

**THE EFFECT OF SELECTED SOLVENTS ON
THE RELATIVE VOLATILITY OF THE
BINARY SYSTEM: 1-OCTENE - 2-HEXANONE
WITH THE AIM OF SEPARATING THE
AZEOTROPIC MIXTURE.**

Barend Jacobus van der Merwe

**Thesis for the degree Magister Engineering in
Chemical Engineering**

THE EFFECT OF SELECTED SOLVENTS ON THE RELATIVE VOLATILITY OF THE
BINARY SYSTEM: 1-OCTENE - 2-HEXANONE WITH THE AIM OF SEPARATING
THE AZEOTROPIC MIXTURE.

Barend Jacobus van der Merwe, B.Eng. (Chemical Engineering)

Thesis accepted in the Faculty of Engineering of the
Potchefstroom University for Christian Higher Education in
partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree Magister
Engineering in Chemical Engineering.

Supervisor: Prof. R.C. Everson
Co-supervisor: HR Vorster

Potchefstroom

May 1994

ABSTRACT

The removal of oxygenates from olefins has tremendous industrial significance. This study dealt specifically with potential azeotropic and extractive distillation processes for the purification of higher alpha olefins.

An Othmer type vapour liquid equilibrium still was used to study the influence of selected solvents on the 1-octene / 2-hexanone system. These screening tests were performed under conditions of a fixed solvent concentration of 66% with the azeotropic ratio of 1-octene to 2-hexanone.

The resulting data was used to identify solvent properties and theoretical models which correlate well with actual performance. It is evident that specific available solvent properties and principles can indeed be successfully utilized to predict the effect of a solvent. Although many of these guidelines are not strictly quantitative, they agree well with theoretical understandings of solvent action and can save much time in future solvent searches.

The large difference in polarity between the two components appears to be the main factor on which the solvents discriminate. Differences in molar volume and complex forming ability are also meaningful. Related solvent properties are consequently important when selecting a solvent for similar systems. Effective solvents (such as dimethyl formamide and methanol) all have high values for properties (such as the dielectric constant and polar solubility parameter) which are associated with the strength of solvent solute interaction.

Binary vapour liquid equilibrium data was also measured for some solvents. The data was regressed and used to simulate actual distillation schemes. This verified that chosen solvents are indeed able to efficiently effect a high separation between the two components.

OPSOMMING

Die verwydering van oksigenate uit olefiene is van groot industriële belang. Hierdie studie handel spesifiek oor potensiële azeotropiese en ekstraktiewe distillasie prosesse vir die suiwering van hoër alfa olefiene.

'n Othmer tipe damp vloeistof ewewigsapparaat is gebruik om die effek van geselekteerde oplosmiddels op die 1-okteen / 2-heksanoon sisteem te ondersoek. Hierdie toetse is onder toestande van 'n konstante oplosmiddel konsentrasie van 66% met 'n azeotropiese verhouding van 1-okteen tot 2-heksanoon uitgevoer.

Die resultate is gebruik om oplosmiddel eienskappe en teoretiese modelle te identifiseer wat goed korreleer met die waargenome effekte. Dit is duidelik dat spesifieke beskikbare oplosmiddel eienskappe wel suksesvol gebruik kan word om die effek van 'n sekere oplosmiddel te voorspel. Alhoewel baie van die aanwysings nie streng kwantitatief is nie, is daar goeie ooreenstemming met teoretiese insigte rakende oplosmiddel aksie en baie tyd kan in toekomstige oplosmiddel soektogte bespaar word.

Die groot verskil in polariteit tussen die twee komponente blyk die hooffaktor te wees waarop die oplosmiddels diskrimineer. Verskille in molêre volume en die vermoë om komplekse te vorm is ook betekenisvol. Verwante oplosmiddel eienskappe is gevolglik belangrik by die identifisering van oplosmiddels vir soortgelyke sisteme. Effektiewe oplosmiddels (soos N,N-dimetiel formamied en metanol) besit almal hoë waardes vir eienskappe (soos die dielektriese konstante en die polêre oplosbaarheidsparemetrie) wat met die sterkte van oplosmiddel opgeloste stof interaksies geassosieer word.

Binêre damp vloeistof ewewigsdata is ook gemeet vir sekere oplosmiddels. Regressies is op die data gedoen waarna werklike distillasie skemas gesimuleer is. Dit het bevestig dat gekose oplosmiddels inderdaad gebruik kan word om 'n effektiewe skeiding tussen die twee komponente te bewerkstellig.

