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## Summary:

Rotavirus infection causes severe gastroenteritis, affecting all children under the age of five regardless of hygiene or water quality. The currently licensed vaccines succeeded in reducing diarrhoea worldwide, but they still have shortcomings, especially the efficacy of the vaccines in developing countries. One of the main reasons for this can be due to the difference in strains, since the strains used to develop the currently licensed vaccines (RotaTeq and Rotarix) were selected from strains circulating in the developed world (G1, G2, G3 and G4), while the main strains present in Africa (G8, G9 and G12) were not included. A second shortcoming of the currently licensed vaccines is the cost of these vaccines. The vaccines are very expensive and most developing countries cannot afford the vaccines as well as the fact that the manufacturing companies cannot produce enough vaccines for all the countries. An attractive alternative to the currently licensed rotavirus vaccines is the non-live vaccine candidate, virus-like particles, which can provide a possible cheaper, safer and efficacious alternative or complement the currently licensed vaccines.

Therefore, in this study a South African G9P[6] rotavirus strain, RVA/Humanwt/ZAF/GR10924/1999/G9P[6], was used to determine whether or not co-expression of the structural proteins VP2 (genome segment 2) and VP6 (genome segment 6) was possible in bacteria and yeast. The South African GR10924 G9P[6] neonatal strain was previously obtained from a stool sample and the nucleotide consensus sequence was determined for both genome segment 2 (VP2) and genome segment 6 (VP6). Bacterial codon optimised coding regions or open reading frames were used in this study. The open reading frames (ORFs) of the genome segments encoding, VP2 and VP6, were cloned into the expression vector pETDuet-1, which allows for the simultaneous expression of two genes in bacteria. The ORF of genome segment 6 was purchased from GeneScript and the ORF of genome segment 2 was obtained from Dr AC Potgieter (Deltamune (Pty) Ltd R\&D, South Africa). Compatible restriction enzyme sites were used to sub-clone the ORF of the bacterial codon optimised genome segments into the expression vector. Only the expression of the VP6 protein in bacteria was observed with Coomassie stained SDS-PAGE.

The ORFs encoding VP2 (genome segment 2) and VP6 (genome segment 6) of the wild type GR10924 G9P[6] strain were cloned into the wide range yeast expression system vector, pKM173, which allows for the simultaneous expression of more than one gene. Several yeast strains were used in this study namely Kluyveromyces marxianus, Kluyveromyces lactis, Candida deformans, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Yarrowia lipolytica, Arxula adeninivorans, Hansenula polymorpha and Debaryomyces hansenii. Expression of both proteins was not detected in the several yeast strains, as seen with western blot
analysis. DNA extractions were done on two colonies of each yeast strain that were used for western blot analysis to evaluate successful integration into the yeast genomes. Only a few of the colonies contained either both of the genome segments or only one of the two genome segments of interest.

To summarise, the simultaneous expression of VP2 and VP6 from rotavirus GR10924 G9P[6] was not successful in bacteria or yeast, but it was possible to soluble express the bacterial codon optimised GR10924 G9P[6] VP6 in bacteria using the pETDuet-1 as expression vector.

Keywords: Rotavirus, gastroenteritis, non-live vaccine, virus-like particles, South Africa, GR10924 G9P[6], bacterial codon optimised, bacterial expression, yeast expression.

## Opsomming:

Rotavirus infeksie veroorsaak ernstige gastro-enteritis wat alle kinders jonger as vyf jaar affekteer, ongeag van higiëne en water gehalte. Die huidige gelisensieerde entstowwe is effektief in kliniese proewe wêreldwyd maar het nog steeds tekortkominge, soos die effektiwiteit van die entstowwe in ontwikkelende lande. Een van die hoofredes vir die lae effektiwiteit van die entstowwe kan wees as gevolg van die rotavirus stamme wat gebruik word om die entstowwe te vervaardig, aangesien die entstowwe ontwikkel is vanaf rotavirus stamme wat in ontwikkelde lande sirkuleer (G1, G2, G3 en G4) terwyl opkommende stamme in Afrika (G8, G9 en G12) nie ingesluit word nie. Die ander moontlike tekortkominge van die huidige gelisensieerde entstowwe is die koste van die entstowwe, aangesien dit baie duur is en die meeste ontwikkelende lande nie die entstowwe kan bekostig nie. Die vervaardigingsmaatskappye kan ook nie genoeg entstowwe produseer vir al die lande wat die entstowwe gebruik nie. Virusagtige partikels (VAPs) is $n$ aantreklike nie-lewendige entstof kandidaat wat moontlik 'n goedkoper, veiliger en meer effektiewe alternatief of kompliment tot die huidige gelisensieerde entstowwe kan wees.

In die hudige studie is die Suid-Afrikaanse GR10924 G9P[6] rotavirus stam, RVA/Humanwt/ZAF/GR10924/1999/G9P[6] gebruik om te bepaal of die gelyktydige uitdrukking van die strukturele proteïen VP2 (genoom segment 2) en VP6 (genoom segment 6) in bakterieë en gis moontlik is. Die Suid-Afrikaanse GR10924 G9P[6] neonatale stam is voor die aanvang van die studie uit $n$ stoel monster verkry en die nukleotiedvolgorde bepaal vir beide genoom segment 2 (VP2) en genoom segment 6 (VP6). Bakteriële kodon-geoptimaliseerde DNS volgordes is in die studie gebruik. Die oopleesraam van die genoom segmente wat vir VP2 en VP6 kodeer is in die uitdrukkingsvektor pETDuet-1 gekloneer. Die uitdrukkingsvektor laat die gelyktydige uitdrukking van twee gene toe. Die oopleesraam van genoom segment 6 is vanaf GeneScript verkry en die oopleesraam van genoom segment 2 vanaf Dr AC Potgieter (Deltamune (Pty) Ltd R\&D, Suid-Afrika). Geskikte beperkingsensiem verterings is gebruik om die oopleesraam van die bakteriële kodon-geoptimiseerde genoom segmente in die uitdrukkingsvektor te sub-kloneer. Slegs die uitdrukking van die VP6 proteïen was suksesvol in bakterieë, soos op n Coomassie gekleurde SDS-PAGE jel waargeneem.

Die oopleesraam wat kodeer vir VP2 (genoom segment 2) en VP6 (genoom segment 6), van die wilde tipe GR10924 G9P[6] rotavirus stam, is in die gis uitdrukkingsvektor pKM173 gekloneer. Die pKM173 vektor laat die gelyktydige uitdrukking van meer as een geen toe. Verskeie gis stamme is in die studie gebruik naamlik Kluyveromyces marxianus, Kluyveromyces lactis, Candida deformans, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Yarrowia lipolytica,

Arxula adeninivorans, Hansenula polymorpha en Debaryomyces hansenii. Uitdrukking van beide proteïne soos waargeneem met westelike klad analise was nie in enige van hierdie gis stamme suksesvol nie. DNS is ge-ekstraeer op twee kolonies van elke gis stam wat gebruik was vir die westelike klad analise eksperiment. Ons het bevind dat slegs ' $n$ paar kolonies beide rotavirus gene bevat terwyl die ander kolonies slegs een van die twee gene van belang bevat het.

Om op te som; die gelyktydige uitdrukking van VP2 (genoom segment 2) en VP6 (genoom segment 6) van die GR10924 G9P[6] rotavirus stam was nie suksesvol in beide gis of bakterieë nie. Die bakteriële kodon-geoptimiseerde VP6 proteïen kon in n oplosbare vorm in bakterieë uitgedruk word.

Sleutelwoorde: Rotavirus, gastro-enteritis, nie-lewendige entstowwe, virusagtige partikels, Suid-Afrika, GR10924 G9P[6], bakteriële kodon geoptimaliseerde, bakteriële uitdrukking, gis uitdrukking.
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## Chapter 1:

## Literature review

### 1.1 An overview of rotavirus

In 1973, Dr Ruth Bishop and her colleagues discovered a virus that causes diarrhoea using electron microscopy. They identified virus particles in the cytoplasm of mature epithelial cells lining duodenal villi and in faeces, from children admitted to the Royal Children's Hospital in Melbourne, Australia (Bishop et al., 2009). The virus had a diameter of 70 nm and had a wheel like appearance, from there the name rotavirus (rota= Latin word for wheel) (Bishop et al., 2009).

Rotavirus mainly infects the young of humans and animals. In animals, rotavirus infection is more prevalent in sheep, pigs and cattle and can lead to significant economic loss in livestock (Martella et al., 2010 and Midgley et al., 2012). Rotavirus infects almost all children worldwide in industrialized and developing countries, before the age of 5 years (Glass et al., 2008). Symptoms of rotavirus usually occur in the first 48 hours after infection. Symptoms include vomiting, watery diarrhoea and abdominal pains. These symptoms cause severe diarrhoea in children and infants (Surendran et al., 2008). Rotavirus infections have also been documented in adults and are mainly spread by faecal-oral transmission. Symptoms in adults include nausea, malaise, headache, abdominal cramping, fever and diarrhoea (Anderson et al., 2009); the infection in adults can also be asymptomatic.

### 1.2 Rotavirus structure

The rotavirus virion consists of structural and non- structural proteins.

### 1.2.1 Structural proteins

The rotavirus virion consists of six structural proteins, VP1-4, VP6 and VP7 that form the concentric three-layered particle that surround the 11 genome segments (Figure 1.1). The internal layer surrounds the viral genome and contains VP2, the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase VP1 and VP3. VP6 forms the middle layer and the outer layer consist of VP7 and VP4 (Estes and Kapikian, 2007)


Figure 1.1: An overview of the coding assignment and virion structure of rotavirus. Rotavirus has 11 RNA genome segments. The genome segments encode six structural proteins (VP1-4 and VP6-7) and six non-structural proteins (NSP1-6). The six structural proteins form the concentric threelayered particle of rotavirus. Illustration taken from Greenberg and Estes, 2009, with permission.

### 1.2.1.1 Inner layer

VP1 is encoded by genome segment 1 and is one of the three proteins that make up the inner layer of the concentric three-layered particle (Estes and Cohen, 1989). VP1, an RNAdependent RNA polymerase is necessary for the recognition of the 3 ' end of the mRNA, but in the absence of other viral proteins (Table 1.1), does not have any replicase activity. It can, however, still bind to any viral mRNA (Estes and Kapikian, 2007). VP2 is encoded by genome segment 2 and is necessary for VP1 replicase activity (Table 1.1). VP2 interacts with plus strand RNA and VP2 interactions with the VP1 polymerase are required for replicase activity (Estes and Kapikian, 2007). VP3 is encoded by genome segment 3 and is a minor structural protein (Estes and Cohen, 1989). VP3 is a guanyl-transferase and methyl-transferase enzyme (Table 1.1) that is present in small quantities. Together with VP1 it provides enzymatic functions required for producing the capped mRNA transcripts (Jayarem et al., 2004).

### 1.2.1.2 Intermediate layer

VP6 is encoded by genome segment 6 and is the major structural protein in virus particles, located on the outer surface of single layered particles (Estes and Cohen, 1989). VP6 together with VP2 forms the double layered particle (DLPs). VP6 is the most abundant and immunogenic protein of the virus and contains group and subgroup antigenic determinates (Table 1.1).

Both biochemical and immunological approaches have been used to determine whether or not VP6 performs specific biological functions during virus replication. Earlier biochemical studies have indicated that none of the components of double-layered particles are capable of transcribing dsRNA and that VP6, despite the lack of any enzymatic function, is essential for endogenous transcription of the genome (Jayarem et al., 2004).

### 1.2.1.3 Outer layer

The rotavirus protein VP7 together with VP4 forms the outer capsid protein shell, with VP4 spikes that emanate through the outer capsid shell. These proteins (VP4 and VP7) induce neutralizing antibody responses and define the serotypes of the virus (Table 1.1).

Protease sensitive VP4 is encoded by genome segment 4 (Table 1.1) (Greenberg and Estes, 2009). It is also used to determine serotypes, namely P types (Dennehy et al., 2008) and play a role in cell attachment and cell penetration of the protein. When VP4 is cleaved (prior to cell attachment) by a trypsin-like enzyme, it forms VP5* and VP8* that result in the enhancement of viral infectivity. The cleavage also enhances the penetration of the virus into the cells (Estes and Kapikian, 2007).

Glycoprotein VP7 is encoded by genome segment 9 (Table 1.1) (Greenberg and Estes, 2009). Serotypes determined by this protein are, therefore, termed G serotypes (Dennehy et al., 2008). This capsid protein (VP7) induces neutralizing antibodies and is highly immunogenic. Appropriate calcium levels help maintain the structural integrity of the VP7 layer. However, low concentrations of calcium, similar to those in the cytoplasm, trigger the dissociation of VP7 trimers. This leads to the uncoating of the VP7 layer. The uncoating of the outer layer, which results in the formation of DLPs is a necessary event in the replication cycle of rotavirus (Jayarem et al., 2004).

Table 1.1: Properties of rotavirus genome segments, proteins and their functions. The data in the table is based on the rotavirus SA11 strain.

| Genome <br> segments | Size (bp) | Protein | Size (kDa) | Location | Protein function |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 3302 | VP1 | 125 | Core | RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, RNA binding, interacts with <br> VP2 and VP3 |
| 2 | 2690 | VP2 | 102 | Core | RNA binding, interacts with VP1 |
| 3 | 2591 | VP3 | 98 | Core | Guanylyl and methyl transferase, ssRNA binding, interacts with <br> VP1 |
| 4 | 2362 | VP4 | 88 | Outer capsid | Hemagglutinin, neutralization antigen, virulence, protease- <br> enhanced infectivity, cell attachment, fusion region |
| 5 | 1611 | NSP1 | 59 | Non-structural | RNA binding, antagonist of interferon response |
| 6 | 1356 | VP6 | 48 | Intermediate |  |
| capsid |  |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| Hydrophobic trimer, group and subgroup antigen |
| :--- |
| 7 |
| 8 |

[^0]
### 1.2.2 Non-structural proteins

The rotavirus virion also contains six non-structural proteins (NSP), namely NSP1-6. Nonstructural proteins are not incorporated into the mature virus particle, but are expressed in the infected cells from the viral genome (Estes and Kapikian, 2007). These proteins play an essential role in virus pathogenesis, morphogenesis and replication and most of them also show multifunctional properties. Non-structural proteins represent potential targets for the development of antiviral agents because of their essential roles in virus biology (Suguna et al., 2010). The characteristics and known functions of the non-structural proteins are summarised in Table1.1.

### 1.3 Classification of Rotavirus

### 1.3.1 Reoviridae

Rotavirus is one of fifteen genera of the Reoviridae family. Reoviridae has two subfamilies, each respectively consisting of six and nine genera (Table 1.2). Reoviridae is a family of linear, segmented double-stranded RNA genome segments (Patton et al., 2008). The virion contains three capsids namely an outer, middle and inner capsid that has an icosahedral symmetry. They have between 10-12 segments and the genome size varies from 18 00030000 base pairs. Reoviridae viruses are named so because the first reovirus was isolated from the respiratory and enteric tracks of animals and humans as "orphans", i.e. they are not associated with any disease (Carter et al., 2005). Viruses of the Reoviridae family infect vertebrates, invertebrates, higher plants, bacteria and fungi.

Table 1.2: Classification of the Reoviridae family

| Reoviridae subfamilies | Genus | Number of genome <br> segments | Genome size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sedoreoviridae | Cardoreovirus | 11 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Mimoreovirus | 11 | 25400 bp |
|  | Orbivirus | 10 | 19200 bp |
|  | Phytoreovirus | 12 | 26000 bp |
|  | Rotavirus | 11 | 18500 bp |
|  | Seadornavirus | 11 | 21000 bp |
|  | Aquareovirus | 11 | 30500 bp |
|  | Coltvirus | 12 | 29000 bp |
|  | Cypovirus | 10 | 25000 bp |
|  | Dinovernavirus | 9 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Fijivirus | 10 | $27000-30000 \mathrm{bp}$ |
|  | Idnoreovirus | $10-11$ | $27000-30000 \mathrm{bp}$ |
|  | Mycoreovirus | $11-12$ | 23000 bp |
|  | Orthoreovirus | 10 | 23000 bp |
|  | Oryzavirus | 10 | 20000 bp |

Table compiled from the online databases Universal Database for the International Committee on Taxanomy of viruses (ICTV) (http://ictvonline.org/) and viral zone database (http://viralzone.expasy.org/).

### 1.3.2 Rotavirus

Rotavirus is a segmented double-stranded RNA virus in the family Reoviridae and specifically the subfamily of Sedoreoviridae. The viral genome consists of 11 doublestranded RNA (dsRNA) segments that are contained within the virus capsid (Estes and Cohen, 1989) and has a total size of approximately 18,522 base pairs ranging from 667bp (genome segment 11) to 3,302bp (genome segment 1). Each of the genome segments encodes for one viral protein except for genome segment 11, which encodes for two proteins. The genome segment encodes for six structural proteins (VP1-4, VP6-7) and six non-structural proteins (NSP1-6). Rotavirus particles contain the dsRNA-dependent RNA polymerase that is important in producing mRNA in infected cells, which is required for gene expression and genome replication (Estes and Kapikian, 2007; Patton et al., 1995).

### 1.3.3 Rotavirus groups and subgroups

Rotavirus can be classified into eight serological groups, namely A-H. Rotavirus groups A-C are found in humans and animals while group D-G have only been found in animals to date (Knipe and Estes, 2007; Matthijnssens et al., 2011). The remainder of this literature review and study mainly focus on Group A rotaviruses. Group A rotaviruses are further classified into subgroups according to the presence of specific epitopes that are found on the VP6 protein (Greig et al., 2006). Subgroup A can further be divided into serogroups that are determined by the protease sensitive protein, VP4 (P-serotypes) and the glycoprotein VP7 (G-serotypes) that induce neutralizing antibodies (Matthijnssens et al., 2008). Due to the cross-reactivity of the monoclonal antibodies used in the serotyping, dual typing is now performed as genotyping.

The overall genetic relatedness among homologous genome segments has been assessed by RNA-RNA hybridization. RNA-RNA hybridization has provided molecular evidence to show close interspecies relationship between human and animal strains. Three human genogroups, represented by reference rotavirus strains $\mathrm{Wa}, \mathrm{DS}-1$ and $\mathrm{Au}-1$ have been established. Sequence comparison of rotavirus genomes is critical to the assignment of genotypes (Matthijnssens et al., 2011). In 2008, a classification system was proposed for rotaviruses in which all eleven genomic RNA segments are used. The full genome classification system is based on nucleotide cut-off percentages (Matthijnssens et al., 2008). The full genome classification system is depicted on the notations Dx-P[x]-Ix-Rx-Cx-Mx-Ax$N x-T x-E x-H x$, which represents, respectively, the genotype for the genome segments encoding V7-VP4-VP6-VP1-VP2-VP3-NSP1-NSP2-NSP3-NSP4-NSP5. The letter in each genotype were derived from the function associated with the structural and non-structural
protein for example Glycosylated, Protease sensitive, Inner capsid protein, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, Core protein, Methyltransferase, Interferon Antagonist, NTPase, Translation enhancer, Enterotoxin, pHosphoprotein (Table 1.3) (Matthijnssens et al., 2008). There are currently $27 \mathrm{G}, 35 \mathrm{P}, 16 \mathrm{I}, 9 \mathrm{R}, 9 \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{M}, 17 \mathrm{~A}, 9 \mathrm{~N}, 12 \mathrm{~T}, 15 \mathrm{E}$ and 11 H genotypes identified as of September 2012 ( $10^{\text {th }}$ International Rotavirus symposium. Bangkok 2012, Matthijnssens).

Table 1.3: Nucleotide percentage identity cut-off values defining genotypes of 11 rotavirus genome segments (Matthijnssens et al., 2008).

| Genome <br> product | Percentage identity <br> cut-off values | Number of <br> genotypes | Function of genotypes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VP7 | $80 \%$ | 27 G | Glycosylated |
| VP4 | $80 \%$ | 35 P | Protease sensitive |
| VP6 | $85 \%$ | 191 | Inner capsid |
| VP1 | $83 \%$ | $9 R$ | RNA dependant-RNA polymerase |
| VP2 | $84 \%$ | 9 C | Core protein |
| VP3 | $81 \%$ | 8 M | Methyltransferase |
| NSP1 | $79 \%$ | 17 A | interferon Antogonist |
| NSP2 | $85 \%$ | 9 N | NTPase |
| NSP3 | $85 \%$ | 12 T | Translation enhancer |
| NSP4 | $85 \%$ | 11 H | Enterotoxin |
| NSP5 | $91 \%$ | pHosphoprotein |  |

### 1.4 Burden of Rotavirus disease

Gastroenteritis causes about 1.3 million deaths each year in children under the age of five, as estimated by the World Health Organisation (WHO) (Tate et al., 2012). At least one third of all gastroenteritis cases are caused by rotavirus, in both the developed and developing countries. Other causes of gastroenteritis are due to bacterial infections, parasites, other virus infections and also by unknown causes. Rotavirus infects every child in its first few years of life with the first infection of rotavirus leading to acute diarrhoea. The proportion of rotavirus detected in children admitted to hospital with diarrhoea is highest in developed countries, but the majority of rotavirus deaths occur in developing countries (Tate et al., 2012).

Children in developing countries account for 82\% of rotavirus deaths (Khoury et al., 2011). Figure 1.2 indicates that the majority of deaths occur in Sub-Saharan Africa and Asia, with more than 100-1000 deaths per 100000 children younger than 5 years old (Tate et al., 2012). A study conducted by Mwenda and co-workers in selected African countries showed that $40 \%$ of children included in this study were positive for rotavirus (Mwenda et al., 2010). They also found that the prevalence of rotavirus infection amongst hospitalized children was
the highest in these regions than anywhere else (Mwenda et al., 2010). Tate and coworkers conducted a study that also showed that $95 \%$ of rotavirus related deaths occurred in the 72 countries that are eligible to receive vaccines with financial support by the Global Alliance for Vaccine and Immunisation (GAVI) (Tate et al., 2012). The national estimate of rotavirus deaths in children under the age of five ranges from less than five deaths in 74 countries to as many as 99000 deaths in India alone (Figure 1.3). Tate and co-workers found that five countries accounted for half of all rotavirus deaths namely the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ethiopia, India, Nigeria and Pakistan (Figure 1.3) with India having the greatest proportion of deaths (Tate et al., 2012).


Figure 1.2: Graphic representation of the number of deaths caused by rotavirus annually in children under the age of five. Each colour represents the number of deaths per 100000 children younger than 5 years. The dark pink regions represent 100-1000 deaths per 100000 people, the medium pink $10-100$ deaths per 100000 people, the light pink 10-50 deaths per 100000 people and the white regions $<10$ deaths per 100000 people. Clearly the majority of deaths occur in the developing countries of South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa. Illustration taken from Tate et al., 2012 with permission.


Figure 1.3: Countries with the greatest number of rotavirus-related deaths in 2008. Number of deaths due to rotavirus diarrhoea, with India accounting for $22 \%$ ( 99000 ) of rotavirus related deaths, in children under the age of five
(http://www.who.int/immunization/monitotringsurveillance/burden/estimates/rotavirus/e n/index.html).

