

THE LEACHING BEHAVIOUR OF A Ni-Cu-Co SULPHIDE ORE IN AN OXIDATIVE PRESSURE- ACID MEDIUM

Danie Strydom Smit

B.Eng (PU vir CHO)

Dissertation submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree
Magister Engineering at the Potchefstroomse Universiteit vir
Christelike Hoër Onderwys

Supervisor: Prof. F.B. Waanders

August 2001

POTCHEFSTROOM

**Potchefstroomse Universiteit
vir Christelike Hoër Onderwys**



ABSTRACT

Hydrometallurgical processing of sulphide concentrates is an attractive method for the selective extraction of valuable metals. The dissolution of minerals in a leaching process involves several electrochemical parameters that need to be investigated to ensure the development and growth of the base metal industry in South Africa.

A study has been carried out to elucidate the leaching mechanism of a nickel-copper-cobalt sulphide concentrate in an oxidative pressure-acid medium. The sulphide concentrate studied in this research, comprises mainly of the minerals pyrrhotite, $(Fe_{1-x}S)$ with $x = 0$ to 0.2 , pentlandite, $(Ni,Fe)_9S_8$ and chalcopyrite, $(CuFeS_2)$. The leaching behaviour of these minerals was successfully studied by means of Atomic Absorption (AA) measurements, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Mössbauer spectroscopy, after leaching took place in an oxidative pressure-acid medium.

The dissolution of the valuable metals was achieved effectively with recoveries of well over 90% for nickel, copper and cobalt under the specific conditions studied. Mechanical activation by means of ultra fine milling improved metal extraction with an average of approximately 40%, after a leaching period of 150 minutes.

The most suitable conditions for the oxidative pressure-acid leaching of the mechanically treated nickel-copper-cobalt sulphide concentrate in a dilute sulphuric acid medium were found to be: particle size 80% - $10\mu m$; temperature $110^{\circ}C$; oxygen partial pressure 10 bar; sulphuric acid concentration 30 kg/ton; solids content 15% by mass and an impeller agitation rate of 800 r/min. The values of the apparent activation energies of nickel, copper and cobalt, extracted from the sulphide concentrate, were found to be $20.6 (\pm 4.4)$ kJ/mol K, $33.6 (\pm 4.2)$ kJ/mol K and $17.4 (\pm 3.5)$ kJ/mol K respectively.

Keywords: *hydrometallurgy; pressure leaching; sulphide concentrate; pyrrhotite; pentlandite; chalcopyrite*

OPSOMMING

Hidrometallurgiese prosessering van sulfiederts is 'n aantreklike metode vir die selektiewe ekstraksie van waardevolle metale. Die oplossing van minerale in 'n loogproses omvat verskeie elektrochemiese parameters wat ondersoek moet word vir die versekering van die ontwikkeling en groei van die basiese metaalindustrie in Suid Afrika.

'n Studie is onderneem om die loogmeganisme van 'n nikkel-koper-kobalt sulfiederts in 'n oksiderende druk-suur medium te identifiseer en te verklaar. Die sulfiederts wat ondersoek is, bestaan hoofsaaklik uit die minerale pirrotiet, $(Fe_{1-x}S)$ met $x = 0$ tot 0.2 , pentlandiet, $(Ni,Fe)_9S_8$ en chalkopiriet, $(CuFeS_2)$. Die loogkarakteristieke kon suksesvol bestudeer word met behulp van, onder andere, Atoom Absorpsie (AA) metings, Skandeer Elektron Mikroskopie (SEM) en Mössbauer spektroskopie, nadat logging in 'n oksiderende druk-suur medium plaasgevind het.

Die oplossing van die waardevolle metale is effektief bereik met herwinnings van hoër as 90% vir nikkel, koper en kobalt onder die spesifieke toestande bestudeer. Meganiese aktivering deur middel van ultrafyn maling het metaalekstraksies verbeter met 'n gemiddeld van ongeveer 40% na 'n loog periode van 150 minute.