PREFACE

This project was supervised by Professor R.C. Everson of the Potchefstroom University for Christian Higher Education and by H.R. Vorster, chief process engineer, Separation Technology, Sastech. The equipment, chemicals and financial support were provided by Sastech Process Development, Sasol Chemical Industries. The author expresses his sincere gratitude to Sastech for its invaluable assistance.

The author also wishes to thank the operational research section of the modelling group (Process Development) for their assistance regarding statistics.

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	(ii)
OPSOMMING	(iii)
PREFACE	(v)
CONTENTS	(vi)
FIGURES	(xiii)
NOMENCLATURE	(xvi)
GREEK SYMBOLS	(xvii)
SUBSCRIPTS	(xix)
SUPERSCRIPTS	(xix)
ABBREVIATIONS	(xx)
CHAPTER 1	1
GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Scope of this investigation	2
CHAPTER 2	3
EXISTING METHODS FOR OXYGENATE REMOVAL	3
2.1 Introduction	3
2.2 Extractive distillation using water	3

2.3	Liquid-liquid extraction using a polar solvent	4
2.3.1	General	4
2.3.2	Process Description	5
2.3.3	Solvent properties	5
2.4	Summary	7
CHAPTER 3		10
LITERATURE REVIEW OF THE THEORY OF SEPARATION		10
3.1	Introduction - Raoult's Law	10
3.2	Non ideal mixtures	11
3.3	Causes of specific interactions	12
3.7	Extractive and azeotropic distillation	17
3.7.1	Extractive distillation	17
3.7.2	Azeotropic distillation	19
3.8	Solubility parameters	22
3.9	Qualitative indications of a solvent	25
3.9.1	Difference in molar volume	26
3.9.2	Complex formation	28
3.9.3	Hydrogen bonding	29
3.9.4	Lowered solubility	32
3.9.5	Solubility parameters	33
3.9.6	Differences in polarity and solvent polarity	35
3.10	Factors influencing the performance of a specific solvent	37
3.10.1	Solvent concentration	37
3.10.2	Temperature	38
3.10.3	Mixed solvents	39
3.11	Correlations and Interpretations	39
3.11.1	The Pierotti-Deal-Derr method	40
3.11.2	The regular solution theory of Hildebrand & Scott	41
3.11.2.1	Introduction	41
3.11.2.2	Regular solutions	41
3.11.3	Weimer and Prausnitz	43

	PAGE
3.11.3.1 Introduction	43
3.11.3.2 The model	44
3.11.4 Helpinstill and Van Winkle	46
3.11.5 MOSCED - Thomas & Eckert	47
3.11.5.1 The model	47
3.11.5.2 Parameter estimation	50
3.12 Conclusions	53
CHAPTER 4	55
CHOICE OF AGENTS FOR THE 1-OCTENE / 2-HEXANONE SYSTEM	55
4.1 Introduction	55
4.2 Initial consideration of the 1-octene / 2- hexanone system	55
4.2.1 Physical properties of the constituents	55
4.2.1 Interpretation	60
4.2.2 Azeotropic data	60
4.3 Selection criteria	63
4.4 Qualitative identification of potential agents	63
4.4.1 Difference in polarity	63
4.4.2 Difference in hydrogen bond forming ability	64
4.4.3 Differences in molar volume and complex forming ability	66
4.4.4 Differences in solubility parameter components	67
4.4.5 Differences in solubility	67
4.4.6 Boiling points	69
4.5 Quantitative identification of agents	69
4.5.1 Tassios	69
4.5.2 Weimer-Prausnitz	70
4.5.3 Helpinstill & Van Winkle	70
4.6 Calculation details: ASEK	72
4.6.1 Introduction	72

	PAGE
4.6.2 Program steps	73
4.6.3 Integrity	75
4.7 Quantitative evaluations	76
4.7.1 Selected solvents	76
4.7.2 Search for solvents	84
4.9 Agreement between quantitative and qualitative results	86
4.9 Conclusion	86
CHAPTER 5	88
EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS	88
5.1 Introduction	88
5.2 General overview	88
5.2.1 Distillation Method	88
5.2.2 Circulation Method	89
5.2.3 Static method	91
5.2.4 Dew and Bubble Point Method	91
5.2.5 Flow Method	91
5.3 Equipment used in previous studies	92
5.4 Equipment for this study	93
5.4.1 Description	93
5.4.2 Verification of the equipment	95
5.4.2.1 Introduction	95
5.4.2.2 GC Calibration	97
5.4.2.3 Measurements	98
5.4.2.4 Statistical comparison	99
5.4.3 Operating recommendations	104
CHAPTER 6	106
MEASUREMENT OF THE 1-OCTENE / 2-HEXANONE SYSTEM	106
6.1 Introduction	106
6.2 Experimental apparatus	106
6.3 GC calibration	106
6.3.1 Chemicals used	106
6.3.2 GC settings	107
6.3.2 Calibration	108