### 1.5 Molecular epidemiology

Before 1995, human rotavirus genotypes G1, G2, G3 and G4 were worldwide the most prevalent. Since 1995, the G9 genotype has emerged worldwide and is considered to be the fifth major human rotavirus genotype. The G12 genotype has since been found to circulate in most parts of the world and might become the sixth major human rotavirus genotype (Matthijnssens et al., 2010a). In the developed countries; North America, Europe and Australia, the G/P types G1P[8], G2P[8], G3P[8], G4P[8] and G9P[8] represent more than $90 \%$ of the circulating genotypes (Seheri et al., 2014). A study conducted in sixteen African countries (East, West, South and North Africa) showed that strains different to those prevalent in the developed countries were prevalent and circulating in these regions. The G1 genotype was most prevalent followed by G9, G2, G12 and G3. Similarly, the P[8] genotype was most prevalent followed by $\mathrm{P}[6]$ and $\mathrm{P}[4]$. The most prevalent $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{P}$ combinations detected in Africa were G1P[8], G2P[4], G2P[6], G3P[6], G8P[6] and G12P[8] (Seheri et al., 2014). These findings show that a high genetic diversity of rotavirus strains is circulating in the African regions.

### 1.6 Replication cycle of rotavirus

The rotavirus replication cycle has five main steps namely cell membrane attachment, membrane penetration, replication, assembly of new particles and the release of the newly formed virus.

Rotavirus attachment is achieved by the outer layer VP4 spike protein (Jayarem et al., 2004), since newly assembled rotavirus virions are not infectious. The VP4 spike protein first has to be proteolitically cleaved by a trypsin-like protease of the host gastrointestinal tract into two proteins namely VP5* and VP8* (Figure 1.4) (Trask et al., 2012). Endocytosis delivers the virion to the early endosome wherein the reduced $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ concentration is thought to trigger uncoating (the loss of VP7) of the triple-layered particle and membrane penetration by VP5*. The loss of the outer capsid proteins and the release of the double layered particle (DLP) into the cytosol activate the internal polymerase complex (VP1 and VP3), to transcribe capped positive sense RNA from each of the eleven double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) genome segments. Positive (+) RNAs serve either as mRNAs for the synthesis of viral proteins by cellular ribosomes or as templates for synthesis of negative-sense RNA [(-) RNA] during genome replication (Trask et al., 2012). The non-structural proteins, NSP2 and NSP5 interact to form large inclusion bodies (viroplasms) that produce components necessary for genome replication and assembly of sub-viral particles.

Genome packaging is initiated when VP1 (and possible VP3) bind to the 3' end of the viral (+) RNAs (Trask et al., 2012). It is thought that the interactions among the eleven (+) RNAs drive the formation of the "assortment complex". Following assortment, an assembling VP2 core shell engages the polymerase component of polymerase (+) RNA complexes, thereby activating the enzymes to initiate (-) RNA synthesis to produce the dsRNA genome (Figure 1.4). The intermediate capsid protein VP6 assembles onto the core to form the DLP, assembly of the outer capsid is not well understood. To assemble fully, the DLP must exit the viroplasm, associate with the VP4 spike protein and breach the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane to gain access to the glycoprotein VP7 protein (Trask et al., 2013). The current model proposes that the interaction of DLPs with the rotavirus transmembrane protein, NSP4, recruits DLPs and the outer capsid protein VP4 to the cytosolic face of the ER membrane. Through an undefined mechanism the DLP-VP4-NSP4 complex buds into the ER. Removal of the ER membrane and NSP4 permit assembly of the ER-resident outer capsid VP7 protein and the formation of the triple-layered particle (TLP). The release from the infected cell exposes the virion to trypsin-like proteases of the gastrointestinal tract, resulting in the specific cleavage of the VP4 into VP5* and VP8* to produce the fully infected virion.


Figure 1.4: Rotavirus replication cycle. i) Attachment and neutralization of the non-infectious rotavirus viron to the target cell, ii) virion is delivered to early endosome, iii) uncoating of triple-layered particles and membrane penetration by VP5, iv) the assembly of the outer capsid, v) intermediate displacement by VP7 occurs. Illustration taken from Trask et al., 2012 with permission.

### 1.7 Pathology of Rotavirus

Children are infected with rotavirus within their first few years of life, regardless of the level of hygiene or quality of food, water and sanitation. Rotavirus is mainly transmitted through the faecal oral route, from person to person, but can also be transmitted through airborne droplets or fomites on toys and countertops (Parez et al., 2008). The virus is highly contagious and low concentrations are needed for infection. It can survive for days on environmental surfaces and for months in stool samples and at room temperature (Parez et al., 2008).

Rotavirus infection can be symptomatic or asymptomatic. Symptoms can occur within 48 hours. Symptoms usually last for 4-8 days, depending on the severity of infection. Clinical symptoms of children infected are mild fever, nausea and vomiting, loss of appetite, abdominal pain and watery diarrhoea (Parez et al., 2008). Once rotavirus is ingested and not neutralized by stomach acid it will attach to the enterocytes of the small intestine, were rotavirus infection mainly occur. During the first 18-36 hours after infection with rotavirus (the incubation period) extensive cellular necrosis of the epithelium will form, resulting in the
loss of digestive enzymes, lower absorption of fluids, higher osmotic pressure in the gut lumen and villous atrophy. Collectively, these effects will result in diarrhoea (Desselberger et al., 2009). A secreted form of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) transmembrane protein NSP4 is involved in diarrhoea induction though interactions with cellular plasma membrane integrin domains and possibly other receptors. It signals a phospholipase C-dependent increase in intracellular $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and subsequently the release of chloride. Finally, the NSP4 Cterminus acts as an intracellular receptor for double-layered particles to facilitate infectious particle assembly in the ER (Hu et al., 2012). During the post incubation period the epithelial surface is destroyed leading to blunted villi, extensive damage, water and nutrient loss, dehydration and massive quantities of villus shedding ( $>10^{2}$ particles per gram) in stool samples of infected individuals (Glass et al., 2006).

### 1.8 Immunogenicity of Rotavirus

Rotavirus infection has been associated with antigenemia (presence of antigen in the blood) and RNAemia (presence of viral RNA in the blood) (Moon et al., 2012). However, rotavirus infection mainly induces both innate and acquired (humoral and cellular) immune responses upon natural infection (Angel et al., 2012). Mechanisms responsible for immunity to rotavirus infection are not completely understood in humans, therefore, animal models are mainly used.

### 1.8.1 Innate immune response

The innate immune response is the first barrier to infection (Liu et al., 2009). The secretion and cytokines belonging to the interferon (IFN) family (type I, II and III) play an important role in the innate immune response by producing IFN stimulated gene (ISG) products (Arnold et al., 2013). RNA viruses are recognized by the invaded cell through several pattern recognition receptors (PRR). Following PRR activation, signal transduction can be expected to activate the transcription factors, IFN regulatory factors (IRF3) and nuclear factor (NF)-xB, promoting optimal IFN- $\beta$ expression (Arnold et al., 2013). The IFN- $\beta$ expression in rotavirus infected cells is inhibited by the NSP1 viral non-structural protein that has an affinity for IRF3. The suppression of IFN- $\beta$ expression is not only mediated by the effect of NSP1 on IRF3, since the protein (NSP1) can also induce the degradation of other members of the IRF family including IRF5 and IRF7 (Angel et al., 2012). NSP1 is a broad spectrum antagonist of type I IFN expression in infected cells since NSP1 has the capacity to target multiple members of the IRF family. NSP1 proteins of human rotavirus rely only on the degradation
of IRF5 and IRF7 to undermine the IFN signalling. In animal rotaviruses the NSP1 proteins tend to target IRF3, IRF5 and IRF7 (Arnold et al., 2013). When IFN signalling is blocked, systematic virulence of particular strains are increased and lethal biliary and pancreatic diseases are induced.

### 1.8.2 Humoral immune response

Primary rotavirus infection causes a serotype-specific humoral immune response (Desselberger et al., 2009). It has been suggested that humoral rotavirus immunity is correlated with protection. Homotypic and heterotypic neutralizing antibody response have been found in children after primary rotavirus infection. This suggests the presence of crossreactive neutralizing antibodies (Desselberger et al., 2011). Protection against subsequent rotavirus infections by different serotypes also develops and increases with the number of rotavirus re-infections. The exact correlates of protective immunity are not known but since rotavirus replication takes place in the intestinal enterocytes it is assumed that the effector mechanism must be active at the intestinal mucosa (Ward et al., 2008). The most obvious immunological effector is immunoglobulin A (lgA). The first rotavirus infection is usually the most severe with severity decreasing as the number of rotavirus infection increases. Symptomatic as well as asymptomatic infection has similar degrees of protection against rotavirus infection (Franco et al., 2006). Faecal specimens, which have been investigated for the presence of rotavirus-specific $\lg A$ antibodies, showed that at high levels $\lg A$ correlate well with protection. Rotavirus-specific serum $\lg A$ antibodies have neutralizing activity that also reacts with epitopes known to elicit heterotypic protection. Individuals with selective IgA-D deficiency may be protected from severe rotavirus disease by developing compensatory rotavirus-specific $\lg G$ responses that are higher than those in $\lg A$ competent persons (Desselberger et al., 2011).

### 1.8.3 Cellular immune response

T-cells are cells that protect the host against the invading virus or antigen. Two mayor types of T-cells are found namely CD8 ${ }^{+}$(cytotoxic T-cells) and CD4 ${ }^{+}$(also known as T-helper cells). T-cell responses have been documented in mouse models. The CD8 ${ }^{+}$cells kills target cells using one of two pathways. It gives complete protection (up to two weeks) after primary infection and partial protection (three months) after re-infection (Malik et al., 2005). The CD4 ${ }^{+}$T-cells are not only involved in supplying help to the CD8 ${ }^{+}$T-cells, but also generate rotavirus specific immunoglobulin A ( $\lg A$ ) which mediates long term protection against rotavirus infection (Angel et al., 2007).

### 1.9 Vaccines

An ideal vaccine would have the following qualities; (i) safe with no or few side-effects, (ii) easy and cheap to manufacture, (iii) stable for storage or transport, (iv) easy to administer, (v) could be given to infants alongside other childhood vaccinations and (vi) would stimulate life-long protection against all forms of disease (http://www.jenner.ac.uk). An effective rotavirus vaccine should, therefore, decrease mortality rates which occur as a result of severe diarrhoea as well as decrease the number of hospitalizations and doctor's visits due to mild infections. Such vaccines are needed in resource-poor countries because of the high mortality rates in these regions.

### 1.9.1 Vaccine history

In 1998, the first rotavirus vaccine, Rotashield, was released by Wyeth-Ayerst Laboratories now known as American Home products. It was a tetravalent, live attenuated rhesus monkey vaccine (RRV-TV) and was administered in three doses (Shadman et al., 2000). In May 1999, nine cases of intussusception (developing of bowel obstruction) associated with children receiving Rotashield, were reported to the Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS) (Murphy et al., 2001). The manufacturing company voluntarily withdrew Rotashield from the market in October 1999 and the Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) stopped the recommendation of Rotashield for routine immunisation of children (Glass et al., 2004). Recent scientific studies conducted support the safety of Rotashield when it is administered at the appropriate age to infants and shows that it is not associated with intussusception. The International Medica Foundation is currently conducting a phase II clinical trial of the Rotashield vaccine in Ghana, Africa in association with the Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical research (http://www.intlmedica.org/rotashield.asp).

### 1.9.2 Currently licensed vaccines

There are currently four licensed rotavirus vaccines worldwide namely RotaTeq, Rotarix, Lanzhou Lamb (only in China) and Rotavac (only in India). In 2009, the WHO Strategic Advisory Group of Experts (SAGE) recommended rotavirus vaccines to be introduced in all national immunisation programmes. They strongly recommended the introduction in countries where the rotavirus mortality rate of children under the age of five is more than $10 \%$ (Marlow et al., 2012). It is, however, still the countries decision whether or not they
want to introduce the vaccine. These decisions are also influenced by the healthcare systems, economics and the burden of the disease.

As of April 2015, 77 countries (Figure 1.5) mostly middle, low and high income countries have introduced the rotavirus vaccine in their National Immunization Programme, including 30 GAVI eligible countries. Other countries such as Canada and Thailand have introduced rotavirus vaccine in pilot or regional introductions.

# National RV introductions by WHO region: 77 countries* 



Figure 1.5: Map demonstrating the national rotavirus vaccine introduction. Countries indicated in green are GAVI eligible countries that have introduced the rotavirus vaccine. The countries indicated in blue are not GAVI eligible countries that have introduced the rotavirus vaccine. (http://sites.paath.org/rotavirusvaccine/rotavirus-advocacy-and-communications-toolkit/country-introduction-maps-and-list/).

The Global Alliance on Vaccines and Immunisation (GAVI) gives financial support to the developing countries that want to introduce the vaccines. GAVI began offering support for rotavirus vaccines in 2006. Since then the rotavirus vaccines have been introduced in 35

GAVI eligible countries. GAVI has approved four additional countries for rotavirus vaccine support (Figure 1.6) (http://sites.paath.org/rotavirusvaccine/rotavirus-advocacy-and-communications-toolkit/country-introduction-maps-and-list/).

Gavi-supported RV introductions by WHO region: 35 countries*


Figure 1.6: Map demonstrating GAVI-supported countries and approved countries for rotavirus vaccine introduction. Countries indicated in maroon have already introduced the vaccine while countries indicated in orange are approved by GAVI for rotavirus vaccine introduction (http://sites.paath.org/rotavirusvaccine/rotavirus-advocacy-and-communications-toolkit/country-introduction-maps-and-list/).

### 1.9.2.1 RotaTeq

RotaTeq is a pentavalent human bovine (WC3) live-attenuated vaccine produced by Merck Research Corporation (Matson et al., 2006). The bovine rotavirus strain (WC3) was isolated from a calf in Pennsylvania, in 1981 and used as the starting point to develop a multivalent vaccine (Ciarlet et al., 2009; Mathijnssens et al., 2010b). WC3 is one of the parent strains and has a genotype of G6P[7]. WC3 as a monovalent was found to be immunogenic but
gave inconsistent results in efficacy studies done on humans (Tom-Revzon et al., 2009). Improvement on the bovine rotavirus (WC3) by developing reassortants with human VP4 or VP7 led to the current rotavirus vaccine, RotaTeq (Tom-Revzon et al., 2009). The currently licensed RotaTeq vaccine contains five human bovine reassortant strains (G1-G4 and P1[8]) each expressing a different VP7 or VP4 surface protein on the backbone of the naturally attenuated tissue culture adapted parental bovine rotavirus strain (G6P[7]) (Matthijnssens et al., 2010b).


Figure 1.7: Attenuated human-bovine rotavirus reassortant vaccine (RotaTeq). The vaccine contains five reassortant rotaviruses. Four reassortant rotaviruses express the VP7 protein (G1, G2, G3 or G4) from the human rotavirus parent strain and the VP4 protein (P7[5]) from the bovine rotavirus parent strain. The fifth reassortant virus expresses the VP4 proteins (P1A[8]) from the human rotavirus parent strain and the outer capsid protein G6 from the bovine parent strain. Illustration taken from Dennehy et al., 2008 with permission.

The US Food and Drug administration approved RotaTeq on February 3, 2006 and on February 21, 2006 the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practice (ACIP) recommended RotaTeq for the routine immunization programme in the US. The vaccine is administered with other licensed vaccines in the routine immunization schedule at 2,4 and 6 months of age (Ciarlet et al., 2009).

### 1.9.2.2 Rotarix

Rotarix is a live-attenuated vaccine, produced by GlaxoSmithKline Biological. The vaccine contains the RIX4414 strain of G1P[8] rotavirus (Figure 1.8) (Bernstein et al., 2006). Rotarix was developed from an isolate that was isolated from an infant in Cincinnati in 1989. The isolate was designated 89-12 and showed protection against rotavirus. This evidence provided the recognition that a human rotavirus rather than an animal rotavirus strain can be used to develop a vaccine. An initial randomized placebo-controlled double blind efficacy trial was conducted with the vaccine in 213 infants. Vaccine efficacy in the first year of life was $89 \%$ against rotavirus disease and $100 \%$ against very severe disease (Bernstein et al., 2006). The vaccine was initially named RIX4414 and further development was done by limiting dilution cloning of 89-12 in Vero cells leading to the currently licensed vaccine.


Figure 1.8: Rotarix attenuated human rotavirus vaccine. Vaccine is produced in a tissue culture adapted human P1A[8]G, VP6 subgroup II and NSP4 geno-group B strain. Illustration taken from Angel et al., 2007 with permission.

In 2007, the World Health Organisation prequalified Rotarix in the United States, based on the efficacy and safety data obtained from Latin America and Western Europe trials. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) licensed Rotarix, in the United States, in April 2008. Rotarix was, however, registered first in Mexico, in 2004. In August 2009, South Africa became the first African country to include Rotarix into the Expanded Programme on Immunisation (Madhi et al., 2014). The vaccine is administered in two doses with other childhood licensed vaccines on the routine immunization schedule at 2 and 4 months of age.

### 1.9.2.3 Lanzhou lamb

Lanzhou lamb is a live monovalent serotype ( $\mathrm{P}[2] \mathrm{G} 10]$ of group A rotavirus. The vaccine was isolated from a local lamb (in China) with diarrhoea and grown in kidney cells for 37 generations (Fu et al., 2007). It is manufactured by the Lanzhou lamb Institute of Biological Products (Lanzhou, China) and was licensed in 2000 for gastroenteritis among children (Fu et al., 2007).

Lanzhou lamb is administered in one dose annually for children 2 months -3 years and 3-5 years old. Since it was launched, nearly 500000 children younger than 5 years have been immunised (Fu et al., 2007). However, the vaccine is only licensed in China (Parasher et al., 2006) due to the little data that is available of the vaccine's safety, immunogenicity and efficacy. The efficacy is unknown due to the fact that the vaccine was not tested against placebo in a controlled phase III trial (Fu et al., 2007).

### 1.9.2.4 Rotavac (Bovine/neonatal 116E strain)

The 116E strain was isolated from asymptomatic newborns at a hospital in New Delhi, India in 1985 (Glass et al., 2005). Sequence analyses showed that the 116E is a G9P[1] strain, with VP4 being similar to that of several bovine rotavirus strains (Rippinger et al., 2010). The 116E monovalent vaccine was adapted to grow on Vero cells by the Manufacturer Bharat Biotech International Ltd (BBIL) and was formulated as a vaccine candidate (Parasher et al., 2006).

Phase $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{II}$ immunogenicity and safety trials were conducted in infants aged 8 to 20 weeks at both low ( $1 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{ffu}$ ) and high ( $1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{ffu}$ ) doses. The vaccine was administered three times separately from the routine childhood vaccines (Bhandari et al., 2009). Immunogenicity was seen after the first dose and reached immunogenic rates of $89.7 \%$ after the third administration of the high dose (Bhandari et al., 2009). The results were encouraging enough to conduct a large clinical trial to evaluate protective efficacy of the 116E vaccine in a field setting and with the other immunisation vaccines (Bhandari et al., 2009). Since 2001, PATH (Programme for Appropriate Technology in Health) has been part of a collaborative effort to develop and evaluate 116E. PATH is supporting India's National Institute of Immunology, in close collaboration with Indian Department of Biotechnology and BBIL, to prepare the vaccine for phase III efficacy trials (www.path.org; developing new vaccine against rotavirus).

A multicentre double blind placebo controlled phase III trial was conducted in India (March 2011-September 2013). The study was conducted in infants age 6-7 weeks which received
three doses of the oral human bovine natural reassortant vaccine (116E) at ages 6-7, 10 and 14 weeks along with other childhood vaccines (Bhandari et al., 2014). Vaccine efficacy against severe rotavirus gastroenteritis in children up to two years of age was $55.1 \%$ (first year of life was $56.4 \%$ and second year of life was $48.9 \%$ ). The 116E vaccine, now known as Rotavac, was licensed in India in January 2014
(http://www.who.int/vaccine_safety/committee/topics/rotavirus/rotavac/Jun_2014/en/).

### 1.9.3 Shortcomings of currently licensed vaccines

The currently licensed vaccines have shown to be effective in clinical studies conducted worldwide. However, both Rotarix and RotaTeq have some shortcomings as will be discussed below.

### 1.9.3.1 Efficacy trials

Efficacy trials performed with Rotarix in South Africa and Malawi showed that it was less effective in Malawi (49.9\%) and South Africa (76.9\%) (Madhi et al., 2010) than in Europe and North America (with 95-98\%) against severe rotavirus gastroenteritis (Vesikari et al., 2007; Linhares et al., 2008; Ruis-palacois et al., 2006). The same was observed for efficacy trials performed with RotaTeq in Africa and Asia, which showed that it was less effective in Africa (64.2\%) and Asia (51\%) (Armah et al., 2010; Zaman et al., 2010) than in the United States ( $\pm 90 \%$ ) (Vesikari et al., 2006; Ciarlet et al., 2009). These efficacy trials, therefore, suggest that Rotarix and RotaTeq are more effective in developed countries than in some developing countries.

The difference of efficacy between developed and developing countries have yet to be clearly identified, but can be due to a number of factors. The one reason can be due to the difference in strains, since the strains used to develop RotaTeq and Rotarix were selected from strains circulating in the developed world (USA and Europe), namely G1, G2, G3 and G4, while the emerging strains in Africa G8, G9, G12 and G2 (Steele et al., 2012; Seheri et al., 2014) were not included. The variability in strains could offer an explanation for the lower efficacy of these vaccines observed in the developing countries.

It should be considered that unlike the developed countries, children with HIV and malnourishment were included in some of the studies conducted in Africa, which can also impair their immune response to a rotavirus vaccine (Patel et al., 2009). The higher background of rotavirus, other enteric co-infections and chronic diseases (malaria and
tuberculosis) that are prevalent in these populations can play a role in vaccine efficacy in developing countries. The co-administration of oral polio vaccine (OPV) and breastfeeding at the time of vaccine administration may also play a role, as well as the interference of passively acquired maternal antibodies (Chan et al., 2011).

### 1.9.3.2 Severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID)

Severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) is defined as a group of genetic disorders that results in the lack of T-cell and B-cell immunity. The diseases are usually characterized by life threatening infection during the first year of life and can be fatal unless it is treated, usually with stem-cell transplantation (Patel et al., 2010).