Die optimum toestande vir die oksiderende druk-suurlogging van die meganies behandelde nikkel-koper-kobalt sulfiedkonsentraat in verdunde swaelsuur was: partikelgrootte 80% - 10 μ m; temperatuur 110°C; parsiële suurstofdruk 10 bar; swaelsuurkonsentrasie 30 kg/ton; massapersentasie soliedes 15% en 'n loopratoerspoed van 800 r/min. Die oënskynlike aktiveringsenergieë vir die metale nikkel, koper en kobalt, wat ge-ekstraheer is uit die sulfiedkonsentraat, was: 20.6 (\pm 4.4) kJ/mol K, 33.6 (\pm 4.2) kJ/mol K en 17.4 (\pm 3.5) kJ/mol K respektiewelik.

Sleutelwoorde: hidrometallurgie; druklogging; sulfiedkonsentraat; pirrotiet; pentlandiet; chalkopiriet

STATEMENT

I, Danie Strydom Smit, the undersigned, hereby declare that this dissertation, *The leaching behaviour of a Ni-Cu-Co sulphide ore in an oxidative pressure-acid medium*, is my own work.

Danie Strydom Smit

POTCHEFSTROOM

August 2001

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I hereby wish to express my sincerest appreciation and gratitude towards many people and institutions for their contribution to this research project. The following people deserve special mentioning:

- **God almighty**, for the perseverance, wisdom and love He provided.
- Prof. F.B. Waanders, my supervisor and mentor, for his continual support and absolute interest in the specific project, as well as his willingness to listen and to provide guidance in difficult situations. Apart from this I appreciate his affability and personal motivation through out the whole project.
- Mn. A. Swarts, my external mentor from Avmin, for his excellent guidance and unconditional acceptance and his confidence in me and all the time devoted to this project.
- Anglovaal Mining Limited (Avmin), my bursar, for their financial support and the opportunity provided to undertake this research study.
- Prof. A.L. Combrink, for language editing of this dissertation.
- My wife Elmari, for her implicit love and exhortation.
- Prof. R.C. Everson, director of the School of Chemical and Minerals Engineering, for his interest in and approval to let me undertake this project.
- All my colleagues, staff members of the School of Chemical and Minerals Engineering, for their helping hand, encouragement and friendship.
- My parents, for their love and support and confidence in me to complete this study successfully.

CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE	i
ABSTRACT	ii
OPSOMMING	iii
STATEMENT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xx
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE SURVEY	4
2.1 Hydrometallurgy	4
2.1.1 Hydrometallurgy versus Pyrometallurgy	4
2.1.2 The basic principles of hydrometallurgy	5
2.1.3 The chemistry of hydrometallurgical processes	7
2.2 Leaching	7
2.2.1 Nature of leaching	7
2.2.2 Leaching thermodynamics	10
2.2.3 Leaching kinetics	12
2.3 Sulphide minerals containing nickel, copper and cobalt	15
2.3.1 Nickel, copper and cobalt occurrence	15

2.4 Extracting and refining processes for nickel sulphides	17
2.4.1 Introduction	17
2.4.2 The Sherrit Gordon process	18
2.4.3 Carbonylation	19
2.5 Fundamentals of sulphide leaching	20
2.5.1 Introduction	20
2.5.2 Possible leaching mechanisms	21
2.6 Previous investigations on nickel sulphide leaching	22
2.6.1 Investigation of the direct hydrometallurgical processing of mechanically activated complex sulphide ore	23
2.6.2 The leaching behaviour of a nickel concentrate in an oxidative sulphuric acid solution	24
2.6.3 Application of attrition grinding in acid leaching of nickel sulphide concentrate	25
2.6.4 Passivation of chalcopyrite during oxidative leaching in sulphate medium	26
2.6.5 Summary of previous investigations on nickel, copper and cobalt sulphide leaching	26
2.7 Mössbauer spectroscopy	28
2.7.1 An introduction to Mössbauer spectroscopy	28
2.7.2 Isomer shift (δ)	30
2.7.3 Quadrupole interactions (Δ)	31
2.7.4 Hyperfine magnetic interactions (Zeeman splitting)	32
CHAPTER 3 EXPERIMENTAL	33
3.1 Experimental approach	33
3.1.1 Ultra fine milling	33

