time (hour)
T_{in}	Temperature of inflow ($^{\circ}C$)
T_{out}	Temperature of outflow ($^{\circ}C$)
$VSD_{savings}$	Savings resulting VSD flow reduction (kW)

Modelling:

$E_{Savings}$	Electricity savings (kWh)
E_{Cost}	Electricity cost (R/kWh)
$DSM_{funding}$	DSM funding (R/kWh)

Abbreviations

3D	Three Dimensional
3CPFS	Three Chamber Pipe Feeder System
BAC	Bulk Air Cooler
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CER	Certified Emissions Reduction
COP	Coefficient Of Performance
DB	Dry Bulb
DEA	Department of Environmental Affairs
DME	Department of Minerals and Energy (South Africa)
DMP	Demand Market Participation
DR	Demand Response
DSM	Demand Side Management
ECS	Energy Conservation Scheme
EEC	Energy Efficient Certified
EEDSM	Energy Efficiency DSM
EGM	Electricity Growth Management
EMS	Energy Management Systems
ESCO	Energy Service Company
GHG	Greenhouse Gases
IDM	Integrated Demand Management
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
M&V	Measurement and Verification
MYPD3	Multi Year Price Denomination Three
NERSA	National Energy Regulator of South Africa

NERT	National Electricity Response Team
PCP	Power Conservation Program
PDCA	Plan-Do-Check-Act
PRV	Pressure Reducing Valve
PWM	Pulse With Modulation
RTC	Right To Consume
SANAS	South African National Accreditation System
SANEDI	South African National Energy Development Institute
SARS	South African Revenue Service
SCADA	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition
TOU	Time Of Use
UNFCCC	United Nation Framework Convention of Climate Change
VRT	Virgin Rock Temperature
VSD	Variable Speed Drive
WB	Wet Bulb