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Bilateral differences in anthropometric measurements and isokinetic strength variables of female university level netball players

Unilateral movements refer to a movement performed by a single limb, whereas bilateral movements are performed with both limbs. The literature review conducted for this study, indicated that netball players favour unilateral movements during most throwing and jumping actions. These unilateral movements may lead to anthropometric and strength bilateral differences in the upper and lower body between the dominant (D) and non-dominant (ND) limbs of netball players.

Anthropometric profiles are important when considering success in the performance of sport, and provide coaches and sport scientists with needed information about the current state of the athlete’s body composition (during the different phases of training). From research in sporting codes that predominantly use unilateral movements, it can be noted that an adaptation to certain anthropometrical measurements occurs. The repeated use of the D limb in unilateral sporting codes is a major factor in asymmetrical development and therefore the assessment of bilateral differences between limbs is of great importance. The performance of netball players is affected by muscular strength and power, which are important characteristics of their general physical condition. In bilateral muscle strength measurements, it is recommended that the strength of muscle groups that are compared should not differ greatly (>10-15%) between the D and ND limbs, since this may have an undesirable effect on performance. Bilateral differences are a phenomenon that deserves attention because it demonstrates that the type of movement used (bilaterally or unilaterally) can have an effect on the anthropometric and isokinetic muscle strength.

The first objective of this study was to determine if there were significant bilateral differences in the anthropometric measurement between the D and ND limbs in the upper and lower parts of the body. Secondly, to determine if there were significant bilateral differences in isokinetic strength variables between the upper and lower D and ND limbs of the body. To obtain these objectives, forty four female university level netball players (age: 20.02±1.39 years; stature: 175.68±7.17cm; and body mass: 72.50±8.82kg) of the North-West University in South Africa were recruited to participate in this study. Descriptive statistics (averages, standard deviations, minimum and maximum values) for each of the relevant variables was calculated to determine the anthropometric profiles and Isokinetic variables of the players. Technical error of measurement and Confidence Interval (90%) was calculated for the anthropometrical measurements. A
dependent t-test for statistical significance (p≤0.05) was done for the total group for the different variables (D and ND of the upper and lower limbs) regarding the bilateral differences. Effect size was calculated for the total group and Cohen’s effect size to determine practical significance. To support the first objective of this study, results obtained by the measurements of the full anthropometric profiles taken on the D and ND limbs were compared. The biceps skinfold (-17.93±28.85%) had the largest level of asymmetry and showed a statistical and practical significance difference of p=0.00 (d=0.34).

To support the second objective of this study, results obtained from the knee and shoulder isokinetic strength tests revealed that there were no statistical or practical significant differences between the D and ND isokinetic knee strength (p>0.48; d<0.1). In contrast to the previous mentioned, some shoulder measurements (shoulder flexion/extension) showed a statistical (p<0.02) and practical (d>0.28) significant difference between the D and ND side. The shoulder extensor variable showed a stronger statistical and practical significant difference (p=0.00; d=0.44) than the shoulder flexors (p=0.01; d=0.29), even though the shoulder flexion-extension ratio showed no statistical or practical significant difference between the D and ND side (p=0.55; d=0.10).

These findings are evidence that netball players tend to develop marginal bilateral differences between D and ND limbs in response to the demands of the sport and their unilateral movements. Thus, the researcher concludes that university level netball players showed statistical and practical significant differences between the D and ND side in the upperbody.

**Key terms:** Anthropometry, bilateral differences, dominance, isokinetic strength, knee extension/flexion, netball players, shoulder flexion/extension.
Bilaterale verskille in antropometriese metings en isokinetiese kragveranderlikes in universiteitsvlak-netbalspeelsters

Unilaterale bewegings verwys na bewegings wat deur ’n enkele ledemaat uitgevoer word, teenoor bilaterale bewegings wat met albei ledemate uitgevoer word. Die literatuuroorsig dui aan dat netbalspelers voorkeur verleen aan unilaterale bewegings gedurende die meeste gooi- en spring-aksies. Hierdie unilaterale bewegings mag lei tot antropometiese en krag bilaterale verskille in die bo- en onderlyf tussen die dominante (D) en nie-dominante (ND) ledemate van netbalspelers.

Antropometriese profiele is belangrik wanneer sukses in sport in ag geneem word en gee aan afrigters en sportwetenskaplikes die nodige inligting oor die huidige stand van die atleet se liggaamsamestelling (gedurende die verskillende fases van oefening). Volgens navorsing in sportkodes wat hoofsaaklik unilaterale bewegings gebruik, vind ’n adaptasie van sekere antropometriese metings plaas. Die herhaalde gebruik van die D ledemaat in unilaterale sportkodes is een van die hooffakte in asimmetriese ontwikkeling en daarom is die meting van bilaterale verskille tussen ledemate baie belangrik. Die prestatie van netbalspelers word beïnvloed deur spier- en eksplosiewekrag, wat belangrike kenmerke is van die algemene fisieke toestand. In metings van bilaterale spier krag word aanbeveel dat die krag van die spiergroep wat vergelyk word, nie ’n beduidendeverskil (>10-15%) moet toon tussen die D en ND ledemate nie, aangesien dit ’n ongewenste uitwerking op prestatie mag hê. Bilaterale verskille is ’n verskynsel wat aandag verdien, aangesien dit toon dat die tipe beweging wat gebruik word (bilateraal of unilateraal) ’n uitwerking kan hê op die antropometriese en isokinetese spierkrag.

Die eerste doelwit van hierdie studie was om vas te stel of daar betekenisvolle bilaterale verskille in die antropometriese metings tussen die D en ND ledemate in die bo- en onderlyf is. Tweedens was dit om vas te stel of daar betekenisvolle bilaterale verskille in isokinetiese kragveranderlikes tussen die D en ND ledemate was (bo- een onderlyf). Om hierdie doelstellings te bereik, is universiteitsvlak-netbalspelers genader. Vier-en-veertig netbalspeelsters (ouderdom: 20.2±1.4 jaar; lengte: 175.7±7.2 cm en liggaamsmassa: 72.5±8.8 kg) van die ’n universiteit in die Noordwes provinsie in Suid-Afrika het aan die studie deelgeneem. Beskrywende statistiek (gemiddelde, standaar afwyking, minimum, en maksimum waarde) vir elke relevante waarde was bereken om die antropometriese en isokinetiese profiel te bepaal van die spelers. Tegniese meetingsfout en Vertrouensinterval (90%) was bereken vir die antropometriese metings, waarna ’n afhanklike t-toets vir statistiese betekenisvolheid (p≤0.05) bereken is vir die totale groep vir die verskillende meings (D en ND van die boonste- en onderste ledemate). Effek grootte was bepaal vir die totale
groep en Cohen's effek grootte vir praktiese betekenisvolheid. Om die eerste doelwit van hierdie studie te bereik, is 'n vergelyking getref is deur die meting van die volle antropometiese profiele van die D en ND ledemate vergelyk. Daar is gevind dat die biceps-velvou (-17.93±28.85%) die grootste asimetrie getoon het, wat die statistiese en praktiese betekenisvolle verskil van p=0.00 (d=0.34) na vore bring.

Om die tweede doelwit van hierdie studie te bereik, het resultate wat van die knie- en skouer-isokinetiese kragtoetse verkry is, getoon dat daar geen statisties of prakties betekenisvolle verskil tussen die D en ND isokinetiese kniekrag (p>0.48; d<0.1) was nie. In teenstelling met hierdie bevindings het skouermetings (skouerfleksie-extensie) in die bo-lyf 'n statisties (p<0.02) en prakties (d>0.28) betekenisvolle verskil tussen die D en die ND kant getoon. Die skouer-ekstensor veranderlike het 'n groter statisties en prakties betekenisvolle verskil (p=0.00; d=0.44) getoon teenoor die skouerfleksors (p=0.01; d=0.29), alhoewel die skouerfleksie-ekstensie verhouding geen statisties of prakties betekenisvolle verskil tussen die D en ND kante (p=0.55; d=0.10) getoon het nie.

Hierdie bevindings bewys dat netbalspelers neig na die ontwikkeling van bepaalde bilaterale verskille tussen die D en ND ledemate, in reaksie op die vereistes van die sport en hulle unilaterale bewegings. Die navorser kom tot die gevolgtrekking dat universiteitsvlak-netbalspelers statistiese en praktiese betekenisvolle verskille tussen die D en ND kante in die bo-lyf toon.

**Sleuteltermen:** Antropometrie, bilaterale verskille, dominansie, isokinetiese krag, kniefleksie/ekstensie, netbalspelers, skouerfleksie/ekstensie
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CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION

1.1 INTRODUCTION

The continual investigation of the development of sport performance enhancement has identified that players in certain court sports such as netball may be subject to bilateral muscle development (Hopper et al., 1992:102). Cheung et al. (2012:66) stated that with long-term training, bilateral strength development may be present in some sports where unilateral movements are predominant. Performance will also be influenced by several factors, such as body size, training status and muscle fibre type (Camic et al., 2010:2362; Hoffman, 2006:4; Housh et al., 1995:261), therefore appropriate body composition and strength development will improve performance in sport (Bale & Hunt, 1986:18; Čižmek et al., 2010:123; Swinton et al., 2014:1847; Tomkinson & Olds, 2000:549).

1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

Most daily living activities and different sport codes demand unilateral movements (McCurdy & Conner, 2003:50). Therefore, unilateral exercises may be more beneficial than bilateral exercise based on adherence to the concept of training specificity (Sale, 1988:140). The changes in anthropometric characteristics may be a sign of an adaptive body process, and it would therefore be wise for coaches to control the bilateral development of athletes, as the differences may be a sign of excessive single-sided arm overload (Cuk et al., 2012:113). However, researchers have up to now attempted to investigate the strength and anthropometry (Bale & Hunt, 1986:17; Ferreira & Spamer, 2010:61; Hopper, 1997:198; Hopper et al., 1995:217; Soh et al., 2009:280; Venter et al., 2005:5) of netball players, but have not made any attempt to investigate the bilateral comparisons in anthropometric measurements and isokinetic strength variables of university level netball players.

Unilateral movements in netball may vary and mostly depend on dominant (D) and non-dominant (ND) limbs (Hopper et al., 1992:103). Factors influencing unilateral movements in netball include the type of pass (one and two-handed), height of the pass, the direction of the movement when intercepting the ball and whether the D or ND limb is used (Otago, 2004:89). The two-handed chest pass is often associated with netball players (Gamble, 2011:10), but in a study done by Hopper et al. (1992:103), it was found that players predominantly use the right-handed pass (48.7%), followed by the two-handed chest pass (40.2%), whereas the left-handed pass was rarely used (5.9%). Hopper et al. (1992:102-103) found that during a netball game right-foot landings where performed more frequently (32.4%), followed by left-foot landings (26.1%), whereas landing on both feet appeared to occur even less often (23.9%). When executing an
attacking move to receive the ball, the players approached the ball with a leap or hop (29.4%), one foot planted on the ground (25%), or with a jump (24.7%) (Hopper et al., 1992:102).

Excessive weight is usually placed on the D leg, while the ND leg is protected from deceleration of the body during movement in unilateral tasks (Pappas & Carpes, 2012:90). An isokinetic dynamometer can be used to compare the strength values of the D and ND limbs (Dervišević & Hadžić, 2012:294). It is an objective apparatus to measure peak torque in Newton metre (Nm) (Carvalho et al., 2012:2883), which is reliable for knee extension-flexion ($r=0.83–0.93$) and shoulder extension-flexion ($r=0.78–0.95$) (Perrin, 1986:322).

Considering similar court-based sports with unilateral movements, it is necessary to understand the effect of these movements on the bilateral development of the body. Research done on basketball players found no statistical significant differences ($p=0.699$) between right and left leg isokinetic strength values (Berg et al., 1985:63). Berg et al. (1985:62) found that the left leg was 6% (at 60°/sec) stronger than the right leg, and the left shoulder was 4.9% (at 60°/sec) stronger than the right shoulder in the extension-flexion test (dominance was not mentioned). Knapik et al. (1991:77) stated that if the differences between the right and left leg were greater than 15%, a negative effect on performance which may lead to possible injuries. Cheung et al. (2012:68) found that most court players (volleyball and basketball) had less than 15% discrepancy in isokinetic strength between their D and ND lower limbs. Consistent with the results of the previous study, a small difference (>15%) in peak torque values between right and left limbs across four joints (knee, shoulder, elbow and ankle) was also found (Berg et al., 1985:60). In contrast to these findings, Hadžić et al. (2013:861) found a statistical significant difference ($p=0.01$) in favour of the D limb in quadriceps concentric isokinetic strength values in senior male basketball players. The findings of Markou and Vagenas (2006:74) confirm the notion of a statistical significance ($p=0.013$) in bilateral differences of the D and ND shoulder joint in male volleyball players. Based on this data, the peak torque (force) differences in shoulder strength of volleyball players can only be attributed to the fact that the D side develops a systematic superiority in overall muscle strength over the years (Markou & Vagenas, 2006:77). Literature also shows that other factors (gender, age, physical activity and anthropometry) can affect performance results of the isokinetic strength tests (Keating & Matyas, 1996:882).

Anthropometry refers to measurements of the human body and the proportion of body weight that is either fat relative or consists of lean tissue (Hoffman, 2006:88). These anthropometry measurements include; stature, body mass, skinfolds, girths, lengths and breadths (Norton et al., 2000:33). Anthropometry provides coaches and sport specialists with imperative information with regards to the current body composition during different training phases. Research has shown that previous anthropometric studies on netball players only focused on body fat percentage.
(Ferreira & Spamer, 2010:61; Soh et al., 2009:280; Venter et al., 2005:5) and the somatotype (Bale & Hunt, 1986:17; Hopper, 1997:198; Hopper et al., 1995:217). A study done by Tomkinson et al. (2003:204) focused on the anthropometrical bilateral values of male basketball and soccer players. These researchers found no significant differences for any derived variables between the D and the ND side (upper and lower limbs) of the players. No studies were found on the comparison of bilateral differences in netball players regarding anthropometric profiling.

Due to limited data on bilateral differences in anthropometric variables and isokinetic strength in netball players, the following research questions arise: Firstly, are there significant bilateral differences in anthropometric measurements between D and ND limbs (upper and lower body) of university level netball players? Secondly, are there bilateral differences in isokinetic strength variables between D and ND limbs (upper and lower body)?

Answers to the above-mentioned research questions would be of importance to coaches and sport scientists and could provide a guideline for these professionals regarding scientifically formulated exercise programmes for preventing bilateral differences among netball players.

1.3 OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this study were to determine:

- Bilateral differences in anthropometric measurements between D and ND limbs (upper and lower body) of university level netball players
- Bilateral differences in isokinetic strength variables between D and ND limbs (upper and lower body) of university level netball players.

1.4 HYPOTHESES

This study was based on the following hypotheses:

- Statistical significant differences between the D and ND limbs (upper and lower body) will occur, with the D limb presenting smaller anthropometric values for skinfold measurements and larger girths and bone breadths measurements for university level netball players.
- Statistical significant differences between the D and ND limbs (upper and lower body) will occur and the D limbs will present greater peak torque values, and better agonist/antagonist muscle ratio percentages for university level netball players.
1.5 STRUCTURE OF DISSERTATION

Chapter 1: Introduction

Chapter 2: Literature review: “BILATERAL DIFFERENCES IN ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS AND ISOKINETIC STRENGTH VARIABLES OF NETBALL AND OTHER UNILATERAL SPORT CODES.”. This chapter is not a complete literature study but merely a literature review of the most important literature that will from basis of the article’s literature.

Chapter 3: Article 1: “UPPER AND LOWER BILATERAL DIFFERENCES IN ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS OF UNIVERSITY LEVEL NETBALL PLAYERS.” (To be submitted for publication in the South African Journal of Research in Sport, Physical Education and Recreation). Although not according to the guidelines of the journal, the tables will be included within the text and will be numbered according to the chapters to ease the reading of the article. Furthermore, the line spacing and the margins of the article will be the same as Chapter 1, 2 & 5.

Chapter 4: Article 2: “BILATERAL DIFFERENCES IN UPPER AND LOWER BODY ISOKINETIC STRENGTH OF UNIVERSITY LEVEL NETBALL PLAYERS.” (To be submitted for publication in the South African Journal of Research in Sport, Physical Education and Recreation). Although not according to the guidelines of the journal, the tables will be included within the text and will be numbered according to the chapters to ease the reading of the article. Furthermore, the line spacing and the margins of the article will be the same as Chapter 1, 2 & 5.

Chapter 5: Summary, conclusion, limitations, recommendations and future research.
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CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW
BILATERAL DIFFERENCES IN ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS AND ISOKINETIC STRENGTH VARIABLES OF NETBALL AND OTHER UNILATERAL SPORT CODES

2.1 INTRODUCTION

The first netball game was played in 1895 in England at Madame Ostenburg’s College (INF, 2013:3). Netball, a fast and skilful game that originated from basketball (Shakespear & Caldow, 2009:xi), continued to grow in the first half of the 20th century, with the game being played by all British Commonwealth countries (INF, 2013:3; Shakespear & Caldow, 2009:xi). No formal rules for netball existed prior to 1957, however, in 1960 representatives from Australia, England, New Zealand, South Africa and West India congregated in Sri Lanka to establish a committee named The International Federation of Women’s Basketball and Netball (Shakespear & Caldow, 2009:xi). Standardised rules were discussed and finalised at this inaugural meeting and this committee decided to present the World Championship Tournament every four years, starting from 1963 (INF, 2013:3; Shakespear & Caldow, 2009:xi). Netball developed into a renowned sport and in 1995 the International Olympic Committee welcomed netball to the 1998 Commonwealth Games (Shakespear & Caldow, 2009:xi).

