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Centaurus A (Cen A) is the nearest radio galaxy discovered as a very-high-energy (VHE; 100 GeV–100 TeV) γ-ray source by the High Energy Stereoscopic System (H.E.S.S.). It is a faint VHE γ-ray emitter, though its VHE flux exceeds both the extrapolation from early Fermi-LAT observations as well as expectations from a (misaligned) single-zone synchrotron-self Compton (SSC) description. The latter satisfactorily reproduces the emission from Cen A at lower energies up to a few GeV. New observations with H.E.S.S., comparable in exposure time to those previously reported, were performed and eight years of Fermi-LAT data were accumulated to clarify the spectral characteristics of the γ-ray emission from the core of Cen A. The results allow us for the first time to achieve the goal of constructing a representative, contemporaneous γ-ray core spectrum of Cen A over almost five orders of magnitude in energy. Advanced analysis methods, including the template fitting method, allow detection in the VHE range of the core with a statistical significance of 12σ over almost five orders of magnitude in energy. The discovery of Cen A as an emitter of VHE γ rays was reported on the basis of 115 h of observation (labelled data set A in this study) with the High Energy Stereoscopic System (H.E.S.S.) performed from April 2004 to July 2008 (Aharonian et al. 2009). The signal from the region containing the radio core, the parsec-scale jet, and the kiloparsec-scale jet and inner lobes, up to giant outer lobes with a length of hundreds of kiloparsecs.

Based on its radio properties, Cen A has been classified as a radio galaxy of Fanaroff-Riley type I (Fanaroff & Riley 1974). According to AGN unification schemes, radio galaxies of this type are thought to correspond to BL Lacertae (BL Lac) objects viewed from the side, the latter showing jets aligned along the line of sight and corresponding to a subclass of blazars (Urry & Padovani 1995). BL Lac objects are the most abundant class of known extragalactic very-high-energy (VHE) emitters1, and exhibit double-peaked spectral energy distributions (SEDs). It is commonly thought that their low-frequency emission in the radio to ultraviolet (and X-ray, for high-peaked BL Lacs) band is synchrotron emission from relativistic electrons within a blob (zone) moving at relativistic speeds in the jet. Synchrotron self-absorption implies that the lower-frequency observed radio emission cannot be produced by a compact blob, and is likely produced by synchrotron from a larger jet component. The high-energy emission (hard X-ray to VHE γ-ray) from high-peaked BL Lac type objects has been satisfactorily modelled as synchrotron self-compton (SSC) radiation resulting from the inverse Compton upscattering of synchrotron photons by the same relativistic electrons that produced the synchrotron radiation (Maraschi et al. 1992; Bloom & Marscher 1996), although other more complex models (involving e.g. external inverse Compton emission, hadronic interactions, or multiple zones) are conceivable (Reimer & Böttcher 2013).

At a few tens of keV to GeV photon energies, Cen A was detected by all instruments on board the Compton Gamma-Ray Observatory (BATSE, OSSE, COMPTEL, and EGRET; the acronyms are described in Appendix B.) in the period 1991–1995 revealing a high-energy peak in the SED at an energy of ~0.1 MeV (see Kinzer et al. 1995; Stienle et al. 1998; Sreekumar et al. 1999). An earlier investigation found that it is possible to fit the data ranging from the radio band to the γ-ray band using a single-zone SSC model (Chiaberge et al. 2001), but this implies a low flux at VHE. High-energy and VHE γ-ray observations are thus important to test the validity of the SSC scenario for modelling of the SED of radio galaxies.

The discovery of Cen A as an emitter of VHE γ rays was reported on the basis of 115 h of observation (labelled data set A in this study) with the High Energy Stereoscopic System (H.E.S.S.) performed from April 2004 to July 2008 (Aharonian et al. 2009). The signal from the region containing the radio core, the parsec-scale jet, and the kiloparsec-scale jet was detected with a statistical significance of 5.0σ. In this paper, we refer to this region as the Cen A γ-ray core. Subsequent surveys at high energies (HE; 100 MeV–100 GeV) were performed by the Large Area Telescope (LAT) on board the Fermi Gamma Ray Space Telescope (FGST) launched in June 2008 (Atwood et al. 2009). During the first three months of science operation, started on August 4, 2008, Fermi-LAT confirmed the EGRET detection of the Cen A γ-ray core (Abdo et al. 2009). Spectral analysis and modelling based on ten months of Fermi-LAT observations (Abdo et al. 2010a) suggested the high-energy γ-ray emission up to ~10 GeV to be compatible with a single power law, yet indicated that a single-zone SSC model would be unable to account for the (non-contemporaneous) higher energy TeV emission observed by H.E.S.S. in 2004–2008. The analysis of extended Fermi-LAT data sets has in the meantime provided increasing evidence for a substantial spectral break above a few GeV (Sahakyan et al. 2013; Brown et al. 2017). This supports the conclusion that the TeV emission observed in 2004–2008 with H.E.S.S. belongs to a distinct, separate spectral component. In this paper, we present the results of long-term observations of the Cen A γ-ray core performed both with H.E.S.S. and with Fermi-LAT. These include new (more than 100 h) VHE observations of the Cen A γ-ray core with H.E.S.S. (data set B) performed when the FGST was already in orbit. We report results of the spectral analysis of the complete H.E.S.S. data set (Sect. 2) with an exposure time that is twice that used in the previously published data set A, as well as an update (Sect. 3) of the spectrum of the Cen A γ-ray core obtained with Fermi-LAT at GeV energies. The results are discussed and put into wider context in Sect. 4.

