# The development and validation of HPLC methods for the detection of drug and detergent traces on laboratory glassware in a pharmaceutical laboratory

P M Mothobi

# The development and validation of HPLC methods for the detection of drug and detergent traces on laboratory glassware in a pharmaceutical laboratory

# Pride Mmakeletso Mothobi

(B.Sc. (Hons) Env.Sci)

Dissertation submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Magister Scientiae (Pharmaceutics) at the Potchefstroom Campus of the North-West University.

Supervisor: Dr J.C Wessels

Co-Supervisor: Dr M.E Aucamp

**Potchefstroom** 

2012

"The LORD is my shepherd, I shall not want"

Psalm 23:1

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to the following people and institutions for their contributions in enabling me to complete this study:

To the following people at the RIIP®/CENQAM®, North-West University (Potchefstroom Campus)

**Dr. Erna Swanepoel**, for allowing me to conduct this study. For authorising the use of the RIIP®/CENQAM® resources whenever needed. Know that I am greatfull for this opportunity wherever I am.

**Dr. Marique Aucamp**, for her invaluable assistance, patience and guidance personally and in all aspects of this study. "You took it by the horns";

**Dr. Anita Wessels**, for her technical solid approach and assistance in ensuring completion of this study;

Portia, Selina and the late Mpho, for their analytical assistance;

Tanie Elsa, Ouma (Rianda) and Tanie Zetta, for their words of encouragement;

To the following persons of the School for Environmental Sciences and Development, Microbiology, North-West University (Potchefstroom Campus)

Dr. Retha van der Walt, for her unconditional love, friendship, and for believing in me;

**Prof. Carlos Bezuidenhout**, for the solid scientific foundation he laid in my undergraduate and post graduate studies.

To my father (Khomotso) and my two brothers (Itumeleng and Onthatile), thank you for your love and support always.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ABST	RACT		vi
UITTR	REKSEL	v	iii
LIST	OF FIGURES		. <b>X</b>
LIST	OF TABLES.		ΚV
ABBR	REVIATIONS.	xv	iii
AIM A	ND OBJECT	IVESx	ix
CHAP	TER 1:	INTRODUCTION	. 1
1.1	GENERAL II	NTRODUCTION	. 1
1.2	HYPOTHES	IS	. 3
CHAP	TER 2:	LITERATURE REVIEW	. 5
2.1	OVERVIEW	OF THE STUDY LABORATORY	. 5
2.2	CHROMATO	OGRAPHY	. 7
2.3	HPLC METH	HOD DEVELOPMENT	. 8
2.4	PROCEDUR	RE FOR DEVELOPING AN HPLC METHOD	. 9
2.4.1	Analytes/con	mpound of interest	. 9
2.4.2	Selection of	the chromatographic mode	11
2.4.3	Selection of	the mobile phase	14
2.4.4	Choosing a	column	15
2.4.5	Isocratic vs.	Gradient analysis	16
2.4.6	Choosing an	HPLC detector	17

2.5	METHOD VA	LIDATION	19
2.6	CONCLUSIO	N	23
CHAP	TER 3:	EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND METHODS	. 24
3.1	INTRODUCT	ION	. 24
3.2	GLASSWAR	E CLEANING PROCEDURES	. 24
3.2.1	Automated g	lassware cleaning procedure	. 24
	3.2.1.1	Cleaning procedure	. 25
	3.2.1.2	Possible drawbacks of the current in-house automated glassware cleaning procedure	. 25
3.2.2	Manual glas	sware cleaning procedure	. 26
	3.2.2.1	Detergents and non-corrosive cleaning agents	. 26
	3.2.2.2	Pre-rinsing/ prewashing of glassware	. 28
	3.2.2.3	General manual cleaning/ washing of glassware	. 28
	3.2.2.4	Drying glassware	. 28
	3.2.2.5	Visual inspection	. 28
	3.2.2.6	Possible drawbacks of the current in-house manual	
		glassware cleaning procedure	. 28
3.3	PHYSICAL F	PROPERTIES	. 29
3.3.1	Colour, solu	bility & state of matter observations	. 29
3.3.2	Density ana	lysis	. 30
3.4	UV-SPECTR	POPHOTOMETRIC ANALYSIS	30