Netball is a court-based sport predominantly played by females (Cormack et al., 2014:283), although it has become more popular among males (INF, 2013:3). This game is played between two opposing teams of seven players each, competing to gain possession of the ball (INF, 2013:3; Shakespear & Caldow, 2009:xi). During play, the team in possession of the ball attempts to move the ball towards its own goal post, where the goal shooters are positioned. Limited movements on court are allowed once a player is in possession of the ball and a pass must be executed within three seconds, according to the rules (Cormack et al., 2014:283; Woodlands, 2006:11). The aim of the team in possession of the ball is to shoot a goal, while the opposing team uses defence strategies to prevent the goal from being scored and attempts to gain possession of the ball. The team that scores most goals succeeds in winning the netball match (Cormack et al., 2014:283; INF, 2013:3; Shakespear & Caldow, 2009:xi).

Netball is a dynamic and physically demanding sport that often requires players to perform diverse movements such as repetitive jump-landing actions, repeated short runs, quick starts and stops, as well as sudden changes in direction (Chang et al., 2013:187; Terblanche & Venter, 2009:136). Bloomfield et al. (2007:1093) found that court-based sports such as basketball and netball have
unique physical elements, which include multi-directional speed, linear speed, agility with acceleration, lateral movements, deceleration and backwards running.

These above-mentioned movements and elements are vitally important for netball players in all playing positions (Terblanche & Venter, 2009:136). Movement strategies in netball vary and are carried out predominantly from a unilateral platform, which includes movements such as change of direction, jumping, running, hopping, and throwing (Fort-Vanmeerhaeghe et al., 2016:135; McCurdy & Conner, 2003:45). Unilateral movements are described as a weight-bearing movement mainly supported by one limb (McCurdy & Conner, 2003:45). These unilateral movement patterns in any given support base have an effect on the body’s morphological and strength profiles.

For the purpose of this literature review, research studies included different sporting codes (Javelin, Hockey, Football and Athletics) pertaining unilateral movements due to the limited research done on netball and other court-based sports. Inadequate recent studies required some research to be included prior to 2008. Studies were included if the participation was on club, provincial, university and elite level. Research done on male and female subjects (from adolescent onwards) was included to investigate the bilateral difference development of active and inactive test subjects.

2.2 UNILATERAL MOVEMENTS

As previously mentioned, when executing a movement from a unilateral platform, it is referred to as a weight-bearing movement supported by one limb, whereas bilateral movements are performed with the weight evenly distributed between both limbs (McCurdy & Conner, 2003:45). Bilateral movements ensure a larger base support, which increases stability and decreases the impact forces on the weight-bearing limbs (Hewit et al., 2012b:512).

Unilateral movements are predominantly used in court-based sport such as netball and basketball. Jumping from, or landing on one leg during a rebound, and passing the ball with one hand are seen as unilateral movements (McCurdy & Conner, 2003:48). During a jump movement, the dominant (D) leg is preferred for landing, when weight is mainly transferred to the D leg. This decreases the impact on the non-dominant (ND) leg (Pappas & Carpes, 2012:90). During the throwing phase, forces are produced as the body’s weight is transferred from the back leg to the front leg, and most of the weight is supported by one leg (McCurdy & Conner, 2003:48). Factors that have an effect on unilateral movements during play include the type or height of the pass (straight, loop, or bounce) and the direction of the movement when intercepting the ball (Otago, 2004:89). Lavipour (2009:52) found that during two netball matches, netball players tended to perform double the number of jumps from a unilateral base support (67%) than from a bilateral
base support (33%). During different match situations, players approached the ball by using unilateral base support movements such as a leap (landing on the foot opposite the take-off limb), hop (landing on the take-off limb), leap and hop combined (29.4%), one foot planted on the ground (25%), or with a jump (confined to elevation rather than a stride; 24.7%) (Hopper et al., 1992:102). These explosive movements from a unilateral base support vary and are influenced by the dominance (side preference) of the netball player (Hopper et al., 1992:103).

Dominance is known as the tendency among humans to use one side of the body selectively above the other in voluntary movements, which can be observed between people who present the selective use of a hand or foot associated with motor skills (Serrien et al., 2006:165). Lateral dominance refers to the functional specialization of either the left or right brain hemispheres (Hebbal & Mysorekar, 2006:164). The two brain hemispheres function differently and this results in the selective use of one limb (preferred side) for motor skills under voluntary control, also known as limb dominance (Velotta et al., 2011:1037). Carpes et al. (2010:137) mentioned that research shows 90% of humans have a right-hand preference, in contrast to only 25-40% right-leg preference in the lower extremity movements. This can be explained by the fact that the lower limbs’ movements requires more brain activation compared to those of the upper limbs. Lower limb dominance is associated with the task required to be done (manipulative or body stabilisation) (Velotta et al., 2011:1037). Velotta et al., (2011:1038) suggest that lower limb dominance is related to the type of task the subject is asked to perform. If the task is manipulative in nature (kicking a ball, or taking a step forward), the majority of subjects (80-90%) will rely on the right leg as the preferred or D limb, but when performing stabilisation of the whole body the preference shifts to the left leg. When stabilisation skills are exercised, the opposite lower limb is used during the dynamic counter-balance and the right lower limb performs better at manipulative skills. This is the reason for the change in preferred limb when using different skills (Sadeghi et al., 2000:40).

Netball’s stepping rule is structured, based on the landing foot. When landing on both feet simultaneously (bilateral landing), the player may take a first step with either foot as opposed to being limited to the ground contact foot when initially landing on one foot (unilateral landing) (Hewitt et al., 2012b:512). In the late 1990s, a study on the analysis of different movement patterns of netball players in an international match between New Zealand and Australia found that the landing movements during the netball match reflected that right-foot landings were performed more frequently (32.4%), whereas left-foot landings (26.1%) and landings on both feet (23.9%) (two-footed symmetrical landings) appeared to be less frequently used. Bilateral (two-footed asymmetrical) landings were barely used (13.6%) during netball matches; however, the side preference of the players was not recorded (Hopper et al. 1992:102). Although this study gave valuable information on the movement, the game has changed in nature over the years. Netball
players who participate at regional and national level show better performance on the D lower limb in an unanticipated 180°-turn task (Maulder, 2013:127). This may be because individuals can learn tasks and skills more successfully with their D limb than the ND limb (Davidson & Wolpret, 2003:235).

Regarding throwing or passing of the ball, the D limb is preferred during one-handed passes such as overhead passes and shoulder passes (Gamble, 2011:10; Woodlands 2006:19); however, the two-handed chest pass is also a favourite during a netball match (Gamble, 2011:10). According to the study of Hopper et al. (1992:102), the shoulder pass was more frequently used in a match (the ball is passed in a horizontal direction to another player) (73.1%), where the right hand was predominantly used (48.7%), followed by both hands (40.2%). The left hand was rarely used (5.9%) during throwing or passing. When catching the ball, players most often use both hands (94.1%) and the area on the body where they catch the ball is mostly between the head and chest area (62.4%). Research shows that playing position has an influence on the placing of the ball. In the study of Hopper et al. (1992:103), one- and two-handed passes (47.7%) were equally favoured for mid-court players, whereas defence players preferred the one-handed pass with the right hand (81.3%).

From the above-mentioned literature, it is clear that netball players favour unilateral movement patterns during throwing, passing, catching, jumping and landing. Unilateral movement patterns may lead to the possibility of strength asymmetry in the upper and lower bodies of these players (Hewit et al., 2012a:238; Maulder, 2013:127). Factors that contribute to asymmetry between limbs include dominance, coordination, current muscle imbalances and an injury history (Hewit et al., 2012a:238) as well as unilateral movements (Blackburn & Knüsel, 2006:379; Cheung et al., 2012:66; Hart et al., 2014:161).

2.3 BILATERAL DIFFERENCES/ASYMMETRY

The human body has a growth trajectory to maintain symmetry in most traits; however, it is hard to obtain perfect symmetry (Manning & Pickup, 1998:208). Asymmetry is defined as the lack of equality or equivalence between parts or the lack of symmetry (Oxford English Dictionary, 2017), which refers to the difference between the two sides of the body, and is seen as normal (Krischan & Kanchan, 2016:578). In this review, the researcher considers that the term bilateral differences refers to a difference between the bilateral limbs, whereas asymmetry also indicates that there is a remarkable difference between the bilateral limbs.

The continuous evaluation of sport performance enhancement has recognised that players in certain court sports, such as netball, may be exposed to bilateral muscle development through training (Hopper et al., 1992:102). Bilateral difference/asymmetry is one of the features that play
a very significant part in sports training, and is determined by factors including the unique nature of any given sports discipline (Brown et al., 2014:918). Studies found a positive correlation between performance and bilateral asymmetry. However, it was found that athletes with more symmetrical development tend to perform better (Manning & Pickup, 1998:207; Trivers et al., 2014:8). Taking into account long-term training effects, bilateral strength development may occur more frequently in sports where unilateral movements are predominant (Cheung et al., 2012:66).

A substantial amount of research indicated the presence of asymmetry in athletes from different sporting codes and concluded that the asymmetry occurred as a result of different movement patterns used in the specific sporting code (Berg et al., 1985:60; Blackburn & Knüsel, 2006:379; Brown et al., 2014:918; Carpes et al., 2010:138; Cheung et al., 2012:66; Hart et al., 2014:161; Knapik et al., 1991:77; Kong & Burns, 2010:15; Kruger et al., 2005:50; Krzykała & Leszczyński, 2015:384; Lanshammer & Ribom, 2011:78; Markou & Vagenas, 2006:73; Pappas & Carpes, 2012:90; Phillips et al., 2000:151; Radjo et al., 2013:725; Rynkiewicz et al., 2013:49; Tomkinson et al., 2003:205). Asymmetric adaptation in the lower limbs can be the result of specific demands such as jumping and change of direction (Fort-Vanmeerhaeghe et al., 2015:321). Unilateral tasks such as (anthropometric measurements and isokinetic assessments) are often used to assess asymmetry and the result is expressed in percentages. Various studies found correlations between the percentage of asymmetry among the D and ND limbs in unilateral and bilateral movements (Blackburn & Knüsel, 2006:379; Cheung et al., 2012:66).

Bilateral difference/asymmetric adaptation of anthropometrical and strength measurements is found in sporting codes that predominantly use movements from a unilateral base support and where limb dominance has an influence on the level of asymmetry (Blackburn & Knüsel, 2006:378; Hart et al., 2014:161). As mentioned previously, different asymmetries were found in various unilateral sporting codes. Two components that were taken into consideration for this literature review on asymmetry were anthropometrical and isokinetic strength differences of participants in sporting codes with predominantly unilateral-based movements.

### 2.3.1 Bilateral differences/asymmetry in anthropometry

Anthropometry refers to the measurements of the human body as well as the proportion of body weight that is either fat-related or consists of lean tissue (Hoffman, 2006:88). Anthropometric profiles are important when considering success in performance of sport, and provide coaches and sport professionals with needed information about the current state of the athlete’s body composition (during the different phases of training) (Bale & Hunt, 1986:18; Čižmek et al., 2010:123). Previous anthropometric studies on netball players focused on the percentage of body fat (Ferreira & Spamer, 2010:61; Soh et al., 2009:280; Venter et al., 2005:5) and the somatotype
(Bale & Hunt, 1986:17; Hopper, 1997:198) but limited research could be found on bilateral differences that led to asymmetry in anthropometric measurement of netball players.

Because of limited research on bilateral differences, a brief overview of anthropometric studies pertaining to netball players will be discussed. A study that Ferreira and Spamer (2010:61 conducted on elite female university-level netball players (u/19 A and B team) in the North West Province had an average stature of 174.6cm, body mass of 68.2kg and percentage body fat of 26.61% (no standard deviation between the players was calculated. These findings are similar to the findings of Venter et al. (2005:5) on provincial and national level netball players in South Africa (stature 172.6±7.5cm, body mass 66.8±9.1kg and percentage body fat 25.0±4.3%), and Soh et al. (2009:280) on national Malaysian netball players (stature of 170.80±4.16cm, body mass of 64.44±7.46kg and percentage body fat 24.50±5.13%).

Another component to consider with in the anthropometric field is somatotyping of a sportsperson. Carter and Heath (1990:199) mentioned that the level of competition and performance has an influence on the somatotype profiling of a sportsperson. The somatotype of an athlete describes the built with grading of the athlete’s adiposity, musculoskeletal robustness and linearity (Carter & Heath, 1990:453). Bale and Hunt (1986:17) stated that the average somatotype of elite young United Kingdom netball players are predominantly meso-endomorph (4-3.6-3.3) with an average stature of 170.8±6.1cm, body mass of 64.5±3.5kg, and percentage body fat of 24.5±3.9%. This is similar to the study of Hopper (1997:199), where the average somatotype of Australian netball players were identified as being a meso-endomorph (3.6–3.5–2.9). From these two studies, it is clear that netball players lean towards the meso-endomorph profile, which indicates that they tend to have relatively moderate subcutaneous fat cover, as well as moderate musculoskeletal development (Carter & Stewart, 2012:70). Limited research has been done on the anthropometric profiles of netball players and little to no focused research has been undertaken on the asymmetry or bilateral differences in anthropometrical data of D and ND limbs in unilateral sport or in netball per se.

Research in sporting codes that predominantly use movements from unilateral base support, noted that adaptation to certain anthropometrical measurements occurs. Upper limb dominance (stronger hand when performing certain tasks such as throwing a ball) has a positive link to the hypertrophy of the distal humerus of inactive female subjects. The epicondyle breadth accurately reflects handiness (dominance) in 68% of cases, where the D epicondyle breadth is larger than that of the ND side (Blackburn & Knüsel, 2006:378). This study’s results showed statistical significant bilateral differences (p<0.001) between left- and right-handed test subjects, and therefore appeared to reflect a positive relationship regarding the direction of asymmetry and an individual’s D hand (Blackburn & Knüsel, 2006:378). In football players, the lower limbs showed
a positive correlation ($r=0.31-0.41$) between kicking performance and lean mass values (Hart et al., 2014:161). A positive correlation was found between the lean mass of the thigh segment and kicking accuracy (Kicking: $r=-0.43$ to -0.59; Support: $r=-0.53$ to -0.59). Accurate kickers had smaller lean mass asymmetry (SI=-1% to 1%) than inaccurate kickers (SI=0% to 3%), with statistical significant differences between accurate and inaccurate kickers ($p=0.003$ to 0.029). Inaccurate kickers showed lower lean mass values in their support limb relative to their kicking limb. This low lean mass (4%) for the inaccurate kickers correlates with their weaker support leg (8%), which was noted by the imbalance of the unilateral strength (Hart et al., 2014:161). In contrast with these findings, Carpes et al. (2010:141) failed to find a correlation between functional asymmetry and limb dominance for the lower limbs and therefore could not fully address any relationship between asymmetries and performance. On this point, it is not clear whether sport dominating in unilateral movement patterns causes an increase in bilateral difference in the anthropometrical measurements between the D and ND legs. In the 1980s, Sale (1988:140) commented that the answer to this previous mentioned question would help with training specificity and sport conditioning. Further research is needed to investigate the effect of unilateral movement patterns on the bilateral differences of anthropometric measurements.

Sporting codes, other than netball, which use predominantly unilateral movements differ significantly between the D and ND limbs concerning different anthropometrical measurements (Blackburn & Knüsel, 2006:378; Kruger et al., 2005:50; Krzykała & Leszczyński, 2015:384). In previous research done on anthropometrical asymmetry, Blackburn and Knüsel (2006:378) found statistical significant differences in the epicondylar humerus breadths of active and inactive individuals ($p<0.001$). Asymmetry was also found in the relaxed upper arm girth ($p<0.05$), forearm girth ($p<0.001$) and half chest girth ($p<0.001$) with the larger values on the D side of elite male javelin throwers (Kruger et al., 2005:50). The study done on female Polish hockey players found asymmetry in lean muscle mass for the upper limbs ($p<0.0007$) and trunk ($p<0.0006$), as well as fat mass (measured in grams) for the upper limbs ($p<0.0008$) (Krzykala & Leszcynski, 2015:384). It is clear from the above-mentioned studies that statistical significant bilateral differences ($p<0.001$) occurred for various anthropometrical variables of various sporting codes predominantly using unilateral movements.

This is contradictory to other studies that showed no significant differences between the D and ND sides (Markou & Vagenas, 2006:73; Radjo et al., 2013:725; Rynkiewicz et al., 2013:49; Tomkinson et al., 2003:208). Tomkinson et al. (2003:208) found no statistical bilateral differences ($p<0.50$) in any of the anthropometrical measurements (full protocol except for measurements not part of a bilateral pair e.g. chest and waist girths) of male soccer and basketball players competing at professional and semi-professional league level. These findings are in correspondence with the study of Markou and Vagenes (2006:73), which found that Greek male volleyball players
showed no significant bilateral differences in leg length (p<0.214) (Markou & Vagenas, 2006:73). Similar findings were found to those of Radjo et al. (2013:725), who found no significant bilateral differences in the lower leg length (p<0.487), thigh length (p<0.232), lower leg girth (p<0.227) and thigh girth (p<0.030) of cadet and club-level basketball players. Lastly, Rynkiewicz et al. (2013:49) found no significant bilateral differences between the D and ND sides for muscle mass in the upper and lower limbs (p<0.62 and p<0.95) of junior cadet tennis players.