	PAGE
6.4 Results	110
6.4 Thermodynamic consistency test	112
6.5 Data Correlation	118
CHAPTER 7	121
SCREENING OF AGENTS	121
7.1 Introduction	121
7.2 Experimental design	121
7.2.1 Introduction	121
7.2.2 Screening test conditions	124
7.3 Experimental	126
7.4 Results	126
7.5 Correlation and statistical inferences	128
7.6 Discussion	160
CHAPTER 8	169
BINARY VLE DATA FOR SOLVENTS	169
8.1 Introduction	169
8.2 Experimental planning	169
8.3 Measured systems and tables	171
8.3.1 1-Octene (OCT1) and Methanol	173
8.3.2 2-Hexanone (MBK) and Methanol	180
8.3.3 1-Octene (OCT1) and DMF	186
8.3.4 2-Hexanone (MBK) and DMF	192
8.3.5 1-Octene (OCT1) and MXEA	198
8.3.6 2-Hexanone (MBK) and MXEA	204
8.3.7 1-Octene (OCT1) and kerosol 200	210
8.3.8 2-Hexanone (MBK) and kerosol 200	216
8.4 Conclusions	222
CHAPTER 9	224
DEVELOPMENT OF SEPARATION SCHEMES	224
9.1 Introduction	224
9.2 Solvent concentration	224
9.3 Design parameters	225
9.4 Solvent recovery	227

	PAGE
9.5 Simulation results	230
9.6 Process costs	232
9.6.1 Cost correlations	232
9.6.2 Resulting costs	233
9.8 Conclusion	235
CHAPTER 10	237
CONCLUSIONS	237
CHAPTER 11	239
FURTHER WORK	239
11.1 Introduction	239
11.2 Simulation test on a synthetic mixture . .	239
11.3 Solvent testing on the actual SLO	240
REFERENCES	242
APPENDIX	249
APPENDIX A: INITIAL TESTING OF STILL	249
A1: PROCESS INPUT FILE TO GENERATE DATA FROM DECHEMA PARAMETERS	249
A2: DATA GENERATED	251
APPENDIX B: DATA AND REGRESS INPUT FILES	253
B1: OCT1 MBK INPUT FILE	253
B2: OCT1 METHANOL INPUT FILE	255
B3: MBK METHANOL INPUT FILE	256
B4: OCT1 DMF INPUT FILE	258
B5: MBK DMF INPUT FILE	260
B6: OCT1 MXEA INPUT FILE	262
B7: MBK MXEA INPUT FILE	263
B8: OCT1 kerosol INPUT FILE	265
B9: MBK kerosol INPUT FILE	267
APPENDIX C: ASEEK PROGRAM AND RESULTS	269
C1: RESULTS FROM ASEEK RUN	269
C2: ASEEK PROGRAM	288
C3: ASEEK DATABASE FILE	332

	PAGE
APPENDIX D: SIMULATION RESULTS	334
D1: Methanol alternative	334
D2: DMF alternative	335
D3: 2-methoxyethanol alternative	337
D4: kerosol alternatives	338
D5: Methanol test on a synthetic stream	344

FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Patented extraction process.	6
Figure 2.2: Relative costs.	9
Figure 3.1: Typical extractive distillation process scheme.	18
Figure 3.2: Typical scheme for azeotropic distillation.	20
Figure 3.3: Polarity diagram.	35
Figure 4.1: Polarity diagram for OCT1-MBK.	68
Figure 5.1: Circulation principle.	90
Figure 5.2: The Othmer type still used, as modified by JD Rall.	96
Figure 5.3: Ethanol - benzene XY (liquid and vapour mole fraction) diagram.	100
Figure 5.4: Ethanol benzene TX diagram.	101
Figure 6.1: OCT1 - MBK XY diagram.	112
Figure 6.3: Area test with $\ln (\gamma_1/\gamma_2)$	116
Figure 6.3: $\ln (\gamma_1)$	117
Figure 7.1: Tassios selectivity.	137
Figure 7.2: Weimer-Prausnitz selectivity.	138
Figure 7.3: MOSCED selectivity.	139
Figure 7.4: Helpinstill selectivity.	140
Figure 7.5: UNIFAC.	141
Figure 7.6: Tassios nonpolar solubility parameter, λ .	137
Figure 7.7: Tassios polar solubility parameter, τ .	138
Figure 7.8: MOSCED dispersion parameter.	139
Figure 7.9: MOSCED polar solubility parameter.	140
Figure 7.10: Weimer-Prausnitz solubility parameter, δ .	142
Figure 7.11: MOSCED solubility parameter.	142
Figure 7.12: Dielectric constant, ϵ .	143
Figure 7.13: Dipole moment, μ .	143
Figure 7.14: Solubility parameter δ at 25 °C.	144
Figure 7.15: Molar volume.	144
Figure 7.16: Polar part of the solubility parameter, δ_p .	145
Figure 7.17: Hydrogen bonding solubility parameter, δ_H .	145

	PAGE
Figure 7.18: Piecewise linear regression.	150
Figure 7.19: Draftsman plot.	151
Figure 7.20: Principle component weights plot.	153
Figure 7.21: Principle component scatter plot.	154
Figure 7.22: Principle component biplot.	155
Figure 7.23: Predicted versus observed relative volatilities.	157
Figure 7.24: Residue plot.	158
Figure 7.25: Normalized biplot.	161
Figure 7.26: Polarity diagram with relative volatilities.	165
Figure 8.1: OCT1 - Methanol XY.	178
Figure 8.2: OCT1 - Methanol $\ln(\gamma_1/\gamma_2)$.	179
Figure 8.3: OCT1 - Methanol $\ln(\gamma_1)$ and $\ln(\gamma_2)$.	180
Figure 8.4: MBK - Methanol XY.	184
Figure 8.5: MBK - Methanol $\ln(\gamma_1/\gamma_2)$.	185
Figure 8.6: MBK - Methanol $\ln(\gamma_1)$ and $\ln(\gamma_2)$.	186
Figure 8.7: OCT1 - DMF XY.	190
Figure 8.8: OCT1 - DMF $\ln(\gamma_1/\gamma_2)$.	191
Figure 8.9: OCT1 - DMF $\ln(\gamma_1)$ and $\ln(\gamma_2)$.	192
Figure 8.10: MBK - DMF XY.	196
Figure 8.11: MBK - DMF $\ln(\gamma_1/\gamma_2)$.	197
Figure 8.12: MBK - DMF $\ln(\gamma_1)$ and $\ln(\gamma_2)$.	198
Figure 8.13: OCT1 - EXEA XY.	202
Figure 8.14: OCT1 - EXEA $\ln(\gamma_1/\gamma_2)$.	203
Figure 8.15: OCT1 - EXEA $\ln(\gamma_1)$ and $\ln(\gamma_2)$.	204
Figure 8.16: MBK - EXEA XY.	208
Figure 8.17: MBK - EXEA $\ln(\gamma_1/\gamma_2)$.	209
Figure 8.18: MBK - EXEA $\ln(\gamma_1)$ and $\ln(\gamma_2)$.	210
Figure 8.19: OCT1 - kerosol XY.	214
Figure 8.20: OCT1 - kerosol $\ln(\gamma_1/\gamma_2)$.	215
Figure 8.21: OCT1 - kerosol $\ln(\gamma_1)$ and $\ln(\gamma_2)$.	216
Figure 8.22: MBK - kerosol XY.	220
Figure 8.23: MBK - kerosol $\ln(\gamma_1/\gamma_2)$.	221
Figure 8.24: MBK - kerosol $\ln(\gamma_1)$ and $\ln(\gamma_2)$.	222

Figure 8.25: Effects of 2/3 solvent on the 1-octene / 2-hexanone system.	223
Figure 9.1: Azeotropic column.	229
Figure 9.2: Extractive columns.	230
Figure 9.3: Relative costs of the options (Rands/10).	236