3.5	HIGH PERF	ORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY (HPLC) ANALYSIS .	31
3.5.1	Instrument u	sed for method development	31
3.5.2	HPLC metho	od chromatographic conditions	32
3.5.3	Preparation	of standard solutions	33
3.5.4	Preparation	of sample solutions	35
3.5.5	Conclusion .		37
CHAF	PTER 4:	RESULTS AND DISCUSION	38
4.1	DENSITY A	NALYSES	38
4.2	SPECTROP	HOTOMETRIC ANALYSES	39
4.2.1	Discussion a	and conclusion of spectrophotometric analyses	41
4.3	HPLC METH	HOD DEVELOPMENT ANALYSES	42
4.3.1	Analyte		42
4.3.2	Chromatogra	aphic mode and column choice	42
4.3.3	Mobile phase	e selection	43
4.3.4	Conclusion .		53
4.4		TION OF THE EFFICIENCY OF THE CURRENT IN-HOUSE RE CLEANING PROTOCOLS	53
4.4.1	Chromatogra	aphic conditions	53
4.4.2	Results of th	ne developed HPLC method operational limits	54
4.4.3	HPLC metho	od operational limit results and discussion	60
	4.3.3.1	System suitability	60

	4.3.3.2	HPLC method limits	62
	4.3.3.3	Conclusion	64
4.4.4	Cleaning sar	mples	64
	4.4.4.1	Rinse vs. swabbing procedures	64
	4.4.4.1.1	Conclusion	68
	4.4.4.2	Evaluation of the current in-house cleaning procedure results	68
	4.4.4.3	Calculation of the detergent contaminants percentage recovery	74
	4.4.4.4	Discussion and conclusion	75
4.5	SUMMARY	AND CONCLUSION	75
1.0			
	PTER 5:	CLEANING VALIDATION USING HPLC FOR ANALYSIS	77
	PTER 5:		
CHAF	PTER 5:	CLEANING VALIDATION USING HPLC FOR ANALYSIS	77
<b>CHAF</b> 5.1 5.2	PTER 5: INTRODUCT VALIDATION	CLEANING VALIDATION USING HPLC FOR ANALYSIS	77 77
<ul><li>CHAF</li><li>5.1</li><li>5.2</li><li>5.2.1</li></ul>	PTER 5: INTRODUCT VALIDATION Scope	CLEANING VALIDATION USING HPLC FOR ANALYSIS	77 77
<ul><li>CHAF</li><li>5.1</li><li>5.2</li><li>5.2.1</li><li>5.2.2</li></ul>	PTER 5:  INTRODUCT  VALIDATION  Scope  Chromatogra	CLEANING VALIDATION USING HPLC FOR ANALYSIS	77 77 78 78
5.1 5.2 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.2	PTER 5:  INTRODUCT  VALIDATION  Scope  Chromatogra  Standard pre	CLEANING VALIDATION USING HPLC FOR ANALYSIS	77 77 78 78
5.1 5.2 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.2	PTER 5:  INTRODUCT  VALIDATION  Scope  Chromatogra  Standard pre	CLEANING VALIDATION USING HPLC FOR ANALYSIS	77 78 78 79
5.1 5.2 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.2	PTER 5: INTRODUCT VALIDATION Scope Chromatogra Standard pre Results and 5.2.4.1	CLEANING VALIDATION USING HPLC FOR ANALYSIS	77 78 78 79 84

REFERENCES	

# **ABSTRACT**

Pharmaceutical contract testing laboratories carry a responsibility to ensure that medicine made available for consumption by patients is of the approved quality for their intended health use. Glassware is an essential tool in testing of pharmaceutical products. Glassware used in most pharmaceutical contract testing laboratories is non-dedicated hence proper glassware cleaning procedures are essential. Contract testing laboratories need to perform glassware cleaning validation studies to verify that glassware used in the testing of medicines is adequately cleaned from one product to the next and to ensure that the cleaning procedures themselves do not contribute any unwanted residues to the glassware.