3.1.2 Activox® tests (pressure-acid leaching)	33
3.2 Materials and chemical reagents	34
3.2.1 Test concentrate used for experimental investigation	34
3.2.2 Equipment and materials used for ultra fine milling experiments	35
3.2.3 Equipment and materials used for Activox® tests	37
3.2.4 Chemical reagents used during experimental testwork	39
3.2.5 Elemental analysis of the water used for experimental testwork	40
3.3 Experimental procedure	41
3.3.1 Experimental procedure for the ultra fine milling	41
3.3.2 Experimental procedure for the Activox® tests	42
3.4 Experimental measurements	43
3.4.1 pH and E _H measurements	43
3.4.2 Atomic Absorption (AA) measurements	43
3.4.3 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) analysis	44
3.4.4 Mössbauer measurements	45
3.4.5 Sulphur analysis	46
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS & DISCUSSION	47
4.1 Grind establishment	47
4.1.1 Establishment of grinding conditions	47
4.2 Activox® results	48
4.2.1 Effect of agitation rate on the metal extraction	49
4.2.2 Effect of solids content on the metal extraction	53
4.2.3 Effect of total pressure on the metal extraction	56
4.2.4 Effect of temperature on the metal extraction	59
4.2.5 Effect of sulphuric acid concentration on the metal extraction	65

4.2.6 Effect of aeration on the metal extraction	68
4.2.7 Effect of particle size, HNO ₃ , AgNO ₃ and goethite on the metal extraction	71
4.2.8 Reproducibility of Activox® experiments	78
4.3 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) results	81
4.3.1 SEM micrographs of Activox® leach residues	81
4.4 Mössbauer spectroscopy	87
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS	95
5.1 Conclusions	95
5.2 Hypotheses revisited	97
5.3 Recommendations	98
Appendix A Particle size distribution curves	99
Appendix B Detailed data sheets of Activox® tests	108
Appendix C EDAX quantification spectra of SEM micrographs	140
Appendix D Calculation of reaction rate constants	151
Appendix E Autoclave design features	159
BIBLIOGRAPHY	165

LIST OF FIGURES

	<i>Page</i>
<i>Figure 2.1 Schematic representation of alternative processing routes involving aqueous solution processing</i>	6
<i>Figure 2.2 Schematic diagram of single stage leaching process</i>	9
<i>Figure 2.3 The E_H-pH diagram for the Fe-Ni-S aqueous system at 298 K. Activities of aqueous sulphur species = 0.1 mol/dm³. Activities of aqueous nickel and iron species = 0.1 mol/dm³ (bold line) and 10⁻⁶ mol/dm³ (fine line)</i>	11
<i>Figure 2.4 Graph indicating the effect of some process variables on the leaching rate</i>	13
<i>Figure 2.5 Schematic view of a typical nickel bearing ore body</i>	16
<i>Figure 2.6 Basic flowsheet of the Sherrit Gordon process</i>	18
<i>Figure 2.7 Basic flowsheet representing the extraction of nickel by the carbonyl process</i>	19
<i>Figure 2.8 Schematic representation of the events occurring in Mössbauer spectroscopy</i>	29
<i>Figure 2.9 Energy level diagram for ^{57}Fe</i>	30
<i>Figure 2.10 Characteristic parameter of Mössbauer spectra: isomer shift (singlet)</i>	31
<i>Figure 2.11 Characteristic parameter of Mössbauer spectra: electric quadrupole splitting (doublet)</i>	32
<i>Figure 2.12 Characteristic parameter of Mössbauer spectra: nuclear Zeeman splitting (sextet)</i>	32
<i>Figure 3.1 Photograph representing the Svedala Laboratory Stirred Media Detritor</i>	35