1 http://tevcat.uchicago.edu/
2. H.E.S.S. observations and results

Cen A is a weak VHE source with a measured integral flux above 250 GeV of about 0.8% of the flux of the Crab Nebula\(^2\). The discovery of faint VHE \(\gamma\)-ray emission from Cen A motivated further observations with H.E.S.S., which were performed in 2009–2010. In this section, we report the results of the Cen A observations with H.E.S.S. taken between 2004 and 2010. It includes a re-analysis of the H.E.S.S. data taken between 2004 and 2008 using refined methods. Using the combined H.E.S.S. data set (data sets A + B), we perform a detailed study of the VHE spectrum of Cen A.

2.1. Observations and analysis

The H.E.S.S. experiment is an array of five imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes\(^3\) located in the southern hemisphere in Namibia (23° 16′ 18″ S, 16° 30′ 00″ E) at an altitude of 1800 m above sea level (Aharonian et al. 2006). At the time of the observations used in this paper, the H.E.S.S. array consisted of four 12 m telescopes. The telescopes, arranged in a square with 120 m sides, have been in operation since 2004 (Hinton 2004). Each of these telescopes covers a field of view of 5° diameter. H.E.S.S. employs the stereoscopic imaging atmospheric Cherenkov technique (e.g. Daum et al. 1997) and is sensitive with these telescopes to \(\gamma\) rays above an energy threshold of \(\sim 0.1\) TeV for observations at zenith, up to energies of tens of TeV. The energy threshold increases with zenith angle. The observations of Cen A with H.E.S.S. reported in this paper were performed in wobble mode, that is with the target typically offset by about 0.5° or 0.7° from the pointing direction, allowing simultaneous background estimation in the same field of view (Berge et al. 2007). The data were recorded in 28 min exposures, called runs, which are chosen to minimise systematic changes in instrumental response. The observations of Cen A were carried out during the January–July visibility window.\(^4\)

Data set A was taken between April 2004 and July 2008, and 111 h of good-quality data (following a cut on the satisfactory hardware state of the cameras and good atmospheric conditions, as described in Aharonian et al. 2006) were recorded during 261 runs. The mean zenith angle of these observations is 24°. The results of a re-analysis of the data set A are presented in Sect. 2.2.1.

The new data set B was taken from 2009 to 2010 and consists of 241 runs corresponding to 102 h of additional exposure. The mean zenith angle of these observations is 23°. The total exposure time (data set A and B) adds up to 213 h. Data set A was taken prior to the launch of the FGST, while the new data set B presented here was taken after the launch of the FGST. The consistency between the results of the H.E.S.S. observations of Cen A in these two time intervals, that is, the lack of flux variability along with no change in spectral parameters, is of importance to substantiate a simultaneous spectral fit of both the HE and VHE data. The results of an extragalactic spectral fit of both the HE and VHE data. The results of an extragalactic spectral fit of both the HE and VHE data. The results of an extragalactic spectral fit of both the HE and VHE data. The results of an extragalactic spectral fit of both the HE and VHE data.

2.2. Results of the observations of Cen A with H.E.S.S.

2.2.1. Results for data set A

The re-analysis of data set A yielded a \(\gamma\)-ray excess of 277 counts above the background (Table 1), corresponding to a firm detection with a statistical significance of 8.4\(\sigma\) following the method of (Li & Ma 1983). The increase in significance with respect to the published result in Aharonian et al. (2009) is related to the application of improved analysis techniques.

We derive the energy spectrum using a forward-folding technique (Piron et al. 2001). The analysis threshold, \(E_{\text{thr}} = 0.25\) TeV, is given by the energy at which the effective area falls to 20% of its maximum value. The likelihood maximisation for a power-law hypothesis, \(dN/dE = N_0 \times (E/E_0)^{-\Gamma}\), yields a photon index of \(\Gamma = 2.51 \pm 0.19_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.20_{\text{sys}}\), and a normalisation constant of \(N_0 = (1.44 \pm 0.22_{\text{stat}}^{+0.43}_{-0.39_{\text{sys}}}) \times 10^{-11}\) cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) TeV\(^{-1}\) at \(E_0 = 1\) TeV. The main and cross-check analyses used in this paper provide compatible results. This ImPACT analysis leads to a smaller statistical error on the photon power-law index compared with the previously published value, \(\Gamma = 2.73 \pm 0.45_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.20_{\text{sys}}\). The central value of the normalisation coefficient obtained with the ImPACT analysis is lower by a factor of 1.7 than the previously reported value, but they are still marginally compatible within statistical and systematic errors. Accumulation of the exposure time of data set B in addition to that of the data set A allows us to refine the consistency between the current results and the previously published results by redoing a Hillas-based analysis with the latest calibration values (see Appendix A for details).

Table 1. H.E.S.S. data and analysis results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set name</th>
<th>On (counts)</th>
<th>Off (counts)</th>
<th>Excess (counts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1242</td>
<td>44308</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>30850</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>2170</td>
<td>75158</td>
<td>522</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The first column represents the data set. The second and third columns show the number of signal + background events around the source position, and background events from the off-source region, respectively. The fourth column shows the excess in \(\gamma\) rays. The background normalisation \((\alpha)\) is \(\approx 0.022\).