The aim of this study was to develop and validate HPLC methods for the detection of drug and detergent residues recovered from glassware in a pharmaceutical contract testing laboratory. The objectives of the study were to:

- Develop and validate an HPLC method to detect selected glassware cleaning detergents;
- ii. Investigate the efficacy of the current in-house glassware cleaning protocol (manual and automatic cleaning);
- iii. Investigate the efficacy of cleaning detergents on glassware exposed to drugs;
- Develop an efficient glassware cleaning protocol;
- v. Validate a glassware cleaning protocol for a pharmaceutical laboratory.

Cleaned laboratory volumetric flasks of varying sizes were randomly used as samples. Glassware washed with the automatic laboratory glass-washer and manually washed glassware was subjected to the rinsing and swabbing sampling procedures. A standard addition and recovery procedure was also employed to prove that the cleaning procedure works and that the glassware is indeed clean after being hand washed or automatically washed with the glassware washer.

The HPLC method was validated on an LC Agilent<sup>®</sup> 1100 DAD series system using a  $\mu$ Bondapak C<sub>18</sub> (300 mm x 3.9 mm, 10  $\mu$ m). Acetonitrile: buffer containing 0.02 M hexanesulfonic acid sodium salt with the pH adjusted to 3.0 with phosphoric acid in the ratio 25:75 was used as mobile phase with the flow rate set at 1.0ml/min. UV detection set at 220 nm and the injection volume at 25  $\mu$ l.

The regression line plot obtained was linear over a concentration range from 5000  $\mu$ g/ml to 15 000  $\mu$ g/ml for Ekon D concentrate<sup>®</sup> and a concentration range from 9700  $\mu$ g/ml to 39 000  $\mu$ g/ml for LaboClean FT concentrate<sup>®</sup>. The correlation coefficient of 0.993 was obtained for Ekon D concentrate<sup>®</sup> and 0.999 for LaboClean FT concentrate<sup>®</sup>. The detection limit and quantitation limit were1568  $\mu$ g/ml and 5228  $\mu$ g/ml for Ekon D concentrate<sup>®</sup>, and 917  $\mu$ g/ml and 3059  $\mu$ g/ml for LaboClean FT concentrate<sup>®</sup>. The relative standard deviation (%RSD) obtained for both detergents were below 7.0%. The mean recovery of the method was 99.5%.

In the results obtained detergent traces were recovered from approximately 16% of the total sampled hand washed glassware and in 13% of the hand washed glassware, drug contaminants were also recovered. From the machine washed sampled glassware 10% was contaminated with drug residues and none of the sampled machine washed glassware flasks were contaminated with soap residues.

The HPLC method developed for the detection of detergent and drug traces recovered from laboratory glassware was a success. The automated glassware cleaning procedure was more efficient in the cleaning of laboratory glassware when compared to the manual cleaning procedure. Observation shows that the current in-house glassware cleaning protocol is efficient; however, the SOP is not followed properly. The developed HPLC method was proved to meet all the performance expectations and acceptance criteria for cleaning validation purposes. The aim of this study to develop and validate the HPLC method for the detection of drug and detergent traces recovered from laboratory glassware for a pharmaceutical contract testing laboratory was met.

# UITTREKSEL

Farmaseutiese toetslaboratoriums dra 'n verantwoordelikheid deur toe te sien dat die medisyne wat aan pasiënte beskikbaar gestel word vir 'n bepaalde siektetoestand van aanvaarbare kwaliteit is. Glasware is onontbeerlike toerusting tydens die toets van farmaseutiese produkte. In die meeste laboratoriums word glasware nie toegewys aan 'n bepaalde analitiese proses nie en dit is dus noodsaaklik dat die skoonmaakproses van hoogstaande gehalte is. Dit is dus nodig dat kontraklaboratoriums die skoonmaakprosesse wat gebruik om glasware mee te was sal valideer om sodoende te verseker dat die produk waarvoor dit gebruik was, behoorlik verwyder is. Verder is dit ook noodsaaklik dat vasgestel word dat die skoonmaakmiddels nie bydra tot onnodige residue op die glas nie.