Table 2.1 summarises these previously discussed indices of anthropometrical bilateral asymmetry among different sport codes. It can be observed from the studies mentioned that significant bilateral difference is more prominent for upper body anthropometrical measurements than lower body anthropometrical measurements (Blackburn & Knüsel, 2006:378; Kruger et al., 2005:50; Krzykała & Leszczyński, 2015:383). Specifically, skinfold measurements exhibited no significant difference of asymmetry from any of the studies mentioned in table 2.1.

Muscular adaptation is a result of sport participation and therefore to assess muscular adaptations and bilateral differences in sports with unilateral movement can add value to the above-mentioned review of anthropometrical data.
Table 2-1: Summary of descriptive statistics from indices of anthropometrical asymmetry among athletes in different sport codes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Anthropometrical measurements</th>
<th>Subject information</th>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Asymmetry</th>
<th>Signif (p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kruger et al., 2005</td>
<td>Anthropometrical indices</td>
<td>19 international male javelin throwers</td>
<td>Relaxed upper arm girth</td>
<td>35.2 ± 1.4*</td>
<td>34.6 ± 1.7*</td>
<td>1.7 ± 2.9% *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Forearm girth</td>
<td>30.6 ± 1.9**</td>
<td>29.4 ± 1.6**</td>
<td>4.0 ± 3.8% **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Half chest girth</td>
<td>55.9 ± 3.2**</td>
<td>52.8 ± 3.2**</td>
<td>5.7 ± 7.6% **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acromial radial length</td>
<td>37.3 ± 2.7*</td>
<td>36 ± 1.4*</td>
<td>3.5 ± 6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Midstalion – dactilion length</td>
<td>21.8 ± 1.3*</td>
<td>21.1 ± 0.8*</td>
<td>3.3 ± 6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>**p &lt; 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>**p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>**p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krzykała &amp; Leszczyński, 2015</td>
<td>Bone mineral density (BMD), lean mass (LM) and fat mass (FM)</td>
<td>17 female Polish field hockey players National level (age 21.01 ± 3.83)</td>
<td>BMD lower extremity (g/cm²)</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.072</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.049</td>
<td>p = 0.0132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BMD trunk (g/cm²)</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.059</td>
<td>2206.12 ± 259.83</td>
<td>p = 0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LM upper extremity (g)</td>
<td>2352.12 ± 302.53</td>
<td>10080.00 ± 721.21</td>
<td>p = 0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LM trunk (g)</td>
<td>10478.18 ± 811.69</td>
<td>10080.00 ± 721.21</td>
<td>p = 0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FM upper extremity (g)</td>
<td>762.65 ± 233.719</td>
<td>715.71 ± 229.075</td>
<td>p = 0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FM trunk</td>
<td>3739.12 ± 1347.111</td>
<td>3599.00 ± 1286.904</td>
<td>p = 0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markou &amp; Vagenas, 2006</td>
<td>Leg length</td>
<td>24 elite Greek male volleyball players</td>
<td>Leg length</td>
<td>98.85 ± 3.62</td>
<td>98.67 ± 3.71</td>
<td>p = 0.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radjo et al., 2013</td>
<td>Lower leg and thigh length and lower leg and thigh girth</td>
<td>68 cadet and club-level basketball players (age 16.61 ± 0.49 years). Gender was not specified</td>
<td>Lower leg length (cm)</td>
<td>46.11 ± 3.13</td>
<td>46.14 ± 3.14</td>
<td>p = 0.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thigh length</td>
<td>45.04 ± 3.68</td>
<td>44.96 ± 3.71</td>
<td>p = 0.232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower leg girth</td>
<td>38.62 ± 3.21</td>
<td>38.54 ± 3.19</td>
<td>p = 0.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thigh girth</td>
<td>57.11 ± 5.39</td>
<td>57.29 ± 5.44</td>
<td>p = 0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rynkiewicz et al., 2013</td>
<td>Muscle mass of upper and lower limbs</td>
<td>16 Polish junior cadet tennis players (age 15.19 ± 1.05)</td>
<td>Upper limb</td>
<td>3.47 ± 0.76</td>
<td>3.33 ± 0.75</td>
<td>4.06 ± 1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower limb</td>
<td>9.68 ± 1.71</td>
<td>9.72 ± 1.67</td>
<td>0.41 ± 1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>**p &lt; 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>**p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Anthropometrical measurements</td>
<td>Subject information</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>Signif (p)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomkinson et al., 2003</td>
<td>Full anthropometrical profile for bilateral trades</td>
<td>26 elite and sub-elite Australian male basketball players and 26 elite and sub-elite Australian soccer players (age = 25.1 ± 3.5 years)</td>
<td><strong>Measurements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(R + L)/2</td>
<td>Spearman rank order</td>
<td>(R-L)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± s_x</td>
<td>Mean ± s_x</td>
<td>Mean ± s_x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triceps skinfold (mm)</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.11</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relaxed upper arm girth (cm)</td>
<td>31.1 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexed upper arm girth (cm)</td>
<td>33.5 ± 0.38</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forearm girth (cm)</td>
<td>28.4 ± 0.25</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trochanterion height (cm)</td>
<td>97.4 ± 0.96</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.43 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humerus breadths (cm)</td>
<td>7.16 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.001

*Signif – significance, BMD = Bone mass density, LM = Lean mass, FM = Fat mass, g = gram; g/cm² = gram per square centimetre, mm = millimetres, cm = centimetre, R = Right, L = Left, D = Dominant, ND = Non-dominant

Statistical significance: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.001
2.3.2 Bilateral differences/asymmetry in strength

The repeated use of the D limb in unilateral sporting codes is a major factor in asymmetrical development and therefore the assessment of bilateral differences between limbs is of great importance (Botton et al., 2013:164). Better understanding of the bilateral difference phenomena and the effect thereof on performance will enable a more specific approach to training (Carpes et al., 2010:138).

The performance of netball players are affected by muscular strength and is an important characteristic of their general physical conditioning (Radjo, 2013:727; Woodlands 2006:196). Power is also an important characteristic of muscles strength during court-based sport and is vital during dynamic movements in all planes (Montgomery et al. 2010:83). Strength can be defined as the ability of a muscle to produce force and is measured in newton (N) (Humac/Norm™, 2014:107), whereas power is the ability of a muscle to produce force over a period of time and is expressed in watts (W). Therefore, power can be defined as the work per unit over time and is dependent on the strength of a muscle and the velocity of the moving limb (Saepa & Drillings, 1983:7). Peak torque (PT) is the most common variable representing the strength of a muscle group during a movement and can be standardised to body weight (Olmo et al., 2006:283). Peak torque is calculated by the force applied around an axis of rotation and is expressed as: Torque (Nm) = Force (Newton) × Distance (metre), where the distance is the perpendicular distance from the input of force to the centre of rotation (Carvalho et al., 2012:2883; Humac/Norm™, 2014:107). Thus, PT is the maximum force production during a movement at any given point (Humac/Norm™, 2014:107).

Lower body strength improves short-term, high-intensity activities and is beneficial for netball players during acceleration on court (Chad & Steele, 1991:8). Players must also be able to produce a strong take-off from a standing position or to elevate and catch high passes (Shakespear & Caldow 2009:173, Woodlands, 2006:11). The quadriceps and hamstring muscles are important prime lower body movers in locomotor actions such as sprints, rapid change of direction, jumping and landing (Xaverova et al., 2015:257). Factors in the game of netball, which influence the landing patterns, are pace patterns, receipt and passing of the ball, direction of movement towards the ball and the player’s position (Hopper et al., 1992:101). In a previous study, Hopper et al. (1992:101) stated that netball players mainly rely on their D lower limb when approaching the ball, but they would rather choose the ND lower limb when landing after catching the ball. This is contradictory to the findings of Pappas and Carpes (2012:90) which states that during a jump movement the D lower limb is preferred during the landing phase. As previously mentioned, the basic lower body movements of netball include jumping or landing from a unilateral or bilateral base of support (Lavipour, 2009:98). The game analysis study of Lavipour (2009:52)
found that during two games between New Zealand teams, netball players tended to perform double the number of unilateral jump-landings than bilateral jump-landings (approximately 67% vs 33%). The researcher also found that the landing phase reflected equal unilateral and bilateral landings (47% vs 53%) for the vertical jumps, but for the forward and lateral jumps unilateral landings were preferably used (78% and 68% respectively).

Due to unilateral movements, the asymmetric nature of the shoulder movement in overhead throwing sport codes, causes imbalances between the D and ND shoulder strength, as well as imbalances between external and internal shoulder rotator muscles (Hadzic et al., 2014:338). During the kinematic analysis of a shoulder pass, most of the muscles activated during the pass are the muscles of the shoulder, arm and forearm (Hetherington et al., 2009:250). The torque required during the acceleration part of the shoulder pass is mainly provided by the concentric contraction of shoulder flexors (Hetherington et al., 2009:250). The primary movers during a throwing action are known to be the teres major and latissimus dorsi muscles for the shoulder extension movement, and the anterior deltoid and the upper fibres of the pectoralis major for the shoulder flexion movement (Copeland, 1993:222; Cybex Norm™, 1996:E-2).

To assess the performance of a moving muscle, different types of dynamometers are used (Kovačević et al., 2012:49; Land & Gordon, 2011:231). Isokinetic dynamometers are objective, reliable (knee extension/flexion r=0.83-0.993, shoulder extension/flexion r=0.78-0.95), and reproducible (Callaghan et al., 2000:682; Dervišević & Hadžić, 2012:293; Duarte et al., 2018:7; Miller et al., 2004:229; Perrin, 1986:332). Criticism of isokinetic dynamometers is that they are not functional (Augustsson & Thomee, 2000:167) and are very expensive equipment to buy or use (Toonstra & Mattacola, 2013:3). Evaluations done on an isokinetic dynamometer can be used to assess the strength (PT) and power (W) of a muscle or a group of muscles to compare the absolute and relative bilateral values between the D and ND sides (Dervišević & Hadžić, 2012:294). To compare a participant’s PT to normative data, it is important to investigate the torque-to-bodyweight ratio of the participant (Humac/Norm™ 2014:4-5). This assessment can also determine the existence of bilateral strength differences in the percentage ratios of the agonist (AG) and antagonist (AN) muscles (Bamač et al., 2008:182; Humac/Norm™ 2014:1-8).

It is advisable that bilateral muscle strength measurements should not indicate a great difference between the muscle groups (Xaverova et al., 2015:263). Bilateral strength differences of the upper and lower body may have an undesirable effect on performance and differences higher than 10-15% among the D and ND limb in muscle strength need immediate attention (Fort-Vanmeerhaeghe et al., 2016:141; Hewit et al., 2012a:242; Knapik et al., 1991:77). In intermitted sports, court and field players developed different muscular strength profiles due to long-term training effects (Cheung et al., 2012:66).
Limited studies have been done on the isokinetic strength profiles of university-level netball players; therefore other similar court-based sports will also form part of this study. In one of a few studies done on netball players, Malaysian netball players showed that the overall leg strength for the right and left knee extension at 60°/sec was 159.46±26.33Nm and 149.96±26.83Nm respectively, whereas the right and left knee flexion at 60°/sec was 89.67±17.28Nm and 89.39±13.88Nm respectively (Soh et al., 2006:42). It is clear from the data (although it was not investigated) that in the knee extension muscles, the bilateral difference was 5.96% between the right and left knees but less than 1% for the knee flexors. In view of this data it is therefore imperative to gain more knowledge regarding isokinetic bilateral strength differences of university-level netball players.

Several studies investigated the effect of bilateral strength differences on sport performance (Hart et al., 2014:161; Siqueira et al., 2002:21). When considering the dominance factor, Siqueira et al. (2002:22) found that non-athletes show a higher significant bilateral difference ($p<0.009$) in hamstring muscles between D and ND limbs than athletes competing in running and jumping events. In the study of Hart et al., (2014:161), researchers found moderate practical significance ($d=0.3$-$0.6$) and a moderate positive correlation ($r=0.25$-$0.40$) with the kicking accuracy in the bilateral and unilateral strength of the kicking and support limbs of football players. The study’s results showed that there was a large percentage of bilateral difference (7%-$14%$) for inaccurate kickers compared to accurate kickers.

Numerous studies have shown a significant bilateral difference between D and ND isokinetic knee strength (Kong & Burns, 2010; Lanshammer & Ribom, 2011:78; Phillips et al., 2000:151; Radjo et al., 2013:725). Female subjects showed higher concentric quadriceps PT values of 5.6%-7.7% on the D side, and for the hamstring muscles, the difference between the D and ND sides was small, ranging between 1.1% and 2.3% (Phillips et al., 2000:151). Lanshammer and Ribom’s (2011:78) research mentioned considerable bilateral differences in quadriceps ($p<0.009$) and hamstring ($p<0.001$) muscle strength between the D and ND lower limb in female subjects, irrespective of the physical activity (ball sports, aerobics, strength training etc.) in which they participated. The participants displayed 8.6% weaker hamstring muscle strength ($p<0.001$) and 5.3% stronger quadriceps muscle strength ($p<0.009$) of the D leg (Lanshammer & Ribom, 2011:78). Furthermore, a study of Radjo et al., (2013:725) on cadet basketball players found a statistical significant difference between quadriceps muscles ($p=0.019$) and hamstring muscles ($p=0.000$) of the left and right leg, all in favour of the right leg (dominance of players was not recorded). These studies are in correspondence with the findings of Kong and Burns (2010:15) that found significant differences ($p=0.006$) between the D and ND hamstring for recreationally active males and females, were the D limb had the greatest values. These studies clearly indicate
that there was a significant bilateral difference in the lower limbs, where the D limb registered better results.

Findings on the upper limbs achieved by Berg et al. (1985:61) revealed that university level female basketball players' shoulder isokinetic strength for flexion/extension at 60º/sec was 33.4±5.0Nm and 41.65±6.5Nm for the left shoulder and 34.5±4.6Nm and 44.5±4.9Nm for the right shoulder respectively, with a deficit of 3% for the shoulder flexors and 6.9% for the shoulder extensor muscles (dominance was not specified in this study). Limited research has been done on the shoulder flexion/extension isokinetic test; therefore, it is important to investigate other shoulder isokinetic tests to gain knowledge about the differences between D and ND shoulder strength. Markou and Vagenas, (2006:78) advocate that female volleyball court players are inclined to show muscle strength asymmetry between the D and ND shoulder during the isokinetic external and internal rotation (ER/IR) test at a velocity of 60º/sec. Peak torque differences (difference of 4%, p<0.004) for internal rotation between the D and ND sides in shoulder strength of elite Greek male volleyball players may be attributed to the fact that the D side develops systematically more in strength over the years compared to the ND side (Markou & Vagenas, 2006:77).

Bilateral differences exist not only in muscle strength values, but also between the percentage ratios of the AG and AN muscle groups at a joint of the D and ND limbs. Agonist to antagonist muscle ratios (AG:AN) of athletes participating in different sporting codes at similar competing levels may depend on the specific demands of the sport (Kabacinski et al., 2018:8). To understand the AG:AN value better, Humac/Norm™ (2014:4-6) described the concept as follows: the opposing muscle group torque ratio indicates the weaker muscle group torque as a percentage (%) of the stronger muscle group torque. During the knee extension/flexion test, the knee flexion PT is indicated as a percentage of the knee extension PT. For example, if a test subject produced a PT in flexion of 141Nm and a PT in extension of 256Nm on the D side, then the subject had an opposing muscle group ratio of 55% on that side (141/256=0.55x100=55%), thus indicating that on the dominant side, at PT, the test subject’s flexors were 55% as strong as his extensors. With regard to the hamstring and quadriceps muscles, some studies have reported AG:AN values ranging from 50% to 80% (Cheung et al., 2012:68; Hewett et al., 2008:455; Kong & Burns, 2010:15), with the general acceptance for AG:AN at 60% (Coombs & Carbutt, 2002:57), depending on muscle group and testing velocity, which seems not to differ between genders or sporting codes (Rosene et al., 2001:382). Kabacinski et al. (2018:6) found that female volleyball players showed a higher (p<0.05) hamstring:quadricep ratio (H:Q) (13.8% left leg and 14.4% right leg higher) than basketball players. Lanshammer and Ribom (2011:77) found that the D legs' H:Q was 46±0.08% and the ND legs' H:Q was 53±0.09% (p>0.001) at a testing velocity of 90º/sec, which indicates that for the D lower limb, the participants showed stronger quadriceps muscles strength (5.3%) and weaker hamstring muscle strength (8.6%) than in the ND leg. Kong and Burns
(2010:15) stated that recreationally active male and female participants’ H:Q increased when angular velocity (60°/sec, 180°/sec and 300°/sec) was increased (p<0.001) in the D leg. This resulted in a higher percentage ratio with regard to all angular velocities. Kong and Burns (2010:15) concluded that the H:Q differences, with a higher ratio on the D leg, can be attributed to the stronger hamstring muscle in the D leg, while the quadriceps muscle was mostly similar for both legs, and explained that this difference is due to the different training background of these participants (Kong & Burns, 2010:15). Throughout the literature, it can be noted that there is a notion of different isokinetic strength asymmetries for active and inactive subjects, as well as for athletes in different sporting codes. It is also noted that contradiction in the literature exists and limited research has been done on the netball sporting code involving university-level players.