\(^2\) The observed integral flux of the Crab Nebula above 1 TeV is \((2.26 \pm 0.08_{\text{sys}} \pm 0.45_{\text{stat}}) \times 10^{-11}\) cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (Aharonian et al. 2006).

\(^3\) The fifth telescope with its 28 m-sized mirror was added to the array in summer 2012 during the H.E.S.S. phase-II upgrade, lowering the energy threshold of the array.
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Fig. 1. SED of Cen A γ-ray core. Fermi-LAT and H.E.S.S. data points along with a high-energy power-law extrapolation of the γ-ray spectrum measured below the break energy. Eight years of Fermi-LAT data and 213 h of H.E.S.S. data were used. Statistical error bars are shown.

uncertainty on the flux normalisation of faint VHE γ-ray sources with large exposure time, such as the Cen A core. The systematic uncertainties are conservatively estimated to be ±0.20 on the photon index and 10%–20% on the normalisation coefficient.

2.2.2. Results for data set B

The analysis of data set B yielded a γ-ray excess of 245 counts above the background (Table 1). This γ-ray excess corresponds to a firm detection with a statistical significance of 8.8σ. Thus, the Cen A γ-ray core is clearly detected as a source of VHE emission in both of the H.E.S.S. data sets. The spectral analysis of the data taken in 2009–2010 yields a photon index of $\Gamma = 2.55 \pm 0.19_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.20_{\text{sys}}$ and a normalisation constant of $N_0 = (1.50 \pm 0.22_{\text{stat}}^{+0.45}_{-0.30_{\text{sys}}}) \times 10^{-13} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{TeV}^{-1}$ at $E_0 = 1\text{ TeV}$.

To search for variability between the data sets A and B, one needs to compare the intrinsic spectral properties of the source in these two time intervals. A comparison of the spectral analyses of the H.E.S.S. data sets A and B shows that the values of the power-law photon indices are compatible with each other and with that previously reported. As for the normalisations of the VHE spectrum of the Cen A γ-ray core, the best-fit normalisation values obtained with the analysis of both the data sets are compatible with each other and somewhat lower than (yet marginally compatible with) the previously reported value.

2.2.3. Results for the combined H.E.S.S. data from 2004 to 2010

Applying the ImpACT analysis to the combined data set, an excess of 522 events above the background is detected (Table 1). This excess leads to a firm detection of the Cen A γ-ray core with H.E.S.S. at a statistical significance of 12σ. The same spectral analysis as before is applied to the full data set and yields a photon index of $\Gamma = 2.52 \pm 0.13_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.20_{\text{sys}}$ and a normalisation constant of $N_0 = (1.49 \pm 0.16_{\text{stat}}^{+0.45}_{-0.30_{\text{sys}}}) \times 10^{-13} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{TeV}^{-1}$ at $E_0 = 1\text{ TeV}$. The reconstructed spectrum of the Cen A γ-ray core is shown in Fig. 1. All of the eight SED data points in the VHE range are above a 2σ significance level, while only one SED data point exceeds a 2σ significance level in Aharonian et al. (2009). The derived data points for each energy band in the VHE range, shown in Fig. 1, agree within error bars with those for the first and second data sets. The VHE spectrum of the Cen A core is compatible with a power-law function ($\chi^2 = 3.9$ with 6 d.o.f.).

If one takes the values of the spectral parameters from the LAT four-year Point Source Catalogue (3FGL; Acero et al. 2015) obtained from the Fermi-LAT observations of Cen A between 100 MeV and 100 GeV assuming a single power-law spectrum, then one finds that $N_0 = (0.45 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-13} \text{ph cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{TeV}^{-1}$ at 1 TeV and $\Gamma = 2.70 \pm 0.03$. Therefore, the differential flux at 1 TeV derived from the H.E.S.S. observations in 2004–2010 is about 3.5 times larger than that inferred from a power-law extrapolation of the 3FGL catalogue spectrum. This indicates that a deviation of the spectrum from a single power law ("hardening") should occur at GeV energies to match the TeV data (see Sect. 3).

3. Fermi-LAT observations and results

In HE γ-rays, the core of Cen A is firmly detected with the Fermi-LAT using eight years of Pass 8 data spanning over three orders of magnitude in energy. LAT analysis of Cen A involves unique challenges not present in other individual extragalactic source analyses, largely due to the massive angular extent of the Cen A non-thermal outer lobes of ~9° and the proximity to the Galactic plane (Galactic latitude $\sim 19.4^\circ$), which is a bright source of diffuse γ-ray emission. In the following, we report corroborating evidence for the presence of an additional spectral component at γ-ray energies above a break of $\sim 2.8 \text{GeV}$. No significant variability either above or below this break has been detected.

3.1. Observations and analysis

LAT is a pair-conversion telescope on the FGST (Atwood et al. 2009). It has a large field of view (~2.4 sr) and has been scanning the entire sky continuously since August 2008. The broad energy coverage and the all-sky monitoring capability make LAT observations, which bridge the gap between soft γ-ray (MeV) and TeV energy ranges, crucial to explore the spectrum of the Cen A high-energy core.