The safety and effectiveness of Rotarix and RotaTeq in infants with primary or secondary immuno-deficiencies have not been evaluated. These include infants on immunosuppressive therapy and infants with malignant neoplasms affecting bone marrow or lymphatic system. However, current guidelines support the administration of rotavirus vaccines to children infected with HIV, the largest immunosuppressed population study to date. The first reported case of SCID was of a 9 month old baby that presented with a history of faltering growth and chronic diarrhoea. She was fully immunized and also received the oral RotaTeq vaccine at 2, 4 and 6 months. She had mild diarrhoea after the first dose and remained well until four months, after which she developed persistent vomiting and diarrhoea with poor weight gain that worsened at six months. Assessment of her chronic diarrhoea revealed rotavirus in her stool, lymphoenia, and undetectable $\lg G, \lg A$ and $\operatorname{lgM}$. Lymphocyte subsets confirmed absent T-cells with absent lymphocyte function and normal levels of B- and natural killer cells (Werther et al., 2009). A diagnosis of severe SCID was made (Werther et al., 2009). An additional three cases of SCID babies presenting with diarrhoea were reported following vaccination with RotaTeq. Three patients with SCID were given two doses (at 2 and 4 months) live, oral pentavalent rotavirus vaccine (Rotateq). All three patients presented symptoms of diarrhoea and failure to thrive. Symptoms occurred after receiving the second dose in two of the patients (patient 1 and 2) while symptoms already appeared after the first dose in the third patient. This can be an indication of early protection in infancy by transplacentally acquired maternal antibodies (Patel et al., 2010). Rotavirus was detected in stool specimens of all three patients with one patient having co-infection with giardia and adenovirus as well (Patel et al., 2010). Rotavirus was still present in stool specimens up to the age of 9 months (patient 1), 8.5 months (patient 2) and 14 months (patient 3) in the infants. After these reports of rotavirus vaccines and SCID the Food and Drug administration updated the package insert for both vaccines and listed SCID as a conflict for the use of live oral rotavirus vaccines.

These cases of rotavirus infection in patients with SCID raise concern regarding the safety of live-attenuated rotavirus vaccines in children with severe combined immunodeficiency disease. This is especially of interest for developing countries that account for the most rotavirus deaths and have a high prevalence of severe immunocompromised (HIV) patients.

### 1.9.3.3 Reassortment

Reassortment is the mixing of genetic material of two different virus strains infecting the same cell.

There are different ways that reassortment can occur in the case of rotavirus, namely (Gentsch et al., 2005)

- reassortment between the common rotavirus strains
- Animal human rotavirus reassortment: where animal rotavirus genes can be introduced to human rotavirus through reassortment.

Rotavirus vaccines are administered through the oral route and have the risk of reassortment with field strains, leading to a virulent virus. Payne and co-workers (2010) documented a case where reassortment occurred between the RotaTeq vaccine strains of genotypes G1P7[5] and G6P1A[8], during intestinal replication. Transmission occurred from the young vaccinated to the older unvaccinated sibling causing symptomatic rotavirus gastroenteritis that required medical care (Payne et al., 2010). Another study conducted by Donate and co-workers (Donate et al., 2012) documented cases where viral specimens were associated with a G1P[8] strain, resulting through genetic reassortment between two component RotaTeq strains. This study showed that during the replication and excretion of RotaTeq vaccine, reassortment of parental strains can occur (Donate et al., 2012). However, the benefits of vaccination outweigh any small risk of vaccine associated gastroenteritis. These studies showed that reassortment is possible when virus shedding and transmission occur from a vaccinated to an unvaccinated infant as well as between two vaccine strains.

### 1.9.3.4 Breastfeeding

Maternal antibodies are transmitted from mother to foetus via the placenta and breast milk. Such antibodies can provide immunity for the foetus and new born for up to 6 months. There are three factors that can alter the effective titer of the vaccine, namely amount of neutralizing activity in the breast milk, the effect of breastfeeding and the practises around the time of breastfeeding. Both $\lg A$ antibodies (neutralizes rotavirus) and receptor
analogues (absorb to virus and inhibit attachment) are found in breast milk. These factors will decrease as breastfeeding progresses but are the highest during the first days after birth (Patel et al., 2009).

Therefore, if the vaccine was administered during a time in which the child was not fed, the vaccine strain can pass through to the gut unaltered and start replicating. However, if the child received the vaccine after a feeding, the mouth and gastrointestinal tract can become in vivo sites for virus neutralization and the vaccine will not be effective. A study conducted by Moon and co-workers (Moon et al., 2013) showed that higher levels of lactoferin, loactohedrin, IgA and neutralizing activity were present in breast milk specimens from women in India and Africa than from women in America. They also demonstrated positive associations between levels of lactoferin or $\lg A$ and neutralizing activity in Indian and African women. Therefore, the lower immunogenicity and efficacy of rotavirus vaccines in developing countries could be explained in part by the co-active inhibitory effect of high levels of antibody and non-antibody components in breast milk that are consumed by infants at the time of immunisation (Moon et al., 2013).

Recently Groome and co-workers (Groome et al., 2014) conducted a study that showed that breastfeeding does not have an effect on infant immune response to the rotavirus vaccine. The study was conducted in South Africa (Soweto) to determine whether or not abstence from breastfeeding an hour before or after each vaccination had an impact on the immune response of infants receiving two doses of the rotavirus vaccine, Rotarix. The study was conducted on only HIV uninfected infants at the 6 week infant immunisation (Groome et al., 2014). Groome and co-workers found that the abstention from breastfeeding for at least one hour before or after each vaccination dose had no significant effect on the frequency of seroconversion among the vaccinated infants or the titres of anti-rotavirus $\lg A$ in the sera of the same infant (Groome et al., 2014). This study showed that breastfeeding is probably not the cause of the lower efficacy of rotavirus vaccines in lower income countries. Therefore, the reasons that oral rotavirus vaccines appear to have relatively low efficacy in low income countries require further investigation. These studies include co-administration of oral polio vaccine, micronutrient deficiency, enteric co-infection and other diseases such as HIV (Groome et al., 2014).

### 1.9.3.5 Porcine circovirus (PCV)

Porcine circovirus (PCV) is a single-stranded DNA virus that is non-enveloped with an unsegmented circular genome (Ma et al., 2011). A next generation sequencing approach led to the discovery of PCV nucleic acid sequences in Rotarix (Victoria et al., 2010) and it
showed that the sequences represented infectious PCV particles. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) temporarily suspended the use of Rotarix, on March 22, 2010 (Dore et al., 2012). Traces of PCV1 and PCV2 DNA fragments were also identified in RotaTeq. On May 7, 2010 the suspension of Rotarix was lifted due to the fact that the benefits of rotavirus vaccination outweigh any associated risk with the use of Rotarix or RotaTeq (http://www.who.int/vaccine-safety/topics/rotavirus/rotarix-statment-march-
2010/en/index.html). The FDA and both vaccine companies have updated the labelling of both Rotarix and Rotateq stating the presence of PCV1 (in Rotarix) and PCV1 and PCV2 (in RotaTeq) in the vaccines (American Academy of Paediatrics, 2010).

### 1.9.3.6 Intussusception

Intussusception is a form of bowel obstruction which occurs when one segment of the bowl becomes enfolded within another segment, which if not treated, can be fatal (Tate et al., 2012). The first case of intussusception associated with rotavirus vaccines was with the first rotavirus vaccine, Rotashield in 1999 (Patel et al., 2009). Due to the concerns regarding a potential age dependant risk of intussusception with the previous rotavirus vaccine, strict age of administration guidelines were, therefore, implemented for the new rotavirus vaccines. The currently licensed vaccines have been carefully monitored, initially by large safety and efficacy studies and by post marketing surveillance as well. Both currently licensed rotavirus vaccines, Rotarix and RotaTeq, were associated with intussusception during clinical trials (Tate et al., 2012). However, post-marketing surveillance of the currently licensed vaccines has indicated a small risk of intussusception (1-2 cases per 100000 infants vaccinated) detected in some populations (Europe, Mexico, Brazil, United States, Australia) following immunisation with the first dose of both currently licensed rotavirus vaccines (Tate et al., 2012). None the less the immunisation committees continue to recommend the use of rotavirus vaccines given that the benefits of the vaccine exceed the risk, but further research is needed to understand fully the association between rotavirus vaccination and intussusception (Tate et al., 2012).

### 1.9.3.7 Other shortcomings

Oral polio vaccine (OPV): The simultaneous administration of the OPV has the potential to interfere with the oral rotavirus immune response and is one of the reasons for the lower rotavirus vaccine efficacy in developing countries. OPV does not interfere with rotavirus in developed countries, since inactivated polio vaccines (IPV) are used in these countries. Over 140 countries use OPV as part of their routine immunisation programme (Patel et al., 2012).

The potential of mutual interference between OPV and rotavirus exists since both are live, attenuated vaccines and have the potential that their virus strains can replicate in the gut. Several studies for both Rotarix (South Africa, Bangladesh, and Latin America) and RotaTeq (four Latin America countries) have been done to assess the effect of OPV and rotavirus coadministration. It is clear from the data obtained that OPV does interfere with the immune response of the first dose of rotavirus vaccines. The interference can, however, be overcome after the completion of the vaccine series. Further research is still necessary to understand the full impact of OPV interference with the rotavirus vaccine (Patel et al., 2012).

Interfering gut flora: The overgrowth of small bowel bacteria can impair the immune response to live oral vaccines. Therefore, co-inhibiting bacteria and viruses could decrease the immune response to live vaccine viruses (Patel et al., 2009). This is especially of interest to developing countries where children may have an abundance of enteric pathogens in their gut by the age of three months (this also includes enteroviruses, that are not part of the normal gut flora).

Other medical conditions: Infants in developing countries such as Africa and Asia may also be exposed to other acute and chronic conditions such as HIV infection, tuberculosis, malaria, diarrhoea and fever, which can lower the vaccine efficacy of the rotavirus vaccines (Patel et al., 2009).

### 1.9.4 Alternative vaccines

### 1.9.4.1 Live vaccines

The objective of a successful live oral vaccine is that it must provide a high level of protection against the disease. Data has implied that an attenuated rotavirus vaccine, which mimics natural infection, can provide protection against the disease (Tate et al., 2010). There are currently four licensed live attenuated rotavirus vaccines (as described in section 1.9.2), however these vaccines are usually not highly effective in some countries and the vaccine manufacturing companies also do not have enough production capacity. Therefore, research in rotavirus vaccines has to continue to make the existing vaccines more affordable as well as to develop new, safer and more affordable vaccines. There are currently two alternative live vaccines that are being investigated.

## Bovine reassortant vaccine

The Bovine UK Compton strain (G6P[7]) was obtained from a stool sample of a colostrum derived calf, with diarrhoea and was isolated from calf kidney cells in the United Kingdom (Clements-Mann et al., 1999). It is a tetravalent vaccine candidate containing 10 genome segments from the G6P[7]) bovine UK Compton strain and the VP7 genome segment of human rotavirus serotypes (G1-4) (Clements-Mann et al., 2001).

The vaccine was tested in both the United States and Finland and was developed in parallel with Rotashield (RRV-TV). In Finland it showed non-reactogenicity in phase I trials as well as satisfactory immunogenicity in phase II trials (Vesikari et al., 2006). This vaccine is seen as a "designer vaccine" meaning that the VP7 can encode for specific serotypes to accommodate the emergence of new and different serotypes (Kapikian et al., 2005). The vaccine candidate was developed at the Laboratory of Infectious Diseases of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious diseases (NIAID, Bethesda, MD) (Clements-Mann et al., 1999) and the National Institute of Health (NIH). The NIH has licensed the vaccine to seven companies in three countries namely Brazil, India and China. PATH (Programme for Appropriate Technology in Health) is supporting the development of the BRV, by providing financial support and scientific assistance to two selected manufacturers. These manufacturers are Shantha Biotechnics Ltd in India since 2006 and the National Biotec Group's Wuhan Institute of Biological Science in China since 2007 (PATH).

## Human neonatal rotavirus RV3 strain

The RV3 (serotype G3 strain) was found in healthy newborns at the Melbourne obstetric hospital in Australia, 1970 (Barnes et al., 2002). Sequence analyses showed that RV3 is a naturally attenuated G3P[6] strain (Riepinger et al., 2010).

A phase I/II trial was conducted in children receiving the vaccine at age 3,5 and 7 months. The trial showed the vaccine to be safe but it showed low immunogenicity (Barnes et al., 2002). A second generation, increased titre RV3-BB vaccine was developed with the expectation of improved immunogenicity. A single centre, double-blind randomised placebo controlled phase I study was conducted to evaluate the safety, tolerability and immunogenicity of a single oral dose of the second generation RV3-BB vaccine. Sixty participants across three age groups, 20 adults (age 18-50 years), 20 children (age 3-5 years) and 20 infants (age 16-18 weeks) were included in the study. The RV3-BB rotavirus vaccine was well tolerated in all three age groups in the phase I clinical trial. There was evidence of immunogenicity with vaccine intake in the majority of infants (89\%) with a single dose of RV3-BB. Phase II trials to assess the immunogenicity and efficacy of the RV3-BB
rotavirus vaccine, with the first dose delivered at birth or at 6-8 weeks of age, commenced in 2011 (data not yet available) (Danchin et al., 2013).

### 1.9.4.2 Non-live vaccines

Although live oral vaccines are the primary approach for vaccine development, other approaches and routes of administration are being studied (Kang et al., 2006). Non-live rotavirus vaccines are being studied as the next generation of vaccines. Three of these nonlive approaches used for non-live rotavirus vaccines are discussed below.

## Inactivated rotavirus particles (IRV)

An inactivated vaccine (or killed vaccine) is defined as a vaccine that consists of virus particles which are grown in culture and then killed using a method such as thermal (heat) or chemical inactivation (formaldehyde, aziridines or $\beta$-propiolactone). Chemical inactivation has been used to prepare inactivated vaccines against viruses such as polio, influenza and others. However, chemical treatments can cause physical and biochemical damages to the virus. Thermal inactivated rotavirus particles maintain their structural, biochemical and antigenic integrity (Jiang et al., 2008). Inactivated rotavirus vaccines (IRV) have advantages over live oral vaccines, such as that IRVs do not have to be administered orally, thereby causing ease of administration.

Inactivated rotavirus vaccines have been tested in rabbits, mice and gnotobiotic piglets. Jiang and co-workers used a heat inactivated vaccine in the study they conducted (Jiang et al., 2008). It was shown in mice that the vaccine was highly immunogenic. They also examined whether or not the addition of aluminium hydroxide $\left(\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right)$ to the vaccine will enhance the immune response (Jiang et al., 2008). Results obtained indicated that a very low dose of thermally inactivated vaccine (formulated with $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ ) was highly immunogenic (Jiang et al., 2008). Another study conducted by Wang and co-workers (Wang et al., 2010) assessed the immunogenicity and protection of a possible inactivated rotavirus vaccine candidate with the human strain CDC-9 (G1P[8]) formulated with aluminium phosphate against rotavirus infected piglets (Wang et al., 2010). Results obtained showed partial protective immunity in piglets (Wang et al., 2010). The study's findings were also in agreement with a study conducted by Dr Nakagomi, in Japan (Wang et al., 2010). Dr Nakagomi's study showed that gnotobiotic piglets were completely protected from a partially homologous challenge with a rotavirus G1P[8] strain, after they were immunised with an inactivated G1P[4] rotavirus strain vaccine candidate in the absence of an adjuvant. Although these studies conducted are promising, these vaccines will still have to be tested in clinical trials.

## Triple- and double-layered virus-like particle vaccines

Triple-layered and double-layered particles (VLPs and DLPs) are formed from expressed recombinant proteins and usually contain VP2 and VP6, with or without VP4 and VP7. There are several expression systems that can be used to produce the recombinant proteins (that become incorporated into VLPs). However, the most common expression system is the baculovirus system (Ward et al., 2008). VLPs have been tested as a rotavirus vaccine in mice, rabbits and gnotobiotic piglets. Partial or total protection was obtained against virus shedding in mice and rabbits (Conner et al., 1996). VLPs are more protective in gnotobiotic piglets when given with an adjuvant and are also more effective when given intranasally than orally (Ward et al., 2008). Azvedo and co-workers showed that VLPs can be used as a booster after priming mice with a live attenuated virus (Azvedo et al., 2010). It was shown that prime/booster vaccines are a more effective approach than multiple doses of live or VLP vaccines alone (Azvedo et al., 2010). The absence of the viral genome leaves space for components and, therefore, VLPs can be used as a delivery agent to target organs or cells, as shown by Cortes and co-workers (Cortes et al., 2010). They found that VLPs can be used as a drug delivery system for the efficient delivery of bio-molecules into the colon (Cortes et al., 2010).

Since VLPs cannot replicate in the intestine they are anticipated to be safe when administered to infants, especially those with malnourishment and diseases that render them immuno-compromised. VLPs may be especially useful for children in developing countries where rotavirus infections are high (Jiang et al., 1999). Therefore, VLPs might provide a safer and efficacious alternative to, or complement live rotavirus vaccines, for both human and veterinary use (Conner et al., 1996).

## VP6 based vaccine candidate

VP6 is one of the six structural proteins of rotavirus and together with VP2 is necessary for the formation of the double-layered particle. VP6 is essential in the viral replication cycle and determines the antigenic subgroups (A-H). It also has a possible role in immunity making it a good candidate for a non-live vaccine (Ward et al., 2010).

It has been shown in the literature that recombinant rotavirus VP6 can induce protection in mice. In one study they used recombinant VP6 expressed in Escherichia coli as a fusion chimeric protein. The recombinant chimeric fusion protein suppressed intestinal rotavirus antigen production $>93 \%$ when it was administered to mice after a murine rotavirus challenge (Choi et al., 1999). Another study showed that immunisation with DNA encoding VP6 induced protection in mice (Hermann et al., 1996). Ward and co-workers expressed

VP6 in Escherichia coli as a fusion protein with the maltose binding protein (MBP:VP6). The VP6 vaccine candidate was tested in mice. Mice received two doses of the MBP:VP6 purified protein intranasally with the adjuvant LT (R1926G). Mice were challenged with the EDIM (Epizootic diarrhoea of infant mice) virus. Results showed that protection against EDIM virus shedding was greater in mice receiving the MBP:VP6 with adjuvant than the mice receiving only the MBP:VP6 without adjuvant. The results showed that one dose of MBP:VP6 with adjuvant can promote long term protection (Ward et al., 2010). The study only showed the protection obtained in mice.

Another study conducted by Lappalainen and co-workers produced a human recombinant VP6 protein in Sf9 cells by baculovirus expression system (Lappalainen et al., 2013). BALB/C mice received two doses of the recombinant VP6 intramuscularly. Recombinant VP6 induced a balanced T-helper response (Th-1 and Th-2 type) and high levels of serum $\operatorname{lgG}$ antibodies with cross reactivity against six different rotavirus strains ( $\mathrm{Wa}, \mathrm{SC} 2, \mathrm{BrB}$, 69M, L26, WC3 and RRV). Mucosal VP6 specific $\lg G$ and $\lg A$ antibodies were also detected in faeces and vaginal washes of the immunized animals (Lappalainen et al., 2013). These studies gave evidence that VP6 can be considered as a non-live vaccine candidate against rotavirus. If a VP6 vaccine is found to be safe and effective in humans, as observed in animals, it possibly has the potential to be one of the next generation vaccines.

### 1.10 Expression systems used for generating recombinant proteins and VLPs as vaccine candidates

Expression systems can be classified into two main groups: prokaryotic expression systems (bacteria) and eukaryotic expression systems (yeast, fungi, insect/baculovirus, plant cells and mammalian cells). A wide range of expression systems are available, however, only three expression systems will be discussed here namely baculovirus expression (gold standard for rotavirus DLPs and VLPs), yeast and bacteria (the two expression systems that will be used in this project).

## Insect/Baculovirus expression system

The baculovirus expression system is the main expression system used in the expression of rotavirus virus-like particles as well as in studies to show that the VLPs induce protection. Commercialised baculovirus expression systems (BVES) have many advantages, namely (i) they are safe to use (non-pathogenic) and(ii) easy to scale-up. Baculovirus have been reproducibly scaled up for large-scale production of biologically active recombinant products,
(iii) high levels of recombinant gene expression are possible and (iv) simultaneous expression is possible. The most commonly used insect cell lines in the baculovirus expression system is $\mathrm{Sf} 9, \mathrm{Sf} 21$ and High Five cells. The Bac-to-Bac expression system is mainly used (Invitrogen manual, 2004). A few examples of Baculovirus studies include:
(i) Rotavirus-like particles administered mucosally induce protective immunity (O'Neal et al., 1997)
O'Neal and co-workers produced the VP2/6 and VP2/6/7 VLPs by self-assembly of individual rotavirus structural proteins, co-expressed by baculovirus recombinants in insect cells (O'Neal et al., 1997). Mice were administered with the VLP as well as with the VLP and the cholera toxin adjuvant. They found that protection levels were higher when the VLPs were administered with the cholera toxin adjuvant (O'Neal et al., 1997).
(ii) Rotavirus $2 / 6$ virus-like particles administered intranasally with Cholera Toxin, Escherichia coli Heat labile Toxin (LT), and LT-R192G induce protection from rotavirus challenge (O'Neal et al., 1998)
O'Neal and co-workers made rotavirus VLPs by co-infecting cells with baculovirus recombinants that expressed rotavirus structural proteins (O'Neal et al., 1998). The rotavirus VP2/6 VLPs was administered to mice along with three different adjuvants namely the cholera toxin (CT), E.coli heat labile toxin (LT) and LT-R192G (mutant of E.coli heat labile toxin). All three adjuvants induced protection, in mice, when administered with the 2/6 VLPs (O'Neal et al., 1998).
(iii) Characterization of virus-like particles produced by the expression of rotavirus capsid proteins in insect cells (Crawford et al., 1994).
Crawford and co-workers cloned each of the rotavirus genes, coding for a major capsid protein (VP1, VP2, VP3, VP4, VP6 and VP7), into the baculovirus expression system and expressed each protein in insect cells. They also found double-layered particles containing VP2/6 with or without VP4 and triple layered particles VP2/6/7 with or without VP4 (Crawford et al., 1994). Crawford and co-workers also found that VLPs maintained the structural and functional characteristics of rotavirus particles (determined by electron microscope examination of particles), the presence of nonneutralizing and neutralizing epitopes on VP4 and VP7 and hemagglutination activity of VP2/4/6/7 VLPs. The production of VP2/6/4 particles indicated that the VP4 protein interacts with VP6. Cell binding assays performed by Crawford and co-
workers also indicated that the VP4 protein is the viral attachment protein (Crawford et al., 1994).

These are only a few of many studies that have been conducted so far. Insect/baculovirus expression systems do have disadvantages mainly that insect cells do not grow rapidly, the complexity of the growth medium but the biggest, however, is that they are very expensive.

## Bacterial expression system

Bacterial expression systems are attractive since bacteria have the ability to grow rapidly, reach high cell densities, often have well characterized genetics, are low in costs and have the availability of increasingly large number of cloning and expression vectors (Yin et al., 2007). Escherichia coli cells are mainly used due to the fact that the organism is well characterized. However, other bacterial strains (such as B.subtillus, Lactococcus, and Lactobacillus) are also available for protein expression. Bacterial expression does, however, have restrictions since bacteria cannot perform post-translational modifications such as glycosylation (Reyes-Ruiz et al., 2006). These modifications can affect the solubility, structure, stability and immunogenicity of the functional protein (Yin et al., 2007).