Table 2.2 summarises the indices of isokinetic strength asymmetry among different sporting codes. It is evident from the listed studies that there are statistical significant differences between the D and ND limbs for peak torque and muscle ratios between AG and AN muscles (Berg et al., 1985; Kong & Burns, 2010:15; Lanshammer & Ribom, 2011:78; Markou & Vagenas, 2006:73-74; Phillips et al., 2000:151; Radjo et al., 2013:725).
## Table 2-2: Summary of descriptive statistics from indices in isokinetic strength bilateral differences among different test subjects and athletes of different sport codes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Limb dominance</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Isokinetic dynamometer</th>
<th>Test Protocol</th>
<th>Statistics used</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Berg <em>et al.</em>, 1985</td>
<td>Did not specify (Half of the subjects test first the right limb and other half the left limb)</td>
<td>13 female basketball players (age = 20.42 ± 1.21)</td>
<td>Cybex II</td>
<td>Knee, shoulder, elbow flexion/extension at 60°/sec - 300°/sec velocity</td>
<td>Mean of values with standard deviation</td>
<td>a) Difference of 0.4 – 6.9% in PT for extensors at all 4 joints.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ankle plantar/dorsiflexion at 30°/sec - 150°/sec velocity</td>
<td></td>
<td>b) Difference of 0.2 – 12.4% in PT for flexors at all 4 joints.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c) L knee 6% &gt; R knee EX:FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d) L shoulder 4.9% &gt; R shoulder EX:FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheung <em>et al.</em>, 2012</td>
<td>The D leg was determined as the leg used to kick a ball</td>
<td>40 male collegiate athletes from soccer (n=23), basketball (n=5) and volleyball (n=12) teams</td>
<td>Cybex NORM</td>
<td>Knee flexion/extension at 60°/sec</td>
<td>Normalised PT. Independent T-test. Statistical significance set at P&lt;0.05</td>
<td>a) Court sport: ND &gt; D in at 60°/sec (p&lt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b) Field sport: H:Q ratio D &gt; ND at 60°/sec (p&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kong &amp; Burns, 2010</td>
<td>Perception of subject</td>
<td>40 recreationally active subjects (25 males, 15 females)</td>
<td>Biodex System 3 Pro</td>
<td>Knee isometric at 40° - 90° angles. Knee flexion/extension at 60°/sec 180°/sec and 300°/sec</td>
<td>ANOVA Statistical significance was set at p &lt; 0.05. Post-hoc analysis</td>
<td>a) Knee flexors D &gt; ND at 300°/sec (p=0.006).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b) H:Q ratio D &gt; ND (p=0.046)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanshammer &amp; Ribom, 2011</td>
<td>Hand dominance (Preferred side)</td>
<td>159 Swedish women (randomly selected)</td>
<td>Cybex II</td>
<td>Knee flexion/extension at 90°/sec</td>
<td>Student’s T-test when comparing the differences between the two legs</td>
<td>a) Extensors: D 5.3% &gt; ND (p&lt;0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b) Flexors: ND 8.6% &gt; D (p&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c) Knee H:Q ratio of the D leg was 46 ± 0.08% as compared with 53 ± 0.09% in the ND leg (p&gt; 0.001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Limb dominance</td>
<td>Subjects</td>
<td>Isokinetic dynamometer</td>
<td>Test Protocol</td>
<td>Statistics used</td>
<td>Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markou &amp; Vagenas, 2006</td>
<td>Three different types of dominance:</td>
<td>24 Greek elite male national volleyball players</td>
<td>Cybex II+</td>
<td>Knee flexion/extension at 60°/sec</td>
<td>Descriptive analysis, multi-varient analysis of variance</td>
<td>a) PT of shoulder internal rotation: D side p=0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Directional (left vs right side)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shoulder internal/external rotation at 60°/sec</td>
<td>Statistical significance was tested at p=0.05 probability level</td>
<td>b) PT ratio of ER/IR p=0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Absolute (maximum vs minimum)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c) PT of knee flexion: D side p=0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Fluctuating (D vs ND)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>e) PT ratio of H:Q ratio p=0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillips et al., 2000</td>
<td>Limb dominance was determined by questionnaire (17/200 indicated left as D for lower limbs)</td>
<td>200 subjects (20 male and 20 female subjects from each decade of age from 20 to 69 years)</td>
<td>Kin-Com</td>
<td>Knee extension/flexion Isometric testing at 60°/sec</td>
<td>Intra- and inter-session reliability Regression analysis. ANOVA</td>
<td>a) Males: D limb 4.4% &gt; ND limb at 120°/sec for PT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Isokinetic testing at 60°/sec and 120°/sec</td>
<td></td>
<td>b) Females: D limb 5.6 – 7.7%&gt; ND limb in all three tests for knee extensors for PT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radjo et al., 2013</td>
<td>Did not specify</td>
<td>68 cadet basketball players (age 16.61 ± 0.49) Gender was not specified.</td>
<td>Biodex</td>
<td>Knee flexion/extension at 60°/sec</td>
<td>Central dispersion parameters. Independent T-test. Factor analysis</td>
<td>a) Knee extensors PT R limb &gt; L limb (p=0.019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b) Knee flexors PT R limb &gt; L limb (p=0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d) Bilateral difference for H:Q ratio was not significant (p=0.027)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PT = Peak torque (Nm), sec = seconde, ER/IR = External rotation/Internal rotation, H:Q = Hamstring/Quadriceps ratio, D = Dominant, ND = Non-dominant, R = Right, L = Left, p = practical significance
2.4 CONCLUSION

Netball is a physically demanding unilateral sport that requires players to perform various movements, for example throwing action, running, repetitive jumping and landing movements, repeated short runs, quick stops and starts, as well as sudden agility movements. These are weight-bearing movements, mainly supported by one limb. Unilateral movements have an effect on the body’s morphological and strength profiles. During a jumping-landing movement the weight of the body is placed on the D leg, while the ND leg is protected from direct impact. These unilateral movements may lead to bilateral differences between D and ND limbs. Factors that contribute to bilateral differences between limbs include dominance, coordination, current muscle imbalances, injury history and the specific movement demands of the sport code. The percentage of bilateral difference between the D and ND side of the upper and lower limb can be determined by means of unilateral tests. Research has found that there is an adaptation of anthropometrical and strength measurements in sporting codes that predominantly use movements from a unilateral base and it has been found that limb dominance does have an effect on the bilateral differences.

Anthropometric studies previously done on netball players focused on the percentage of body fat and the somatotype, but only limited research could be found on bilateral differences that led to asymmetry in the anthropometric measurement of netball players. Sporting codes other than netball that predominantly use unilateral movements differ significantly between the D and ND limbs concerning different anthropometrical measurements. Research has found statistical significant bilateral differences for some anthropometrical variables of various sporting codes predominantly using unilateral movements. However, some contradictory studies showed no significant differences between the D and ND sides for any anthropometrical variables of different sporting codes.

Bilateral strength differences are presented in sports that involve more unilateral movements. Bilateral strength differences higher than 10-15% among the D and ND limb in muscles of the lower body may have an undesirable effect on performance and needs attention. Studies have shown a significant bilateral difference between the D and ND isokinetic knee strength. Bilateral differences exist not only in muscle strength values, but also between the percentage ratios of the agonist and antagonist muscle groups at a joint of the D and ND limbs. Agonist and antagonist muscle ratios of athletes participating in different sporting codes at similar competing levels may depend on the specific demands of the sport. Throughout the literature, it can be noted that there is different isokinetic strength asymmetries for active and inactive subjects, as well as for athletes in different sporting codes. There are contradictions in the literature and limited research has been done on the netball sporting code of university-level players.
The bilateral difference is an occurrence that deserves attention because it demonstrates that the type of movement used (bilaterally or unilaterally) can have an effect on isokinetic muscle strength. Limited research was done on the general association between bilateral differences, limb dominance and the effect on performance. Dynamic movements are regularly used in sport practice. The conditions in which exercises are performed must be taken into consideration when planning a training programme, not only as a form of variation but also as a way to increase training adaptation, especially for athletes who focus on unilateral movements. To achieve a more specific training approach, better understanding of the occurrence of bilateral differences and their interaction with sport performance is required.
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ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to determine the presence of bilateral differences in upper as well as lower body of anthropometric measurements among netball players. Forty-four female university level players of a university in the North West province, with an average age of 20.2±1.4 years, stature of 175.7±7.2cm and body mass of 72.5±8.8kg, were tested. The results showed that out of all the anthropometric measurements taken (traits that were part of a bilateral pair) only biceps skinfold (17.93±28.85%) had the largest bilateral difference and support the statistical and practical significant difference of p=0.00 (d=0.34). Limited studies in other intermitted sport codes have found bilateral differences in other anthropometrical sites, supporting the incidence of bilateral development. These findings show that netball players tend to marginally develop asymmetrically owing to the demands of the sport and their unilateral movements. Thus, we conclude that university level netball players show some marginally significant difference between the dominant and non-dominant side.

Key words: Anthropometry, bilateral differences; netball; upper and lower body
INTRODUCTION

Symmetry is associated with balance and harmony in the human body any deviation from symmetry is known as asymmetry (Rynkiewicz et al., 2013) due to bilateral differences. Unilateral tasks or movements are the reason for the development of bilateral differences between dominant (D) and non-dominant (ND) limbs (Cheung et al., 2012). Elevation from, or landing on one leg during a rebound, or throwing an object with one arm, is seen as an unilateral movement (McCurdy & Conner, 2003). In many cases, when comparing unilateral tasks, some level of functional asymmetry will be present (Maulder, 2013). Functional asymmetric results, due to one-sided lifestyles or actions in which one side of the body is continuously used, lead to bilateral differences (Strydom, 2000). According to Pirnay et al. (1987) and Merletti et al. (1994), the unilateral demands on the D limbs, leads to development and changes in body composition that differ from that of the ND side. Furthermore, what is known as anthropometric asymmetry (bilateral difference) is often found in participants in sport requiring unilateral movements, for example tennis (Pirnay et al., 1987; Green et al., 1996), javelin throwing (Kruger et al., 2005) and fast bowling in cricket (Engstrom et al., 1999; Grobbelaar & De Ridder, 2001).

The importance of studies on bilateral differences in athletes is emphasized by the negative consequences thereof as stated by Starosta (1989), who reported that unilateral training and movements have a negative influence on range of motion and optimal performance. Sward (1992) reported the prevalence of scoliosis in up to 80% of athletes with unilateral load on the trunk and shoulders, such as javelin throwers and tennis players. According to Grobbelaar and De Ridder (2001), fast bowlers in cricket show similar unilateral loads as athletes in tennis and javelin. From an anthropometrical viewpoint, limited information with regards to the prevalence of bilateral differences in netball players could be found in the literature. Unilateral movements may vary from player to player due to the demands of the position and their nature usually depends on the dominance of the netball player (Hopper et al., 1992). Movements more specific to netball, such as running, jumping, multi-directional changes and throwing, are performed predominantly from a unilateral platform. This means it is a weight-bearing movement supported by only one leg or one-handed passes, where the D side is used (McCurdy & Conner, 2003:45; Pappas & Carpes, 2012:90).

The question arises whether bilateral differences from an anthropometrical viewpoint is found in the upper as well as the lower body of university level netball players and if so, what the extent of bilateral differences is. Information pertaining of bilateral differences in the upper and lower body of netball players could be of great value to sport scientists and coaches. Exercise programs could be developed specifically for the needs of these netball players and implemented appropriately, firstly to prevent, and secondly to remedy the occurrence of such bilateral
differences. In the end, this will improve their performance and help with the conditioning of these players. Better understanding of the bilateral differences mechanism and the effect on performance will enable a more targeted approach to specific training (Carpes et al., 2010).

PURPOSE OF STUDY

The purposes of this article were therefore to determine, if university level netball players show significant presence of bilateral differences in the upper and lower body, and if so, which of the anthropometric variables (skinfolds, girths, breadths and lengths) show the greatest degree of bilateral differences.

METHODOLOGY

Research design

This study followed an experimental test design with convenience sampling.

Participants and ethical clearance

Forty-four female university level netball players at a university of the North West province, with an average age of 20.2±1.4 years, were tested. They had an average stature of 175.7±7.2cm and an average body mass of 72.5±8.8kg. This study made use of an experimental test design with convenience sampling. Only players who provided voluntary consent, and complied with the inclusion and exclusion criteria, were allowed to participate in this study. Prior to data collection, a project letter was sent to the university’s department of sport, coaches and managers, explaining the purpose of the study and seeking permission.

Approval was given by all relevant role players. The players were tested in 2016, during the in-season phase of their periodization cycle. Risks for these participants were minimal and all monitoring and safety measurements were in place. Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health Science at the North-West University (NWU-00359-15-A1).

Procedures

A week prior to testing, an information session was held to explain the purpose and risks of the study, as well as the procedures involved in the testing protocol. This information was also specified in the consent form. The informed consent forms were handed out during the session and were only required back on the day of testing. Participation was entirely voluntary, which was clearly printed on the informed consent form.
The participants’ demographic information was collected by means of a general information questionnaire. Limb dominance was determined by self-declaration (Coren & Porac, 1978; Maulder & Cronin, 2005).

**Measurements**

**Anthropometry measurements**

Anthropometric measurements were taken early in the morning, before breakfast or training, according to the International Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry (ISAK) protocol (Stewart et al., 2011) by a level II ISAK-accredited anthropometrist. Stature, body mass, eight skinfolds, six girths, five segment lengths and four bone breadths were measured on the D and ND side of each of the participants. The technical error of measurement (TEM) was calculated making use of the formula of Pederson and Gore (1996:85) (Perini et al., 2005:87). The relative TEM scores for the skinfolds showed less than 3.24% and 5.75% for the D and ND side respectively. For the girth measurements the TEM revealed less than 1.14% and 0.92% for the D and ND side respectively. The TEM scores for the length measurements less than 0.98% and 0.90% for the D and ND side respectively. For the breadths measurements the TEM scores revealed less than 1.69% and 1.53% for the D and ND side respectively. Perini et al. (2005:88) stated that for the intra-evaluator that a difference of 5 – 7.5% relative TEM values is considered as acceptable for skinfolds and 1 – 1.5% for the other anthropometrical measurements.

**Statistical analysis**

The Statistical Data Processing package (IBM SPSS Statistics Version 25) was used to compile descriptive statistics (averages, standard deviations, 90% confidence intervals (CI), minimum and maximum values). Due to the small sample size, statistical significant difference of all measurements taken for the D and ND side, a dependent t-test (p≤0.05) was performed for the total group (D and ND of the upper and lower limbs) regarding the bilateral differences. Effect size (ES) was also calculated for the total group (D and ND of the upper and lower limbs) ($d=\frac{M1-M2}{s}$), and Cohen’s effect size for practical significance was used, as reported by Ellis and Steyn (2003). Guidelines for effect size were: Small effect – $d=0.2$; medium effect – $d=0.5$ and large effect – $d=0.8$. The 90% CI was qualitatively interpreted using the following thresholds: <0.19, trivial; 0.2 to 0.59, small; 0.6 to 1.19, medium; 1.2 to 1.99, large; 2.0 to 4.0, very large and; > 4, vast differences (Hopkins, 2009) to determine the likelihood that the true value of the effect represents substantially beneficial or detrimental change. The smallest practically meaningful effect was considered 0.2 either positive or negative – with values implicating either the D (positive) or the ND (negative). Effects when the CI overlapped, small positive or small negative effects were
defined as unclear. Effect sizes could be beneficial/detrimental were either positively or negatively medium to vast differences with either the upper or lower limit of the 90% CI not exceeding a trivial ES (<0.19) on either side.

RESULTS

Descriptive statistics for the variables used in this study are presented in Table 1. When comparing the mean scores from Table 1, the extent of bilateral differences between the D and ND sides is evenly disturbed for all skinfold variables. It can be noted that the data for the measurements is widely spread between the min and max value for skinfolds and girth measurements. This is due to the large group of female netball players with a different body sizes (skinfold thickness and muscle volume) and different playing positions. This wide spread data is also due to the different fitness and competition levels of the players that trained and participated in different divisions at university level.

Four of the eight skinfolds achieved larger measurements on the ND side. All mean girth variables showed higher values on the D side, whereas the ND side scored higher in all mean length variables. For the breadth measurements, half of the D side’s variables were larger than the ND side’s variables. The mean scores for the sum of six skinfolds, body fat percentage, muscle mass, and skeletal mass showed higher values on the D side. Bone density showed no difference between the D and ND sides.

Table 2 represents the statistical and practical significant differences between the D and ND sides. The measurements of the biceps (p=0.00, d=0.35), triceps (p=0.02, d=0.18) and abdominal (p=0.00, d=0.24) skinfolds showed statistical as well as practical significant differences between the D and ND sides, with the triceps and abdominal skinfolds being smaller on the ND side, and a smaller biceps skinfold on the D side. The subscapular skinfold showed no statistical significance (p=0.10, d=0.15) although a small practical significance difference between the D and ND side was determined, where the D side showed smaller values. The iliac crest, supraspinal, thigh and calf skinfolds did not show any statistical or practical significant difference between the D and ND sides.

As seen in the descriptive statistics, all girth measurements from the D side were greater than those on the ND side. Only two of these measurements, flexed upper arm (p=0.00, d=0.16) and forearm girth (p=0.00, d=0.36), showed statistical and small practical significant differences. The relaxed upper arm (p=0.04, d=0.07) and mid-thigh girths (p=0.02, d=0.11) showed statistical significant differences between the D and ND side. Of all measured girths, the D side measurements exceeded those of the ND side.
Although all the length measurements of the ND side surpass those on the D side, only the radial-stylion (forearm) length ($p=0.00$, $d=0.32$) showed statistical significant differences and a small practical significant difference between the D and ND sides. For the breadth measurements, only the wrist and femur breadths showed statistical significant differences, with the D side ($p=0.05$, $d=0.10$ and $p=0.03$, $d=0.12$ respectively) having larger values. The humerus and ankle breadth showed no statistical or practical significant differences between the D and ND sides.