Die doelstellings vir hierdie studie was om Hoë Druk Vloeistof Chromatografie (HPLC) metodes te ontwikkel en te valideer waarmee geneesmiddel- en skoonmaakmiddelresidue wat moontlik in glasware agtergelaat kon word, te kan analiseer. Die doelwitte van die studie was dus om:

- i. 'n HPLC metode te ontwikkel en te valideer waarmee sekere skoonmaakmiddels op glasware geanaliseer kon word:
- Die effektiwiteit van die skoonmaakproses in die kontraklaboratorium (handwas en outomaties) vas te stel;
- iii. Die effektiwiteit van die skoonmaakmiddels om geneesmiddels van glasware te verwyder;
- iv. 'n Effektiewe skoonmaakproses te ontwikkel indien nodig, en
- Die validering van die skoonmaak protokol.

Skoon volumetriese flesse in verskillende groottes is ewekansig gekies vir die toetse. Beide glasware wat met die hand gewas is en wat met die outomatiese wasser gewas is, is met gedistilleerde water gespoel en/of smere met katoenstokkies is geneem, om monsters vir analises te bekom. 'n Standaard herwinningsprosedure is ook gebruik om te verseker dat die glasware inderdaad skoon was nadat dit gewas is.

Die HPLC metode is op 'n LC Agilent<sup>®</sup> 1100 DAD sisteem ontwikkel en valideer. 'n  $\mu$ Bondapak C<sub>18</sub> (300 mm x 3.9 mm, 10  $\mu$ m) kolom is gebruik. Asetonitriel en 0.02M heksaansulfoonsuur buffer met 'n pH van 3.0 (aangepas met fosforsuur) in 'n verhouding 25:75 is as mobiele fase gebruik. Die vloeisnelheid was 1.0ml/min en UV deteksie by 220nm is gebruik. Die inspuitvolume was 25  $\mu$ l.

Tydens die validasie van die analitiese metode vir die skoonmaakmiddels is die volgende resultate verkry. Vir Ekon D concentrate<sup>®</sup> is 'n lyn in die konsentrasiegebied 5000 μg/ml tot 15 000 μg/ml opgestel en vir LaboClean FT concentrate<sup>®</sup> 9700 μg/ml to 39 000 μg/ml. Die korrelasie koëffisiënt vir Ekon D concentrate<sup>®</sup> was 0.993 en vir LaboClean FT concentrate<sup>®</sup> was dit 0.999. Die deteksielimiete was onderskeidelik 1568 μg/ml en 917 μg/ml en die kwanitifeseringslimiete was onderskeidelik 5225 μg/ml en 3059 μg/ml. The persentasie relatiewe standaard afwyking (%RSD) vir beide middels was laer as 7.0%. Die gemiddelde herwinning van die metode was 99.5%.

Die resultate wat verkry is dui daarop dat ongeveer 16% van die totale aantal monsters vir glasware wat met die hand gewas is, steeds seep residue bevat het en 13% daarvan steeds geneesmiddel residue bevat het. Vir glasware wat met die wasser gewas is, was daar 10% wat steeds geneesmiddel residue bevat het en geen daarvan het seep residue bevat nie.

Die HPLC metode wat vir die studie ontwikkel is kon suksesvol gebruik word om die analises van die monsters te doen. Die metode is suksesvol gevalideer en dit het aan al die kriteria voldoen. Die outomatiese wasser is meer effektief om glasware skoon te was. Tydens obeervasie is vasgestel dat die handwas metode ook meer effektief sal wees indien die voorgestelde prosedure noukeuriger gevolg word. Die doelwitte van hierdie studie is dus suksesvol behaal.