Rotavirus proteins have been expressed in bacteria as mentioned previously in section 1.9.4.2. Examples of some of these studies include:
(i) Bacterial expression of major antigenic regions of porcine rotavirus VP7 induces a neutralizing immune response in mice (Wang et al., 1999)
Wang and co-workers expressed a chimeric protein comprising E.coli outer membrane protein A (OmpA) and part of a porcine rotavirus VP7 (containing all three antigenic regions) in Salmonella and E.coli as an outer membrane associated protein (Wang et al., 1999). When mice were immunized with E.coli or Salmonella cells expressing the chimeric protein, antibodies were produced against native VP7 (Wang et al., 1999).
(ii) Development of porcine rotavirus VP6 protein based ELISA for differentiation of this virus and other viruses (Zhu et al., 2013)
The purpose of this study was to express the viral protein VP6 protein of porcine rotavirus (PRV) in bacteria and to generate rabbit polyclonal antiserum to the VP6 protein (Zhu et al., 2013). They also established a discrimination ELISA to distinguish PRV from a panel of other porcine viruses. Zhu and co-workers found that VP6 could be expressed in E.coli and that the anti-VP6 antibody was capable of
distinguishing PRV from porcine transmissible gastroenteritis virus, porcine epidemic diarrhoea virus, porcine circovirus type II, porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus, porcine pseudorabies virus and porcine parvovirus (Zhu et al., 2013). In conclusion, they found that PRV VP6 could be expressed in E.coli to generate antibodies in rabbits and that the anti-VP6 serum antibody could be used as a good diagnostic reagent for the detection of PRV.
(iii) Antibody independent protection against rotavirus infection of mice stimulated by intranasal immunization with chimeric VP4 or VP6 protein (Choi et al., 1999).
Choi and co-workers administered mice with purified E.coli VP4, VP6 or a truncated VP7 (TrVP7) protein fused to the maltose binding protein (MBP). Mice were challenged with EDIM (Epizootic diarrhoea of mice) a month after the last immunisation (Choi et al., 1999). Choi and co-workers found that when mice were administered with the proteins and the adjuvant Saponin adjuvant (QS-21), rotavirus serum IgG was induced by each protein, however, shedding was only significantly reduced in MP:VP6 immunized mice. When mice were administered with the proteins and the adjuvant E.coli heat labile toxin LT (R192G), rotavirus serum $\lg G$ was also induced but MBP:VP4 immunization stimulated no detectable rotavirus antibody (Choi et al., 1999). No protection against shedding was found in TrVP7 but was found in MBP:VP6 and MBP:VP4.
(iv) Intranasal administration of an Escherichia coli expressed codon-optimised rotavirus VP6 protein induces protection in mice (Choi et al., 2004)
Choi and co-workers developed a prototype vaccine candidate consisting of MBP:VP6:His6, a chimeric protein of maltose binding protein, VP6 and hexahistidine expressed in E.coli. Mice were administered with the MBP:VP6:His6 protein and E.coli heat labile toxin LT (R192G) adjuvant (Choi et al., 2004). They found that protection was produced in mice and that the expression of the complete rotavirus VP6 protein was enhanced by codon-optimization and that the protection elicted was not affected by the denaturation of the recombinant VP6 (Choi et al., 2004).

These are only a few studies of rotavirus proteins expressed in bacteria.

## Yeast expression system

Yeast expression systems are attractive, since yeast cells can grow quickly and in defined media, are easy and less expensive to work with than mammalian or insect cells, they can
be adapted to fermentation and they are also safe since they do not contain any endotoxins or oncogenes (Yin et al., 2007). Saccharomyces cerevisiae is the most often used yeast and has been engineered to express different heterologous genes. Pichia pastoris and Kluyveromyces marxianus are the other two most common yeast systems for heterologous expression (Yin et al., 2007). There are also four other "non-conventional" yeast that have been established for expression namely Arxula adeninivorans, Hansenula polymorpha, Kluyveromyces lactis and Yarrowia lipolytica (Yin et al., 2007). However, yeast does have drawbacks. The most important drawback is the cell wall if the protein is not to be secreted. Breaking of the cell wall and recovering the interior of the cell intact can be very difficult (Reyes-Ruiz et al., 2006). The typical way to break the cell wall is by using glass beads, but this has limitations on the size of the sample.

There are not many examples of rotavirus proteins expressed in yeast. Rodriguez-Limas and co-workers have shown in two studies that yeast can be used as a platform to express multi-layered rotavirus-like particles and as a possible alternative for veterinary vaccine against rotavirus. In the first study, Rodriguez-Limas and co-workers produced triple-layered particles (VP2, VP6 and VP7) in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Rodriguez-Limas et al., 2011). In the second study, Rodriguez-Limas and co-workers showed that Saccharomyces cerevisiae yeast extract containing rotavirus proteins can efficiently induce protection against infection in adult mice models depending of the route of immunisation serum and antibodies used (Rodriguez-Limas et al., 2014).

### 1.11 Motivation and aims of project

### 1.11.1 Motivation of project

Rotavirus infections are extremely problematic despite the success of the commercially available rotavirus vaccines. Rotavirus infection causes deaths of young children worldwide, as discussed above. Currently licensed vaccines have drawbacks such as their efficacy, specificity of strains circulating in specific regions and cost in developing countries.

This project will mainly focus on the expression of the rotavirus structural proteins, VP2 and VP6, in bacteria and yeast. The long term objective for this project is the production of VLPs, specifically double-layered particles (DLPs) and to contribute to the production of cheaper and safer rotavirus-like particle vaccines (VLP vaccine) that can possibly help with the rotavirus infections in developing countries, in particular South Africa. Therefore, the South African GR10924 G9P[6] genotype is used in this study.

It has been shown in the literature that VLPs can been expressed in various expression systems. At the North-West University the rotavirus vaccine development approach is to
produce virus-like particles (VLPs) of local rotavirus strains in insect cells using the baculovirus expression system. However, the baculovirus system is very expensive, whereas bacterial and yeast expression systems are low in cost, have a simple physiology, short generation time and up-scaling can be easily achieved.

### 1.11.2 Aims of project

There are two different types of expression systems that will be used in this study, namely bacterial expression and yeast expression. The aims of this project for each of these systems are given below.

## 1) Bacterial expression

The aim is to express the open reading frames of the bacterial codon optimised rotavirus genome segment 6 (VP6) and 2 (VP2) of a local GR10924 G9P[6] rotavirus strain. The expression plasmid $\mathrm{pETDuet}-1$ will be used.

- To construct the expression vector pETDuet-1_VP2/6 for the simultaneous expression of both structural proteins (VP2 and VP6)
- To verify the co-expression of VP2 and VP6 in bacteria using SDS-PAGE

2) Yeast expression:

The aim is to express the open reading frames of the wild type rotavirus genome segment 6 (VP6) and 2 (VP2) of a local GR10924 G9P[6] rotavirus strain. The yeast expression plasmid pKM173 and pKM177 will be used.

- To construct the expression vector pKM173_VP2/6 for the simultaneous expression of both rotavirus structural proteins VP2 and VP6
- To verify the co-expression of VP2 and VP6 in several yeast strains using SDSPAGE and western blot analysis


## Chapter 2:

# Cloning and bacterial expression of rotavirus structural proteins VP2 and VP6 open reading frames of a South African G9P[6] strain, optimised for expression in bacteria 

### 2.1 Introduction

As mentioned previously, bacterial expression systems are attractive because of their ability to grow rapidly and reach high cell densities. In the literature there are reports that showed that rotavirus structural proteins VP2, VP6 and VP7 have been expressed in bacteria, as discussed in Chapter 1 (section 1.10).

In our laboratory the human rotavirus strain RVA/Human-wt/ZAF/GR10924/1999/G9P[6] (G9-P[6]-I2-R2-C2-M2-A2-N2-T2-E2-H2 genotype) was used in the study (Jere et al., 2011). In the current study, the bacterial expression vector pETDuet-1 was used since it allows for the simultaneous expression of the rotavirus structural proteins VP2 (genome segment 2) and VP6 (genome segment 6). To our knowledge this expression plasmid has not yet been used for the expression of rotavirus structural proteins. This study had two main objectives. Firstly, to clone genome segment 2 (VP2) and genome segment 6 (VP6) into the expression plasmid, pETDuet-1. Secondly, to determine whether or not co-expression of the rotavirus structural proteins VP2 and VP6 is possible in bacteria. The bacterial codon optimised genome segment 2 has been expressed before by Dr AC Potgieter (Deltamune (Pty) Ltd R\&D, South Africa) but using a different expression vector namely the cold shock vector, pColdTF.

Our long term goal for this study is to determine whether or not it is possible to produce double-layered particles in bacteria. If double-layered particles can form in bacteria it can be used as a booster, together with a live attenuated rotavirus vaccine in developing countries.

### 2.2 Materials and methods

To simplify the flow of the text, the names, suppliers, and catalogue numbers of the reagents used in this study, are included in Appendix A. Therefore, the supplier and catalogue number information is not mentioned in the text but can be found in Appendix A which is organised alphabetically.

### 2.2.1 Rotavirus genome segments, plasmids and bacterial cell lines

The bacterial codon optimised genome segment 2 (VP2) and genome segment 6 (VP6) from the human rotavirus strain GR10924 G9P[6], was used in this study. A stool sample containing the GR10924 G9P[6] strain was obtained from the stool sample collection at the Diarrhoeal Pathogens Research Unit (MRC/DPRU), University of Limpopo, Medunsa, South Africa (Potgieter et al., 2009), dsRNA was extracted and the consensus sequence determined using next generation sequencing (Potgieter et al., 2009). Genome segment 6 (VP6) was optimised for expression in bacteria and purchased from Geneart, which provided genome segment 6 (VP6) in the pGA15 plasmid. The bacterial codon optimised genome segment 2 (VP2) was obtained from Dr AC Potgieter (Deltamune (Pty) Ltd R\&D, South Africa) in the pColdTF vector, previously shown to express VP2 in bacteria.

JM109 is an Escherichia coli (E. coli) strain that was used for plasmid construction and propagation. JM109 strains are all purpose cloning strains that are ideal for generation of high quality plasmid DNA. JM109 is a K strain bacterium that carries the recA1 and endA1 mutation that aids in plasmid stability and provides high quality DNA plasmid preparations, respectively.

Origami cells and Origami cells containing pGro7 (provided by Dr Rencia van der Sluis) were used for expression experiments. Origami cells are K-12 derivatives that have mutations in both the thioredoxin reductase ( trxB ) and glutathione reductase ( gor ) genes, which greatly enhance disulphide bond formation in Escherichia coli. Disulphide bond formation is essential for capsid assembly of the virus-like particles. The pGro7 chaperone plasmid is one of five chaperone plasmids commercialized by Takara and contains the groES-groEL chaperone. These chaperone plasmids are developed to enable efficient expression of multiple molecular chaperones known to work in co-operation in the folding process of the expressed proteins. It has been reported that co-expression of a target protein with the chaperone increases recovery of the expressed proteins in the soluble fraction.

### 2.2.2 Cloning vector

The expression vector pETDuet-1 (Novagen) was used in this study (Figure 2.1). The pETDuet ${ }^{T M}$-1 vector is designed for the simultaneous expression of two genes of interest. The vector contains two multiple cloning sites (MCS), each of which is preceded by a T7 promoter/lac operator, for high protein expression and a ribosome binding site (rbs). The vector also carries the ColE1 replicon, lacl gene and ampicillin resistance gene.


Figure 2.1: The plasmid map and cloning/expression regions of pETDuet-1. The plasmid map and cloning/expression region illustrate the properties of pETDuet-1. The vector contains two multiple cloning sites (MCS), each of which is preceded by a T7 promoter/lac operator and a ribosome binding site sequence (rbs). The multiple cloning sites each contain several restriction enzymes, with the second multiple cloning site containing a T7 terminator. The vector also carries the lacl gene sequence and ampicillin resistance gene. The commercially available primers, used for sequencing for MCS1 and MSC2, are also indicated.

The co-expression of multiple target genes in E. coli is advantageous for studying protein complexes. Optimal yield, solubility, and activity are often achieved with co-expression and may protect individual subunits from degradation. The pETDuet vectors carry compatible replicons and antibiotic resistance markers and may be used together in appropriate host strains for effective propagation and maintenance of four plasmids in a single cell that allow for the co-expression of up to eight proteins. The capability of pETDuet vectors to be cotransformed, propagated, and induced for robust target protein co-expression make them ideal for the analysis of protein complexes. The multiple cloning regions have restriction sites that facilitate the cloning of two genes and the transfer from other Novagen pET constructs. The pETDuet vectors provide the option of producing native unfused proteins, or fusions to His•Tag® and $\mathrm{S} \cdot \mathrm{Tag}^{\text {™ }}$ sequences for detection and purification of protein complexes.

### 2.2.3 DNA recombinant techniques

Recombinant DNA techniques used in this study, namely polymerase chain reaction (PCR), restriction endonuclease digestion, ligation and transformation were performed according to Sambrook and Russell (2001). Commercial kits were used for plasmid isolation, gel extraction and PCR clean-up. The recombinant techniques used will be discussed below.

### 2.2.3.1 Plasmid isolation

Two types of plasmid isolation methods were used namely the Promega Pureyield midi-prep kit (Promega) and the Birnboim and Doly alkaline lysis mini-prep method (Birnboim and Doly, 1979).

### 2.2.3.1.1 Promega Pureyield ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ plasmid midi-prep system

Propagation of bacteria containing the plasmid of interest was inoculated into 50 ml LB broth ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ tryptone, $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ yeast extract and $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{NaCl}$ ), containing the appropriate antibiotics ( $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ampicillin) and grown for 16 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while shaking at 180 rpm . The next day these cultures, containing the appropriate plasmid, were centrifuged at 6000 x g for 15 minutes and the supernatants were discarded.

The pellets of the cultures were used to isolate plasmids using the Promega Pureyield ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ plasmid midi-prep kit. The isolation was done according to the instructions of the manufacturer. This kit is designed to isolate high-quality plasmid DNA and uses a silica membrane column to isolate plasmid DNA. This system also incorporates a unique
endotoxin removable wash that reduces contaminants such as endotoxins, RNA and endonuclease. Removal of these contaminants aids in the efficiency of transformation.

This procedure consists of four steps namely i) preparation and lysis of bacterial cell culture, ii) DNA purification iii) wash and iv) elution. The alkaline conditions denature the chromosomal DNA and proteins. The lysis time ensures the optimum release of plasmid DNA without the release of chromosomal DNA and without exposing the plasmid DNA to denaturing conditions for too long. The lysate is then neutralized which causes denatured components to precipitate while only small plasmid DNA renaturates and stay in the solution. The plasmid DNA binds to the membrane of the binding column but contaminants such as endotoxin, protein, RNA and endonucleases do not bind and pass through the column. The membrane is then washed with the washing buffer, followed by the plasmid DNA being eluted in 400 ull nuclease free water.

### 2.2.3.1.2 Mini plasmid preparation

Mini-plasmid DNA preparation was prepared using the rapid alkaline extraction procedure of Birnboim and Doly (1979). The principle of this method is a selective alkaline denaturation method of high molecular weight chromosomal DNA while closed circular DNA remains double-stranded. The chromosomal DNA re-natures upon neutralization to form an insoluble clot, leaving the plasmid DNA in the supernatant (Birnboim and Doly, 1979). Ten millilitres of LB cultures containing the appropriate antibiotics were inoculated with the desired clone and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight with shaking. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 13 $000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 30 seconds.

The pellet was re-suspended by using $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ solution I, the lysozyme solution (containing 2 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ lysozyme, 50 mM glucose, 10 mM EDTA and 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0). Plasmids containing cells are treated with lysozyme to weaken the cell wall. EDTA chelates divalent cations in the solution preventing DNases from damaging the plasmid and also helps by destabilizing the cell wall. Glucose maintains the osmotic pressure so the cells do not burst. The next step was to add $150 \mu \mathrm{l}$ solution II; the alkaline SDS solution ( 0.2 N sodium hydroxide ( NaOH ) and $1 \%$ sodium dodecyl (lauryl) sulphate (SDS)) to lyse the cell wall completely. The chromosomal DNA is selectively denatured and when the lysate is neutralized by acidic sodium acetate the mass of chromosomal DNA renatures and aggregates to form an insoluble network. Finally $150 \mu \mathrm{l}$ solution III, high salt solution, was
added ( 3 M potassium acetate) to cause precipitation of the protein-SDS complexes and high molecular weight RNA.

The microfuge tube, containing the DNA of interest, was left at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 minutes to allow most of the protein, high molecular weight RNA and chromosomal DNA to precipitate. The sample was centrifuged ( $10000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 5 minutes) and 1 ml ethanol was added and the microfuge tube left at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The precipitant was collected by centrifugation ( $10000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 2 minutes) and the supernatant removed by aspiration. The pellet was dissolved in $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 0.1 M sodium acetate $/ 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ Tris- HCl ( pH 8.0 ) and re-precipitated with 2 volumes of cold ethanol. The tube was left at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes and the precipitated collected again by centrifugation. The pellet was dissolved in $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ nuclease free water. The plasmid preparations were analysed as described in section 2.2.3.4.

### 2.2.3.2 PCR amplification of the coding sequences

PCR is a technique to amplify the amount of a specific DNA segment. A preparation of denatured DNA that contains the segment of interest serves as template for DNA polymerase and two specific oligonucleotides serves as primers for DNA synthesis. They prime the DNA polymerase-catalyzed synthesis of the two complementary strands of the desired segment, effectively doubling its concentration in the solution (Sambrook and Russell, 2001). The DNA is then heated to dissociate the DNA duplexes and then cooled so that the primers bind to both the old and newly formed strands. These steps form one cycle which is repeated several times, usually between 20-30 times. The protocol has been automated by the invention of the thermal cyclers that alternately heat the reaction mixture to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to dissociate the DNA, followed by cooling, annealing of primers and another round of DNA synthesis (Sambrook and Russell, 2001).

The isolation of the thermostable DNA polymerase from the thermophillic bacterium Thermus aquaticus has made it unnecessary to add fresh enzyme for each round of synthesis (Sambrook and Russell, 2001). However, TaKaRa Ex Taq ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ provides more efficient amplification and higher fidelity than conventional Taq DNA polymerase under conventional PCR conditions, since TaKaRa Ex Taq has a lower mutation rate than standard Taq.

Amplification was performed with the use of a BioRad ${ }^{T M}$ thermocycler. The coding regions were amplified in reaction mixtures containing $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of both the forward and reverse primer (Table 2.1) and $0.5 \mu$ l template ( 57 ng ), $5 \mu \mathrm{l} 10 \times$ Takara Ex-Taq buffer (1X), Takara Ex-Taq
( 1.25 units $/ 50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ), 2.5 mM dNTP and nuclease free water added to a final volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$. The negative controls contained no template.

Table 2.1: Oligonucleotide primers used in this study

| Primer name | Oligonucleotide sequence (5' $\rightarrow \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ ) | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{m}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right.$ ) | Length <br> (bp) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VP6bacpETD_F | CGA GCC ATG GAC GTG CTG TAT | $59.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 23 |
| AP6bactpETD_R | CTC CGA ATT CTT ACT ATT TCA <br> CCA GC | $55.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 26 |
| VP2bacpETD_F | CCA GAG ATC TAT GGC GTA TCG | $57.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 21 |
| VP2bactpETD_R | CTC TCC TAG GTT ACT ACA GTT <br> CGT TCA TG | $54.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 29 |

${ }^{*}$ All primers were synthesized by Integrated DNA technologies (IDT)

* Restriction enzyme sites, in primers, used for amplification is indicated in bold

The samples were denaturated at $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 minutes followed by 30 cycles of amplification, unless otherwise stated. Each cycle consisted of 15 seconds denaturation at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30$ seconds of annealing (temperature depends on annealing temperature of primers, indicated in Table 2.2) and 2 minutes of extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Amplification was followed by one elongation cycle at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. PCR products were analysed by means of gel electrophoresis using a $1 \%$ agarose gel.

Table 2.2: Annealing temperatures of different primer sets

| Genome segment amplified | Primers used | Annealing $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{m}}$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Genome segment 2 Bacterial <br> optimised | VP2bacpETD_F and VP2bactpETD_R | $57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Genome segment 6 Bacterial <br> optimised | VP6bacpETD_F and VP6bactpETD_R | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

### 2.2.3.3 Purification of PCR amplicons

After PCR amplification the amplicons were purified by means of PCR clean-up, using the commercial kit NucleoSpin Extract II (Clonetech Laboratories, Inc). Purification was done according to the instructions of the manufacturer with a few modifications. With the NucleoSpin Extract II method, DNA binds in the presence of chaoptropic salts to a silica membrane. The binding mixture that contains the NT buffer, ( 5 mM Tris- $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.5$ ) and DNA is loaded directly onto the NucleoSpin Extract II columns. Contaminations such as salts and soluble macromolecular components are removed by a washing step using ethanolic buffer NT3 and the pure DNA eluted in nuclease free water.

The NucleoSpin Extract II buffer ensures complete removal of primers from PCR reactions while small DNA fragments are still bound and purified with high yields. The procedure consists of five steps i) adjustment of the DNA binding conditions by means of the chaotropic salts in the NT buffer, ii) binding of the DNA, iii) washing of the silica membrane to remove salts and macromolecular components, iv) drying of the silica membrane and v) eluting DNA. The following modifications were made: the PCR amplicons were pooled and were eluted in nuclease free water instead of elution buffer NE.

### 2.2.3.4 Agarose gel electrophoresis

Agarose gel electrophoresis was used for analysis of PCR reactions, restriction digestions and gel extraction of the desired amplicons. Procedures were carried out as described in the literature (Sambrook \& Russell, 2001). Unless otherwise stated, a 1\% agarose gel was prepared using $1 \times$ TAE buffer ( 40 mM Tris-acetate $\mathrm{pH} 8.5,1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA). Ethidium bromide was added to a final concentration of $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, to facilitate visualisation of DNA on an ultraviolet light trans-illuminator.

Gels were loaded with samples mixed with one quarter volume of Fermentas orange loading dye. A DNA molecular size marker (O'GeneRuler ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ DNA ladder mix) was always loaded in the first lane for the estimation of DNA sizes. All gels were electrophoresed for one hour at 80 V using a Bio-Rad PowerPac system. Directly after electrophoresis gels were captured using the Syngene ChemiGenius Bio-imaging system and GeneSnap software.

### 2.2.3.5 Analysis of DNA concentration and purity

DNA concentration and purity were determined spectrophotometricaly using a NanoDrop ND-1000 system (NanoDrop Technologies, Inc). One absorbance unit at 260 nm corresponds to $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ double-stranded DNA. Measuring the absorbance at 260 nm the DNA concentrations of the sample were calculated. Measurement of DNA purity was calculated based on the $\mathrm{A}_{260 / 280}$ ratio, since proteins absorb light at 280 nm . The device was always blanked with the solution in which the DNA was solubilised, in this case nuclease free water.

### 2.2.3.6 Restriction endonuclease digestions

Restriction enzyme digestion reactions were performed in reaction volumes of $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$, unless otherwise stated. Each reaction mixture consisted of the applicable restriction enzymes and buffer (Table 2.3), approximately $0.5-1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ DNA and nuclease free water to a final volume of $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$. Reaction mixtures were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for approximately $1-16$ hours. The digested samples were analysed with agarose gel electrophoreses (section 2.2.3.4) and purified (section 2.2.3.7). Enzymes and buffers used in the reactions are indicated in Table 2.3.