We selected Pass 8 SOURCE class Fermi-LAT photon data spanning eight years between August 4, 2008 and July 6, 2016 (MET 239557417 to 489507985) with energies between 100 MeV and 300 GeV. Higher energies than 300 GeV yield no detection. We performed a binned analysis by choosing a $5^\circ \times 10^\circ$ square region of interest (ROI) centred at the position of the Cen A core (3FGL J1325.4-4301) as reported in the 3FGL catalogue, RA= 201367, Dec= −43030 (Acero et al. 2015), with spatial bins 0.1° in size and initially eight energy bins per decade. We applied standard quality cuts (DATA_QUAL==1 && LAT_CONFIG==1) and removed all events with zenith angle >90° to avoid contamination from the Earth’s limb. In the following, models are compared based on the maximum value of
the logarithm of the likelihood function, \( \log \mathcal{L} \). The significance of model components or additional parameters is evaluated using the test statistic, whose expression is \( \text{TS} = 2(\log \mathcal{L} - \log \mathcal{L}_0) \), where \( \mathcal{L}_0 \) is the likelihood of the reference model without the additional parameter or component (Mattox et al. 1996).

To model the sources within the ROI, we began with sources from the 3FGL within the \( 15^\circ \times 15^\circ \) region enclosing the ROI (the 3FGL models the Cen A lobes with a template created from 22 GHz WMAP data; see Hinshaw et al. 2009). We included the isotropic and Galactic diffuse backgrounds, iso_P8R2_SOURCE_V6_v06 and gll_iem_v06 (Acero et al. 2016), respectively. We fixed the normalisations of both the isotropic and Galactic diffuse source models to one to avoid leakage of photons from the Cen A lobes into these templates; when free, they converged to unrealistic values. The convergence to unrealistic values is due to unmodelled emission from the Cen A lobes. We introduced additional background sources in order to account for excess lobe emission. After creation of the Cen A lobes. We introduced additional background sources to the ROI model. These ten additional background sources to the ROI and found several regions with data counts in excess of the model. A TS map is created by moving a putative point source through a grid of locations on the sky and maximising the likelihood of the model. A TS map is created by moving a putative point source through a grid of locations on the sky and maximising \( \log \mathcal{L} \) at each grid point, with the other, stronger, and presumably well-identified sources included in each fit. New, fainter sources may then be identified at local maxima of the TS map. Using the residual TS map as a guide for missing emission, we added ten additional background sources to the ROI model. These ten sources are most likely a surrogate for excess lobe emission and should not be considered new individual point sources. After re-optimisation and creation of a residual TS map, we observe no significant (>5\( \sigma \)) regions of excess counts, and a histogram of the residuals is well fit as a Gaussian distribution centred around zero.

The precise \( \gamma \)-ray morphology of the Cen A lobes is beyond the scope of this work and is not needed to accurately determine the SED of the core. This work on the Cen A core does not require a high-precision model for the lobes, as the angular size of the Cen A lobes is sufficiently larger than the point spread function (PSF) of the LAT, especially at higher energies where this study is focused (<1° 95% containment angle above 5 GeV\(^5\)). However, to verify this, we tested the modelling procedure above using two alternative \( \gamma \)-ray templates of the Cen A lobes. The first of these was a modification to the public WMAP template involving “filling in” the 2° diameter hole surrounding the core. This was accomplished by patching this area with nearby matching intensities. The second alternate lobe template tested was one made from radio data from the Parkes telescope at 6.3 cm wavelength (Junkes et al. 1993). Use of these alternate lobe templates had no significant (<5\( \sigma \)) effect on the resulting best-fit core parameters within the full optimisation. The second alternate lobe template tested was one made from radio data from the Parkes telescope at 6.3 cm wavelength (Junkes et al. 1993). Use of these alternate lobe templates had no significant (<5\( \sigma \)) effect on the resulting best-fit core parameters within the full optimisation. However, we did observe a flux deviation below the break energy, resulting in a drop in the full band energy flux of the core by up to 17% depending on which lobe template was being used. We believe this drop results from the lack of a hole (circle containing values of 0) around the core of the lobe template with the modified WMAP and the Parkes templates. We also introduced a version of the Parkes template with a hole matching the one in the WMAP and observed a flux increase of 2% instead of a drop, lending credence to our belief that the existence of the hole is the most important factor for this analysis.

3.2. Results of the observations of Cen A with Fermi-LAT

We calculated an SED over the full range by dividing the data into 14 equally spaced logarithmic energy bins and then merging the four highest energy bins into one for sufficient statistics. In each bin, the Cen A lobe and core spectral parameters were left free to optimise and within each bin these spectra were fit using a single power law. The resulting SED is plotted in Figs. 1 and 3. To plot the data point within the wide merged energy bin, we used the prescription from Lafferty & Wyatt (1995). The spectral hardening in the HE \( \gamma \)-ray emission from the core of Cen A above an energy break of 2.8 GeV is illustrated in Fig. 1. A broken power-law model describes well the shape of the Fermi-LAT \( \gamma \)-ray spectrum with a break energy of 2.8 GeV.

We optimised the break energy via a likelihood profile method. For this purpose, we fixed all parameters in the ROI model except the normalisations of sources within a 3° radius of the core to their best-fit values from the full optimisation. The log\( \mathcal{L} \) profiles for the broken power-law spectral model and computed using the WMAP, modified WMAP, and Parkes templates are plotted in Fig. 2. From the position of the peak in the profile corresponding to the WMAP template, we find a best-fit break energy of 2.8\(^+1.0\)\(^\_0.6\) GeV. To determine the statistical preference of the broken power-law model over the single power law, we subtract the overall log\( \mathcal{L} \) from the same ROI model with a single power law from the log\( \mathcal{L} \) from the break energy profile at 2.8 GeV. Because these models are nested, Wilks’ theorem yields a preference of the broken power law with 4.0\( \sigma \) confidence (\( \chi^2 = 19.0 \) with 2 d.o.f.).