Regarding the compiled body composition between the D and ND sides, the sum of six skinfolds ($p=0.00$), bone density ($p=0.00$), body fat percentage ($p=0.00$), and muscle mass (kg) ($p=0.00$) attained statistical significant differences, where the D side had the larger values. Only muscle mass showed a small practical significant difference ($d=0.18$) between the D and ND sides. Skeletal mass showed no statistical or practical significant difference between the D and ND sides.

**Table 1:** Descriptive statistics for dominant and non-dominant anthropometrical variables of university level netball players

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N44</th>
<th>Dominant</th>
<th>D-TEM</th>
<th>Non-Dominant</th>
<th>ND-TEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Std. Dev</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>20.02</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stature (cm)</td>
<td>148.00</td>
<td>191.00</td>
<td>175.68</td>
<td>7.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass (kg)</td>
<td>58.90</td>
<td>92.95</td>
<td>72.50</td>
<td>8.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Skinfolds: (mm)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biceps</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>21.70</td>
<td>8.78</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triceps</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>35.10</td>
<td>17.83</td>
<td>5.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscapular</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>27.07</td>
<td>11.56</td>
<td>4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iliac crest</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>35.53</td>
<td>18.26</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supraspinal</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>30.50</td>
<td>13.19</td>
<td>5.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>31.80</td>
<td>18.37</td>
<td>5.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thigh</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>41.00</td>
<td>25.93</td>
<td>7.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calf</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>33.20</td>
<td>16.93</td>
<td>4.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Girth (cm)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relaxed upper arm</td>
<td>24.5 5</td>
<td>35.10</td>
<td>28.31</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexed upper arm</td>
<td>26.10</td>
<td>36.05</td>
<td>29.46</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Chapter 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dominant</th>
<th>D-TEM</th>
<th>Non-Dominant</th>
<th>ND – TEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forearm</td>
<td>21.8(0)</td>
<td>28.75</td>
<td>25.08</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist</td>
<td>14.3(0)</td>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>15.80</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thigh</td>
<td>48.8(0)</td>
<td>64.17</td>
<td>56.26</td>
<td>3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calf</td>
<td>33.1(0)</td>
<td>44.80</td>
<td>38.39</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Length (cm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Forearm</th>
<th>Hand</th>
<th>Upper arm</th>
<th>Forearm</th>
<th>Hand</th>
<th>Upper arm</th>
<th>Forearm</th>
<th>Hand</th>
<th>Upper arm</th>
<th>Forearm</th>
<th>Hand</th>
<th>Upper arm</th>
<th>Forearm</th>
<th>Hand</th>
<th>Upper arm</th>
<th>Forearm</th>
<th>Hand</th>
<th>Upper arm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.4(5)</td>
<td>18.0(0)</td>
<td>30.0(0)</td>
<td>30.30</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>38.65</td>
<td>20.13</td>
<td>26.05</td>
<td>34.05</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>29.75</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>22.15</td>
<td>34.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Breadths (cm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Humerus</th>
<th>Wrist</th>
<th>Femur</th>
<th>Ankle</th>
<th>Body Composition:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>Sum of 6 skinfolds (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>195.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>104.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>29.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>29.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>5.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>7.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>9.54</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>6.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Body Composition:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fat (%)</th>
<th>Muscle mass (kg)</th>
<th>Skeletal mass (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.6(6)</td>
<td>27.5(0)</td>
<td>6.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36.78</td>
<td>46.79</td>
<td>10.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22.07</td>
<td>37.37</td>
<td>8.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.89</td>
<td>26.28</td>
<td>6.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33.81</td>
<td>46.56</td>
<td>10.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.69</td>
<td>36.61</td>
<td>8.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.69</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Statistical and practical significant differences between dominant and non-dominant anthropometrical variables of university level netball players.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N44</th>
<th>Statistical significant difference (p)</th>
<th>Practical significant difference (d)</th>
<th>Mean Difference between D and ND</th>
<th>90% Confidence Interval of the Difference between D and ND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skinfolds: (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biceps</td>
<td>0.00#</td>
<td>0.34*</td>
<td>-1.88</td>
<td>-2.71, -1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triceps</td>
<td>0.02#</td>
<td>0.18*</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.31, 1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscapular</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12*</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>-1.29, -0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iliac crest</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.51, 0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supraspinal</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>-0.04, 1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal</td>
<td>0.00#</td>
<td>0.24*</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.82, 1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thigh</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.62, 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calf</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.61, 0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girth (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relaxed upper arm</td>
<td>0.04#</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.04, 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexed upper arm</td>
<td>0.00#</td>
<td>0.15*</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.17, 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forearm</td>
<td>0.00#</td>
<td>0.36*</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.38, 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.06, 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thigh</td>
<td>0.02#</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.11, 0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calf</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.02, 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper arm</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.46, 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forearm</td>
<td>0.00#</td>
<td>0.32*</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-0.59, -0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.21, 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper leg</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.48, 0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower leg</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-0.46, 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breadths (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humerus</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.07, 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist</td>
<td>0.05#</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01, 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur</td>
<td>0.03#</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02, 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.05, 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Composition:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of 6 skinfolds (mm)</td>
<td>0.00#</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>1.18, 3.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body density (kg/m(^3))</td>
<td>0.00#</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00, 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (%)</td>
<td>0.00#</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.19, 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle mass (kg)</td>
<td>0.00#</td>
<td>0.18*</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.49, 1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sk49:77eletal mass (kg)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.02, 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Small Practical Significance (d < 0.3)
** Medium Practical Significance (d < 0.5)
# Statistical Significance level (p ≤ 0.05)
Figure 1: HI- LOW BAR PLOT OF 90% CI OF THE MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE D AND ND SIDES OF THE BODY WITH REGARDS TO THE MEASUREMENTS TAKEN WITH A REFERENCE OF -0.2 TO 0.2 TO INDICATE TRIVIAL TO UNCLEAR EFFECT SIZE OF MEASUREMENTS.

The high-low bar plot results of Figure 1 depict the mean differences (MD) between D and ND sides and the 90% CI for effect size upper and lower limits of each of the variables that did not indicate trivial to unclear effect size in measurements. From the obtained results of all measured variables: bicep skinfold (MD -1.88; -2.71 and -1.05), subscapular skinfolds (MD -0.65; -1.29 and -0.01), forearm length (MD -0.38; -0.59 and 0.18), and lower leg length (MD -0.21; -0.46 and 0.03) attained small to very large worthwhile effect in favour of the ND side. In this regard the ND side measurements exceeded that of the D side. Furthermore triceps skinfolds (MD 1.01; 0.31 and 1.70), supraspinal skinfold (MD 0.51; 0.04 and 1.06), abdominal skinfold (MD 1.25; 0.82 and 1.67), body fat percentage (MD 0.38; 0.19 and 0.58), and muscle mass (MD 0.76; 0.49 and 1.03) attained small to medium worthwhile effect in favour of the D side. All the girth measurements except the calf girth (MD 0.11; -0.02 and 0.24) [relaxed upper arm (MD 0.19; 0.04 and 0.34), flexed upper arm (MD 0.34; 0.17 and 0.51), forearm girth (MD 0.48; 0.38 and 0.58) and thigh girth (MD 0.38; 0.11 and 0.66)] resulted in a small to medium worthwhile effect in favour of the D side. Only sum of 6 skinfolds (MD 2.40; 1.18 and 3.63) showed medium to very large worthwhile effect in favour of the D side. All other obtained results are considered unclear due to CI upper and lower limit exceeding the smallest positive and smallest negative effect of 0.2.
DISCUSSION

It is evident that the biceps skinfolds and ankle breadths had the highest extent of bilateral differences and both showed statistical and practical significant differences between the D and ND sides of the body. A smaller biceps skinfold on the D side may have a positive effect on performance (Legaz & Eston, 2005), since players with a higher muscle mass and lower body fat mass perform better than players with a lower muscle mass and a higher body fat mass (Ryan-Stewart et al., 2018). The biceps skinfold bilateral differences may be present owing to the use of the D arm during the one-handed overhead and shoulder pass that is frequently used (Gamble, 2011; Woodlands 2006;)

When making comparisons with previous research (Blackburn & Knüsel, 2006; Kruger et al., 2005; Krzykała & Leszczyński, 2015). Limited studies have found bilateral differences in other anthropometrical sites supporting the incidence of bilateral difference development in other sporting codes such as basketball, volleyball, hockey, tennis and javelin. The significant differences that were found in this study can be attributed to the unilateral movements in netball.

This study showed statistical significant differences between the D and ND side for the sum of six skinfolds, bone density, body fat percentage, and muscle mass. The sum of six skinfolds and body fat percentage was in favor of the ND side, the measurements were smaller on the ND side than the D side. Only muscle mass showed a small practical significant difference between the D and ND sides. Muscle mass was in favor of the D side, where the muscle mass results were larger than on the ND side. These findings correspond to those of the study of Krzykała and Leszczyński (2015), which found statistical significant differences between the left and right side of the body for muscle mass and fat mass of female hockey players. The bilateral differences in muscle mass can be caused by the side preferences in unilateral movement and the demands of a netball match.

These findings support the notion that netball players tend to develop marginally bilateral differences with the ND side with the larger measurements owing to the unilateral movements of the players.

PRACTICAL APPLICATION

The bilateral difference status of female netball players needs to be monitored. By monitoring the bilateral differences between the D and ND sides, changes can be made to the strength and conditioning programs to minimalize large bilateral differences between the D and ND, which would potentially enhance performance. The monitoring of bilateral development could aid to the
occurrence and then prevention on injuries due to bilateral differences. This should be a high priority in the development of female university level netball players in South Africa.

CONCLUSION

The aim of this study was to determine the presence bilateral differences of upper and lower body anthropometrical measurements among university level netball players. In conclusion, one measurement of the upper body, namely the biceps skinfold, showed practical and statistical significant differences. These findings may be the result of the unilateral demands in movements of netball training and match demands.

Thus we conclude that university level netball players show a marginally significant difference between the D and ND sides in the upper and lower body.

LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

No control group was used in the study; it is therefore not possible to confirm that the anthropometric bilateral differences is due to only the actions in netball. Studies found that bilateral differences in anthropometrical measurements to be more pronounced in sedentary people than in athletes; athletes tend to be more symmetrical owing to training programmes that involve bilateral exercises. These bilateral differences can be attributed to normal daily activities, where the D limb is more likely to be used than the ND limb. It is recommend that a full detailed injury surveillance and match analysis be included in the research study design so that possible reasons for bilateral differences can be identified. Future studies must take these recommendations into account when developing studies.
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ABSTRACT

The aim of this article was to determine the presence of upper as well as lower body bilateral isokinetic strength differences among university level netball players. Forty-four female university level players of a university in the North West province, with an average age of 20.2±1.4 years, stature of 175.7±7.2cm and body mass of 72.5±8.8kg, were tested. Isokinetic knee extension/flexion and shoulder extension/flexion tests were used to determine the bilateral differences between the dominant (D) and non-dominant (ND) limbs of the lower and upper body. The results showed that there were no statistical or practical significant differences between the D and ND isokinetic knee strength values (p>0.48; d<0.1). In contrast to these findings, in the upper body, shoulder measurements showed statistical (p<0.02) and practical (d>0.28) significant differences between the D and ND sides. The shoulder extensor variable showed a greater statistical and practical significant difference (p=0.00; d=0.44) between the D and ND sides than the shoulder flexors (p=0.01; d=0.29), even though the shoulder flexion/extension ratio showed no statistical or practical significant difference (p=0.55; d=0.10). A limited number of studies have found bilateral differences in isokinetic strength between the D and ND limbs, especially in netball, supporting the incidence of bilateral development in other sporting codes. These findings show that these netball players develop bilateral differences between D and ND limbs in the upper body owing to the demands of the sport and the unilateral movements, concluding that university level netball players show a significant bilateral differences in isokinetic strength between the D and ND sides for the shoulder flexion-extension.

Key words: Bilateral differences; isokinetic strength; knee; netball; shoulder.
INTRODUCTION

Unilateral movements such as running, changing direction, repetitive jumps, landing and passing are required of a netball player (Terblance & Venter, 2009; Chang et al., 2013). During these unilateral movements, power is generated by an individual limb, whereas both limbs are used during a bilateral movement (Jakobi & Chilibeck, 2001). An individual who is constantly exposed to unilateral movements can develop bilateral differences between the dominant (D) and non-dominant (ND) limbs (Maulder, 2013). Due to repeated use of the D limb during unilateral movements, it is important to investigate the change in bilateral differences between D and ND limbs (Botton et al., 2013). Repeated use of unilateral movements may lead to bilateral differences in strength of the upper and lower body, between D and ND limbs (Hewit et al., 2012; Mauler, 2013).

In order to assess the strength of a moving muscle, different isokinetic dynamometers can be used (Land & Gordon, 2011; Kovačević et al., 2012). This is a reliable and objective method to measure muscle strength and bilateral strength differences in limbs (Bandy & McLaughlin, 1993; Land & Gordon, 2011; Dervišević & Hadžić, 2012). Maximum isokinetic strength is determined by making use of a constant speed with various velocities (1°/sec - 500°/sec) during a concentric and/or eccentric muscle contraction (Cybex Norm, 1996; McDonald et al., 2018). It is recommended that bilateral strength differences should be less than 10-15% between the D and ND limb. A larger difference between D and ND limbs may influence performance negatively (Knapik et al., 1991; Hewit et al., 2012; Xaverova et al., 2015; Fort-Vanmeerhaeghe et al., 2016).

Limited studies have been done on the isokinetic strength profiles of university-level netball players, therefore other similar court-based sports, such as basketball, were included in the literature review. In one of a few studies done on netball players, Malaysian netball players showed that the overall leg strength for the right and left knee extension at 60°/sec (dominance was not mentioned) was 159.46±26.33Nm and 149.96±26.83Nm respectively, whereas the right and left knee flexion at 60°/sec was 89.67±17.28Nm and 89.39±13.88Nm respectively (Soh et al., 2006:42). It is clear from the results (although it was not investigated) that in the knee extension muscles, the bilateral difference was 5.96% between the right and left knee, but less than 1% for the knee flexors. In view of this data, it is therefore imperative to gain more knowledge of isokinetic bilateral strength differences of university-level netball players. In other sports, Thomas et al. (2017), found that male basketball players, showed a statistical significant difference (p<0.05) of 7-13% between the D and ND limb, with the D limb performing better for peak strength values during an isokinetic knee flexion and extension tests at a velocity of 60°/sec.
The torque required during the acceleration phase of a netball shoulder pass is primarily provided by the concentric contraction of the shoulder flexors (Hetherington et al. 2009). No recent studies were available on an isokinetic shoulder extension/flexion test on netball players. Few studies on other intermittent sport codes investigated shoulder internal/external rotation strength measurements (Berg et al., 1985; Chan et al. 1996; Markou & Vagenas, 2006). In the early 1980s, in a study done on university-level female basketball players, Berg et al. (1985) found that the mean peak torque (PT) for the shoulder extensor for the right and left shoulder (dominance was not mentioned) at a testing velocity of 60°/sec was 44.5±4.9Nm and 41.4±.5Nm respectively. For the shoulder flexors, the mean PT between the right and left shoulders was 34.5±4.6Nm and 33.4±5.0Nm respectively, resulting in a bilateral difference of 6.9% for the shoulder extensors and 3% for the flexors. In a study done on volleyball players the researchers found that volleyball players tended to develop bilateral strength differences between the D and ND shoulder during the isokinetic shoulder internal-external rotation test at a velocity of 60°/sec. For internal rotation the D limb had a larger value than the ND side (difference of 7.23%, p<0.004), and for inter nal rotation, although not statistical signficant, the ND limb surpassed the D limb (difference of 4.78%, p<0.143 – statistical significances where tested at the α=0.05 probability level) (Markou & Vagenas, 2006).

Regarding bilateral strength ratios between the agonist and antagonist muscle (AG:AN), several studies reported a ratio percentage of 50-80% to be an acceptable value (Hewett et al., 2008; Kong & Burns, 2010; Cheung et al., 2012). Although no consensus could be found, the general acceptance percentage for AG:AN is 60%, depending on different testing velocities, but there seems to be no difference between gender or choice of sport (Rosene et al., 2001; Coombs & Carbutt, 2002). Chan et al. (1996) state that the shoulder flexion/extension percentage ratios (FLX:EXT) measure between 75% and 85%, but it is noted in a study of Perrin (1993) that overhead sport tends to achieve a percentage ratio of only 50%. For the shoulder external/internal (ER:IR) rotation, the percentage ratio was reported to be between 60% and 80% (Chan et al. 1996). Berg et al. (1985) found female basketball players’ shoulder FLX:EXT percentage ratio to be 77% and 81% for the right and left shoulder respectively at a testing velocity of 60°/sec, with a bilateral difference of 4.9% between the limbs. Regarding the ER:IR, Markou and Vagenas (2006) found that volleyball players showed a percentage ratio of 62.38% and 72.57% for the D and ND shoulder at a testing velocity of 60°/sec, with a significant difference of 15.5% between the limbs. The researchers stated that these bilateral differences in the shoulder were due to the D shoulder developing a systematic superiority in overall muscle strength over years of training (Markou & Vagenas, 2006). In a study done on test subjects participating in sport only on recreational level, Lanshammer and Ribom (2011) found that the hamstring/quadriceps ratio percentage (H:Q) in the D leg was 46±0.08% and in the ND leg 53±0.09% (p>0.001) at a testing
velocity of 90°/sec. The reason for the greater percentage ratio of the ND limb, is a greater quadriceps muscle strength in the D leg and weaker hamstring muscle strength than in the ND leg. In the study of Kong and Burns (2010) on recreationally active male and female participants, they found that the H:Q increased when angular velocity (60°/sec, 180°/sec and 300°/sec) was increased (p<0.001) in the D leg, which resulted in a higher percentage ratio with regard to all angular velocities. Kong and Burns (2010) concluded that the H:Q differences, with a higher ratio on the D leg, can be attributed to the stronger hamstring muscle in the D leg, while the quadriceps muscle was mostly similar for both legs, and explained that this difference is due to the different training background of the participants.