Table 2.3: Restriction enzymes and buffers used in this study

| Genome segment | Restriction <br> enzyme | Recognition <br> sequence | Buffer used <br> (Fermentas) | Conditions for <br> double digestion |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bacterial optimised | Ncol | C $\downarrow$ CATGG and | 2 X Tango | 5 units $/ \mu \mathrm{l}$ Ncol |
| Genome segment 6 | EcoRI | G $\downarrow$ AATTC | buffer | 5 units $/ \mu \mathrm{l}$ EcoRI |$|$| Bacterial optimised | BglII |
| :--- | :---: |
| Genome segment 2 | A $\downarrow$ GATCT and |
| XmaJI | C Buffer O |

### 2.2.3.7 Gel purification of desired DNA fragments or products

Following restriction enzyme digestion of the purified PCR amplicons and vectors, for cloning purposes, the DNA fragments were separated on a $0.8 \%$ agarose gel. The restriction digested PCR amplicon and vector was excised from the agarose gels using a sterile stainless-steel blade, weighed, transferred into a clean tube and purified with a Nucleospin Extract II kit, according to the manufacturer's protocol, with slight modifications.

The NucleoSpin extract II kit uses the spin column technology and selective binding properties of a silica membrane. The NT buffer ( 5 mM Tris- $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.5$ ) is added to the excised gel, which facilitates with the dissolvement of agarose at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution is passed through a spin column with a silica membrane. The DNA binds in the presence of chaotropic salts (present in NT buffer) to the silica membrane. Contaminations like salts, ethidium bromide and soluble macromolecular components are removed by a simple washing step with ethanolic buffer NT3. The pure DNA was eluted in nuclease free water. Modifications that were made: the plasmid DNA was eluted in nuclease free water instead of the elution buffer NE.

### 2.2.3.8 Ligation reactions

The purified restriction digested genome segments and plasmids were combined in a 3:1 molar ratio, unless otherwise stated. The amount of DNA was calculated using the following formula:

## $\frac{150 \mathrm{ng} \text { vector } \mathrm{x} \text { size of insert }}{\text { Size of vector }} \quad x \quad \frac{3}{1}=n g$ insert

Ligation reactions were performed in reaction volume of $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$. The mixture consists of 5 Weiss u/ $\mu$ I T4 DNA ligase (Fermentas), $1 \times$ T4 DNA ligase buffer (Fermentas), the applicable amount of insert and plasmid and nuclease free water to a final volume of $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$. The reaction mixture was incubated at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, unless otherwise stated.

### 2.2.3.9 Preparation of chemical competent Escherichia coli cells

Nucleic acids do not enter bacteria freely, but require assistance traversing the outer and inner cell membranes and in reaching an intracellular site where they can be expressed and replicated (Sambrook and Russell, 2001). In this study, chemical competent cells were used. Transformation of chemically competent cells is achieved by suspending the cells and the target DNA in an ice-cold calcium chloride based buffer. Under these cold conditions, the $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ ions create pores in the membrane that assists the binding of the DNA to the cell membrane and mask the negative charge on the DNA, easing the DNA passage through the hydrophobic cell membrane. The transformation occurs when the DNA is forced into the cells by applying a short $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ heat shock, which results in a thermal current that sweeps the DNA into the cells.

All chemical competent cells used in this study were prepared in the laboratory, using the Inoue method (Sambrook and Russell, 2001). The Inoue method differs from other procedures in that the bacterial culture is grown at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ rather than the conventional $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and it also allows one to store the cells for more than one year at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Glycerol stocks of JM109, Origami and Origami pGro7 were streaked out on SOB ( $2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ tryptone, $0.05 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ yeast extract, $0.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{NaCl}, 250 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ ) agar plates, containing no antibiotics and grown for 16 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. One 25 ml culture of SOB medium was inoculated with a single colony of each of the respective cell lines (known as the starter culture). The starter culture was grown for $6-8$ hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with vigorous shaking ( $250-300 \mathrm{rpm}$ ). A 250 ml flask containing an amount of the starter culture ( 2 ml ) and new SOB medium was incubated overnight at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while shaking. The optical density was read at 600 nm . The culture was
grown untill the optical density was 0.55 at 600 nm . All subsequent steps were performed at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The culture was then transferred to an ice-water bath for 10 minutes, after which the cells were harvested by centrifugation at $2500 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 10 minutes. The supernatant was discarded and the cell pellets re-suspended in 80 ml of ice cold Inoue transformation buffer ( $55 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MnCl} 2 \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 15 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} \mathbf{R}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 250 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}$ and 10 mM PIPES). The centrifugation step was repeated and the cell pellets were re-suspended in 20 ml ice-cold Inoue transformation buffer and 1.5 ml DMSO was added followed by incubation on ice for 10 minutes. The suspension was dispensed in $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ aliquots into pre-chilled, sterile microfuge tubes. The cells were immediately snap-frozen in a bath of liquid nitrogen and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.2.3.10 Transformation of chemical competent Escherichia coli cells

Transformation reaction consisted of 25 ng transforming DNA per $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ competent cells (section 2.2.3.8). Frozen chemical competent cells were removed from storage at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and thawed on ice. The ligation reaction was added to the competent cells and gently mixed. The cell mixture was incubated on ice for 30 minutes after which it was transferred to $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and heat shocked for 90 seconds. The cells were immediately cooled on ice for 2 minutes after which $800 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of recovery medium (usually SOC medium $-2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ tryptone, $0.05 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ yeast extract, $0.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{NaCl}, 250 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ Glucose) was added (to maximize the transformation efficiency of the competent cells). The cells were allowed to recover at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with gentle shaking at 180 rpm , for 1 hour. Usually, $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the cell mixture was spread out on super optimal broth ( 15 g agar/1L SOB medium) agar plates, containing 20 mM MgSO 4 and the appropriate antibiotics. The plates were left for 15 minutes at room temperature $\left(18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ to absorb the liquid and then incubated upside-down at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours.

### 2.2.3.11 Long term storage of bacterial colonies

Bacterial colonies of interest were prepared for long term storage by adding glycerol to a final concentration of $15 \%$. This was done by combining, in a microfuge tube, $817 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the culture and $183 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $80 \%$ glycerol to obtain a final volume of 1 ml . The stocks were then stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.2.3.12 DNA sequence determination

DNA sequencing was carried out to confirm that the recombinant plasmid, containing the ORF of genome segment 2 and genome segment 6 does not contain any mutations and that the cloning was successful. Sanger sequencing was used. Samples and appropriate sequencing primers (Table 2.4) were sent to the DNA sequencing facility laboratory of the Central Analytical Facility of the University of Stellenbosch. DNA sequence electropherograms were analysed using FinchTV version 1.40 and DNAman was used to align sequences to reference sequences.

An in silico sequence of every construct was designed with DNAMAN Version 6 (Lynnon Corporation, 2005), prior to cloning of the construct, to facilitate the screening of mutations etc. occurring in the construct. When the sequence data was received from the service provider, the sequences were analysed and edited with FinchTV and the assembly of the construct was confirmed by aligning it with the in silico sequence, using DNAMAN. The commercially available primers used for sequencing made it possible to see regions of importance namely the restriction enzymes used for cloning, the start and stop codons of the ORF encoding VP2 or VP6, the T7 promoter, T7 transcription start, the lac operator as well as the ribosome binding sequence.

Table 2.4: Oligonucleotide primers used for sequencing

| Primer name | Oligonucleotide sequence (5' $\left.\boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{3 '}^{\prime}\right)$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{m}}$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | Length <br> $\mathbf{( b p})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pET Upstream primer | ATG CGT CCG GCG TAG A | $59^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 16 |
| DuetDOWN1 primer | GAT TAT GCG GCC GTG TAC AA | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 |
| DuetUP2 primer | TTG TAC ACG GCC GCA TAA TC | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 |
| T7 terminator primer | CCG CTG AGC AAT AAC TAG C | $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 19 |
| InternalVP2(Bact)_F <br> primer |  |  |  |
| *All primers were synthesized by Integrated DNA technologies (IDT) CGA TTG CTT TAA AAC CC | $45.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 17 |  |

### 2.2.4 Expression of rotavirus VP2 (genome segment 2) and VP6 (genome segment 6)

The general expression protocol was followed according to the pET system manual (Novagen). The positive control (VP2/6 lysate) used for the expression experiments was produced in insect cells and provided by Dr Khuzwayo Jere from our laboratory. The desired strain (which contains the recombinant plasmid for expression) was used to inoculate 2 ml LB Broth medium ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ tryptone, $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ yeast extract and $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{NaCl}$ ), containing the appropriate antibiotics for the plasmid and host strain. The culture was grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
with shaking at 180 rpm until the $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ reached a density between 0.4-1 ( 0.6 recommended). The cultures were immediately split into two cultures: one contained 1 mM Isopropyl $\beta$-D-1thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) to induce the induction of the proteins expression (induced culture) and the other culture received no IPTG (uninduced culture). Incubation was continued, for both cultures, for another 2-3 hours.

### 2.2.5 Cell lysis using BugBuster protein extraction reagent

Cells were placed on ice for 5 minutes after which the cells were harvested by centrifugation, $5000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 5 minutes. The supernatant was discarded and the cells weighed. Novagen BugBuster protein extraction buffer and Lysonase were added according to the instruction of the manufacturer ( 5 ml Bugbuster per gram wet cell paste and $10 \mu$ Lysonase per gram wet cell paste). The cells were resuspended by gentle vortexing. At this point $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each sample was aliquoted into a 1.5 ml microfuge tube which represented the total protein fraction. The insoluble material was then removed by centrifugation, $13000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 15 minutes. The supernatant was aliquoted into a 1.5 ml microfuge tube which presented the soluble protein fraction.

### 2.2.6 Sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

SDS-PAGE was used for routine analysis of protein expression and purification procedures (Sambrook and Russell, 2001). This technique separates proteins according to their electrophoretic mobility (a function of the length of a polypeptide chain and its charge). SDS is an anionic detergent that linearizes the proteins and imparts a negative charge to linearized proteins. The binding of SDS to the polypeptide chain imparts an even distribution of charge per unit mass, thereby resulting in fractionation by approximate size during electrophoresis.

Separating gels had a final concentration of $15 \%$ acrylamide, unless otherwise stated. The composition of the separating gels was $30 \%$ acrylamide, $0.8 \%$ bis-acrylamide, 376 mM Tris$\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{pH} 8.8), 0.1 \%$ SDS, $0.1 \%$ APS (ammoniumperoxsidesulphate) and $0.008 \%$ TEMED (Tetramethylethylenediamine). The separating gel was prepared by mixing the components in an Erlenmeyer flask before the addition of the APS and TEMED. The seperating gel was then poured into a Bio-Rad gel casting apparatus. The seperating gel was left for polymerization for at least 1 hour at room temperature after isopropanol was added to the surface of the gel. The isopropanol was thoroughly removed using filter paper and the
stacking gel was added. The stacking gel consisted of $4 \%$ acrylamide, $0.1 \%$ bisacrylamide, 372 mM Tris-Cl (pH 6.8), 0.1\% SDS, 0.1\% APS and 0.008\% TEMED. The stacking gel was polymerized in the presence of a 10 -well comb, at room temperature.

Protein samples were prepared by combining $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample with $10 \mu \mathrm{l} 4 \times$ Laemmli protein loading buffer, $18 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of water and $2 \mu \mathrm{l} 20 \mathrm{x}$ reducing agent. The samples were then mixed and boiled for 5 minutes at $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Unless otherwise stated, $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ mixture was loaded onto the gel. The PageRuler ${ }^{T M}$ protein molecular size marker mixture was loaded in one lane. The loaded gel was electrophoresed in $1 \times$ Tris Glycine SDS ( 25 mM Tris, 2 M Glycine and $0.1 \%$ SDS) buffer at a current of 35 mA using a Bio-Rad PowerPac Basic system. Electrophoresis was carried out for about 40 minutes or until the pink dye front was at the bottom of the gel. The gels were removed from the glass plates and stained with Coomassie brilliant blue solution ( $0.4 \%$ (w/v) Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250, 45\% (v/v), Methanol, $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ Glacial acetic acid), while shaking at 60 rpm for 1 hour. After this incubation the gels were removed from the staining solution and destained, for 1 hour, with methanol-acetic acid gel solution (45\% (v/v) Methanol and 10\% (v/v) Glacial acetic acid). The gel was gently stirred with occasionally exchanging the destain solution until the gels were fully destained. The gel was documented by scanning (HP digital document scanner) and subsequently dried for 1 hour at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.3 Results and discussion

As mentioned previously this chapter focused on the cloning into the pETDuet vector and expression of the open reading frames (ORF) of the bacterial codon-optimised VP2 (genome segment 2) and VP6 (genome segment 6) of the South African GR10924 G9P[6] rotavirus strain. The cloning strategy used to generate the recombinant plasmids (pETDuet-1_VP2, pETDuet-1_VP6 and pETDuet-1_VP2/6) for expression is indicated in Figure 2.2.


Figure 2.2: A schematic illustration of the cloning strategy to generate recombinant plasmids for expression in bacteria.
(1) Polymerase chain reaction was used to amplify the ORF region encoding VP6 (genome segment 6) and VP2 (genome segment 2) from 0806478_RotaVP6bact_pGA15 and pColdTF-VP2, respectively. (2) Thereafter, the coding regions of genome segment 2 and genome segment 6, as well as the expression plasmid, pETDuet-1, were digested with the appropriate restriction enzymes (genome segment 2: Bglll and XmaJl; genome segment 6: EcoRI and Ncol). Ligation reactions were carried out which yielded two constructs for expression experiments namely, pETDuet1_VP2, pETDuet-1_VP6. (3) A third restriction enzyme digestion was carried out on both the PCR amplified ORF of genome segment 2 from the pColdTF_VP2 vector and pETDuet-1_VP6 using the restriction enzymes Bglll and XmaJI. The ligation reaction yielded the construct pETDuet-1_VP2/6.

### 2.3.1 Cloning of the open reading frame encoding VP6 (genome segment 6) into pETDuet-1

The bacterial codon optimised genome segment 6 was purchased from Geneart, which provided the gene in the plasmid pGA15VP6bact.

In order to clone the open reading frame encoding VP6 into the expression vector pETDuet1 (Figure 2.1), it was PCR amplified from pGA15VP6bact using primers that were designed to contain the restriction enzyme sites, Ncol and EcoRI, to facilitate directional cloning (Figure 2.3). The bacterial codon optimised ORF of genome segment 6 did not contain these restriction enzyme sites. The enzyme sites are located in multiple cloning site 1 (MCS1) of the expression plasmid, pETDuet-1. The primers were designed using the bacterial codon optimised ORF sequence of genome segment 6 (VP6) (Figure 2.3).

| 1 | CGAATTGGGG | ATCCACTACT | AGTTAATTAA | TTAACGCCAT | GTTAAGGTAC | CTCGAGATGG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GCTTAACCCC | TAGGTGATGA | TCAATTAATT | AATTGCGGTA | CAATTCCATG | GAGCTCTACC |
| 61 | ACGTGCTGTA | TAG ${ }^{\prime} 3$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | ATGTGCTGTA | TAGCCTGAGC | AAAACCCTGA | AAGATGCGCG | TGATAAAATT | GTGGAAGGCA |
|  | TACACGACAT | ATCGGACTCG | TTTTGGGACT | TTCTACGCGC | ACTATTTTAA | CACCTTCCGT |
| 121 | CCCTGTATAG | CAACGTGAGC | GATCTGATTC | AGCAGTTTAA | CCAGATGATC | ATTACCATGA |
|  | GGGACATATC | GTTGCACTCG | CTAGACTAAG | TCGTCAAATT | GGTCTACTAG | TAATGGTACT |
| 181 | ACGGCAACGA | ATTTCAGACC | GGCGGCATTG | GCAACCTGCC | GATTCGTAAC | TGGAACTTTG |
|  | TGCCGTTGCT | TAAAGTCTGG | CCGCCGTAAC | CGTTGGACGG | CTAAGCATTG | ACCTTGAAAC |
| 241 | ATTTTGGCCT | GCTGGGCACC | ACGCTGCTGA | ACCTGGATGC | GAACTATGTG | GAAACCGCGC |
|  | TAAAACCGGA | CGACCCGTGG | TGCGACGACT | TGGACCTACG | CTTGATACAC | CTTTGGCGCG |
| 301 | GTAACACCAT | TGATTACTTC | GTGGATTTCG | TTGATAACGT | GTGCATGGAT | GAAATGGTGC |
|  | CATTGTGGTA | ACTAATGAAG | CACCTAAAGC | AACTATTGCA | CACGTACCTA | CTTTACCACG |
| 361 | GTGAAAGCCA | GCGTAACGGC | ATCGCGCCGC | AGAGCGATAG | CCTGCGTAAA | CTGAGCGGCA |
|  | CACTTTCGGT | CGCATTGCCG | TAGCGCGGCG | TCTCGCTATC | GGACGCATTT | GACTCGCCGT |
| 421 | TTAAATTCAA | ACGCATCAAC | TTCGATAACA | GCAGCGAATA | TATCGAAAAC | TGGAACCTGC |
|  | AATTTAAGTT | TGCGTAGTTG | AAGCTATTGT | CGTCGCTTAT | ATAGCTTTTG | ACCTTGGACG |
| 481 | AGAACCGTCG | TCAGCGTACC | GGCTTTACCT | TTCATAAACC | GAACATCTTT | CCGTATAGCG |
|  | TCTTGGCAGC | AGTCGCATGG | CCGAAATGGA | AAGTATTTGG | CTTGTAGAAA | GGCATATCGC |
| 541 | CGAGCTTTAC | CCTGAACCGT | AGCCAGCCGG | CGCATGATAA | CCTGATGGGC | ACCATGTGGC |
|  | GCTCGAAATG | GGACTTGGCA | TCGGTCGGCC | GCGTACTATT | GGACTACCCG | TGGTACACCG |
| 601 | TGAACGCGGG | CAGCGAAATT | CAGGTGGCGG | GCTTTGATTA | TAGCTGCGCG | ATTAACGCGC |
|  | ACTTGCGCCC | GTCGCTTTAA | GTCCACCGCC | CGAAACTAAT | ATCGACGCGC | TAATTGCGCG |
| 661 | CGGCGAACAC | CCAGCAGTTT | GAACATATTG | TGCAGCTGCG | TCGTGTGCTG | ACCACCGCGA |
|  | GCCGCTTGTG | GGTCGTCAAA | CTTGTATAAC | ACGTCGACGC | AGCACACGAC | TGGTGGCGCT |
| 721 | CCATTACCCT | GCTGCCGGAT | GCGGAACGTT | TTAGCTTTCC | GCGTGTGATT | AACAGCGCGG |
|  | GGTAATGGGA | CGACGGCCTA | CGCCTTGCAA | AATCGAAAGG | CGCACACTAA | TTGTCGCGCC |
| 781 | ATGGCGCGAC | CACCTGGTAT | TTTAATCCGG | TGATTCTGCG | TCCGAACAAC | GTGGAAGTGG |
|  | TACCGCGCTG | GTGGACCATA | AAATTAGGCC | ACTAAGACGC | AGGCTTGTTG | CACCTTCACC |
| 841 | AATTTCTGCT | GAACGGCCAG | ATTATTAACA | CCTATCAGGC | GCGTTTTGGC | ACCATTGTGG |
|  | TTAAAGACGA | CTTGCCGGTC | TAATAATTGT | GGATAGTCCG | CGCAAAACCG | TGGTAACACC |
| 901 | CGCGTAACTT | TGATACCATT | CGCCTGAGCT | TTCAGCTGAT | GCGTCCGCCG | AACATGACCC |
|  | GCGCATTGAA | ACTATGGTAA | GCGGACTCGA | AAGTCGACTA | CGCAGGCGGC | TTGTACTGGG |
| 961 | CGAGCGTGGC | GGCGCTGTTT | CCGAACGCGC | AGCCGTTTGA | ACATCATGCG | ACCGTTGGCC |
|  | GCTCGCACCG | CCGCGACAAA | GGCTTGCGCG | TCGGCAAACT | TGTAGTACGC | TGGCAACCGG |
| 1021 | TGACCCTGAA | AATTGAAAGC | GCGGTGTGCG | AAAGCGTTCT | GGCCGATGCG | AGCGAAACCA |
|  | ACTGGGACTT | TTAACTTTCG | CGCCACACGC | TTTCGCAAGA | CCGGCTACGC | TCGCTTTGGT |
| 1081 | TGCTGGCCAA | CGTGACCAGC | GTGCGTCAGG | AATATGCGAT | TCCGGTTGGC | CCGGTGTTTC |
|  | ACGACCGGTT | GCACTGGTCG | CACGCAGTCC | TTATACGCTA | AGGCCAACCG | GGCCACAAAG |

Figure 2.3: Sequence of the ORF encoding VP6 (GR10924 G9P[6]) indicating the primers used for amplification. The forward and reverse primers are indicated in purple and the restriction enzyme sites in black. The start codon is indicated in green and the stop codon in red.

In order to obtain an amplicon of the ORF encoding VP6, optimisation of PCR annealing temperature had to be done. A temperature gradient ranging from $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was used. A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ aliquot of the annealing temperature optimisation reaction mixture was analysed using a 1\% agarose gel, as shown in Figure 2.4


Figure 2.4: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of the PCR amplification gradient of the ORF encoding VP6. Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of each $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ PCR reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) genome segment 6 amplification reaction at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 3) genome segment 6 amplification reaction at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 4) genome segment 6 amplification reaction at $57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 5) genome segment 6 amplification reaction at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 6) no template control.

The amplification of the ORF encoding VP6 from pGAVP6bact using the temperature gradient was successful as seen in Figure 2.4. Lanes 2 to 5 show a 1270bp amplicon as expected. The lack of a band in lane 6 which serves as the negative control (the negative control has no template DNA and is usually water instead of DNA), indicates that no contamination took place.

Another four PCR amplifications reaction was done as described in section 2.2.3.2, with an annealing temperature of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, since the band at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was the clearest during the PCR optimisation experiment (Figure 2.4). The PCR amplification was repeated and the PCR amplification reactions pooled and purified from oligonucleotides and other contaminations by means of PCR clean-up to ensure good quality DNA for cloning. This yielded approximately $30 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ product of the ORF encoding VP6, which was stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, for cloning purposes. A $5 \mu$ l sample of the purified ORF encoding VP6 was analysed by a $1 \%$ agarose gel electrophoresis, as shown in Figure 2.5.


Figure 2.5: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis following a PCR clean-up procedure of the amplified ORF encoding VP6. Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ reaction of the $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ PCR clean-up reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) ORF of genome segment 6.

Double restriction endonuclease digestion was done with Ncol and EcoRI, as described in section 2.2.3.6, for the amplified ORF encoding VP6 and pETDuet-1. These digests were analysed by loading a $5 \mu$ sample of each reaction on a $1 \%$ agarose gel, as shown in Figure 2.6 (Only the results of pETDuet-1 is shown and not the results of the ORF encoding for

VP6, due to low yield of the ORF of genome segment 6). The expected results, for the restriction digestion were to see two bands for pETDuet-1 (5377bp and 43bp) and one band for the ORF encoding VP6 (1197bp), since the enzymes both only cuts at one site.