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Schematic representation to selecting HPLC chromatographic mode 11
Figure 3.1	Schematic representation summary of the automated glassware cleaning procedure
Figure 3.2	Schematic representation summary of the manual glassware cleaning procedure
Figure 4.1	UV spectrum obtained for Ekon D concentrate® at concentration
	2 mg/ml
Figure 4.2	UV spectrum obtained for LaboClean FT concentrate® at concentration 26 mg/ml
Figure 4.3	UV spectrum obtained for Contrad concentrate® at concentration  2 mg/ml
Figure 4.4	Chromatogram obtained with Ekon D concentrate <sup>®</sup> at a concentration of 5% v/v using mobile phase 1, with chromatograms (a) and (b) obtained at wavelengths 205 nm and 220 nm respectively
Figure 4.5	Chromatogram obtained with Laboclean FT. concentrate <sup>®</sup> at a concentration of 5% v/v using mobile phase 1, with chromatograms (a) and (b) obtained at wavelengths 205 nm and 220 nm respectively 44
Figure 4.6	Chromatogram obtained with Contrad concentrate® at a concentration of 5% v/v using mobile phase 1, with chromatograms (a) and (b) obtained at wavelengths 205 nm and 220 nm respectively
Figure 4.7	Chromatogram obtained with Ekon D concentrate® at a concentration of 5% v/v using mobile phase 2, with chromatograms (a) and (b) obtained at wavelengths 205 nm and 220 nm respectively

Figure 4.8	Chromatogram obtained with LaboClean FT concentrate® at a concentration of 5% v/v using mobile phase 2, with chromatograms  (a) and (b) obtained at wavelengths 205 nm and 220 nm respectively 47
Figure 4.9	Chromatogram obtained with Contrad concentrate® at a concentration of 5% v/v using mobile phase 2, with chromatograms (a) and (b) obtained at wavelengths 205 nm and 220 nm respectively 47
Figure 4.10	Chromatogram obtained with Ekon D concentrate <sup>®</sup> at a concentration of 5% v/v using mobile phase 3, with chromatograms (a) and (b) obtained at wavelengths 205 nm and 220 nm respectively
Figure 4.11	Chromatogram obtained with Laboclean FT. concentrate <sup>®</sup> at a concentration of 5% v/v using mobile phase 3, with chromatograms (a) and (b) obtained at wavelengths 205 nm and 220 nm respectively 49
Figure 4.12	Chromatogram obtained with Contrad concentrate® at a concentration of 5% v/v using mobile phase 3, with chromatograms (a) and (b) obtained at wavelengths 205 nm and 220 nm respectively
Figure 4.13	Chromatogram obtained with Ekon D concentrate® at a concentration of 1% v/v using mobile phase 4, with chromatograms (a) and (b) obtained at wavelengths 205 nm and 220 nm respectively
Figure 4.14	Chromatogram obtained with LaboClean FT. concentrate <sup>®</sup> at a concentration of 1% v/v using mobile phase 4, with chromatograms (a) and (b) obtained at wavelengths 205 nm and 220 nm respectively 51
Figure 4.15	Chromatogram obtained with Contrad concentrate® at a concentration of 1% v/v using mobile phase 4, with chromatograms (a), (b) and (c) obtained at wavelengths 205 nm, 212 nm and 220 nm respectively 52
Figure 4.16	Linear plot obtained for Ekon D concentrate <sup>®</sup> peak 1 area response for the determination of the developed HPLC method operation limits 55

Figure 4.17	Linear plot obtained for Ekon D concentrate <sup>®</sup> peak 2 area response for the determination of the developed HPLC method operation limits	
Figure 4.18	Linear plot obtained for LaboClean FT. concentrate® peak area response for the determination of the developed HPLC method operation limits	
Figure 4.19	Typical chromatogram obtained for Ekon D concentrate® at a concentration of 10 mg/ml with the wavelength set at 220 nm	63
Figure 4.20	Typical chromatogram obtained for LaboClean FT concentrate <sup>®</sup> at a concentration of 13 mg/ml, with chromatograms (a) and (b) obtained at wavelengths 205 nm and 220 nm respectively	63
Figure 4.21	Chromatogram obtained from a swab sample of an API Standard volumetric flask, detected at wavelength 220 nm	65
Figure 4.22	Chromatogram obtained from a swab of an API Sample volumetric flask detected at wavelength 220nm	
Figure 4.23	Chromatogram obtained from a sterile swab solution, detected at wavelength 220nm	67
Figure 4.24	Chromatogram obtained from the API standard volumetric flask rinsate, detected at wavelength 220nm	67
Figure 4.25	Chromatogram obtained from the API sample volumetric flask rinsate, detected at wavelength 220nm	68
Figure 4.26	A typical chromatogram obtained from injecting the solvent at a wavelength of 220 nm	69
Figure 4.27	A typical chromatogram obtained from the hand washed glassware rinsate, with the wavelength set at 220 nm	70
Figure 4.28	A typical chromatogram obtained from the machine washed glassware rinsate, with the wavelength set at 220 nm	70