Because of limited research on isokinetic strength and bilateral differences in the upper and lower limbs of netball players, the following research question arises: Do bilateral differences exist in isokinetic strength variables between D and ND limbs for the upper and lower body muscles of university level netball players? Answers to the above-mentioned research question could be of importance to coaches and sport scientists. Since no recent studies on netball players’ shoulder extension/flexion isokinetic testing were available, a gap was found in knowledge of bilateral differences in the upper limbs. The present study will provide a guideline for these professionals regarding scientifically formulated exercise programmes for preventing bilateral differences in netball players.

**PURPOSE OF STUDY**

To determine whether bilateral differences exist in isokinetic strength variables between the D and ND limbs for the upper and lower body of university level netball players.

**METHODOLOGY**

**Research design**

The study followed an experimental test design with convenience sampling.

**Participants and ethical clearance**

Forty-four female university level netball players at a university in the North-West province, with an average age of 20.2±1.4 years, were tested. They had an average stature of 175.7±7.2cm and an average body mass of 72.5±8.8 kg (Table 1). Only players who provided voluntary consent were allowed to participate in this study. The players were tested during the in-season phase of their periodisation cycle. Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health Science at the North-West University (NWU-00359-15-A1).
Procedure

Prior to testing, an information session was presented to the participants to explain the purpose of the study and the procedures involved in the testing. The participants completed a general information questionnaire and an informed consent form prior to the data collection. On the day of testing, the participants’ stature and body mass were taken. Limb dominance was determined by self-declaration (Coren & Porac, 1978). Isokinetic strength testing for the upper and lower limb was done on a schedule that accommodated the participants’ daily routine (before participating in any form of exercise that day).

Measurements

Isokinetic strength measurements

An isokinetic dynamometer; CYBEX Humac Norm 2014, (Cybex Norm™, 1996), was used for determining isokinetic strength. The CYBEX was calibrated prior to the testing sessions. The calibration was done as indicated by the calibration protocol (Cybex Norm™, 1996). Testing consisted of a concentric knee extension/flexion protocol at a velocity of 60⁰/sec (Miller et al., 2004; Dervišević & Hadžić, 2012; Boone & Bourgois, 2013) and a concentric shoulder flexion/extension (supine) test at a velocity of 60⁰/sec (Berg et al., 1985).

Each player completed a lower and upper body warm-up session before the isokinetic strength testing. The warm-up for the lower body consisted of five minutes’ cycling on a stationary ergometer at a low intensity (75 Watts) (Lategan, 2012) and specific dynamic stretches for the lower limbs (Papadopoulos et al., 2005). All participants followed the same order of testing by starting with the lower limbs, with sufficient rest after the first part of the test. The upper body warm-up consisted of two minutes’ sub-maximal intensity rowing on an upper body ergometer (Malerba et al., 1993), shoulder rolls, wall push-ups and specific dynamic stretches for the upper limbs (Cools et al., 2004).

Each netball player was positioned according to the instructions of the specific protocol (Cybex Norm™, 1996) being used for the different limbs that were tested. A familiarisation set was done prior to the formal test of the knee and shoulder and consisted of two (2) submaximal concentric contractions, after which the player rested for 60 seconds (Parcell et al., 2002), followed by the maximal concentric contraction test, which consisted of five (5) repetitions for the knee extension/flexion test (Miller et al., 2004; Dervišević & Hadžić, 2012; Boone & Bourgois, 2013) and shoulder extension/flexion test (Berg et al., 1985) at a velocity of 60⁰/sec.
Statistical analysis

The Statistical Data Processing package (IBM SPSS Statistics Version 25) was used to determine the descriptive statistics (averages, standard deviations, minimum and maximum values) for each of the relevant variables to describe the isokinetic strength values of all players. A dependent t-test for statistical significant (p≤0.05) differences was done for the entire group on the differences for each player for the measured variables (D and ND of the upper and lower limbs) regarding bilateral differences.

Effect size (ES) was calculated for the entire group (d= \frac{M_1-M_2}{s}) and Cohen’s effect size for practical significance as reported by Ellis and Steyn (2003) was used. Guidelines for effect size were: Small effect – d=0.2, medium effect – d=0.5 and large effect – d=0.8. The 90% Confident Interval (CI) and was qualitatively interpreted using the following thresholds: <0.19, trivial; 0.2 to 0.59, small; 0.6 to 0.1.19, medium; 1.2 to 1.99, large; 2.0 to 4.0, very large and; > 4, vast difference (Hopkins, 2009) to determine the likelihood that that the true value of the effect represents substantially beneficial or detrimental change. The smallest practically meaningful effect was considered 0.2 either positive or negative – with values implicating either the D (positive) or the ND (negative). Effects with CI’s overlapping small positive or small negative effects were defined as unclear. Effect sizes that could be beneficial/ detrimental were either positively or negatively medium to nearly perfect with either the upper or lower limit of the 90% CI not exceeding a trivial ES (<0.19) on either side

RESULTS

Descriptive statistics for the variables used in this study are presented in Table 1.

The entire group of university level netball players showed a mean PT of 164.95±28.36Nm and 106.93±23.9Nm for knee extensor and flexor strength respectively with regards to the D limb, and 163.91±26.74Nm and 109.32±18.05Nm respectively for the ND limb. Where results were normalised for total body weight (TBW), the group showed a mean TBW of 2.28±0.38Nm/kg for knee extensor strength and 1.52±0.27Nm/kg for knee flexor strength of the D limb. The ND limb revealed a mean TBW in knee extensor strength of 2.27±0.39Nm/kg and 1.51±0.24Nm/kg for knee flexor strength.

The AG:AN percentage ratio for the H:Q revealed an average percentage ratio of 66.75±8.36% for the D limb and 67.68±12.68% for the ND limb. The knee flexor PT and the H:Q ratio showed higher values for the ND side, whereas the knee extensor PT, normalised for body weight extensor PT and normalised for body weight flexor PT values, was higher for the D side. The bilateral difference of the knee extensors showed an average of 9.39±7.63% deficit, where the D
knee had higher mean PT values. The knee flexors resulted in 9.73±6.24% deficit, with the ND knee having higher mean PT values.

Regarding the upper limbs, the shoulder extension/flexion isokinetic test results revealed that the shoulder extensor and flexor mean PT resulted in 63±8.38Nm and 44.45±7.35Nm respectively for the D limb and 59.27±7.67Nm and 42.32±6.12Nm for the ND limb. Normalised for total body weight the group showed a mean PT for the extensors and flexors of 0.87±0.11Nm/kg and 0.62±0.10Nm/kg for the D limb respectively. The ND limb showed a PT value of 0.82±0.11Nm/kg for the extensors and 0.59±0.09Nm/kg for the flexors respectively.

The AG:AN percentage ratio regarding the shoulder FLX:EXT revealed an average of 71.23±11.10% for the D limb and 72.32±11.21% for the ND limb. The shoulder extension mean PT, normalised for body weight extension PT, shoulder flexion mean PT and normalised for body weight flexion PT values, resulted in higher values for the D side. The shoulder FLX:EXT percentage ratio had higher values for the ND side. For the shoulder extension/flexion test, the bilateral differences for the extensor and flexors showed an average percentage of 8.68±6.55% deficit and 9.18±7.04% deficit respectively where the D shoulder had the greater mean PT values on both sides.
### Table 1: Descriptive statistics of isokinetic variables for the dominant and non-dominant limbs of university level netball players.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (N=44)</th>
<th>Dominant Mean</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Non-dominant Mean</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>20.02</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>20.02</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stature (cm)</td>
<td>175.68</td>
<td>148.00</td>
<td>191.00</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>175.68</td>
<td>148.00</td>
<td>191.00</td>
<td>7.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass (kg)</td>
<td>72.50</td>
<td>58.90</td>
<td>92.95</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>72.50</td>
<td>58.90</td>
<td>92.95</td>
<td>8.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Knee Extension/Flexion Isokinetic Variables:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Dominant</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Non-dominant</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extensor PT Value (Nm)</td>
<td>164.95</td>
<td>107.00</td>
<td>226.00</td>
<td>28.36</td>
<td>163.91</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>222.00</td>
<td>26.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensor torque to body weight (TBW) (Nm/kg)</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexors PT Value (Nm)</td>
<td>106.93</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>156.00</td>
<td>23.90</td>
<td>109.32</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>164.00</td>
<td>18.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexors TBW (Nm/kg)</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H:Q ratio (%)</td>
<td>66.75</td>
<td>47.00</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>67.68</td>
<td>49.00</td>
<td>119.00</td>
<td>12.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensors deficit (%)</td>
<td>9.39</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>6.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Shoulder Extension/Flexion Isokinetic Variables:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Dominant</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Non-dominant</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extensor PT Value (Nm)</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>41.00</td>
<td>83.00</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>59.27</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>7.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensor TBW (Nm/kg)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexors PT Value (Nm)</td>
<td>44.45</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>61.00</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>42.32</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td>6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexors TBW (Nm/kg)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder FLX:EXT ratio (%)</td>
<td>71.23</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>92.00</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>72.32</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>103.00</td>
<td>11.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensors deficit (%)</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>9.18</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>7.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Min: Minimum; Max: Maximum; Std Dev: Standard Deviation;

Table 2 represents the statistical and practical significant bilateral differences for the shoulder and knee extension/flexion tests at a testing velocity of 60°/sec. In Table 2, none of the isokinetic knee extension/flexion measurements showed a statistical or practical significant differences between the D and ND sides (p>0.48; d<0.1). The shoulder measurements (shoulder flexion/extension) showed a statistical (p<0.02) and practical (d>0.28) significant difference between the D and ND sides; the shoulder extensor variable showed a stronger statistical and practical significant difference (p=0.00; d=0.44) than the shoulder flexors (p=0.01; d=0.29). The shoulder FLX:EXT percentage ratio showed no statistical or practical significant difference between the D and ND side (p=0.55; d=0.10).
The high-low bar plot results of Figure 1 depict the mean differences between the D and ND sides size and the 90% CI for effect size upper and lower limits of each of the variables that did not indicate trivial to unclear effect size of measurements. From the results obtained, only the shoulder extensor PT (MD 3.73; 2.07 and 5.38), shoulder extensor normalised for total body weight (MD 4.91; 2.71 and 7.10), shoulder flexor PT (MD 2.14; 0.86 and 3.41) and shoulder flexor normalised for total body weight (MD 2.75; 0.91 and 4.59) medium to vast difference worthwhile effect size in favour of the D side. All other obtained results are considered unclear due to CI upper and lower limit exceeding the smallest positive and smallest negative effect of 0.2.
### DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to determine whether bilateral differences in isokinetic strength variables occur between the D and ND limbs of the upper and lower body. No statistical and practical significant differences were found in the knee extension/flexion isokinetic strength test, which is in correspondence with Kobayashi et al. (2013), who tested healthy male subjects. In their study the researchers found no statistical differences between the D and ND lower body regarding the knee extensors and flexors (p=0.25 and p=0.39 respectively), with only a small practical significant difference (d=0.22 and d=0.26 respectively) at a testing velocity 60°/sec. In our study the bilateral differences were less than 10% between the D and ND lower body, indicating that the netball players in this study had well muscle balance that was in line with the recommendations given in the literature (Knapik et al., 1991; Hewit et al., 2012; Xaverova et al., 2015; Fort-Vanmeerhaeghe et al., 2016).

---

**Table 2: Statistical and practical significant difference between dominant and non-dominant isokinetic variables of university level netball players.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (N=44)</th>
<th>T-test for Dependent Samples</th>
<th>Mean Diff.</th>
<th>90% Confidence interval of the difference</th>
<th>Statistical sign diff (p)</th>
<th>Practical sign diff Effect size (d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Extensor PT Value (Nm)</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>-4.37</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Extensor TBW (Nm/kg)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>-7.08</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Flexors PT Value (Nm)</td>
<td>-2.39</td>
<td>-8.00</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Flexors TBW (Nm/kg)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-4.70</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H:Q ratio (%)</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
<td>-3.93</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder Extensor PT Value (Nm)</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
<td>0.44**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder Extensor TBW (Nm/kg)</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
<td>0.45**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder Flexors PT Value (Nm)</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.29*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder Flexors TBW (Nm/kg)</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
<td>0.28*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder FLX:EXT ratio (%)</td>
<td>-1.09</td>
<td>-4.12</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Small Practical Significance (d<0.3)
** Medium Practical Significance (d < 0.5)
+ Statistical Significance level (p≤0.05)

**Diff** = Difference  
**Sign** = Significant
The study of Kobayashi et al. (2013) contradict other studies (Phillips et al., 2000; Kong & Burns, 2010; Lanshammer & Riborn, 2011; Radio et al., 2013) with regards to the knee extension/flexion isokinetic strength test. Statistical significant differences (p<0.001) were found between the D and ND limbs in the knee extension/flexion isokinetic test of female Swedish test subjects (Lanshammer & Riborn, 2011), male and female recreationally active athletes (Kong & Burns, 2010), random selected test subjects of different age groups and gender (Phillips et al., 2000), and in cadet basketball players (Radio et al., 2013). The H:Q percentage ratio of the netball players was 66.75±8.36% for the D knee and 67.68±12.68% for the ND knee, which falls within the acceptable range between 50-80% at a testing velocity of 60°/sec (Hewett et al., 2008; Kong & Burns, 2010; Cheung et al., 2012). We attribute these findings to the specific strength training programmes and court training in which netball players take part, which involve unilateral as well as bilateral movements, or to the various passing and landing movements during netball training or a match. This further showed that the netball players from our study were in a well-balanced condition with regard to their lower limb bilateral differences and AG:AN muscular balance.

Even though the netball players showed statistical and practical significant isokinetic strength bilateral differences between the D and ND sides for the shoulder flexion/extension test, the D shoulder had greater values than the ND shoulder. The reason for this could be due to the asymmetric nature of shoulder movements in overhead throwing sport codes (Hadzi et al., 2014) where this relates to studies done on the isokinetic shoulder internal/external rotation test. Although limited studies was found on isokinetic strength for the shoulder flexion/extension movement, studies were included in the literature review that investigated isokinetic strength for the shoulder internal/external rotation movement. In a study on elite female handball players, researchers found that the players showed statistical significant differences between the D and ND shoulder for internal/external rotation (p<0.05) (Andrade et al., 2010). These findings correspond with the results of Markou and Vagenas (2006), who found statistical significant differences (p=0.001) between the D and ND sides for the shoulder internal-external rotation strength test in elite male volleyball players. Both of the above-mentioned studies showed that the D shoulder had higher values than the ND shoulder (Markou & Vagenas, 2006; Andrade et al., 2010). Regarding shoulder FLX:EXT ratio, these netball players showed a ratio of 71.23±11.10% for the D shoulder and 72.32±11.21% for the ND shoulder; these finding are similar to the shoulder FLX:EXT ratio of Berg et al. (1985), who found 81% and 77% for the left and right shoulders respectively (dominance was not recorded) at a testing velocity of 60°/sec. No recent studies investigated isokinetic strength for the shoulder flexion/extension movement were found for this literature review.

These bilateral differences observed for both the upper and lower body for our study’s participants were less than the 10-15% recommended by various researchers (Knapik et al., 1991; Hewit et
al., 2012; Fort-Vanmeerhaeghe et al., 2016;) and may be due to the unilateral movements in various sporting codes (Cheung et al., 2012; Botton et al., 2013). The reason for the bilateral differences being larger in the upper body than in the lower body may be because the upper body is more exposed to unilateral movement in netball as well as upper body dominance and daily activity demands (Cheung et al., 2012; Botton et al., 2013).

These findings support the notion that netball players tend to develop bilateral differences in the upper body according to the isokinetic shoulder extension/flexion strength test in response to the unilateral throwing demands of the game. The small amount of bilateral difference in the lower body may be due to well-designed training programmes that involve unilateral and bilateral movements, as well as the daily living activities of these netball players.

**PRACTICAL APPLICATION**

By investigating and monitoring the bilateral differences between the D and ND sides of university level netball players, alterations to strength training programs can be made to limit bilateral differences that will have a positive effect on performance as well as preventing possible injuries due to large bilateral differences. In the development of female university level netball players in South Africa, it is important to make this type of monitoring a high priority.

**CONCLUSION**

The aim of this study was to investigate the presence of upper and lower body isokinetic strength bilateral differences among university level netball players. In conclusion, the knee extension/flexion test showed no statistical and practical significant difference, but in contrast, the isokinetic variables for the shoulder extension/flexion test showed a statistical and practical significant differences between the D and ND sides, where the D side had the higher values. These results are worthwhile due to the CI at 90%. These findings may be the result of the greater unilateral demands of the upper body and the D arm being the preferred side during passing movements in netball.