Figure 2.6: Agarose gel electrophoresis analysis of Ncol and EcoRI double restriction enzyme digest of pETDuet-1. Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $30 \mu \mathrm{Ncol}$ and EcoRI digestion reaction was loaded as follow; lane: 2) pETDuet-1.

The expected result of a band at 5377bp for the restriction digest was obtained for pETDuet1 (Figure 2.6), but the 43bp band could not be observed due to the small size of the band. The yield of the ORF encoding VP6 was very low and thus not analysed on a gel. The samples of the double restriction digestion were analysed by a $0.8 \%$ gel and purified by means of a gel extraction as described in section 2.2.3.7. This yielded about $35 \mu \mathrm{l}$ purified product of both the ORF encoding VP6 and pETDuet-1 (46.6 ng/ $\mu$ I ORF encoding VP6 and $66.6 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{pE}$ petDuet-1). Ligation of the ORF encoding VP6 into pETDuet-1 was done as described in section 2.2.3.8. The background control contained no DNA insert. Transformation of competent JM109 cells, using above mentioned ligation reaction mixtures, was done as described in section 2.2.3.10. More than 100 colonies were obtained. Twentythree colonies of pETDuet-1_VP6 were chosen for mini-preparation of plasmid DNA and screened by means of double restriction digestion, as described in section 2.2.3.6 (prepared in a total reaction volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ). Mini-preparation was done on overnight LB medium cultures of the chosen colonies. Restriction analysis was done on the mini-preparation of plasmid DNA to see if the ORF of genome segment 6 was cloned into the pETDuet- 1 vector.

The plasmid map that would result when the ORF encoding VP6 is cloned into pETDuet-1, is shown in Figure 2.7


Figure 2.7: Plasmid map that would result when the ORF of the bacterial codon optimised genome segment 6 is cloned into the pETDuet-1 vector. The Ncol and EcoRI recognition sites indicate the enzymes used to clone the ORF of genome segment 6 (indicated in purple) into the pETDuet-1 vector, the Ori site is indicated in blue, the lacl in yellow and the multiple cloning site 2 in black.

Each sample was subjected to a double restriction endonuclease digestion with the restriction enzymes Ncol and EcoRI, since these were the enzymes used for cloning. A 10 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of each $25 \mu$ l double restriction digestion was analysed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel, as shown in Figure 2.8. A colony was considered positive if two fragments (5377bp and 1197bp) were visible after the double digestion reaction.


Figure 2.8: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of restriction enzyme digests of possible pETDuet-1_VP6 plasmids. Lanes: 1 and 14) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{Ncol}$ and EcoRI pETDuet-1_VP6 digestion reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) colony 1 ; 3) colony 2 ; 4) colony 3 ; 5) colony 4 ; 6 colony 5 ; 7) colony 6 ; 8 ) colony 7 ; 9) colony 8 ; 10 colony 9 ; 11) colony $10 ; 12$ ) colony 11 ; 13) colony $12 ; 15)$ colony 13 : 16 ) colony 14 ; 17) colony 15 ; 18) colony 16 ; 19) colony 17 ; 20) colony 18 ; 21) colony 19 ; 22 colony 20 ; 23) colony 21 ; 24) colony 22 ; 25) colony 23; 26) Negative control, pETDuet-1 containing no genome segment 6.

Colonies 2-10, 12, 14, 16-21 and 23-25 showed no visible band at 5377bp and 1197bp with the restriction enzyme digestions. However four colonies (colonies 11, 13, 15 and 22) did give the expected results namely two bands one at 5377bp and one at 1197bp. Therefore,
all four colonies were used for further analysis. A midi-preparation of the plasmid DNA was done for all four as described in section 2.2.3.1. A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of each $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ plasmid preparation was analysed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel, as shown in Figure 2.9.


Figure 2.9: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of plasmid extraction of possible pETDuet-1_VP6 colonies. Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of each $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ pETDuet-1_VP6 midipreparation was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) colony 11; 3) colony 13; 4) colony 15 ; 5) colony 22 ; 6) pETDuet-1 containing no insert.

The results in Figure 2.9 showed that plasmid DNA was obtained for all four pETDuet-1_VP6 colonies. These results were expected but sequencing was still necessary to ensure that the plasmid contained the coding region of interest. The plasmid DNA of two colonies (colony 11 - lane 2 and colony 13 - lane 3) was sent for sequencing, to ensure that the ORF encoding VP6 was cloned into pETDuet-1 and that no mutations had occurred.

Commercial available primers (Table 2.4) were used for sequencing of pETDuet-1_VP6 clones, as described in section 2.2.3.12. The sequence alignment is indicated in Figure 2.10 .

| pETDuet-1_VP6 | . GG | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F | ggcatacctgatccggcgcattaatacgactcactatag | 40 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R |  | 0 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6 | GGAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTCCCCTCTAGAAATAATT | 42 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F | GGAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTCCCCTCTAGAAATAATT | 80 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R |  | 0 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6 | TTGTTTAACTTTAAGAAGGAGATATACCATGGATGTGCTG | 82 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F | TTGTTTAACTTTAAGAAGGAGATATACCATGGATGTGCTG | 120 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R |  | 0 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6 | TATAGCCTGAGCAAAACCCTGAAAGATGCGCGTGATAAAA | 122 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F | TATAGCCTGAGCAAAACCCTGAAAGATGCGCGTGATAAAA | 160 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R |  | 0 |
| petDuet-1_VP6 | TTGTGGAAGGCACCCTGTATAGCAACGTGAGCGATCTGAT | 162 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F | TTGTGGAAGGCACCCTGTATAGCAACGTGAGCGATCTGAT | 200 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R | AT | 2 |
|  | ** |  |
| pETDuet-1_VP6 | TCAGCAGTTTAACCAGATGATCATTACCATGAACGGCAAC | 202 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F | TCAGCAGTTTAACCAGATGATCATTACCATGAACGGCAAC | 240 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R | TCAGCAGTTTAACCAGATGATCATTACCATGAACGGCAAC **************************************** | 42 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6 | GAATTTCAGACCGGCGGCATTGGCAACCTGCCGATTCGTA | 242 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F | GAATTTCAGACCGGCGGCATTGGCAACCTGCCGATTCGTA | 280 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R | GAATTTCAGACCGGCGGCATTGGCAACCTGCCGATTCGTA <br> **************************************** | 82 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6 | ACTGGAACTTTGATTTTGGCCTGCTGGGCACCACGCTGCT | 282 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F | ACTGGAACTTTGATTTTGGCCTGCTGGGCACCACGCTGCT | 320 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R | ACTGGAACTTTGATTTTGGCCTGCTGGGCACCACGCTGCT <br> **************************************** | 122 |
| petDuet-1_VP6 | GAACCTGGATGCGAACTATGTGGAAACCGCGCGTAACACC | 322 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F | GAACCTGGATGCGAACTATGTGGAAACCGCGCGTAACACC | 360 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R | GAACCTGGATGCGAACTATGTGGAAACCGCGCGTAACACC **************************************** | 162 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6 | ATTGATTACTTCGTGGATTTCGTTGATAACGTGTGCATGG | 362 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F | ATTGATTACTTCGTGGATTTCGTTGATAACGTGTGCATGG | 400 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R | ATTGATTACTTCGTGGATTTCGTTGATAACGTGTGCATGG <br> **************************************** | 202 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6 | ATGAAATGGTGCGTGAAAGCCAGCGTAACGGCATCGCGCC | 402 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F | ATGAAATGGTGCGTGAAAGCCAGCGTAACGGCATCGCGCC | 440 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R | ATGAAATGGTGCGTGAAAGCCAGCGTAACGGCATCGCGCC <br> **************************************** | 242 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6 | GCAGAGCGATAGCCTGCGTAAACTGAGCGGCATTAAATTC | 442 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F | GCAGAGCGATAGCCTGCGTAAACTGAGCGGCATTAAATTC | 480 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R | GCAGAGCGATAGCCTGCGTAAACTGAGCGGCATTAAATTC | 282 |

pETDuet-1_VP6 AAACGCATCAACTTCGATAACAGCAGCGAATATATCGAAA ..... 482
pETDuet-1_VP6_F AAACGCATCAACTTCGATAACAGCAGCGAATATATCGAAA ..... 520
pETDuet-1_VP6_R AAACGCATCAACTTCGATAACAGCAGCGAATATATCGAAA ..... 322
pETDuet-1 VP6 ACTGGAACCTGCAGAACCGTCGTCAGCGTACCGGCTTTAC ..... 522
pETDuet-1_VP6_F ACTGGAACCTGCAGAACCGTCGTCAGCGTACCGGCTTTAC ..... 560
pETDuet-1_VP6_R ACTGGAACCTGCAGAACCGTCGTCAGCGTACCGGCTTTAC ..... 362
pETDuet-1_VP6 CTTTCATAAACCGAACATCTTTCCGTATAGCGCGAGCTTT ..... 562
pETDuet-1_VP6_F CTTTCATAAACCGAACATCTTTCCGTATAGCGCGAGCTTT ..... 600
pETDuet-1_VP6_R CTTTCATAAACCGAACATCTTTCCGTATAGCGCGAGCTTT ..... 402
pETDuet-1_VP6 ACCCTGAACCGTAGCCAGCCGGCGCATGATAACCTGATGG ..... 602
pETDuet-1_VP6_F ACCCTGAACCGTAGCCAGCCGGCGCATGATAACCTGATGG ..... 640
pETDuet-1_VP6_R ACCCTGAACCGTAGCCAGCCGGCGCATGATAACCTGATGG ..... 442
pETDuet-1_VP6 GCACCATGTGGCTGAACGCGGGCAGCGAAATTCAGGTGGC ..... 642
pETDuet-1_VP6_F GCACCATGTGGCTGAACGCGGGCAGCGAAATTCAGGTGGC ..... 680
pETDuet-1_VP6_R GCACCATGTGGCTGAACGCGGGCAGCGAAATTCAGGTGGC ..... 482
pETDuet-1_VP6 GGGCTTTGATTATAGCTGCGCGATTAACGCGCCGGCGAAC ..... 682
pETDuet-1_VP6_F GGGCTTTGATTATAGCTGCGCGATTAACGCGCCGGCGAAC ..... 720
pETDuet-1_VP6_R GGGCTTTGATTATAGCTGCGCGATTAACGCGCCGGCGAAC ..... 522
pETDuet-1_VP6 ACCCAGCAGTTTGAACATATTGTGCAGCTGCGTCGTGTGC ..... 722
pETDuet-1_VP6_F ACCCAGCAGTTTGAACATATTGTGCAGCTGCGTCGTGTGC ..... 760
pETDuet-1_VP6_R ACCCAGCAGTTTGAACATATTGTGCAGCTGCGTCGTGTGC ..... 562
pETDuet-1_VP6 TGACCACCGCGACCATTACCCTGCTGCCGGATGCGGAACG ..... 762
pETDuet-1_VP6_F TGACCACCGCGACCATTACCCTGCTGCCGGATGCGGAACG ..... 800602
pETDuet-1_VP6 TTTTAGCTTTCCGCGTGTGATTAACAGCGCGGATGGCGCG ..... 802
pETDuet-1_VP6_F TTTTAGCTTTCCGCGTGTGATTAACAGCGCGGATGGCGCG ..... 840
pETDuet-1_VP6_R TTTTAGCTTTCCGCGTGTGATTAACAGCGCGGATGGCGCG ..... 642
pETDuet-1_VP6 ACCACCTGGTATTTTAATCCGGTGATTCTGCGTCCGAACA ..... 842
pETDuet-1_VP6_F ACCACCTGGTATTTTAATCCGGTGATTCTGCGTCCGAACA ..... 880
pETDuet-1_VP6_R ACCACCTGGTATTTTAATCCGGTGATTCTGCGTCCGAACA ..... 682
pETDuet-1_VP6 ACGTGGAAGTGGAATTTCTGCTGAACGGCCAGATTATTAA ..... 882
pETDuet-1_VP6_F ACGTGGAAGTGGAATTTCTGCTGAACGGCCAGATTATTAA ..... 920
pETDuet-1_VP6_R ACGTGGAAGTGGAATTTCTGCTGAACGGCCAGATTATTAA ..... 722
pETDuet-1_VP6 CACCTATCAGGCGCGTTTTGGCACCATTGTGGCGCGTAAC ..... 922
pETDuet-1_VP6_F CACCTATCAGGCGCGTTTTGGCACCATTGTGGCGCGTAAC ..... 960
pETDuet-1_VP6_R CACCTATCAGGCGCGTTTTGGCACCATTGTGGCGCGTAAC762

| pETDuet-1_VP6 | TTTGATACCATTCGCCTGAGCTTTCAGCTGATGCGTCCGC | 962 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F | TTTGATACCATTCGCCTGAGCTTTCAGCTGATGCGTCCGC | 1000 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R | TTTGATACCATTCGCCTGAGCTTTCAGCTGATGCGTCCGC <br> **************************************** | 802 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6 | CGAACATGACCCCGAGCGTGGCGGCGCTGTTTCCGAACGC | 1002 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F | CGAACATGACCCCGAGCGTGGCGGCGCTGTTTCCGAACGC | 1040 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R | CGAACATGACCCCGAGCGTGGCGGCGCTGTTTCCGAACGC <br> **************************************** | 842 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6 | GCAGCCGTTTGAACATCATGCGACCGTTGGCCTGACCCTG | 1042 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F | GCAGCCGTTTGAACATCATGCGACCGTTGGCCTGACCCTG | 1080 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R | GCAGCCGTTTGAACATCATGCGACCGTTGGCCTGACCCTG <br> **************************************** | 882 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6 | AAAATTGAAAGCGCGGTGTGCGAAAGCGTTCTGGCCGATG | 1082 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F | AAAATTGAAAGCGCGGTGTGCGAAAGCGTTCTGGCCGATG | 1120 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R | AAAATTGAAAGCGCGGTGTGCGAAAGCGTTCTGGCCGATG $\star * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * ~$ | 922 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6 | CGAGCGAAACCATGCTGGCCAACGTGACCAGCGTGCGTCA | 1122 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F | CGAGCGAAACCATGCTGGCCAACGTGACCAGCGTGCGTCA | 1160 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R | CGAGCGAAACCATGCTGGCCAACGTGACCAGCGTGCGTCA <br> **************************************** | 962 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6 | GGAATATGCGATTCCGGTTGGCCCGGTGTTTCCGCCGGGT | 1162 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F | GGAATATGCGATTCCGGTTGGCCCGGTGT | 1189 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R | GGAATATGCGATTCCGGTTGGCCCGGTGTTTCCGCCGGGT <br> ***************************** | 1002 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6 | ATGAACTGGACCGATCTGATTACCAACTATAGCCCGAGCC | 1202 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F |  | 1189 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R | ATGAACTGGACCGATCTGATTACCAACTATAGCCCGAGCC | 1042 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6 | GTGAAGATAACCTGCAGCGTGTGTTTACCGTGGCGAGCAT | 1242 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F |  | 1189 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R | GTGAAGATAACCTGCAGCGTGTGTTTACCGTGGCGAGCAT | 1082 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6 | TCGTAGCATGCTGGTGAAATAGTAAGAATTCGAGCTCGGC | 1282 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_F |  | 1189 |
| pETDuet-1_VP6_R | TCGTAGCATGCTGGTGAAATAGTAAGAATTCGAGCTCGGC | 1122 |

Figure 2.10: Nucleotide sequence alignments of the recombinant pETDuet-1 vector containing the ORF of the bacterial codon optimised genome segment 6 (VP6) with the in silico clone of pETDuet-1_VP6. The in silico clone of pETDuet-1_VP6 is indicated as pETDuet-1_VP6, the forward sequence is indicated as pETDuet1_VP6_F nucleotide sequence and the reverse sequence is indicated as pETDuet1_VP6_R nucleotide sequence. The restriction enzymes used for the amplification of genome segment 6 are indicated in blue. The start codon of genome segment 6 is indicated in green and the stop codon is indicated in red. The T7 promoter-1 is indicated in purple, the T7 transcription start-1 is indicated in dark blue and the lac operator in yellow.

Both colony 11 and colony 13 gave the expected results, however, only the sequences results of colony 13 are shown. It was clear from Figure 2.10 that the ORF encoding VP6 was cloned into pETDuet-1. The commercially available primers used for sequencing made it possible to see parts of the sequences namely the restriction enzymes used for cloning, the start and stop codon of the bacterial codon optimised ORF of genome segment 6 as well as the T7 promoter-1, the T7 transcription start-1, the lac operator as well as the ribosome binding sequence. These results were promising making it possible to go to the next step of the project namely the individual expression of VP6 in bacteria (discussed in section 2.3.3).

### 2.3.2 Cloning of open reading frame encoding VP2 into pETDuet-1 and pETDuet1_VP6

The synthetic ORF of bacterial codon optimised genome segment 2 (VP2) was obtained from Dr AC Potgieter (Deltamune (Pty) Ltd R\&D, South Africa), who provided genome segment 2 in the pColdTF vector. Dr AC Potgieter found that it was possible to express VP2 in bacteria when using the expression protocol of the cold shock vectors.

In order to clone the ORF encoding VP2 into the expression vector pETDuet-1 (Figure 2.1.), it was PCR amplified from pColdTF_VP2 with primers that were designed to contain the restriction enzymes; BgIII and XmaJI, to facilitate directional cloning as illustrated in Figure 2.11. The ORFs of genome segment 2 and 6 should, therefore, not contain recognition sequences for these two restriction enzymes, to ensure that the restriction enzymes do not cut within either the ORF of genome segment 6 or genome segment 2. These enzymes sites are present in multiple cloning site 2 (MCS2) of pETDuet-1. The primers were designed using the bacterial codon optimised sequence of genome segment 2 (VP2) (Figure 2.11).

| 1 |  |  | Restriction enzyme Bg |  |  | glII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CGAATTGGGG | ATCGATCCAC | TAGTTAATTA | ACGCGATGTA | AGGtACCTCG | AGATGGCGTA |
|  | GCTTAACCCC | TAGCTAGGTG | ATCAATTAAT | tgcgctacat | TCCATGGAGC | TCTACCGCAT |
|  | TCG' 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 | TCGTAAACGT | GGCGCGCGTC | GTGAAGCGAA | CCTGAACAAC | AACGATCGTA | TGCAGGAAAA |
|  | AGCATTTGCA | CCGCGCGCAG | CACTTCGCTT | GGACTTGTTG | TTGCTAGCAT | ACGTCCTTTT |
| 121 | AATCGACGAA | AAACAGGATA | GCAACAAAAT | TCAGCTGTCT | GATAAAGTTC | TGAGCAAAAA |
|  | TTAGCTGCTT | TTTGTCCTAT | CGITGTTTTA | AgTCGACAGA | CTATtTCAAG | ACTCGTTITT |
| 181 | AGAAGAAATC | GTTACCGATA | GCCACGAAGA | AGTGAAAGTT | ACCGACGAAC | TGAAAAAAAG |
|  | TCTICTTTAG | CAATGGCTAT | CGGTGCTTCT | TCACTTTCAA | TGGCtGctig | ACtitititc |
| 241 | CACCAAAGAA | GAAAGCAAAC | AGCTGCTGGA | AGTGCTGAAA | ACGAAAGAAG | AGCACCAGAA |
|  | GTGGTTTCTT | CTTTCGTTTG | TCGACGACCT | TCACGACTTT | TGCTtTCTTC | TCGTGGTCTT |
| 301 | AGAAATCCAG | TATGAAATCC | TGCAGAAAAC | CATtCcGACC | TTTGAACCGA | AAGAAACCAT |
|  | TCTTTAGGTC | ATACTTTAGG | ACGTCTITTG | GTAAGGCTGG | AAACTTGGCT | tTCTTTGGTA |
| 361 | TCTGCGCAAA | CTGGAAGATA | TTCAGCCGGA | ACTGGCCAAA | AAACAGACCA | AACTGTTCCG |
|  | AGACGCGTTT | GACCTTCTAT | AAGTCGGCCT | TGACCGGTTT | TTTGTCTGGT | TTGACAAGGC |
| 421 | TATTTTCGAA | CCGAAACAGC | TGCCGATtTA | tcgigcganc | GGCGAACGTG | AACTGCGTAA |
|  | ATAAAAGCTT | GGCtitgicg | ACGGCTAAAT | AGCACGCttG | CCGCTtGCAC | tTGACGCATT |
| 481 | CCGTTGGTAC | TGGAAACTGA | AAAAAGATAC | CCTGCCGGAT | GGCGAttatg | ATGTGCGCGA |
|  | GGCAACCATG | ACCTTTGACT | ttittctatg | GGACGGCCTA | CCGCTAATAC | TACACGCGCT |
| 541 | ATATTTCCTG | AACCTGTATG | ATCAGGTGCT | GACCGAAATG | CCGGATTATC | TGCTGCtGAA |
|  | TATAAAGGAC | TTGGACATAC | TAGTCCACGA | CTGGCTITAC | GGCCTAATAG | ACGACGACTT |
| 601 | AGATATGGCG | GTGGAAAATA | AAAACAGCCG | TGATGCGGGC | AAAGTGGTGG | ATAGCGAAAC |
|  | TCTATACCGC | CACCTTTTAT | TTTTGTCGGC | ACTACGCCCG | TTTCACCACC | tatcgctitg |
| 661 | CGCGAGCATT | TGTGATGCGA | TCTTCCAGGA | TGAAGAAACC | GAAGGCGCGG | TGCGTCGTTT |
|  | GCGCTCGTAA | ACACTACGCT | AGAAGGTCCT | ACtictitg | CtICCGCGCC | ACGCAGCAAA |
| 721 | TATTGCGGAA | AtGcgicagc | GTGTGCAGGC | GGATCGTAAC | GTGGTGAACT | ATCCGAGCAT |
|  | ATAACGCCTT | TACGCAGTCG | CACACGTCCG | CCTAGCATTG | CACCACTTGA | TAGGCTCGTA |
| 781 | TCTGCATCCG | Attgattatg | CGTTCAACGA | AtActitctg | CAGCATCAGC | TGGTTGAACC |
|  | AGACGTAGGC | taActantac | GCAAGTTGCT | TATGAAAGAC | GTCGTAGTCG | ACCAACTTGG |
| 841 | GCTGAACAAC | GATATCATCT | TCAACTATAT | CCCGGAACGT | Attcgiancg | ATGTGAACTA |
|  | CGACTTGTTG | CTATAGTAGA | AGTTGATATA | GGGCCTTGCA | TAAGCATTGC | TACACTTGAT |
| 901 | CATCCTGAAC | ATGGATCGCA | ACCTGCCGAG | CACCGCGCGT | TATATCCGTC | CGAACCTGCT |
|  | GTAGGACTTG | TACCTAGCGT | TGGACGGCTC | GTGGCGCGCA | Atataggcag | GCTTGGACGA |
| 961 | GCAGGATCGT | CTGAACCTGC | ATGATAACTT | CGAAAGCCTG | TGGGATACCA | TTACCACGAG |
|  | CGTCCTAGCA | GACTTGGACG | tactattgat | GCTtTCGGAC | ACCCTATGGT | AATGGTGCTC |
| 1021 | CAACTATATT | CTGGCCCGTA | GCGTGGTGCC | GGATCTGAAA | GAACTGGTGA | GCACCGAAGC |
|  | GTTGATATAA | GACCGGGCAT | CGCACCACGG | CCTAGACTTT | CTTGACCACT | CGIGGCTICG |
| 1081 | GCAGATTCAG | AAAATGAGCC | AGGATCTGCA | GCTGGAAGCG | CTGACCATTC | AGAGCGAAAC |
|  | CGTCTAAGTC | tTtTACTCGG | TCCTAGACGT | CGACCTICGC | GACTGGTAAG | TCTCGCTITG |