Figure 3.2	<i>Photograph of the Stirred Media Detritor pot</i>	36
Figure 3.3	<i>Photograph showing the grinding media consisting of ceramic balls with diameters of 5mm and 2mm respectively</i>	36
Figure 3.4	<i>Photograph of the experimental set-up for Activox® testwork</i>	38
Figure 3.5	<i>Photograph representing the inside of the leach reactor</i>	38
Figure 3.6	<i>Schematic diagram of the experimental set-up for Activox® testwork</i>	39
Figure 3.7	<i>Photograph of the Atomic Absorption (AA) spectrophotometer used in the present investigation</i>	44
Figure 3.8	<i>Photograph of the Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)</i>	45
Figure 3.9	<i>Photograph of the Halder Mössbauer spectrometer</i>	46
Figure 4.1	<i>Graph indicating the grind establishment</i>	48
Figure 4.2	<i>Graph indicating the influence of agitation speed on the metal recovery for (a)Ni, (b)Co, (c)Cu and (d)Fe</i>	51
Figure 4.3	<i>Graph indicating the dependence of the reaction rate, k, on the agitation rate</i>	52
Figure 4.4	<i>Graph indicating the influence of pulp density on the metal recovery for (a)Ni, (b)Co, (c)Cu and (d)Fe</i>	54
Figure 4.5	<i>Graph indicating the dependence of the reaction rate, k, on the solids content</i>	55
Figure 4.6	<i>Graph indicating the influence of total pressure on the metal recovery for (a)Ni, (b)Co, (c)Cu and (d)Fe</i>	57
Figure 4.7	<i>Graph indicating the dependence of the reaction rate, k, on the total pressure</i>	58
Figure 4.8	<i>Graph indicating the influence of temperature on the metal recovery for (a)Ni, (b)Co, (c)Cu and (d)Fe</i>	60

<i>Figure 4.9</i>	<i>Photograph of the elemental sulphur pellets obtained from a leach experiment performed at a temperature of 120°C</i>	61
<i>Figure 4.10</i>	<i>Graph indicating the dependence of the reaction rate, k, on the temperature</i>	62
<i>Figure 4.11</i>	<i>Graph indicating the amount of ferrous iron present in the leach solution at T=90°C and T=110°C</i>	63
<i>Figure 4.12</i>	<i>Graph indicating the amount of ferric iron present in the leach solution at T=90°C and T=110°C</i>	64
<i>Figure 4.13</i>	<i>Graph indicating the influence of sulphuric acid concentration on the metal recovery for (a)Ni, (b)Co, (c)Cu and (d)Fe</i>	66
<i>Figure 4.14</i>	<i>Graph indicating the dependence of the reaction rate, k, on the sulphuric acid concentration</i>	67
<i>Figure 4.15</i>	<i>Graph indicating the influence of aeration on the metal recovery for (a)Ni, (b)Co, (c)Cu and (d)Fe</i>	69
<i>Figure 4.16</i>	<i>Graph indicating the dependence of the reaction rate, k, on aeration</i>	70
<i>Figure 4.17</i>	<i>Graph indicating the influence of particle size on the metal recovery for (a)Ni, (b)Co, (c)Cu and (d)Fe</i>	73
<i>Figure 4.18</i>	<i>Graph indicating the influence of HNO₃ on the metal recovery for (a)Ni, (b)Co, (c)Cu and (d)Fe</i>	74
<i>Figure 4.19</i>	<i>Graph indicating the influence of AgNO₃ on the metal recovery for (a)Ni, (b)Co, (c)Cu and (d)Fe</i>	75
<i>Figure 4.20</i>	<i>Graph indicating the influence of goethite on the metal recovery for (a)Ni, (b)Co, (c)Cu and (d)Fe</i>	76
<i>Figure 4.21</i>	<i>Graph indicating the reproducibility of the Activox® tests for (a)Ni, (b)Co, (c)Cu and (d)Fe</i>	80
<i>Figure 4.22</i>	<i>SEM micrograph of ultra fine milled sample</i>	81
<i>Figure 4.23</i>	<i>SEM micrograph of leach residue after 15 minutes of leaching</i>	82