From this fully optimised \( \gamma \)-ray model of the Cen A core, we obtain a strong detection at 73\( \sigma \) statistical level and

---

\(^4\) Because of the large number of free parameters due to the number of sources, we loop over all model components and fit their normalisations and spectral shape parameters while fixing the rest of the model so that the whole model converges closer to an overall maximum likelihood.

\(^5\) https://www.slac.stanford.edu/exp/glast/groups/canda/Lat_Performance.htm
calculate a full-band energy flux of \((4.59 \pm 0.14_{\text{stat}}^{+0.17}_{-0.13}_{\text{sys,A}}) \times 10^{-5} \text{ MeV cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\). The best-fit broken power-law prefactor\(^6\) is \((3.64 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ MeV}^{-1}\). In the lower-energy band, we find a photon index of \(2.70 \pm 0.02_{\text{stat}}^{+0.05}_{-0.04}_{\text{sys,A}}\), and in the higher band, \(2.31 \pm 0.07_{\text{stat}}^{+0.01}_{-0.04}_{\text{sys,A}}\). This provides corroborating evidence for a spectral hardening by \(\Delta \gamma \sim 0.4\) above the break energy. Comparisons of these results to the Cen A core spectrum from the 3FGL catalogue (Acero et al. 2015) are not meaningful, since their analysis did not include modelling of the Cen A core spectrum as a broken power law. Using the modified WMAP template we observe a consistent photon index in the lower and upper bands, respectively, of \(2.68 \pm 0.03\) and \(2.26 \pm 0.07\), and using the Parkes template, \(2.67 \pm 0.03\) and \(2.29 \pm 0.07\). We also tested for a log-parabola spectral shape using a likelihood ratio test, analogous to \texttt{Signif\_Curve} in the 3FGL catalogue, which Acero et al. (2015) calculated as \(2.3\sigma\), and found a \(\text{TS}_{\text{curve}} = 4.5\), or \(\sim 2.1\sigma\). The power-law index that we observe above the spectral break is consistent with the index above 10 GeV found in the 3FHL catalogue (Ajello et al. 2017).

Finally, we tested for variability of the Cen A core both above and below the break energy (2.8 GeV) by calculating light curves using a single power-law spectral model for each. Below the break, we divided the data into 64 45 day bins and calculated flux variability using the method described in Nolan et al. (2012) Sect. 3.6, with systematic correction factor \(f = 0.02\). Keeping the power-law index fixed to 2.70, we calculate \(0.09\sigma (\chi^2 = 47.3\text{ with } 63\text{ d.o.f.})\) significance for flux variability. Above the break, we divided the data into nine-month bins. Keeping the power-law index fixed to 2.31, we do not see evidence for flux variability (\(1.9\sigma, \chi^2 = 16.6\) with 9 d.o.f.).

\[\text{4. Discussion}\]

\[\text{4.1. Beyond a single-zone SSC description of the } \gamma \text{-ray core SED of Cen A}\]

The proximity and the diversity of the radio structures associated with the activity of its core make Cen A an ideal laboratory to investigate radiative processes and jet physics. In this regard, an improved characterisation of its SED is important in distinguishing which emission component is likely to dominate the observed radiation. Earlier investigations (e.g. Chiaberge et al. 2001) suggested that the SED of the core of Cen A (i.e. the central source unresolved with radio, infrared, hard X-ray, and \(\gamma\)-ray instruments) up to sub-GeV energies appears remarkably similar to that of blazars. In a \(\nu \nu F_\nu\) plot, the SED seems well represented by two broad peaks, one located in the far-infrared band and the other in the \(\gamma\)-ray band at energies \(\sim 0.1\text{ MeV}\). The SED as known prior to 2009 was satisfactorily described by a single zone, homogeneous SSC model assuming the jet to be misaligned (i.e. lower Doppler boosting compared to blazars). The detection of VHE and HE \(\gamma\) rays from Cen A by H.E.S.S. and \textit{Fermi}-LAT has started to complicate this simple picture. If the available (non-contemporaneous) H.E.S.S. and \textit{Fermi}-LAT data are added, a single zone SSC model is no longer able to adequately account for the overall core SED of Cen A (see also Roustitazadeh & Böttcher 2011; Petropoulou et al. 2014; Abdo et al. 2010a). The SSC spectral component introduced earlier (Chiaberge et al. 2001) appears to work well only for the radio band to the MeV \(\gamma\)-ray band.

Moreover, the detection of VHE \(\gamma\) rays compatible with a power law up to \(\sim 5\text{ TeV}\) raises the principal challenge of avoiding internal (i.e. on co-spatially produced synchrotron photons) \(\gamma \gamma\) absorption in a one-zone SSC approach. Interferometric observations with the MID-infrared Interferometric instrument (MIDI) at the Very Large Telescope Interferometer array (Meisenheimer et al. 2007) showed that the mid-infrared (MIR) emission from the core of Cen A is dominated by an unresolved point source <10 mas (or <0.2 pc). Abdo et al. (2010a) have argued that the MIR and VHE emission cannot originate in the same region, since the VHE emission would be strongly attenuated due to \(\gamma \gamma\) interaction with mid-infrared (soft) photons. The strength of this argument depends on how well possible Doppler boosting effects can be constrained, that is, on inferences with respect to the inclination and the bulk flow Lorentz factor of the sub-parsec scale jet in Cen A. It could be shown by extending the argumentation from Section 5.2 of Abdo et al. (2010a) that the \(\gamma \gamma\)-attenuation problem might be alleviated if the sub-parsec jet were inclined at 11°, that is, slightly below the lower limit of the angular range \(\theta < 12°−45°\) allowed by recent Tracking Active Galactic Nuclei with Austral Milliarcsecond Interferometry (TANAMI) monitoring constraints on the sub-parsec scale jet (Müller et al. 2014). Motions with the Doppler factors required to avoid \(\gamma \gamma\) attenuation (\(\delta_D > 5.3\)), however, have not yet been observed on sub-parsec scales.