Figure 4.29	Depiction of the solvent associated peak in Ekon D concentrate® standard
Figure 4.30	Magnification of the solvent associated peak identified in Figures 4.27, 4.28, and 4.29
Figure 4.31	A typical chromatogram obtained from the API contaminated volumetric flask rinsate, detected at wavelength 220 nm
Figure 4.32	A typical chromatogram obtained from the API contaminated volumetric flask rinsate, detected at wavelength 220 nm
Figure 4.33	A typical chromatogram obtained from a detergent contaminated volumetric flask rinsate, detected at wavelength 220 nm
Figure 5.1	Linear plot obtained for Ekon D concentrate® peak 1 for HPLC method validation, conducted by the analyst developing the HPLC method 86
Figure 5.2	Linear plot obtained for Ekon D concentrate® peak 2 for HPLC method validation, conducted by the analyst developing the HPLC method 86
Figure 5.3	Linear plot obtained for the LaboClean FT concentrate® peak for HPLC method validation, conducted by the analyst developing the HPLC method
Figure 5.4	Chromatogram obtained for LaboClean FT concentrate® with the mobile phase buffer adjusted by 50%
Figure 5.5	Chromatogram obtained for Ekon D concentrate with mobile phase buffer adjusted by 50%
Figure 5.6	Chromatogram obtained for Ekon D concentrate® after adjusting the mobile phase pH to 2.5

Figure 5.7	Chromatogram obtained for LaboClean FT concentrate® after adjusting	
	the mobile phase pH to 2.5	. 90
Figure 5.8	Chromatogram obtained for Ekon D concentrate <sup>®</sup> when using Luna $C_{18}$ 250 x 4.6 mm, (5 $\mu$ m) column	
Figure 5.9	Chromatogram obtained for LaboClean FT concentrate® when using	•
	Luna C <sub>18</sub> 250 x 4.6mm, (5 μm) column	. 91

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Properties of analyte to guide method development	9
Table 2.2	Practical analytical steps for cleaning validation	22
Table 3.1	Chromatographic conditions for the HPLC	32
Table 3.2	System suitability conditions	33
Table 3.3	Standard preparation of Ekon D concentrate®	34
Table 3.4	Standard preparation of LaboClean FT concentrate®	34
Table 3.5	Standard preparation of Contrad concentrate®	35
Table 4.1	Density results of Ekon D <sup>®</sup> , Laboclean FT <sup>®</sup> and Contrad concentrate <sup>®</sup>	38
Table 4.2	Standard preparation for the determination of the developed HPLC method operational limits	54
Table 4.3	Ekon D concentrate <sup>®</sup> peak 1 area response summary report obtained for the determination of operational limits of the developed HPLC method	. 57
Table 4.4	Ekon D concentrate <sup>®</sup> peak 2 area response summary report obtained for the determination of operational limits of the developed HPLC method	. 58
Table 4.5	LaboClean FT concentrate <sup>®</sup> peak area response summary report obtained for the determination of operational limits of the developed HPLC method	. 59
Table 4.6	Percentage recovery of detergent contaminants	. 74
Table 5.1	Chromatographic conditions for the HPLC system	. 79