| 1141 | CCAGTITCTG | ACCGGCATtA | ACAGCCAGGC | GGCGAACGAT | TGCTtTAAAA | CCCTGAttGC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GGTCAAAGAC | TGGCCGTAAT | TGTCGGTCCG | CCGCTTGCTA | ACGAAATTTT | GGGACTAACG |
| 1201 | GGCCATGCTG | TCTCAGCGTA | CCATGAGCCT | GGATTTTGTG | ACCACCAACT | ATATGAGCCT |
|  | CCGGTACGAC | AGAGTCGCAT | GGTACTCGGA | CCTAAAACAC | TGGTGGTTGA | TATACTCGGA |
| 1261 | GATTAGCGGC | ATGTGGCTGC | TGACCGTGGT | GCCGAACGAC | AtGittattc | GTGAAAGCCT |
|  | CTAATCGCCG | TACACCGACG | ACTGGCACCA | CGGCTTGCTG | TACAAATAAG | CACTITCGGA |
| 1321 | GGTGGCGTGC | CAGCTGGCCA | TTGTGAACAC | CATTATCTAT | CCGGCGTTTG | GCATGCAGCG |
|  | CCACCGCACG | GTCGACCGGT | AACACTTGTG | GTAATAGATA | GGCCGCAAAC | CGTACGTCGC |
| 1381 | TATGCATTAT | CGTAACGGCG | AtCCGCAGAC | CCCGTTTCAG | ATTGCGGAAC | AGCAGATCCA |
|  | AtACGTAATA | GCATTGCCGC | TAGGCGTCTG | GGGCAAAGTC | TAACGCCTTG | TCGTCTAGGT |
| 1441 | GAACTITCAG | GTGGCGAACT | GGCTGCAtTT | TGTGAACAAC | AACCAGTTTC | GTCAGGCGGT |
|  | CTTGAAAGTC | CACCGCTTGA | CCGACGTAAA | ACACTTGTTG | TTGGTCAAAG | CAGTCCGCCA |
| 1501 | GATTGATGGC | GTGCTGAACC | AGGTGCTGAA | CGATAACATT | CGTAACGGCC | ATGTGATTAA |
|  | CTAACTACCG | CACGACTIGG | TCCACGACTT | GCTATtGTAA | GCATtGCCGG | TACACTAATT |
| 1561 | CCAACTGATG | GAAGCCCTGA | TGCAGCTGTC | TCGTCAGCAG | TTTCCGACCA | TGCCGATCGA |
|  | GGTTGACTAC | CTTCGGGACT | ACGTCGACAG | AGCAGTCGTC | AAAGGCTGGT | ACGGCTAGCT |
| 1621 | TTATAAACGT | AGCATTCAGC | GTGGCATTCT | GCTGCTGTCT | AACCGTCTGG | GCCAGCTGGT |
|  | AATATTTGCA | TCGTAAGTCG | CACCGTAAGA | CGACGACAGA | tTGGCAGACC | CGGTCGACCA |
| 1681 | TGATCTGACC | CGTCTGCtGG | CCTATAACTA | TGAAACGCTG | ATGGCATGCA | TCACGATGAA |
|  | ACTAGACTGG | GCAGACGACC | GGATATTGAT | ACTITGCGAC | TACCGTACGT | AGTGCTACTT |
| 1741 | CATGCAGCAT | GTGCAGACCC | TGACCACCGA | AAAACTGCAG | CTGACCAGCG | TGACCAGCCT |
|  | GTACGTCGTA | CACGTCTGGG | ACTGGTGGCT | TTTTGACGTC | GACTGGTCGC | ACTGGTCGGA |
| 1801 | GTGCATGCTG | ATTGGCAACG | CGACCGTGAT | TCCGAGCCCG | CAGACCCTGT | TCCATTATtA |
|  | CACGTACGAC | TAACCGTTGC | GCTGGCACTA | AgGCTCGGGC | GTCTGGGACA | AGgTAATAAT |
| 1861 | TAACGTGAAC | GTGAACTTTC | ACAGCAACTA | TAACGAACGT | AtTAACGATG | CGGTGGCGAT |
|  | AttGCACTTG | CACTTGAAAG | TGTCGTTGAT | AttGctigca | TAATTGCTAC | GCCACCGCTA |
| 1921 | TATTACCGCG | GCGAACCGTC | TGAATCTGTA | TCAGAAAAAA | ATGAAAGCGA | TCGTGGAAGA |
|  | ATAATGGCGC | CGCTTGGCAG | ACTTAGACAT | AGTCTTTTTT | TACTTTCGCT | AGCACCTTCT |
| 1981 | Ttttcta ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | CGCctgtaca | TttitgAtg | GAGCCGTGTG | CCGGATGATC | AGATGTATCG |
|  | AAAAGACTTT | GCGGACATGT | AAAAACTACA | CTCGGCACAC | GGCCTACTAG | tCtacatagc |
| 2041 | TCTGCGTGAT | CGTCTGCGTC | TGCTGCCGGT | GGAAATTCGT | CGTCTGGATA | TCTITAACCT |
|  | AGACGCACTA | GCAGACGCAG | ACGACGGCCA | CCTTTAAGCA | GCAGACCTAT | AGAAATTGGA |
| 2101 | GATCCTGATG | AATATGGATC | AGATCGAACG | TGCGAGCGAT | AAAATTGCGC | AGGGCGTGAT |
|  | CTAGGACTAC | tTATACCTAG | TCTAGCTTGC | ACGCTCGCTA | tTTTAACGCG | TCCCGCACTA |
| 2161 | TATtGCGTAT | CGTGATATGC | ATCTGGAACG | TGATGAAATG | TATGGCtACG | TGAACATTGC |
|  | ATAACGCATA | GCACTATACG | TAGACCTTGC | ACTACtTtac | ATACCGATGC | ACtTGTAACG |
| 2221 | GCGTAACCTG | GAAGGCtitc | AGCAGATTAA | CCTGGAAGAA | CTGATGCGTA | GCGGCGATTA |
|  | CGCATTGGAC | CTTCCGAAAG | TCGTCTAATT | GGACCTTCTT | GACTACGCAT | CGCCGCTAAT |
| 2281 | TGCGCAGATT | ACCAACATGC | TGCTGAACAA | CCAGCCGGTG | GCGCTGGTtG | GTGCGCTGCC |
|  | ACGCGTCTAA | TGGTtGTACG | ACGACTTGTT | GGTCGGCCAC | CGCGACCAAC | CACGCGACGG |


| 2341 | GTTTATTACC | GATAGCAGCG | TGATTAGCCT | GATTGCGAAA | CTGGATGCGA | CCGTGTTTGC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAAATAATGG | CTATCGTCGC | ACTAATCGGA | CTAACGCTTT | GACCTACGCT | GGCACAAACG |
| 2401 | GCAGATTGTG | AAACTGCGTA | AAGTGGATAC | CCTGAAACCG | ATCCTGTATA | AAATCAACAG |
|  | CGTCTAACAC | TTTGACGCAT | TTCACCTATG | GGACTTTGGC | TAGGACATAT | TTTAGTTGTC |
| 2461 | CGATAGCAAC | GATTTTTATC | TGGTGGCGAA | CTATGATTGG | GTGCCGACCA | GCACCACCAA |
|  | GCTATCGTTG | CTAAAAATAG | ACCACCGCTT | GATACTAACC | CACGGCTGGT | CGTGGTGGTT |
| 2521 | AGTGTATAAA | CAGGTGCCGC | AGCAGTTTGA | TTTTCGTAAC | TCTATGCACA | TGCTGACCAG |
|  | TCACATATTT | GTCCACGGCG | TCGTCAAACT | AAAAGCATTG | AGATACGTGT | ACGACTGGTC |
| 2581 | CAACCTGACC | TTTACCGTGT | ATAGCGATCT | GCTGGCCTTT | GTGAGCGCGG | ATACCGTGGA |
|  | GTTGGACTGG | AAATGGCACA | TATCGCTAGA | CGACCGGAAA | CACTCGCGCC | TATGGCACCT |
| 2641 | ACCGATTAAC | GCGGTGGCGT | TTGATAACAT | GCGCATCATG | AACGAACTG | AATAACATAT |
|  | TGGCTAATTG | CGCCACCGCA | AACTATTGTA | CGCGTAGTAC | TTGCTTGACA | TTATTGTATA |
|  |  |  | everse prime | 3 $3^{\prime} \mathrm{GTAC}$ | TTGCTTGACA | TCATTGGATC |
|  |  |  |  | Restr | iction enyme | ee XmaJI |
| 2701 | GGAGCTCTTA | CATCGCTGGC | GCGCCCTAGT | GGCGTAATCA | TGGTCATAGC | TGTTTCCTGT |
|  | CCTCGAGAAT CTCTC-5' | GTAGCGACCG | CGCGGGATCA | CCGCATTAGT | ACCAGTATCG | ACAAAGGACA |

Figure 2.11: Sequence of the ORF encoding VP2 (GR10924 G9P[6]) indicating the primers used for amplification. The forward and reverse primers are indicated in purple and the restriction enzymes sites in black. The start codon is indicated in green and the stop codon in red.

PCR amplification was performed at an annealing temperature of $57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, as described in section 2.2.3.2. A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of the amplification reaction was analysed using a $1 \%$ agarose gel (Figure 2.12).


Figure 2.12: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of the PCR amplification of the ORF encoding VP2 from the pColdTF vector. Lane:

1) 10000 bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ PCR reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2-3) genome segment 2 amplification reactions (same reaction done in duplicate); 4) negative control.

The PCR amplification of the ORF encoding VP2, at $57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ annealing temperature, was successful but there was a non-specific $\pm 500 \mathrm{bp}$ amplicon visible on the agarose gel (Figure 2.12). Lanes 2 and 3 show a 2700bp amplicon as expected (the same reaction done in duplicate). The lack of a band in lane 4, which served as the negative control (the negative control has no template DNA and is usually water instead of DNA), indicated that no contamination took place. The band at 2700bp was excized before silica extraction was performed. The PCR amplified ORF encoding VP2 was purified from oligonucleotides, other contaminants and the non-specific amplicon by means of gel extraction to ensure good quality DNA for cloning. Gel extraction was done as described in section 2.2.3.7. This yielded about $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ product of the ORF encoding VP2, which was stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for cloning. A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of the purified ORF encoding VP2 was analysed using a $1 \%$ agarose gel, as shown in Figure 2.13.


Figure 2.13: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of the amplified ORF encoding VP2 after gel extraction. Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ reaction of each $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ gel extracted reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2-3) duplicate purified genome segment 2

The gel extraction purification of the ORF encoding VP2 was successful (Figure 2.13). Lanes 2 and 3 show the expected fragment at 2700bp and illustrate that the non-specific amplicon was successfully removed by gel excision of the ORF of genome segment 2 .

To evaluate the individual expression of VP2 as well as the co-expression of VP2/6 in bacteria, two types of constructs had to be made namely i) pETDuet-1_VP2 and ii) pETDuet1_VP2/6. Double restriction endonuclease digestion was done with the restriction enzymes BgIII and XmaJI for the amplified ORF encoding VP2, pETDuet-1 and pETDuet-1_VP6, as described in section 2.2.3.6. These digestions were analysed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel, as shown in Figure 2.14. The expected result for the restriction digestion of the ORF encoding VP2 was to linearize and two bands to be visible for pETDuet-1 (5292bp and 128bp) and pETDuet-1_VP6 (6448bp and 128bp) since the enzymes only have one recognition site in all three of them. However, the second band for pETDuet-1_VP6 and pETDuet-1 would not be visible on the agarose gel since it is too small.


Figure 2.14: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of restriction enzyme analysis with BgIII and AvrII of the ORF encoding VP2, pETDuet1 and pETDuet-1_VP6. (A) Lane: 1) 10000 bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $30 \mu \mathrm{l} \mathrm{Bglll}$ and Avrll digestion reactions were loaded as follows; lane: 2) pETDuet-1; (B) Lane: 1) 10 000bp O’Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Bglll and Avrll digestion reactions were loaded as follows; lanes: 2) digested pETDuet-1_VP6; 3) digested genome segment 2.

The expected results for the restriction digestion were obtained for all three reactions (pETDuet-1, ORF encoding VP2 and pETduet-1_VP6) (Figure 2.14). The remaining sample of the double restriction digestions reactions were analysed by a $0.8 \% \mathrm{gel}$ and purified by means of a gel extraction as described in section 2.2.3.7. This gel extraction yielded 22.7 $\mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ORF encoding VP2, $15.4 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ pETDuet-1 and $17.6 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ pETDuet-1_VP6. Ligation reaction of the ORF encoding VP2 into pETDuet-1 and pETDuet-1_VP6 was done as described in section 2.2.3.8. The ligation background control contained no DNA insert. The transformation of JM109 competent cells, using the above mentioned ligation reactions, was done as described in section 2.2.3.10. Twenty colonies of pETDuet-1_VP2 and 20 colonies of pETDuet-1_VP2/6 were chosen for mini preparation of plasmid DNA and screening by means of double restriction digestion, as described in section 2.2.3.6. Mini-plasmid preparations were done on overnight LB medium cultures of the chosen colonies. Restriction analysis was done on plasmid DNA to see if the cloning of the ORF encoding VP2 into the
pETDuet-1 and pETDuet-1_VP6 was successful. The restriction enzymes chosen were BgIII and Avrll, since these were the enzymes used for cloning. A cloning overview and the plasmid map that would result when the ORF encoding VP2 is cloned into pETDuet-1 and pETDuet-1_VP6 is illustrated in Figure 2.15.


Figure 2.15: Cloning overview and plasmid map that would result when the ORF encoding VP2 is cloned into pETDuet-1 and pETDuet-1_VP6. (1) ORF encoding VP2 was PCR amplified from pColdTF. (2) RE digestion of the ORF of the bacterial codon optimised genome segment 2, pETDuet-1 and pETDuet-1_VP6 was done with BgIII and Avrll. (3) The ligation reactions conducted resulted in two constructs: pETDuet-1_VP2 (7941bp) and pETDuet-1_VP2/6 (9101bp).

Only two possible pETDuet-1_VP2 (colonies 3 and 4) and two possible pETDuet-1_VP2/6 (colonies 6 and 16) plasmids were identified based on agarose gel electrophoresis of the mini preparation of plasmid DNA (results not shown). Therefore, only these possible pETDuet-1_VP2 and pETDuet-1_VP2/6 plasmids were subjected to a double restriction endonuclease digestion with the enzymes BgIII and Avrll, since these were the enzymes used for cloning. A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of each $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ double restriction digestion was analysed by $1 \%$ agarose gel electrophoresis, as shown in Figure 2.16. A plasmid was considered positive if two fragments (5292bp and 2649bp) were visible for pETDuet-1_VP2 and two fragments (6452bp and 2649bp) for pETDuet-1_VP2/6, after the double restriction enzyme digestion.


Figure 2.16: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of restriction enzyme analysis of pETDuet_VP2 and pETDuet_VP2/6 plasmids, from the cloning experiment. (A) Lane: 1) 10000 bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Bgll and Avrll digestion reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) pETDuet_VP2 colony 3; 3) pETDuet_VP2 colony 4. (B) Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ BgIII and Avrll digestion reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) pETDuet_VP2/6 colony 6; 3) pETDuet_VP2/6 colony 16; 4) Digested pETDuet-1_VP6.

Both colonies from the restriction digestion of both pETDuet-1_VP2 (colonies 3 and 4) and pETDuet-1_VP2/6 (colony 6 and 16) gave the expected results (Figure 2.16A and B). A midi plasmid preparation of plasmid DNA was done for both positive colonies of both pETDuet1_VP2 and pETDuet-1_VP2/6, as described in section 2.2.3.1. A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of each 400 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ plasmid preparation of both pETDuet-1_VP2 and pETDuet-1_VP2/6 colonies was analysed by a $1 \%$ agarose gel, as shown in Figure 2.17.


Figure 2.17: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of midi plasmid preparation of pETDuet-1_VP2 and pETDuet-1_VP2/6 colonies. A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ plasmid extraction was loaded. (A) Lanes: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas); 2) pETDuet-1_VP2 colony 3; 3) pETDuet-1_VP2 colony 4. (B) Lanes: 1) 10000 bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas); 2) pETDuet-1_VP2/6 colony 6; 3) pETDuet-1_VP2/6 colony 16.

The results in Figure 2.17 showed that plasmid DNA was obtained for both pETDuet-1_VP2 (Colony 3 and 4) and pETDuet-1_VP2/6 colonies (Colonies 6 and 16). The difference in the fragments obtained for the pETDuet-1_VP2/6 colonies can possibly be due to the fact that a mutation has occurred during the cloning procedure. However, to make sure that the midiplasmid preparation of pETDuet-1_VP2/6 was successful both colonies for pETDuet1_VP2/6, were subjected to a restriction digestion with Afel. This enzyme was chosen to determine whether or not the ORF encoding VP2 was cloned into the expression vector pETDuet-1_VP6. A $10 \mu$ l sample of each $25 \mu$ l double restriction digestion was analysed on
a 1\% agarose gel (Figure 2.18). Three fragments (1713bp, 2700bp and 4688bp) were expected for pETDuet-1_VP2/6, after restriction digestion.


Figure 2.18: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of restriction enzyme digestion of pETDuet-1_VP2/6 plasmids, from midi plasmid preparation. Lane: 1) 10000 bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Afel digestion reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) pETDuet-1_VP2/6 colony 6; 3) pETDuet-1_VP2/6 colony 16; 4) Digested pETDuet-1_VP6.

Figure 2.18 shows that the expected results were obtained for only one pETDuet-1_VP2/6 colony 6 (lane 2) namely three fragments, at 4688 bp , 2700bp and other at 1713 bp . This indicates that the ORF encoding VP2 was cloned into pETDuet-1_VP6. The pETDuet1_VP2/6 colony 16 only showed two bands indicating that the ORF encoding VP2 was probably cloned into pETDuet-1_VP6, but a mutation could have occurred at the Afel restriction site. These results were encouraging for the pETDuet-1_VP2/6 construct, but sequencing was still necessary to ensure that the plasmid contained the coding regions of interest. The midi plasmid preparation of both pETDuet-1_VP2 colonies (colony 3 and colony 4) and pETDuet-_VP2/6 colony 6 were sent for sequencing, to ensure that the ORF encoding VP2 was cloned correctly into both pETDuet-1_VP2 and pETDuet-1_VP2/6 and that no mutations had occurred during cloning procedures. Only the sequence results of pETDuet-1_VP2 colony 4 are shown in Figure 2.19 and of pETDuet-1_VP2/6 colony 6 in Figure 2.20.

| pETDuet $-1 \_$VP2 | GCATAATCGAAATTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGGAATTGT |
| :--- | :--- |$\quad 240$

```
pETDuet-1_VP2 TGAACCGAAAGAAACCATTCTGCGCAAACTGGAAGATATT 640
pETDuet-1_VP2_F TGAACCGAAAGAAACCATTCTGCGCAAACTGGAAGATATT 427
```



```
pETDuet-1_VP2_R . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 0
pETDuet-1_VP2 CAGCCGGAACTGGCCAAAAAACAGACCAAACTGTTCCGTA 680
pETDuet-1_VP2_F CAGCCGGAACTGGCCAAAAAACAGACCAAACTGTTCCGTA 467
```



```
pETDuet-1_VP2_R
pETDuet-1_VP2 TTTTCGAACCGAAACAGCTGCCGATTTATCGTGCGAACGG 720
pETDuet-1_VP2_F TTTTCGAACCGAAACAGCTGCCGATTTATCGTGCGAACGG 507
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 
pETDuet-1_VP2_R . ........................................ 
pETDuet-1_VP2 CGAACGTGAACTGCGTAACCGTTGGTACTGGAAACTGAAA 760
pETDuet-1_VP2_F CGAACGTGAACTGCGTAACCGTTGGTACTGGAAACTGAAA 547
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 
```



```
pETDuet-1_VP2 AAAGATACCCTGCCGGATGGCGATTATGATGTGCGCGAAT }80
pETDuet-1_VP2_F AAAGATACCCTGCCGGATGGCGATTATGATGTGCGCGAAT }58
```




```
pETDuet-1_VP2 ATTTCCTGAACCTGTATGATCAGGTGCTGACCGAAATGCC 840
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ATTTCCTGAACCTGTATGATCAGGTGCTGACCGAAATGCC 627
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 0
pETDuet-1_VP2_R . ........................................ 
pETDuet-1_VP2 GGATTATCTGCTGCTGAAAGATATGGCGGTGGAAAATAAA 880
pETDuet-1_VP2_F GGATTATCTGCTGCTGAAAGATATGGCGGTGGAAAATAAA 667
```




```
pETDuet-1_VP2 AACAGCCGTGATGCGGGCAAAGTGGTGGATAGCGAAACCG 920
pETDuet-1_VP2_F AACAGCCGTGATGCGGGCAAAGTGGTGGATAGCGAAACCG }70
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ......................................... 
```



```
pETDuet-1_VP2 CGAGCATTTGTGATGCGATCTTCCAGGATGAAGAAACCGA 960
pETDuet-1_VP2_F CGAGCATTTGTGATGCGATCTTCCAGGATGAAGAAACCGA 747
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 0
```



```
pETDuet-1_VP2 AGGCGCGGTGCGTCGTTTTATTGCGGAAATGCGTCAGCGT 1000
pETDuet-1_VP2_F AGGCGCGGTGCGTCGTTTTATTGCGGAAATGCGTCAGCGT }78
```




```
pETDuet-1_VP2 GTGCAGGCGGATCGTAACGTGGTGAACTATCCGAGCATTC
pETDuet-1_VP2_F GTGCAGGCGGATCGTAACGTGGTGAACTATCCGAGCATTC
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int
pETDuet-1_VP2_R
pETDuet-1_VP2 TGCATCCGATTGATTATGCGTTCAACGAATACTTTCTGCA
pETDuet-1_VP2_F TGCATCCGATTGATTATGCGTTCAACGAATACTTTCTGCA
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int
pETDuet-1_VP2_In
pETDuet-1_VP2 GCATCAGCTGGTTGAACCGCTGAACAACGATATCATCTTC
pETDuet-1_VP2_F GCATCAGCTGGTTGAACCGCTGAACAACGATATCATCTTC 907
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ...............................................................
pETDuet-1_VP2_R
pETDuet-1_VP2 AACTATATCCCGGAACGTATTCGTAACGATGTGAACTACA
pETDuet-1_VP2_F AACTATATCCCGGAACGTATTCGTAACGATGTGAACTACA
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int
pETDuet-1_VP2_R
pETDuet-1_VP2 TCCTGAACATGGATCGCAACCTGCCGAGCACCGCGCGTTA
pETDuet-1_VP2_F TCCTGAACATGGATCGCAACCTGCCGAGCACCGCGCGTTA 987
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int
        0
pETDuet-1_VP2_R
pETDuet-1_VP2 TATCCGTCCGAACCTGCTGCAGGATCGTCTGAACCTGCAT
pETDuet-1_VP2_F TATCCGTCCGAACCTGCTGCAGGATCGTCTGAACCTGCAT 1027240
```



```
pETDuet-1_VP2_R
pETDuet-1_VP2 GATAACTTCGAAAGCCTGTGGGATACCATTACCACGAGCA 1280
pETDuet-1_VP2_F GATAACTTCGAAAGCCTGTGGGATACCATTACCACGAGCA 1067
```



```
pETDuet-1_VP2_R
pETDuet-1_VP2 ACTATATTCTGGCCCGTAGCGTGGTGCCGGATCTGAAAGA 1320
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ACTATATTCTGGCCCGTAGCGTGGTGCCGGATCTGAAAGA 1107
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int
    0
pETDuet-1_VP2_R
pETDuet-1_VP2 ACTGGTGAGCACCGAAGCGCAGATTCAGAAAATGAGCCAG 1360
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ACTGGTGAGCACCGAAGCGCAGATTCAGAAAATGAGCCAG 1147
```



```
pETDuet-1_VP2_R . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 0
pETDuet-1_VP2 GATCTGCAGCTGGAAGCGCTGACCATTCAGAGCGAAACCC 1400
pETDuet-1_VP2_F GATCTGCAGCTGGAAGCGCTGACCATTCAGAGCGAAACCC 1186
```



```
pETDuet-1_VP2_R ..............................................................
```

pETDuet-1_VP2 AGTTTCTGACCGGCATTAACAGCCAGGCGGCGAACGATTG ..... 1440pETDuet-1_VP2_F AGTTTCTGACCGGCATTAACAGCCAGGCGGCGAACGATTG 1225
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int0
pETDuet-1_VP2_R ..... 0
pETDuet-1_VP2 CTTTAAAACCCTGATTGCGGCCATGCTGTCTCAGCGTACC ..... 1480
pETDuet-1_VP2_F CTTTAAAACCCTGATTGCG ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . GGCCATGCTGTCTCAGCGTACC ..... 22
pETDuet-1_VP2_R
pETDuet-1_VP2 ATGAGCCTGGATTTTGTGACCACCAACTATATGAGCCTGA ..... 1520
pETDuet-1_VP2_F . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ATGAGCCTGGATTTTGTGACCACCAACTATATGAGCCTGA ..... 62
pETDuet-1_VP2_R
pETDuet-1_VP2 TTAGCGGCATGTGGCTGCTGACCGTGGTGCCGAACGACAT ..... 1560
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244