The previously mentioned considerations, along with the evidence for a clear hardening of the HE spectrum of Cen A, make a single-zone SSC interpretation for its overall SED very unlikely. Alternative scenarios, where the TeV emission from the high energy Cen A core is associated with the presence of an additional emission component is instead favoured.

\[\text{6 https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/analysis/scitools/source_models.html#BrokenPowerLaw}\]
A variety of multi-wavelength data, albeit with varying angular resolution and taken non-contemporaneously, is available for Cen A and can be used to construct a characteristic core SED, an example of which is presented in Fig. 3. Observations in different broad energy ranges are shown with different symbols.

In the $\gamma$-ray regime, we combine H.E.S.S. and Fermi-LAT data to build a quasi-contemporaneous high-energy core SED. One should keep in mind, however, that given the angular resolution of H.E.S.S. and Fermi-LAT, the large-scale jet and inner lobes of Cen A could in principle also contribute to the observed $\gamma$-ray signal.

Cen A is the highest flux radio galaxy detected in hard X-ray and MeV $\gamma$-ray bands. As can be seen from Fig. 3, this energy range plays an important role in the modelling of its emission. The angular resolution at these energy bands is relatively poor compared to that at other energies (including radio, infrared, soft X-rays, GeV, and VHE $\gamma$ rays). It corresponds to about 2.5 for INTEGRAL SPI in the bandpass 18 keV–8 MeV and to about 4 in the energy range 1–30 MeV for COMPTEL (Steinle et al. 1998; Steinle 2010). We note that a recent spectral analysis of ten years of observations with INTEGRAL SPI favours a jet origin for the hard X-ray emission (Burke et al. 2014), supporting the proposal that the second peak in the SED of the Cen A core (with a maximum at $\sim 0.1$ MeV) is jet-related and probably due to SSC radiation (Chiaberge et al. 2001; Abdo et al. 2010a). A possible X-ray contribution from accretion, however, cannot yet be excluded (for discussion, see Evans et al. 2004; Meisenheimer et al. 2007; Fürst et al. 2016). The available archival data measured in hard X-rays and MeV $\gamma$ rays of Cen A have been included in Fig. 3. For the lower-energy SED part, which includes radio, mm-, infrared and optical data points, and seems well described by a synchrotron source, the available archival data are taken from Meisenheimer et al. (2007), with the exception of two data points at 8.4 GHz and 22.3 GHz measured on 2009 November 27 and 29 as part of the TANAMI programme (Ojha & Kadler 2009), replacing three consistent radio data points that were measured in the mid-1990s.

### 4.2. Characterising the overall core SED with other multi-wavelength observations

A variety of multi-wavelength data, albeit with varying angular resolution and taken non-contemporaneously, is available for Cen A and can be used to construct a characteristic core SED, an example of which is presented in Fig. 3. Observations in different broad energy ranges are shown with different symbols.

In the $\gamma$-ray regime, we combine H.E.S.S. and Fermi-LAT data to build a quasi-contemporaneous high-energy core SED. One should keep in mind, however, that given the angular resolution of H.E.S.S. and Fermi-LAT, the large-scale jet and inner lobes of Cen A could in principle also contribute to the observed $\gamma$-ray signal.

Cen A is the highest flux radio galaxy detected in hard X-ray and MeV $\gamma$-ray bands. As can be seen from Fig. 3, this energy range plays an important role in the modelling of its emission. The angular resolution at these energy bands is relatively poor compared to that at other energies (including radio, infrared, soft X-rays, GeV, and VHE $\gamma$ rays). It corresponds to about 2.5 for INTEGRAL SPI in the bandpass 18 keV–8 MeV and to about 4 in the energy range 1–30 MeV for COMPTEL (Steinle et al. 1998; Steinle 2010). We note that a recent spectral analysis of ten years of observations with INTEGRAL SPI favours a jet origin for the hard X-ray emission (Burke et al. 2014), supporting the proposal that the second peak in the SED of the Cen A core (with a maximum at $\sim 0.1$ MeV) is jet-related and probably due to SSC radiation (Chiaberge et al. 2001; Abdo et al. 2010a). A possible X-ray contribution from accretion, however, cannot yet be excluded (for discussion, see Evans et al. 2004; Meisenheimer et al. 2007; Fürst et al. 2016). The available archival data measured in hard X-rays and MeV $\gamma$ rays of Cen A have been included in Fig. 3. For the lower-energy SED part, which includes radio, mm-, infrared and optical data points, and seems well described by a synchrotron source, the available archival data are taken from Meisenheimer et al. (2007), with the exception of two data points at 8.4 GHz and 22.3 GHz measured on 2009 November 27 and 29 as part of the TANAMI programme (Ojha & Kadler 2009), replacing three consistent radio data points that were measured in the mid-1990s.