Thus we conclude that university level netball players showed no bilateral difference between the D and ND side for the lower body, but these netball players showed significant bilateral differences in the upper body.

**LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

No control group was used in this study. It is therefore not possible to confirm that these bilateral differences in development are due to the actions of netball exclusively. These bilateral differences could be attributed to normal daily activities, where the D side is more likely to be used.
than the ND side, especially in the upper body. Due to limited research studies using the isokinetic shoulder extension/flexion test, we will include the shoulder internal-external rotation test in future studies. It is recommended that more isokinetic strength tests over different joints, such as the wrist, ankle and hip, at different testing velocities, should be included in the research design so that more information can be obtained about the bilateral difference development in netball players, as well as other sport codes. Future studies will benefit from including these recommendations in planning so that better clarity can be achieved on bilateral differences in sport codes.
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5.1 INTRODUCTION

In this final chapter a brief summary of the study is given per chapter and the conclusion of the study is stated. This chapter also mentions limitations, makes recommendations based on this study and provides information for future studies.

5.2 SUMMARY

The purpose of this study was firstly to determine if there exist significant bilateral differences between anthropometric measurements of the dominant (D) and non-dominant (ND) limbs. Secondly, to determine whether significant bilateral differences occurred in isokinetic strength variables between D and ND limbs. All these measurements were done on the upper and lower limbs of university level netball players.

Chapter 1 provided a brief summary of the problem underlying the research question, objectives and hypothesis of this study and included the structure of this dissertation.

Chapter 2 consisted of a literature review titled: “Bilateral differences in anthropometric measurements and isokinetic strength variables of netball players and various other sport codes”. The purpose of the literature review was firstly to describe the bilateral differences found in anthropometric measures of netball and other sport codes pertaining to unilateral movements, since research on bilateral differences in university level netball players is limited, and secondly to investigate bilateral differences in isokinetic strength variables such as peak torque (PT), percentage deficits and agonist/antagonist percentage ratio (AG:AN) of netball and other sport codes that rely mainly on unilateral movements.

Most activities in daily living and sport actions, which include throwing actions, are seen as unilateral movement. Executing a movement from a unilateral base-support refers to a weight-bearing movement supported by one limb, whereas bilateral movements are performed with weight evenly distributed between both limbs. From the literature review in Chapter 2, it is clear that netball players favour unilateral movement patterns such as throwing, passing, catching, jumping and landing. Unilateral movement may lead to the possibility of bilateral differences in anthropometric and strength variables in the upper and lower body between the D and ND limbs of netball players.
Asymmetry is defined as lack of equality or equivalence between parts/segments or lack of symmetry, and refers to the difference between the left and right sides of the body. The literature review reveals research into bilateral differences as an investigation to determine whether a difference exists between bilateral limbs; asymmetry also indicates that the difference between the limbs is remarkable.

Anthropometric profiles are important when considering potential for success in the performance of sport and provide coaches and sport professionals with the required information about the current state of the athlete’s body composition (during the different phases of training). Previous anthropometric studies on netball players focused on the percentage body fat and the somatotype, but limited research could be found on bilateral differences that led to asymmetry in anthropometric measurement of netball players. From research in different sporting codes that predominantly use unilateral movements, it is evident that an adaptation to certain anthropometrical measurements does occur. Research studies have found a positive correlation between upper limb dominance and the hypertrophy of the distal humerus of inactive female subjects.

The repeated use of the D limb in unilateral sporting codes has a major influence on asymmetrical development and therefore the assessment of bilateral differences between limbs is of great importance. The performance of netball players is influenced by muscular strength, which is therefore an important characteristic of the general physical condition. Peak torque is the most common variable representing the strength of a muscle group during a movement and can be standardised to body weight. When bilateral muscle strength measurements are taken, it is recommended that the strength of muscle groups compared should not differ greatly. Bilateral strength differences may have an undesirable effect on performance and differences higher than 10-15% in muscle strength between the D and ND limbs need immediate attention. Not only do bilateral differences exist in muscle strength values, but also between the percentage ratios of the agonist and antagonist muscle groups at the joint of the D and ND limbs. Agonist and antagonist muscle ratios of athletes participating in different sport codes at similar competing levels may depend on the specific demands of the sport. Several studies have reported AG:AN values ranging from 50% to 80%, but no consensus could be found, and the general acceptance for AG:AN is set at 60%. Throughout the literature review chapter, there are indications of different isokinetic strength asymmetries for active and inactive subjects, as well as for athletes in different sport codes. It is also known that there are contradictions in the literature and limited research has been done on netball.

The bilateral difference is an occurrence that deserves attention because it demonstrates that the type of movement used (bilateral or unilateral) can have an effect on bilateral isokinetic muscle
strength. Unilateral movements are regularly used in sport and in conditioning programmes in which exercises are performed. This should be taken into consideration when planning a training programme, not only as a form of variation, but also as a way to increase training adaptation, especially for athletes who focus on unilateral movements. To achieve a more specific training approach, better understanding of bilateral differences and their interaction with sport performance is required.

The first article (Chapter 3), which was titled: “Upper and lower body bilateral differences in anthropometric measurements of university level netball players”, was compiled according to the guidelines of The South African Journal for Research in Sport, Physical Education and Recreation. The purpose of the study was to determine the presence of upper as well as lower body bilateral differences in anthropometric measurements among university level netball players. Forty-four female players of a university in the North West province, with an average age of 20.2±1.4 years, stature of 175.7±7.2cm and body mass of 72.5±8.8kg, were tested. The results showed that the measurement of the D biceps skinfold, confirmed with the 90% Confident Interval (CI), had the largest bilateral difference and supported the statistical and practical significant difference. Limited studies found bilateral differences in anthropometrics, supporting the occurrence of bilateral development in other sport codes. These findings shows that netball players tend not to develop bilateral differences in body composition, owing to the demands of the sport and their unilateral movements. Thus we conclude that university level netball players show some marginally significant difference between the D and ND side.

Chapter 4 contains the second article, titled: “Bilateral differences in upper and lower body isokinetic strength of university level netball players”, which was compiled according to the guidelines of The South African Journal for Research in Sport, Physical Education and Recreation. The aim of this article was to determine the presence of upper as well as lower body bilateral strength differences in isokinetic strength among university level netball players. Forty-four female players of a university in the North West province, with an average age of 20.2±1.4 years, stature of 175.7±7.2cm and body mass of 72.5±8.8kg, were tested. The results showed that there were no statistical or practical significant differences between the D and ND lower limbs in the isokinetic knee strength test. In contrast to these findings, in the upper body, shoulder measurements showed a statistical and practical significant difference between the D and ND sides, with the D shoulder being the stronger upper limb. The shoulder extensor mean PT showed a stronger statistical and practical significant difference than the shoulder flexors, even though the shoulder flexion/extension percentage ratio showed no statistical or practical significant differences between the D and ND sides, confirmed with the 90% CI. It is seen that university level netball players show a more significant difference between the D and ND sides in the upper body than in the lower body. These findings are an indication that netball players tend to develop
bilateral differences between D and ND limbs in the upper body owing to the demands of the sport and their unilateral movements, but exhibit less bilateral difference in the lower body.

5.3 CONCLUSION

The conclusions drawn from the research results are presented in accordance with the set hypotheses found in Chapter 1.

HYPOTHESIS 1: “Statistical significant differences between the D and ND limbs (upper and lower body) will occur, with the D limb presenting smaller anthropometric values for skinfold measurements, and larger girths and bone breadths measurements for university level netball players.” Statistical and practical significance difference was found for the biceps skinfold \((p=0.000, d=0.46)\). This hypothesis is partially accepted, as small significant (statistical and practical) bilateral differences were found only for the biceps skinfold.

HYPOTHESIS 2: “Statistical significant differences between the D and ND limbs (upper and lower body) will occur and the D limbs will present greater PT values and better agonist/antagonist muscle ratio percentage for university level netball players.” No statistical or practical significant differences between the D and ND isokinetic knee strength \((p>0.48; d<0.1)\) where found. In contrast, shoulder measurements showed a statistical \((p<0.02)\) and practical \((d>0.28)\) significant difference between the D and ND side. The shoulder extensor variable showed a stronger statistical and practical significant difference \((p=0.00; d=0.44)\) than the shoulder flexors \((p=0.01; d=0.29)\), even though the shoulder flexion-extension ratio showed no statistical or practical significant difference between the D and ND side \((p=0.55; d=0.10)\). This hypothesis is partially accepted, as significant (statistical and practical) bilateral differences were found for the upper body where the D limbs present greater PT.

In conclusion, these findings support the hypothesis that netball players tend to develop bilateral differences between D and ND limbs. Regarding the anthropometric measurements, only the biceps skinfold showed statistical significant difference between the D and ND side where the D upper limb showed lower values. For the isokinetic strength, only the upper body showed statistical and practical significant difference, where the D surpassed the ND upper limb’s variables. These findings are due to the demands of the netball and its unilateral movements such as repeated throwing action of the D side as well as the netball players’ preference to land on ND lower limb when receiving the ball during netball games. Thus, we conclude that university level netball players showed significant differences between the D and ND side in the upper body.
Chapter 5

5.4 LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study provides information on the anthropometrical and isokinetic strength profiles, as well as evidence of bilateral difference in development of university level netball players. The study provides significant insight into the field of study for university level netball players with regard to bilateral differences. However, it has certain shortcomings that need to be addressed and taken into consideration when interpreting the results:

Firstly, no control group was used in the study; it is therefore impossible to confirm that the anthropometric and isokinetic asymmetric development or bilateral differences are the result of the actions of participation in netball or whether daily habits and other recreational activities contributed to this. These bilateral differences could be attributed to normal daily activities where the D side is more likely to be used than the ND side. The sample size could also have been larger for more validity in the study, which can therefore not be generalised to the whole of the country or the world. It is recommended that different university sites be included in future studies for better demographic representation of South Africa.

Secondly, only two joints were tested for isokinetic strength to determine bilateral differences. It is recommended that more isokinetic strength tests over different joints, such as the wrist, ankle and hip, could be included in the research design so that more information can be obtained about the bilateral difference development in netball players. Functional ratios of eccentric antagonist to concentric agonist muscular strength can add value to the functional demand of explosive power sport and should be included in future studies.

Thirdly, different playing levels and positions have different training programmes and schedules. Investigating the effect of different playing levels and/or positions may give a good indication of bilateral development of the D versus the ND limbs.

Fourthly, the rotator cuff muscle, which is primarily involved in the throwing mechanism (although the netball throwing mechanism differs from other throwing) may also give a good indication of bilateral development of the D versus the ND shoulder.

Lastly, it is recommended to correlate the player injury data and history with bilateral differences due to all the measurement ranges being within the 10-15% difference norm. We will then not specifically condition unilateral differences but if these values (even in range) causes injuries, then this will help give a better understanding to coaches and conditioning experts on how to address this in training.

Future studies can take these recommendations into account.
5.5 FUTURE RESEARCH

The findings of this study contributed to the field of study by providing evident report that netball players of the North West University showed upper body bilateral differences. The bilateral difference status of university level netball players was not known and this study provided vital information regarding bilateral differences in anthropometric measures as well as isokinetic strength values of these players.

The testing population and combination of anthropometric data with isokinetic data in university level netball players make this a unique study in South Africa. Further research on a larger sample size and different levels of competition can add value to investigate the presence of bilateral differences or the appearance of asymmetry in netball players. Understanding the demands of netball and the ways in which netball players adapt, can help sport scientists and coaches adjust training so that netball players will not develop large bilateral differences between D and ND limbs. Large bilateral differences may result in long-term pathologies later in life or impede performance. Future research can also compare functional testing versus isokinetic testing to see whether a correlation exists in these parameters.
Methodology

1. Literature review

The following search engines and databases was used to conduct the research:

- EBSCOHost
- Google Scholar
- Science Direct
- Sport Discus
- SabiNet

These electronic search engines and databases were used to search using the following keywords: Asymmetry in sport, bilateral differences, anthropometry, isokinetic strength and netball.

1.2. Empirical investigation

1.2.1 Study design

The study followed an experimental test design with convenience sampling.

1.2.2. Participants

A group of 44 female university level netball players at a university in the North West province was participating in the study (age between 18 and 26). Only players who provide voluntary consent was allowed to participate in this study. Approval from the university’s department of sport, coaches and managers influenced the players’ participation. The players was tested during the in-season phase of their periodization cycle.

The following inclusion criteria was applied for study participation:

- Participants must be female;
- Participants must be between the ages of 18 and 26 years;
- Participants must be involved in netball at university level;
- Participants must participate in formal games at university level;
- Participants must train at least three times a week;
- Participants must be free of any injuries at the time of testing; and must be injury free for 3 months; and
- Only participants who provided voluntary consent was allowed to participate in this study.

Furthermore, players who adhered to the following guidelines was allowed to participate in this
study:
- Participants who did not eat prior to the anthropometric testing sessions (Maughan & Sherriffs, 2004:921);
- Participant who did not drink alcohol or coffee 12 hours prior to the testing sessions (Wilmore et al., 2008:360;363); and
- Participant who did not train hard before testing session (Stewart et al., 2011:51).

The following exclusion criteria was applied for study participation (Cybex Norm™, 1996:15):
- All participants not adhering to the above-mentioned criteria will be excluded from the study, as well as
- Participants who had any injuries (neck and upper thoracic problems);
- Participants who had any cardiovascular and or respiratory problems;
- Participants who were pregnant;
- Participants who had recent surgery (particularly eye surgery); and
- Participants who had recent illness such as cold or flu which may cause general weakness.

Risk for participants:
Participants might have experienced physical discomfort and exhaustion during the physical (isokinetic) assessments as it was required of them to perform the physical tests with maximal effort. Participants performed these tests before and therefore know what to expect. Participants had receive sufficient rest between trials and different assessments to ensure effective recovery after every maximal effort and thus give participants the opportunity to perform to their maximal effort each time. All monitor and safety measurements were in place.

1.2.3. Procedure
A week prior to testing, an information session was held for the participants explaining the purpose of the study and the procedures involved in the testing that was done. Before the start of experimental period the group was approached by an independent person whom explained the research study to the players in full. The independent person took responsibly of the informed consent form for the reason that the researcher had no influence on the decision of the participant. The group was approached at their training courts before a training session where the coach was present. During this time the informed consent forms was handed out. The players did not have to sign the forms at once and are allowed to take the forms home and asses all possible implications. The forms were only required one week after they have received them before the experimental period. The independent person reminded the players that participation is entirely voluntary. The consent NWU Ethics Committee: Ethics Application Form 9 form thoroughly explains the process of the research study and what will be expected from the participant as well
as the risks involved. The participants will had the opportunity to ask questions during the debriefing session and contact the researcher if any further questions arised. Sufficient time (one week) was allowed to read through and sign the consent in their own privacy if they agree to participate in the study. After a week, the forms was collected by the independent person at the same training courts before a training session where the coach was present. The informed consent form asked if the data collected may be used for research purposes. The consent form was valid for the duration of the experimental period. The participants was under no obligation to participate in the research study and was allowed to withdraw at any time during the tests.

Player’s acceptance to join the study as participant was not announced. In no manner were players in direct contact with one another in the research environment. Time slots provided the researcher with enough time to ensure that players were not in the testing environment at the same time.

The informed consent was in English and although this is not the first language of the participants they should be proficient in English as they are all registered students at the university. English was also the language of communication. The use of English has been motivated by assessing the target group and all participants are currently students at a university in the North West province and are educated in both Afrikaans and English.

It was is not anticipated that the involved coach were present during the research study. If the coach wanted to be present during data collection, it was anticipated that her presence would encourage good performance from the participating player.

On the first day of testing, the participants underwent measurements to determine a full anthropometrical profile. After the anthropometric testing, limb dominance was performed by self-declaration. Later the same day isokinetic strength testing for the upper and lower limb was done on a schedule that accommodates the participants’ daily routine (before participating in any form of exercise that day). The project was running for a time frame of two weeks to accommodate all the players where players must do the anthropometry (early morning) and the isokinetic (after breakfast for the remain of the day in time slots).

1.2.4. Measuring instruments and equipment
1.2.4.1. General information questionnaire

The netball players’ personal information was collected by means of the above-mentioned questionnaire (Annexure B). The netball players’ ages, dominant side (upper and lower body), training habits, injury history, competing levels and best performance was be obtained by means of this questionnaire.
1.2.4.2. Anthropometric measurements

The anthropometric measurements were executed early in the morning, before breakfast and training and approximately took 25 minutes. All anthropometric measurements were taken according to the International Society of Advancement of Kinanthropometry (ISAK) protocol (Stewart et al., 2011:50) by a level II ISAK accredited anthropometrist. The female field worker was present during the measurement to protect the researcher. Stature, body mass, eight skinfolds, six girths, five segments lengths and four bone breadths was measured on the dominant and non-dominant sides of each player. Table 1 consists of the anthropometric measurements. All players were measured in a private room. Two sets of measurements on both sides was taken for each bilateral variable, of which the mean value will be used for further analysis.