Figure 4.24	<i>SEM micrograph of leach residue after 150 minutes of leaching</i>	82
Figure 4.25	<i>SEM micrograph of a pentlandite particle after 15 minutes of leaching</i>	83
Figure 4.26	<i>SEM micrograph of a chalcopyrite particle after 15 minutes of leaching</i>	83
Figure 4.27	<i>SEM micrograph of the leach residue from an experiment performed at a temperature of 90°C</i>	84
Figure 4.28	<i>SEM micrograph of the leach residue from an experiment performed at a temperature of 120°C</i>	84
Figure 4.29	<i>SEM micrograph of a partially leached pentlandite particle</i>	85
Figure 4.30	<i>SEM micrograph of a partially leached chalcopyrite particle</i>	86
Figure 4.31	<i>SEM micrograph of leach residue of as-received sample</i>	86
Figure 4.32	<i>Mössbauer spectrum of the ore sample</i>	88
Figure 4.33	<i>Mössbauer spectrum of the flotation concentrate</i>	90
Figure 4.34	<i>Mössbauer spectrum of the leach product after 15 minutes of leaching</i>	91
Figure 4.35	<i>Mössbauer spectrum of the leach product after 30 minutes of leaching</i>	91
Figure 4.36	<i>Mössbauer spectrum of the leach product after 50 minutes of leaching</i>	93
Figure 4.37	<i>Mössbauer spectrum of the leach product after 150 minutes of leaching</i>	93
Figure A1	<i>Graph indicating the particle size distribution of the feed sample</i>	100
Figure A2	<i>Graph indicating the particle size distribution of a milled sample for time = 5 minutes</i>	101
Figure A3	<i>Graph indicating the particle size distribution of a milled sample for time = 10 minutes</i>	102

<i>Figure A4</i>	<i>Graph indicating the particle size distribution of a milled sample for time = 20 minutes</i>	103
<i>Figure A5</i>	<i>Graph indicating the particle size distribution of a milled sample for time = 30 minutes</i>	104
<i>Figure A6</i>	<i>Graph indicating the particle size distribution of a milled sample for time = 40 minutes</i>	105
<i>Figure A7</i>	<i>Graph indicating the particle size distribution of a milled sample for time = 50 minutes</i>	106
<i>Figure A8</i>	<i>Graph indicating the particle size distribution of a milled sample for time = 60 minutes</i>	107
<i>Figure C1</i>	<i>EDAX quantification spectrum of SEM micrograph represented in Figure 4.22</i>	141
<i>Figure C2</i>	<i>EDAX quantification spectrum of SEM micrograph represented in Figure 4.23</i>	142
<i>Figure C3</i>	<i>EDAX quantification spectrum of SEM micrograph represented in Figure 4.24</i>	143
<i>Figure C4</i>	<i>EDAX quantification spectrum of SEM micrograph represented in Figure 4.25</i>	144
<i>Figure C5</i>	<i>EDAX quantification spectrum of SEM micrograph represented in Figure 4.26</i>	145
<i>Figure C6</i>	<i>EDAX quantification spectrum of partially leached metal from SEM micrograph represented in Figure 4.27</i>	146
<i>Figure C7</i>	<i>EDAX quantification spectrum of sulphur drops from SEM micrograph represented in Figure 4.28</i>	147
<i>Figure C8</i>	<i>EDAX quantification spectrum of SEM micrograph represented in Figure 4.29</i>	148
<i>Figure C9</i>	<i>EDAX quantification spectrum of SEM micrograph represented in Figure 4.30</i>	149

<i>Figure C10</i>	<i>EDAX quantification spectrum of SEM micrograph represented in Figure 4.31</i>	150
<i>Figure E1</i>	<i>Cross-section drawing of the autoclave</i>	160
<i>Figure E2</i>	<i>Cross-section view of autoclave top (a) and cooling mantle (b)</i>	161
<i>Figure E3</i>	<i>Top view (a) and cross-section view (b) of the agitator impeller</i>	162
<i>Figure E4</i>	<i>Photograph representing the beginning of a successful laboratory autoclave</i>	164
<i>Figure E5</i>	<i>Photograph indicating the expertise of Mr. H. van Zyl</i>	164