### 4.3. Modelling the high-energy core SED with a second emission component

The observed smooth TeV spectrum and the spectral hardening by $\Delta \gamma \sim 0.4$ as observed with H.E.S.S. and Fermi-LAT are strongly suggestive of the contribution of a second emission component in addition to the conventionally employed single-zone SSC component under the assumption of a misaligned jet. A variety of different (not mutually exclusive) scenarios for the physical origin of this second emission component could be envisaged. Proposals in the literature for Cen A encompass (a) magnetospheric (pulsar-like) scenarios based on leptonic inverse Compton processes in a radiatively inefficient disk environment (Rieger & Aharonian 2009; Rieger 2011); (b) inner (parsec- and sub-parsec-scale) jet models involving for example multiple leptonic SSC-emitting components travelling at different angles to the line of sight (Lenain et al. 2008), inverse Compton interplay in a stratified jet geometry (Ghisellini et al. 2005), photo-meson $p\gamma$-interactions of ultra-high-energy protons in strong (e.g. standard disk-type) photon fields (Kachelrieß et al. 2010; Sahu et al. 2012; Petropoulou et al. 2014; Fraija 2014) and elaborated lepto-hadronic modifications thereof (Reynoso et al. 2011; Cerruti et al. 2017), or $\gamma$-ray-induced pair-cascades in a strong accretion disk field (Sitarek & Bednarek 2010), a dusty torus-like region (Roustazadeh & Böttcher 2011), or a starlight photon field (Stawarz et al. 2006); (c) extended astrophysical scenarios involving for example hadronic $p\pi$-interactions of accelerated protons with ambient matter in its kiloparsec-scale region (Sahakyan et al. 2013), the combined high-energy $\gamma$-ray contribution from a supposed population of millisecond pulsars (Brown et al. 2017), or leptonic inverse-Compton scattering off various photon fields (SSC, host galaxy starlight, cosmic microwave background, extragalactic background light) in the kiloparsec-scale jet of Cen A (Stawarz et al. 2003; Hardcastle & Croston 2011); and (d) explanations involving physics beyond that of the Standard Model, for example the self-annihilation of dark matter (DM) particles in a putative central dark matter spike (Brown et al. 2017); Some critical astrophysical questions arise in each of these models: near-black-hole scenarios, for example, require advection-dominated accretion disk environments to satisfy external opacity conditions, leptonic models often deviate significantly from equipartition and are affected by internal opacity constraints, hadronic scenarios usually require a very high jet power, and pulsar-population models are dependent on poorly-known density profiles, while DM models need anomalously high dark matter concentrations. However, the limited angular resolution of current $\gamma$-ray instruments and the fact that no significant statistical evidence for variability of the $\gamma$-ray emission above the break (neither at Fermi-LAT nor VHE energies) has been found, does not make it possible to strongly exclude any of these models.

### Table 2. Parameters used for modelling overall core SED of Cen A with two SSC-emitting components.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>The 1st SSC zone</th>
<th>The 2nd SSC zone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doppler factor</td>
<td>$\delta_0$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet angle</td>
<td>$\theta$</td>
<td>30°</td>
<td>30°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic field (G)</td>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comoving blob size (cm)</td>
<td>$R_0$</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^{15}$</td>
<td>$8.8 \times 10^{13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-energy electron power-law index</td>
<td>$p_1$</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-energy electron power-law index</td>
<td>$p_2$</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum electron Lorentz factor</td>
<td>$\gamma_{\text{min}}$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{2}$</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum electron Lorentz factor</td>
<td>$\gamma_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{7}$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Break electron Lorentz factor</td>
<td>$\gamma_{\text{brk}}$</td>
<td>$8.0 \times 10^{2}$</td>
<td>$3.2 \times 10^{4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron energy density (erg cm$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>$\epsilon$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

High-energy electron power-law index

Low-energy electron power-law index

Minimum electron Lorentz factor

Maximum electron Lorentz factor

Break electron Lorentz factor

Electron energy density (erg cm$^{-3}$)
We note, though, that any (future) hint of variability would likely disfavour models of type (c)–(d). The (apparent) lack of variability, on the other hand, could simply be a matter of limited statistics and therefore might still be reconciled with inner jet-related scenarios. The increased sensitivity of the Cherenkov Telescope Array (CTA; Acharya et al. 2017) will enable a deeper probe into this and may eventually distinguish between models and resolve the physical nature of this component.

Noting these limitations, we nevertheless would like to provide an illustration here that the current core SED could be satisfactorily modelled by two jet-related components where the emission below the break is attributed to the conventional (misaligned) SSC-emitting component and the emission above the break to an additional SSC-emitting jet component. We model both components as jet blobs of different size and magnetic field strength. Assuming that the conventional single-zone SSC description works well for the radio to sub-GeV part of the spectrum, we adopt the same parameters (see Table 2) for the first SSC component as reported earlier7 (Abdo et al. 2010a), apart from considering a self-consistent maximum electron Lorentz factor of γ_{max} = 10^7. The SED is modelled using the numerical code SED Builder4 (Massaro et al. 2006; Tramacere et al. 2009, 2011). To account for the γ-ray spectrum above the break, we introduce a second SSC-emitting zone for which we require, amongst others, that (a) the energy density in the particles is comparable to (or less than) the energy density in the magnetic field B^2/(8π) (one-sided equipartition constraint), (b) the dynamical timescale τ = R/c is larger than the synchrotron cooling timescale at high energies (efficiency constraint), (c) the synchrotron loss timescale is longer than the gyro-timescale at γ_{max} (acceleration constraint), and (d) the optical depth to internal γγ absorption is less than one (opacity constraint). The model given for the second SSC component (Table 2) provides an exemplary set of parameters that satisfy these constraints and that satisfactorily reproduces the observed spectrum. While not unique, this example provides an illustration that both the VHE emission measured with H.E.S.S. as well as the GeV emission measured with Fermi-LAT could be accounted for by means of a two-zone SSC scenario. If one relaxes the requirements (e.g. the one-sided equipartition constraint above), additional descriptions with for example a rather low magnetic field strength, become possible (e.g. Abdo et al. 2010a). More complex realisations might perhaps be possible if the second component were characterised by a different (blazar-like) Doppler factor δ_0 > 1.