NOMENCLATURE

A	Coefficient which depends on the nature the solute and solvent functional groups (in the PDD equation).
B _a	Antoine constant.
B	Coefficient which depends only on the nature of the solvent functional group (PDD).
bp	Boiling point.
cc	Cubic centimetres (cm ³).
C	Coefficient which depends only on the solute functional group (PDD).
C _a	Antoine constant.
CAS	Chemical Abstract Service.
C _τ	
C _α	
C _β	Constants used in calculating τ , α , or β by groups approach.
d	A term in the MOSCED model to account for differences in molecular size.
D	Coefficient which is independent of functional groups (PDD).
E	Maximum error of estimate (statistics). Energy of vaporization.
F	Coefficient which depends essentially only on the nature of the solvent functional group (PDD). Molal free energy at infinite dilution in the solvent.
fp	Freezing point.
G ^E	Excess free energy of mixing.
H	Heat of vaporization.
k	Constant in the Weimer-Prausnitz equation.
K	K-value, defined as y_1/x_1 . A constant in the Pierotti-Deal-Derr equation.
$\bar{L}$	Partial molar heat of solution of a component.
ln	Natural logarithm (base e).
log	Logarithm to the base 10.
mw	Molecular weight.

n	Sample size (statistics: number of items). Number of carbon atoms in a hydrocarbon component.
p°	Vapour pressure of pure component.
P	Pressure.
PDD	Refers to the Pierotti-Deal-Derr equation.
q	Induction parameter in MOSCED model.
r	Number of aromatic rings in a component hydrocarbon.
R	Gas constant (= 1.987 cal/gmol/deg K).
s	Standard deviation (statistics).
S	Selectivity.
t	Value for t-distribution (statistics). Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)
T	Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{Kelvin}$).
U	Energy of vaporization.
v,	
V	Molar volume (cc/gmol).
x	Average (statistics). Liquid-phase mole fraction.
y	Vapour-phase mole fraction.

GREEK SYMBOLS

α	Level of significance (statistics). Relative volatility (K_1/K_2). Polarizability. Coefficient of thermal expansion. Acidity parameter in the MOSCED model. First immiscible phase.
β	Compressibility. Basicity parameter in the MOSCED model. Second immiscible phase.
γ	Activity coefficient.
δ	Solubility parameter (ie square root of the cohesive energy density).
τ	Polar cohesive energy. Polar solubility parameter.

	Polar parameter in MOSCED model $(\text{cal}/\text{cm}^3)^{1/2}$
Δ	Indicates the change in an argument.
λ	Solubility parameter.
	Nonpolar cohesive energy.
	Dispersion parameter in MOSCED $(\text{cal}/\text{cm}^3)^{1/2}$
	Thermal conductivity coefficient.
ϵ	Dielectric constant.
η	Viscosity coefficient.
κ_T	Isothermal compressibility.
κ_S	Adiabatic compressibility.
μ	Mean of a population (statistics).
	Dipole moment.
ξ	Hydrogen bonding asymmetry factor for MOSCED.
ϕ	(Liquid-phase) Volume fraction in solution.
ψ	Induction energy between polar and nonpolar components.
ω	Accentric factor.

SUBSCRIPTS

- 1 The value is for component number 1.
- 2 The value is for component number 2.
- 1,2 The value depends on both component 1 and 2.
- c Critical value.
- d The part due to dispersion forces.
- h The part due to hydrogen bonding.
- i The value is for a specific component i.
- ij The value is for component i, expressed relative to that for component j.
- j The value is for a specific component j.
- p The part due to polar interaction.
- r Reduced value.
- s Referring to the solvent.

SUPERSCRIPTS

- Partial molal property.
- E Excess value.
- L Liquid phase.
- M The value is a partial molal one.
- o The value as for the pure component.
- ° Degrees.
- sat Saturation value.
- T At constant temperature.
- V Vapour phase.
The value is for vaporization.
At constant molal volume.
- * Infinite dilution property of a component.

ABBREVIATIONS

ASEEK	Agent Seeker, the solvent evaluation program.
DAA	diacetone alcohol.
DIMA	N,N-dimethyl acetamide.
DMF	N,N-dimethyl formamide.
EXEA	2-ethoxy ethanol.
GC	Gas Chromatograph.
kerosol	A paraffinic solvent.
MBK	2-hexanone, methyl n-butyl ketone.
MIBK	methyl isobutyl ketone.
MOSCED	Modified Separation of Cohesive Energy Density.
MXEA	2-methoxyethanol.
NBP	Normal boiling point.
NMP	N-methyl pyrrolidinone.
NRTL	Non Random Two Liquid.
OCT1	1-octene, the C8 α -olefin.
RF	Response factor.
TX	Temperature and liquid mole fraction.
UNIFAC	UNIQUAC Functional Group Activity Coefficients.
UNIQUAC	Universal quasi-chemical.
XY	Liquid and vapour mole fractions.