Players did wear minimal clothing (short and a crop top) to retrieve accurate data, and for this reason the anthropometrist was of the same gender. The measurements and equipment that was used are also listed in Table 1. Something to eat was provided after these measurements.
## Table 1: Anthropometric measurements and equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic Measurements</th>
<th>Skinfolds (Harpenden skinfold caliper - mm)</th>
<th>Girths (Anthropometric tape - cm)</th>
<th>Segments lengths (Segmometer - cm)</th>
<th>Bone Breadths (Bone caliper - cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass (scale - kg)</td>
<td>Biceps skinfold</td>
<td>Relaxed upper arm girth</td>
<td>Acromial-radial length</td>
<td>Bi-epicodylar humerus breadth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stature (stadiometer - m)</td>
<td>Triceps skinfold</td>
<td>Flexed upper arm girth</td>
<td>Radial-styloin length</td>
<td>Bi-styloid breadth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subscapular skinfold</td>
<td>Forearm girth</td>
<td>Midstylion-dactylion length</td>
<td>Bi-epicondylar femur breadth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iliac crest skinfold</td>
<td>Wrist girth</td>
<td>Trochanterion-tibial lateral length</td>
<td>Bi-malleolus breadth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supraspinal skinfold</td>
<td>Mid-thigh girth</td>
<td>Tibial lateral height</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abdominal skinfold</td>
<td>Calf girth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thigh skinfold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medial calf skinfold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.2.4.3. Limb Dominance Testing

Limb dominance was determined to compare the D side with the ND side to investigate the bilateral differences. Limb dominance was determined by self-declaration for upper and lower limb. Coren and Porac (1978:209) reported that self-declaration was found to have a 97.7% agreement with task performance (kicking a ball) and a 96% test-retest agreement. Blackburn and Knüsel (2006:378) stated that previous studies have linked self-professed (stronger hand when performing certain task such as throwing a ball) for the upper limbs with the hypertrophy of the distal humerus.
1.2.4.4. Isokinetic Strength Tests

An isokinetic dynamometer (Cybex Norm™, 1996) was used for testing isokinetic strength. The software that was used is the Humac 2014 and the Cybex was calibrated prior to the testing sessions. The calibration was done as indicated by the calibration protocol (Cybex Norm™, 1996).

The testing consisted of knee flexion and extension protocol at a speed of 60°/sec for concentric movement (Boone & Bourgois, 2013:632; Dervišević & Hadžić, 2012:294; Miller et al., 2004:230) and a shoulder flexion and extension (supine) protocol at a speed of 60°/sec for concentric movement (Berg et al., 1985:59).

Isokinetic testing was done individually on time slots of 35 minutes that suited the player the best. Only the research team and the player was present at the testing opportunity. Before the isokinetic strength testing, each player performed a warm-up session. All participants followed the same order of testing by starting with the lower limbs. The warm-up for the lower limbs consisted of five minutes cycling on a stationary ergometer of low intensity (75 Watts) (Lategan, 2012:72), and specific dynamic stretches (Papadopoulos et al., 2005:287). All participants followed the same order of testing by starting with the lower limbs, with sufficient rest after the first part of the test. The upper body warm-up consisted of two minutes sub-maximal intensity rowing on an upper body ergometer (Malerba et al., 1993:545), shoulders rolls, wall push-ups and specific dynamic stretches for the upper limbs (Cools et al., 2004:65).

Each netball player was be positioned according to the directions of the specific protocol (Cybex Norm™, 1996) being used for the different joints that was tested. A familiarization set was done prior to the formal test of the knee and shoulder and will consist of two (2) submaximal concentric contractions, after which the player rested for 60 seconds (Parcell et al., 2002:1021), followed by the maximal concentric contraction test, which consists of five (5) repetitions for the knee flexion - extension (Boone & Bourgois, 2013:632; Dervišević & Hadžić, 2012:294; Miller et al., 2004:230) and shoulder flexion - extension (Berg et al., 1985:59) at the velocity of 60°/sec.

1.3. Ethical considerations

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health Science at the North-West University (Annexure F - NWU-00359-15-A1). In order to gather data from the netball players at the North-West University, a project letter was sent to the head of NWU- Netball explaining the purpose of the study and asking for permission (Annexure - D). Informed consent (Annexure C) and general information (Annexure B) forms was given to the netball players to explain the purpose of the study so that players could give consent to take part in the study. In addition, the players had to complete the informed consent form as an indication that they were willing to voluntarily participate in the study and that they understand that they had the right to withdraw from the study at any time. Players did not have to give a
reason for refusing to take part, and withdrawal would not have any negative consequences for them whatsoever. The netball players’ information and results will be kept private. Data for the research project will be kept safe and secure by locking hard copies (anthropometric measurements) in locked cupboards in the researcher’s office and the electronic data (isokinetic strength variables) will be password protected. Only the research team will have access to the data. Hard copies will be stored for a minimum of 7 years where after it will be destroyed by shredding the hard copies and formatting the electronic copy. This data will not leave the country.

The benefits for participants, coaches and the sport scientist was outlined, and the coach and sport scientist was given feedback on the findings in the form of a group report. The participants was provided with an individual report regarding their performance scores on all assessments. Each participant was asked consent to share their results with their coach – the coach received all individual reports as well as a group summary report compiled of participants that have given consent to see their results. In line with the recommendations of Ethical Approaches, participants was treated fairly and was not be forced to participate in the study. The data gathered was analysed in aggregate and responses was not ascribed to any respondent. No remuneration was given to the netball players for their participation in the study and the benefits from the study outweighed the risks.

1.4. Statistical analysis

The Statistical Consultation Service of the North-West University determined the statistical methods and procedures for the analyses of the research data. The Statistical Data Processing package (Statsoft Inc., 2013) and IBM SPSS Statistics (version 21.0.0.0), which was available on the North-West University network, was used to process the data.

Firstly, descriptive statistics (averages, standard deviations, minimum and maximum values) for each of the relevant variables was calculated to determine the anthropometric profiles of the players. A dependent t-test for statistical significance (p≤0.05) was done for the total group for the different variables (D and ND of the upper and lower limbs) regarding the bilateral differences. Effect size was calculated for the total group \( d = \frac{M_1 - M_2}{s} \) and Cohen’s effect size for practical significance as reported by Ellis and Steyn (2003:54) was used. See guidelines for effect size in table 2. The technical error of measurement (TEM) will be calculated making use of the formula of Pederson and Gore (1996:85) (Perini et al., 2005:87). The 90% CI and was qualitatively interpreted using the following thresholds: <0.19, trivial; 0.2 to 0.59, small; 0.6 to 0.1.19, medium; 1.2 to 1.99, large; 2.0 to 4.0, very large and; > 4, nearly perfect (Hopkins, 2009) to determine the likelihood that that the true value of the effect represents substantially beneficial or detrimental change. The smallest practically meaningful effect was considered 0.2 either positive or negative – with values implicating either the D (positive) or the ND (negative). Effects with CIs overlapping
small positive or small negative effects were defined as unclear. Effect sizes that could be beneficial/ detrimental were either positively or negatively medium to nearly perfect with either the upper or lower limit of the 90%CI not exceeding a trivial ES (<0.19) on either side.

**Table 2: Cohen’s guidelines for effect size.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Effect</th>
<th>Small effect</th>
<th>Medium effect</th>
<th>Large effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Secondly, descriptive statistics (averages, standard deviations, minimum and maximum values) for each of the relevant variables was firstly be calculated to describe the isokinetic strength values of the players. A dependent t-test for statistical significance ($p \leq 0.05$) were then done for the total group on the difference for each player for the different variables (D and ND of the upper and lower limbs) regarding the bilateral differences. Effect size was calculated for the total group ($d = \frac{M_1 - M_2}{s}$) and Cohen’s effect size for practical significance as reported by Ellis and Steyn (2003:54) will be used. The 90% CI and was qualitatively interpreted using the following thresholds: <0.19, trivial; 0.2 to 0.59, small; 0.6 to 0.1.19, medium; 1.2 to 1.99, large; 2.0 to 4.0, very large and; > 4, nearly perfect (Hopkins, 2009) to determine the likelihood that that the true value of the effect represents substantially beneficial or detrimental change. The smallest practically meaningful effect was considered 0.2 either positive or negative – with values implicating either the D (positive) or the ND (negative). Effects with CIs overlapping small positive or small negative effects were defined as unclear. Effect sizes that could be beneficial/ detrimental were either positively or negatively medium to nearly perfect with either the upper or lower limit of the 90%CI not exceeding a trivial ES (<0.19) on either side.
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ANNEXURE B – GENERAL INFORMATION QUESTIONNAIRE

General information questionnaire

Name: __________________________
Surname: __________________________

Contact no: ______________________

Sport information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team currently playing for:</th>
<th>u/19</th>
<th>u/21</th>
<th>Seniors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Playing position in current team:</th>
<th>GS</th>
<th>GA</th>
<th>WA</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>WD</th>
<th>GD</th>
<th>GK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years of participation at university level:</th>
<th>0-3</th>
<th>4-6</th>
<th>7-10</th>
<th>10+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highest level played at school level:</th>
<th>Club</th>
<th>Provincial</th>
<th>National</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highest level played at university level:</th>
<th>Club</th>
<th>Provincial</th>
<th>National</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perceived Dominance: Upper limbs (Throwing hand)</th>
<th>Left</th>
<th>Right</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perceived Dominance: Lower limbs (Kicking foot)</th>
<th>Left</th>
<th>Right</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
**Training information:**

*Please indicate how many sessions a week do you spend on training (1 - 7 days).*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Days</th>
<th>Hours per day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gym sessions per week:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court sessions per week:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other session (specify):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Injury History:**

Had any injuries in the last 6 months?  

- Yes  
- No

If yes, which limb?  

- Shoulder  
- Elbow  
- Back/neck  
- Hip  
- Knee  
- Ankle

Specify injuries and treatment:

Are you injury free?  

- YES  
- NO

You confirm that above mention information is correct.

Signature: ________________________________ Date: ___________________
INFORMED CONSENT FORM

Bilateral differences in anthropometric measurements and isokinetic strength variables of female university level netball players.

CONSENT TO BE A RESEARCH PARTICIPANT

We (Mrs. Kyra Duvenage, Dr Yolandi Willemse, Prof Hans de Ridder and Me Esti Kruger), from the North-West University, are working on a project to determine the asymmetrical isokinetic and anthropometry profile of university level netball players in the North West Province. Therefore we would like to invite you to give consent and participate in our study. Information about the study follows so that you can make an informed decision.

1. PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

The purpose of this study is to determine the bilateral differences of anthropometry measurements and isokinetic strength variables of university level netball players in the North West province – South Africa. You are being asked to participate in this study because of your status as a university level netball player in the North West province and your anthropometric and strength profiles are very valuable to us.

2. PROCEDURE

If you agree to be in this study you will expected to do all of the following:

- Complete a demographic general information questionnaire regarding your participation, training and injury history.
- Participate in anthropometrical measurements (8 skinfold measurements, 6 girth measurements, 5 segments lengths and 4 breath measurements on the dominant and non-dominant side of the body) to determine the bilateral differences in anthropometrical measurements. It is important to be dressed in minimal clothing such as a crop top and ski pants. This session will take about 25 minutes.
• On the same day (in the time slot chosen by you), participate in 2 different maximal isokinetic strength tests (knee concentric flexion–extension and shoulder flexion - extension for both the dominant and non-dominant limb). The machine that will be used is the Cybex Norm. You will be strapped to the chair during the test to isolate the muscles. It is important to wear training clothes and training shoes so that there is no movement restriction.

3. INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION CRITERIA

The following inclusion criteria was applied for study participation:

- Participants must be female;
- Participants must be between the ages of 18 and 26 years;
- Participants must be involved in netball at university level;
- Participants must participate in formal games at university level;
- Participants must train at least three times a week;
- Participants must be free of any injuries at the time of testing; and must be injury free for 3 months; and
- Only participants who provided voluntary consent was allowed to participate in this study.

Furthermore, players who adhered to the following guidelines was allowed to participate in this study:

- Participants who did not eat prior to the anthropometric testing sessions;
- Participant who did not drink alcohol or coffee 12 hours prior to the testing sessions; and
- Participant who did not train hard before testing session.

The following exclusion criteria was applied for study participation:

- All participants not adhering to the above-mentioned criteria will be excluded from the study, as well as
- Participants who had any injuries (neck and upper thoracic problems);
- Participants who had any cardiovascular and or respiratory problems;
- Participants who were pregnant;
- Participants who had recent surgery (particularly eye surgery); and
- Participants who had recent illness such as cold or flu which may cause general weakness.

4. RISKS/DISCOMFORTS

Some discomfort will be felt during these tests, especially when undergoing the anthropometrical testing. However, be assured that these tests will be conducted in a private room and the data
will be recorded by an accredited Kinanthropometrist of the same gender. You are more than
welcome to bring a friend or chaperone to be with you during these tests. Discomfort can also
be felt during the isokinetic strength testing, since the equipment tests the maximum strength
you can produce during the movement. During these strength tests the equipment has stoppage
points to ensure minimal risk of injury, and the strength is equal to the strength the participant
moves against. A first aid (level 2) will be present during these tests.

Your name will never be made known and your data will be handled anonymous and
confidential. No individual identifiers will be used in any publications resulting from this study
and only the team of researchers will work with the information that you share. All sensitive
information will be protected on a password-protected computer.

You have the right to voluntarily stop the testing at any moment when feeling uncomfortable.

5. BENEFITS

Among the direct benefits are that you and the coach will have access to the results by means
of a feedback report, and this would give you a better understanding of your strength.

After this study it will be clear what the anthropometric and isokinetic strength bilateral difference
profile of a university level netball player in the North West province looks like.

6. COSTS

There will be no cost to you as a result of your participation in this study.

7. PAYMENT

You will receive no payment for participation.

8. QUESTIONS

You are welcome to ask any questions to a member of the research team before you decide to
give consent. You are also welcome to contact Mrs. Kyra Duvenage if you have any further
questions concerning your consent at 018 285 2493.

9. FEEDBACK OF FINDINGS

The findings of the research will be shared with you if you are interested. You are welcome to
contact us regarding the findings of the research. We will be sharing the findings with you as
soon as it is available.
INFORMED CONSENT FORM

PARTICIPATION IN THIS RESEARCH IS VOLUNTARY.

You are free to decline to be in this study or to withdraw at any point even after you have signed the form to give consent without facing any consequences.

Should you be willing to participate you are requested to sign below:

I ___________________________________________________________________________ hereby voluntarily consent to participate in the above-mentioned study. I have not been coerced in any way to participate and I understand that I can withdraw at any time should I feel uncomfortable during the study. I also understand that my name will not be disclosed to anybody who is not part of the study and that the information will be kept confidential and not linked to my name at any stage. I also understand the possible benefits of participation and the possible risks and that someone will be available should I need further information.

__________________________________  _________________________________
Date                                      Signature of the participant

__________________________________  _________________________________
Date                                      Signature of the person obtaining consent
Dear Madam/Sir

RE: RESEARCH – A bilateral comparison in the anthropometric measurements and isokinetic strength variables of female university level netball players.

With this letter we request your permission and support to do a research project on university level netball players in the North West province.

Ms. Kyra Duvenage is a Masters-degree student in Sport Science at the Potchefstroom Campus of the North-West University. As part of an envisaged project to investigate this growing sport in our country, the aim is to conduct a research study to determine the asymmetrical profiles of university level netball players.

The recording of data will take place during the 2016 season. The testing will take place between March and May. All the players will undergo two separate tests. The first test will consist of anthropometrical testing for the dominant and non-dominant side (after declaring their dominant side). The second test (after a warm-up session) will be to measure knee and shoulder isokinetic strength on the Cybex at two one speed of 60°/sec (to determine power) for each joint (dominant and dominant limb). Each netball player will receive a time slot that fits into their daily programme. These tests will be done in the laboratory of the Institute for Sport Science and Development at the FNB High Performance Institute in Potchefstroom.

Despite the possible approval for the research project, each player and coach will also have to sign an informed consent form before the research can commence. No player will therefore be forced to participate in the project and the player can withdraw from the project at any time.

The handling of data will be done in accordance with the strict ethical rules related to confidentiality. None of the information or data will be used or be made available to any other person or party except for explicit use in the research project. Individual feedback will be given to the players and the coach/sport scientist of the team will get feedback in a group report.

As there is little to no evidence-based research on the bilateral difference of the isokinetic and anthropometrical profiles of university level netball players, we believe it will be of great value for coaches and sport scientists. The results of this study could help coaches/sport scientists in designing more effective training programmes.
The following inclusion criteria will be applied for study participation:

- Participants must be female;
- Participants must be between the ages of 18 and 26 years;
- Participants must be involved in netball at university level;
- Participants must participate in formal games at university level;
- Participants must train at least three times a week;
- Participants must be free of any injuries at the time of testing; and must be injury free for 3 months;
- Only participants who provide voluntary consent will be allowed to participate in this study.

Furthermore, players who adhere to the following guidelines will be allowed to participate in this study:

- Participants who did not eat prior to the anthropometric testing sessions (Maughan & Sherriffs, 2004:921)
- Participant who did not drink alcohol or coffee 12 hours prior to the testing sessions (Wilmore et al., 2008:360;363);
- Participant who did not train hard before testing session (Stewart et al., 2011:51).

The following exclusion criteria will be applied for study participation (Cybex Norm™, 1996:15):

- All participants not adhering to the above-mentioned criteria will be excluded from the study, as well as
- Participants who have any injuries (neck and upper thoracic problems);
- Participants who have/had any cardiovascular and or respiratory problems;
- Participants who are pregnant;
- Participants who have had recent surgery (particularly eye surgery); and
- Participants who have had recent illness such as cold or flu which may cause general weakness.

Yours sincerely

Dr. Yolandi Willemse
Project Leader

Kyra Duvenage
Masters Student

Please sign below to approve the study:

__________________________________________  ________________________
PUK Netball Manager                                  Date

__________________________________________  ________________________
PUK Netball Coach                                  Date
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