LIST OF TABLES

	Page	
Table 3.1	Elemental assay of the Nkomati concentrate	35
Table 3.2	Specifications of the Svedala Stirred Media Detritor	36
Table 3.3	Physical properties of chemical reagents	40
Table 3.4	Elemental analysis of tap water	40
Table 3.5	Experimental variables and ranges used for the present Activox® tests	42
Table 4.1	Grind establishment results	47
Table 4.2	Results showing the influence of agitation rate on the metal extraction	50
Table 4.3	Results showing the influence of solid content on the metal extraction	53
Table 4.4	Results showing the influence of total pressure on the metal extraction	56
Table 4.5	Results indicating the influence of temperature on the metal extraction	59
Table 4.6	Results indicating the influence of sulphuric acid concentration on the metal extraction	65
Table 4.7	Results indicating the influence of aeration on the metal extraction	68
Table 4.8	Results showing the influence of particle size, HNO ₃ , AgNO ₃ and goethite on the metal extraction	72
Table 4.9	Results showing the reproducibility of the Activox® tests	79
Table 4.10	The fitted Mössbauer parameters derived from the Mössbauer fits to the spectra studied at 300K. (The values reported are relative to α-iron)	89

Table B1	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various agitation rates (400 r/min)	109
Table B2	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various agitation rates (600 r/min)	110
Table B3	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various agitation rates (800 r/min)	111
Table B4	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various agitation rates (1000 r/min)	112
Table B5	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various pulp densities (5% solids)	113
Table B6	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various pulp densities (11% solids)	114
Table B7	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various pulp densities (15% solids)	115
Table B8	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various pulp densities (20% solids)	116
Table B9	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various pressures (4 bar)	117
Table B10	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various pressures (7 bar)	118
Table B11	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various pressures (10 bar)	119
Table B12	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various pressures (13 bar)	120
Table B13	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various temperatures (90°C)	121
Table B14	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various temperatures (100°C)	122

Table B15	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various temperatures (110°C)	123
Table B16	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various temperatures (120°C)	124
Table B17	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various sulphuric acid concentration ($H_2SO_4 = 0$ kg/ton)	125
Table B18	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various sulphuric acid concentration ($H_2SO_4 = 30$ kg/ton)	126
Table B19	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various sulphuric acid concentration ($H_2SO_4 = 60$ kg/ton)	127
Table B20	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various sulphuric acid concentration ($H_2SO_4 = 90$ kg/ton)	128
Table B21	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various oxygen flow rates ($O_2 = 15$ l/h)	129
Table B22	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various oxygen flow rates ($O_2 = 40$ l/h)	130
Table B23	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various oxygen flow rates ($O_2 = 60$ l/h)	131
Table B24	Detailed data sheet of Activox® tests for various oxygen flow rates ($O_2 = 90$ l/h)	132
Table B25	Detailed data sheet of Activox® test done on as-received concentrate (80% - 10µm)	133
Table B26	Detailed data sheet of Activox® test done with the addition of nitrogen species ($HNO_3 = 3$ g/l)	134
Table B27	Detailed data sheet of Activox® test done with the addition of silver catalyst ($AgNO_3 = 0.3$ g/l)	135
Table B28	Detailed data sheet of Activox® test done with the addition of goethite ($FeOOH = 30$ g)	136

Table B29	Detailed data sheet of baseline Activox® test (1)	137
Table B30	Detailed data sheet of baseline Activox® test (2)	138
Table B31	Detailed data sheet of baseline Activox® test (3)	139
Table D1	Calculation of reaction rate constants for various agitation rates	153
Table D2	Calculation of reaction rate constants for various pulp densities	154
Table D3	Calculation of reaction rate constants for various pressures	155
Table D4	Calculation of reaction rate constants for various temperatures	156
Table D5	Calculation of reaction rate constants for various sulphuric acid concentrations	157
Table D6	Calculation of reaction rate constants for various oxygen flow rates	158

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Co	cobalt
Cu	copper
Fe	iron
ΔG°	gibbs free energy (J)
H	hyperfine magnetic interactions
IS	isomer shift
M	metal species
MS	metal sulphide species
Ni	nickel
O ₂	oxygen
P	pressure (kPa)
P_{O_2}	oxygen partial pressure
QS	quadrupole splitting
R	ideal gas constant (8.314 J/mol K)
S	sulphur
t	time (seconds)
T	temperature (°C)
UFM	ultra fine milling

Superscripts

-	negative charge
+	positive charge
57	atomic number of iron
®	registered trade name

Greek symbols

α	alpha
β	beta
δ	isomer shift
Δ	quadrupole interactions
ΔE	quadrupole splittings
γ	gamma
μ	micro