Table 5.2	Standard preparation of Ekon D concentrate®	80
Table 5.3	Standard preparation of LaboClean FT concentrate®	81
Table 5.4	Ekon D concentrate <sup>®</sup> peak 1 area response summary report obtained for the validation of the developed HPLC method, conducted by the analyst developing the HPLC method	82
Table 5.5	Ekon D concentrate <sup>®</sup> peak 2 area response summary report obtained for the validation of the developed HPLC method, conducted by the analyst developing the HPLC method	83
Table 5.6	LaboClean FT concentrate <sup>®</sup> peak area response summary report obtained for the validation of the developed HPLC method, conducted by the analyst developing the HPLC method	. 84
Table 5.7	Ekon D concentrate <sup>®</sup> peak 1 area response summary report obtained for method transfer of the developed HPLC method, conducted by a post graduate student using a Shimadzu <sup>®</sup> UFLC system	. 93
Table 5.8	Ekon D concentrate <sup>®</sup> peak 2 area response summary report obtained for method transfer of the developed HPLC method, conducted by a post graduate student using a Shimadzu <sup>®</sup> UFLC system	. 94
Table 5.9	LaboClean FT concentrate <sup>®</sup> peak area response summary report obtained for method transfer of the developed HPLC method, conducted by a post graduate student using a Shimadzu <sup>®</sup> UFLC system	. 95
Table 5.10	Ekon D concentrate® peak 1 area response summary report obtained for method validation of the developed HPLC method, conducted by an inexperienced analyst using a Shimadzu® UFLC system	. 96

Table 5.11	Ekon D concentrate® peak 2 area response summary report obtained	
	for method validation of the developed HPLC method, conducted by	
	an inexperienced analyst using a Shimadzu <sup>®</sup> UFLC system	97
Table 5.12	LaboClean FT concentrate <sup>®</sup> peak area response summary report	
	obtained for method validation of the developed HPLC method,	
	conducted by an inexperienced analyst using a Shimadzu® UFLC	
	system	98

# **ABBREVIATIONS**

API Active pharmaceutical ingredient

**cGMP** Current good manufacturing practices

FDA The Food and Drug Administration, USA

GLP Good laboratory practices

GMP Good manufacturing practices

**HPLC** High performance liquid chromatography

**HSA** Hexane sulphonic acid

LC Liquid chromatography

MS Mass spectrometry

LOD Limit of detection

LOQ Limit of quantitation

PDA Photodiode array

% RSD Percentage relative standard deviation

**SOP** Standard operating procedure

**UFLC** Ultra fast liquid chromatography

**UPLC** Ultra pressure liquid chromatography

USP United States Pharmacopoeia

UV/Vis Ultraviolet/visible

# AIM AND OBJECTIVES

### AIM

The aim of the study was to develop and validate HPLC methods for the detection of drug and detergent traces recovered from laboratory glassware in a pharmaceutical contract testing laboratory.

### BACKGROUND

Pharmaceutical contract testing laboratories carry a responsibility to ensure that components, containers and closures, in-process materials, and finished drug products conform to the established standards and specifications (FDA, 2006). Data generated by contract testing laboratory is expected to be meaningful, thorough, timely, unbiased, well documented, and scientifically sound as it ensures quality control and compliance by pharmaceutical manufacturing companies (Ahuja, 2005).

Volumetric glassware forms part of nearly all critical analytical experiments in contract testing laboratories. Contamination of glassware may therefore compromise the reliability of the generated data. Unreliable data violates cGMP regulations that clearly mandate the reliability and accuracy of data generated in contract testing laboratories. Limited information is available regarding the chemical composition of laboratory detergents. This issue is caused by competition amongst the detergent suppliers and the need to protect detergent formulations from being copied. The detergents efficacy on glassware exposed to drugs is a matter based on the user's discretion in cases where user instructions are not specified by the supplier.

Research is not clear in describing protocols relating to laboratory glassware care and maintenance in pharmaceutical environments. This puts contract testing laboratories at a risk of using laboratory glassware that might not be properly cleaned for generating valuable data.

# **OBJECTIVES**

To achieve the aim of the study the following objectives were pursued:

- Develop and validate an HPLC method to detect selected glassware cleaning detergents;
- 2. Investigate the efficacy of the current in-house glassware cleaning protocol;
- 3. Investigate the efficacy of cleaning detergents on glassware exposed to drugs;
- 4. Develop an efficient glassware cleaning protocol;
- 5. Validate a glassware cleaning protocol for a pharmaceutical laboratory.