5. Conclusions

High-energy observations of the core region in active galaxies provide important insights into the physical processes driven by a central powerhouse containing an accreting, jet-emitting supermassive black hole system. In the case of Cen A, the H.E.S.S. discovery of VHE γ-ray emission from its central region (Aharonian et al. 2009) exceeded expectations from conventional (mis-aligned) single-zone SSC scenarios, casting doubt on the appropriateness of such an interpretation. Non-simultaneous Fermi-LAT results (Sahakyan et al. 2013; Brown et al. 2017) are indeed indicative of a transition region above a few GeV in the γ-ray core spectrum of Cen A and provide evidence that the VHE emission is associated with an additional radiative component.

This paper reports results of new (more than 100h) VHE observations of the Cen A γ-core with H.E.S.S. accumulated during the Fermi-LAT operation and provides a detailed characterisation of the complete VHE data set using advanced analysis methods. VHE γ-ray emission from the core of Cen A is detected at 12σ. No significant variability is apparent in the VHE data set. A spectral analysis of the complete data set yields a photon index of Γ = 2.52 ± 0.13_{stat} ± 0.20_{sys} and a normalisation constant of N_0 = (1.49 ± 0.16_{stat}±0.30_{sys}) × 10^{-11} cm^{-2} s^{-1} TeV^{-1} at E_0 = 1 TeV. Spectral analyses of the H.E.S.S. data taken before and after the launch of the Fermi satellite give comparable results and validate the construction of a joined γ-ray spectrum based on Fermi-LAT and H.E.S.S. data. We also present an update of the Cen A core spectrum at GeV energies using eight years of Fermi-LAT Pass 8 data. The Fermi-LAT analysis provides clear evidence at a level of 4.0σ for spectral hardening by ΔΓ = 0.4 at γ-ray energies above a break energy of ∼2.8 GeV. This hardening is suggestive of an additional γ-ray emitting component connecting the HE emission above the break energy to the one observed at VHE energies. Both the hardening of the spectrum above the break energy at a few GeV and the VHE emission excess over the power-law extrapolation of the γ-ray spectrum measured below the break energy are a unique case amongst the VHE AGNs. The results allow us for the first time to construct a representative (contemporaneous) HE-VHE SED for Cen A. While a variety of different interpretations are available, the physical origin of the additional γ-ray emitting component cannot yet be resolved due to instrumental limitations in angular resolution and the apparent absence of significant variability in both the HE and VHE data. It is possible, however, that the additional emission component is jet-related and we provide one SSC model fit to illustrate this.

Despite their faintness at γ-ray energies, radio galaxies such as Cen A are emerging as a unique γ-ray source population offering important physical insight beyond what could usually be achieved in classical blazar sources. With its increased sensitivity, CTA is expected to probe deeper into this and help to eventually resolve the nature of the γ-ray emission in Cen A.
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7 The power-law index, p_1, of 1.8 for the first component was adopted from Abdo et al. (2010a) in order to fit the exceptionally flat Cen A spectrum, F_γ ∝ ν^{-0.56}, between 10^{11} and 3 × 10^{13} Hz (Meisenheimer et al. 2007).

8 https://tools.asdc.asi.it/
Appendix A: Comparison of spectral results from Hillas-based analyses

To perform a Hillas-based analysis, we applied a standard cut of $\theta^2 < 0.0125 \text{deg}^2$ for the calculation of the number of ON events, where $\theta^2$ is the square of the angular separation between the reconstructed shower position and the source position. This cut is optimised to minimise the contamination by the background and is somewhat different to that used in the previous publication ($\theta^2 < 0.03 \text{deg}^2$). The Hillas-based analysis performed here for the combined data set (A + B) results in a lower value of the normalisation coefficient compared with the published value. The obtained value is compatible with those derived with the main (ImPACT) and cross-check analyses. The compatibility of these results gives us confidence in the reliability of the current cross-checked analysis of the Cen A core. The comparison suggests a wider range of the systematic errors for the results of the Hillas-based analysis of data set A than that estimated in Aharonian et al. (2009). The lack of temporal variability in flux between data sets A and A + B concluded from the ImPACT analyses supports this suggestion.

Appendix B: List of acronyms

- SEST – 15 m Swedish-ESO Submillimetre Telescope.
- JCMT – 15 m James Clerk Maxwell Telescope.
- NICMOS – Near Infrared Camera and Multi-Object Spectrometer.
- BATSE – Burst and Transient Source Experiment.
- OSSE – Oriented Scintillation Spectrometer Experiment.
- COMPTEL – Imaging COMPton TELEscope.
- EGRET – Energetic Gamma Ray Experiment Telescope.