- $\operatorname{THAGCGGCATGTGGCTGCTGACCGTGGTGCCGAACGACAT}$ pETDuet-1_VP2_Int TTAGCGGCATGTGGCTGCTGACCGTGGTGCCGAACGACAT ..... 102
pETDuet-1_VP2_R
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .0
pETDuet-1_VP2 GTTTATTCGTGAAAGCCTGGTGGCGTGCCAGCTGGCCATT ..... 1600
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int GTTTATTCGTGAAAGCCTGGTGGCGTGCCAGCTGGCCATT ..... 142
pETDuet-1_VP2_R
pETDuet-1_VP2 GTGAACACCATTATCTATCCGGCGTTTGGCATGCAGCGTA ..... 1640
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int GTGAACACCATTATCTATCCGGCGTTTGGCATGCAGCGTA ..... 182
pETDuet-1_VP2_R ..... 0
pETDuet-1_VP2 TGCATTATCGTAACGGCGATCCGCAGACCCCGTTTCAGAT ..... 1680
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int TGCATTATCGTAACGGCGATCCGCAGACCCCGTTTCAGAT ..... 222
pETDuet-1_VP2_R ..... 0
pETDuet-1_VP2 TGCGGAACAGCAGATCCAGAACTTTCAGGTGGCGAACTGG ..... 1720
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int TGCGGAACAGCAGATCCAGAACTTTCAGGTGGCGAACTGG ..... 262
pETDuet-1_VP2_R . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ..... 0
pETDuet-1_VP2 CTGCATTTTGTGAACAACAACCAGTTTCGTCAGGCGGTGA ..... 1760
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int CTGCATTTTGTGAACAACAACCAGTTTCGTCAGGCGGTGA ..... 302
pETDuet-1_VP2_R
pETDuet-1_VP2 TTGATGGCGTGCTGAACCAGGTGCTGAACGATAACATTCG ..... 1800
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int TTGATGGCGTGCTGAACCAGGTGCTGAACGATAACATTCG ..... 342
pETDuet-1_VP2_R ..... 0
pETDuet-1_VP2 TAACGGCCATGTGATTAACCAACTGATGGAAGCCCTGATG ..... 1840
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int TAACGGCCATGTGATTAACCAACTGATGGAAGCCCTGATG ..... 382
pETDuet-1_VP2_R ..... 0
pETDuet-1_VP2 CAGCTGTCTCGTCAGCAGTTTCCGACCATGCCGATCGATT ..... 1880
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int CAGCTGTCTCGTCAGCAGTTTCCGACCATGCCGATCGATT ..... 422
pETDuet-1_VP2_R
pETDuet-1_VP2 ATAAACGTAGCATTCAGCGTGGCATTCTGCTGCTGTCTAA ..... 1920
pETDuet-1_VP2_F . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ATAAACGTAGCATTCAGCGTGGCATTCTGCTGCTGTCTAA ..... 462
pETDuet-1_VP2_R
pETDuet-1_VP2 CCGTCTGGGCCAGCTGGTTGATCTGACCCGTCTGCTGGCC ..... 1960
1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_FCCGTCTGGGCCAGCTGGTTGATCTGACCCGTCTGCTGGCC502
pETDuet-1_VP2_R . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ..... 0
pETDuet-1_VP2 TATAACTATGAAACGCTGATGGCATGCATCACGATGAACA ..... 2000
pETDuet-1_VP2_F . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int TATAACTATGAAACGCTGATGGCATGCATCACGATGAACA ..... 542
pETDuet-1_VP2_R . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ACA ..... 3
pETDuet-1_VP2 TGCAGCATGTGCAGACCCTGACCACCGAAAAACTGCAGCT ..... 2040
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int TGCAGCATGTGCAGACCCTGACCACCGAAAAACTGCAGCT ..... 582
pETDuet-1_VP2_R TGCAGCATGTGCAGACCCTGACCACCGAAAAACTGCAGCT ..... 43
pETDuet-1_VP2 GACCAGCGTGACCAGCCTGTGCATGCTGATTGGCAACGCG ..... 2080
pETDuet-1_VP2_F - $\operatorname{GACCAGCGTGACCAGCCTGTGCATGCTGATTGGCAACGCG}$ ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int GACCAGCGTGACCAGCCTGTGCATGCTGATTGGCAACGCG ..... 622
pETDuet-1_VP2_R GACCAGCGTGACCAGCCTGTGCATGCTGATTGGCAACGCG ..... 83
pETDuet-1_VP2 ACCGTGATTCCGAGCCCGCAGACCCTGTTCCATTATTATA ..... 2120
pETDuet-1_VP2_F . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ACCGTGATTCCGAGCCCGCAGACCCTGTTCCATTATTATA ..... 662
pETDuet-1_VP2_R ACCGTGATTCCGAGCCCGCAGACCCTGTTCCATTATTATA ..... 123
pETDuet-1_VP2 ACGTGAACGTGAACTTTCACAGCAACTATAACGAACGTAT ..... 2160
pETDuet-1_VP2_F . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ACGTGAACGTGAACTTTCACAGCAACTATAACGAACGTAT ..... 702
pETDuet-1_VP2_R ACGTGAACGTGAACTTTCACAGCAACTATAACGAACGTAT ..... 163
pETDuet-1_VP2 TAACGATGCGGTGGCGATTATTACCGCGGCGAACCGTCTG ..... 2200
pETDuet-1_VP2_F . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int TAACGATGCGGTGGCGATTATTACCGCGGCGAACCGTCTG ..... 742
pETDuet-1_VP2_R TAACGATGCGGTGGCGATTATTACCGCGGCGAACCGTCTG ..... 203

| pETDuet-1_VP2 | AATCTGTATCAGAAAAAAATGAAAGCGATCGTGGAAGATT | 2240 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_F |  | 1244 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_Int | AATCTGTATCAGAAAAAAATGAAAGCGATCGTGGAAGATT | 782 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_R | AATCTGTATCAGAAAAAAATGAAAGCGATCGTGGAAGATT | 243 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2 | TTCTGAAACGCCTGTACATTTTTGATGTGAGCCGTGTGCC | 2280 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_F |  | 1244 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_Int | TTCTGAAACGCCTGTACATTTTTGATGTGAGCCGTGTGCC | 822 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_R | TTCTGAAACGCCTGTACATTTTTGATGTGAGCCGTGTGCC | 283 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2 | GGATGATCAGATGTATCGTCTGCGTGATCGTCTGCGTCTG | 2320 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_F |  | 1244 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_Int | GGATGATCAGATGTATCGTCTGCGTGATCGTCTGCGTCTG | 862 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_R | GGATGATCAGATGTATCGTCTGCGTGATCGTCTGCGTCTG | 323 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2 | CTGCCGGTGGAAATTCGTCGTCTGGATATCTTTAACCTGA | 2360 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_F |  | 1244 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_Int | CTGCCGGTGGAAATTCGTCGTCTGGATATCTTTAACCTGA | 902 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_R | CTGCCGGTGGAAATTCGTCGTCTGGATATCTTTAACCTGA | 363 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2 | TCCTGATGAATATGGATCAGATCGAACGTGCGAGCGATAA | 2400 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_F |  | 1244 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_Int | TCCTGATGAATATGGATCAGATCGAACGTGCGAGCGATAA | 942 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_R | TCCTGATGAATATGGATCAGATCGAACGTGCGAGCGATAA | 403 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2 | AATTGCGCAGGGCGTGATTATTGCGTATCGTGATATGCAT | 2440 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_F |  | 1244 |
| petDuet-1_VP2_Int | AATTGCGCAGGGCGTGATTATTGCGTATCGTGATATGCAT | 982 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_R | AATTGCGCAGGGCGTGATTATTGCGTATCGTGATATGCAT | 443 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2 | CTGGAACGTGATGAAATGTATGGCTACGTGAACATTGCGC | 2480 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_F |  | 1244 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_Int | CTGGAACGTGATGAAATGTATGGCTACGTGAACATTGCGC | 1022 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_R | CTGGAACGTGATGAAATGTATGGCTACGTGAACATTGCGC | 483 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2 | GTAACCTGGAAGGCTTTCAGCAGATTAACCTGGAAGAACT | 2520 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_F |  | 1244 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_Int | GTAACCTGGAAGGCTTTCAGCAGATTAACCTGGAAGAACT | 1062 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_R | GTAACCTGGAAGGCTTTCAGCAGATTAACCTGGAAGAACT | 523 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2 | GATGCGTAGCGGCGATTATGCGCAGATTACCAACATGCTG | 2560 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_F |  | 1244 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_Int | GATGCGTAGCGGCGATTATGCGCAGATTACCAACATGCTG | 1102 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_R | GATGCGTAGCGGCGATTATGCGCAGATTACCAACATGCTG | 563 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2 | CTGAACAACCAGCCGGTGGCGCTGGTTGGTGCGCTGCCGT | 2600 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_F |  | 1244 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_Int | CTGAACAACCAGCCGGTGGCGCTGGTTGGTGCGCTGCCGT | 1142 |
| pETDuet-1_VP2_R | CTGAACAACCAGCCGGTGGCGCTGGTTGGTGCGCTGCCGT | 603 |

pETDuet-1_VP2 TTATTACCGATAGCAGCGTGATTAGCCTGATTGCGAAACT ..... 2640
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int TTATT ..... 1147
pETDuet-1_VP2_R TTATTACCGATAGCAGCGTGATTAGCCTGATTGCGAAACT ..... 643
pETDuet-1_VP2 GGATGCGACCGTGTTTGCGCAGATTGTGAAACTGCGTAAA ..... 2680
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 1147
pETDuet-1_VP2_R GGATGCGACCGTGTTTGCGCAGATTGTGAAACTGCGTAAA ..... 683
pETDuet-1_VP2 GTGGATACCCTGAAACCGATCCTGTATAAAATCAACAGCG ..... 2720
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 1147
pETDuet-1_VP2_R GTGGATACCCTGAAACCGATCCTGTATAAAATCAACAGCG ..... 723
pETDuet-1_VP2 ATAGCAACGATTTTTATCTGGTGGCGAACTATGATTGGGT ..... 2760
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 1147
pETDuet-1_VP2_R ATAGCAACGATTTTTATCTGGTGGCGAACTATGATTGGGT ..... 763
pETDuet-1_VP2 GCCGACCAGCACCACCAAAGTGTATAAACAGGTGCCGCAG ..... 2800
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 1147
pETDuet-1_VP2_R GCCGACCAGCACCACCAAAGTGTATAAACAGGTGCCGCAG ..... 803
pETDuet-1_VP2 CAGTTTGATTTTCGTAACTCTATGCACATGCTGACCAGCA 2840
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 1147
pETDuet-1_VP2_R CAGTTTGATTTTCGTAACTCTATGCACATGCTGACCAGCA ..... 843
pETDuet-1_VP2 ACCTGACCTTTACCGTGTATAGCGATCTGCTGGCCTTTGT ..... 2880
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 1147
pETDuet-1_VP2_R ACCTGACCTTTACCGTGTATAGCGATCTGCTGGCCTTTGT ..... 883
pETDuet-1_VP2 GAGCGCGGATACCGTGGAACCGATTAACGCGGTGGCGTTT ..... 2920
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 1147
pETDuet-1_VP2_R GAGCGCGGATACCGTGGAACCGATTAACGCGGTGGCGTTT ..... 923
pETDuet-1_VP2 GATAACATGCGCATCATGAACGAACTGTAATAACCTAGGC ..... 2960
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 1147
pETDuet-1_VP2_R GATAACATGCGCATCATGAACGAACTGTAATAACCTAGGC ..... 963
pETDuet-1_VP2 TGCTGCCACCGCTGAGCAATAACTAGCATAACCCCTTGGG ..... 3000
pETDuet-1_VP2_F ..... 1244
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 1147
pETDuet-1_VP2_R TGCTG ..... 968

```
pETDuet-1_VP2 GCCTCTAAACGGGTCTTGAGGGGTTTTTTGCTGAAAGGAG }304
pETDuet-1_VP2_F .......................................... }124
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int .......................................... }114
```



Figure 2.19: Nucleotide sequence alignments of the recombinant pETDuet-1 vector containing the ORF encoding VP2 with the in silico clone of pETDuet-1_VP2. The in silico clone of pETDuet-1_VP2 is indicated as pETDuet-1_VP2, the forward sequence is indicated as pETDuet1_VP2_F nucleotide sequence, the reverse sequence is indicated as pETDuet1_VP2_R nucleotide sequence and the internal sequence is indicated as pETDuet1_VP2_Int nucleotide sequence. The restriction enzymes used for the amplification of genome segment 2 are indicated in blue. The start codon of genome segment 2 is indicated in green and the stop codon is indicated in red. The T7 promoter-1 is indicated in purple, the T7 transcription start- 1 is indicated in dark blue and the lac operator in yellow.
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GCATAATCGAAATTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGGAATTGT

pETDuet1_VP2/6_F

GGGAATTGT

pETDuet-1_VP2_Int

pETDuet1_VP2/6_R
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GAGCGGATAACAATTCCCCATCTTAGTATATTAGTTAAGT 1440
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F GAGCGGATAACAATTCCCCATCTTAGTATATTAGTTAAGT
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int
65
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R
pETDuet1_VP2/6 ATAAGAAGGAGATATACATATGGCAGATCTATGGCGTATC 1480
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ATAAGAAGGAGATATACATATGGCAGATCTATGGCGTATC 105
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GTAAACGTGGCGCGCGTCGTGAAGCGAACCTGAACAACAA 1520
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F GTAAACGTGGCGCGCGTCGTGAAGCGAACCTGAACAACAA 145
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R
pETDuet1_VP2/6 CGATCGTATGCAGGAAAAAATCGACGAAAAACAGGATAGC
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F CGATCGTATGCAGGAAAAAATCGACGAAAAACAGGATAGC 185
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int
pETDuet1_VP2/6 AACAAAATTCAGCTGTCTGATAAAGTTCTGAGCAAAAAAG
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F AACAAAATTCAGCTGTCTGATAAAGTTCTGAGCAAAAAAG 225
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 AAGAAATCGTTACCGATAGCCACGAAGAAGTGAAAGTTAC ..... 1640
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F AAGAAATCGTTACCGATAGCCACGAAGAAGTGAAAGTTAC ..... 265
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .pETDuet1_VP2/6 CGACGAACTGAAAAAAAGCACCAAAGAAGAAAGCAAACAG1680
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F CGACGAACTGAAAAAAAGCACCAAAGAAGAAAGCAAACAG ..... 305
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 CTGCTGGAAGTGCTGAAAACGAAAGAAGAGCACCAGAAAG ..... 1720
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F CTGCTGGAAGTGCTGAAAACGAAAGAAGAGCACCAGAAAG ..... 345
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 AAATCCAGTATGAAATCCTGCAGAAAACCATTCCGACCTT ..... 1760
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F AAATCCAGTATGAAATCCTGCAGAAAACCATTCCGACCTT ..... 385
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 TGAACCGAAAGAAACCATTCTGCGCAAACTGGAAGATATT ..... 1800
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F TGAACCGAAAGAAACCATTCTGCGCAAACTGGAAGATATT ..... 425
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 CAGCCGGAACTGGCCAAAAAACAGACCAAACTGTTCCGTA ..... 1840
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F CAGCCGGAACTGGCCAAAAAACAGACCAAACTGTTCCGTA ..... 465
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 TTTTCGAACCGAAACAGCTGCCGATTTATCGTGCGAACGG ..... 1880
pETDuet 1 VP2/6 F TTTTCGAACCGAAACAGCTGCCGATTTATCGTGCGAACGG ..... 505
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 CGAACGTGAACTGCGTAACCGTTGGTACTGGAAACTGAAA ..... 1920 ..... 545
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int
CGAACGTGAACTGCGTAACCGTTGGTACTGGAAACTGAAA ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 AAAGATACCCTGCCGGATGGCGATTATGATGTGCGCGAAT ..... 1960
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F AAAGATACCCTGCCGGATGGCGATTATGATGTGCGCGAAT ..... 585
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0pETDuet1_VP2/6 ATTTCCTGAACCTGTATGATCAGGTGCTGACCGAAATGCC2000
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ATTTCCTGAACCTGTATGATCAGGTGCTGACCGAAATGCC ..... 625
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GGATTATCTGCTGCTGAAAGATATGGCGGTGGAAAATAAA ..... 2040
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F GGATTATCTGCTGCTGAAAGATATGGCGGTGGAAAATAAA ..... 665
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 AACAGCCGTGATGCGGGCAAAGTGGTGGATAGCGAAACCG ..... 2080
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F AACAGCCGTGATGCGGGCAAAGTGGTGGATAGCGAAACCG ..... 705
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 CGAGCATTTGTGATGCGATCTTCCAGGATGAAGAAACCGA ..... 2120
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F CGAGCATTTGTGATGCGATCTTCCAGGATGAAGAAACCGA ..... 745
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 AGGCGCGGTGCGTCGTTTTATTGCGGAAATGCGTCAGCGT ..... 2160
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F AGGCGCGGTGCGTCGTTTTATTGCGGAAATGCGTCAGCGT ..... 785
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GTGCAGGCGGATCGTAACGTGGTGAACTATCCGAGCATTC ..... 2200
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F GTGCAGGCGGATCGTAACGTGGTGAACTATCCGAGCATTC ..... 825
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R(
pETDuet1_VP2/6 TGCATCCGATTGATTATGCGTTCAACGAATACTTTCTGCA ..... 2240
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F TGCATCCGATTGATTATGCGTTCAACGAATACTTICTGCA ..... 865
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GCATCAGCTGGTTGAACCGCTGAACAACGATATCATCTTC ..... 2280
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F GCATCAGCTGGTTGAACCGCTGAACAACGATATCATCTTC ..... 905
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 AACTATATCCCGGAACGTATTCGTAACGATGTGAACTACA ..... 2320
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F AACTATATCCCGGAACGTATTCGTAACGATGTGAACTACA ..... 945
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 TCCTGAACATGGATCGCAACCTGCCGAGCACCGCGCGTTA ..... 2360
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F TCCTGAACATGGATCGCAACCTGCCGAGCACCGCGCGTTA ..... 985
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1 VP2/6R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 TATCCGTCCGAACCTGCTGCAGGATCGTCTGAACCTGCAT ..... 2400
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F TATCCGTCCGAACCTGCTGCAGGATCGTCTGAACCTGCAT ..... 1025
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GATAACTTCGAAAGCCTGTGGGATACCATTACCACGAGCA ..... 2440
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F GATAACTTCGAAAGCCTGTGGGATACCATTACCACGAGCA ..... 1065
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 ACTATATTCTGGCCCGTAGCGTGGTGCCGGATCTGAAAGA ..... 2480
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ACTATATTCTGGCCCGTAGCGTGGTGCCGGATCTGAAAGA ..... 1103
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 ACTGGTGAGCACCGAAGCGCAGATTCAGAAAATGAGCCAG ..... 2520
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ACTGGTGAGCACCGAAGCGCAGATTCAGAAAATGAGCCAG ..... 1143
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GATCTGCAGCTGGAAGCGCTGACCATTCAGAGCGAAACCC ..... 2560
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F GATCTGCAGCTGGAAGCGCTGACCATTCAGAGCGAAACCC ..... 1183
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 AGTTTCTGACCGGCATTAACAGCCAGGCGGCGAACGATTG ..... 2600
pETDuet1 VP2/6 F AGTTTCTGACCGGCATTAACAGCCAGGCGGCGAACGATTG pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 223
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 CTTTAAAACCCTGATTGCGGCCATGCTGTCTCAGCGTACC ..... 2640
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F CTTTAAAACCCTGATTGCGGCCATGCTGTCTCAGCGTACC ..... 1263 ..... 22
pETDuet1 VP2/6R
GGCCATGCTGTCTCAGCGTACC ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 ATGAGCCTGGATTTTGTGACCACCAACTATATGAGCCTGA ..... 2680
pETDuet 1 VP2/6 F ATGAGCCTGGA ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ATGAGCCTGGATTTTGTGACCACCAACTATATGAGCCTGA ..... 62
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 TTAGCGGCATGTGGCTGCTGACCGTGGTGCCGAACGACAT ..... 2720
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int TTAGCGGCATGTGGCTGCTGACCGTGGTGCCGAACGACAT ..... 102
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GTTTATTCGTGAAAGCCTGGTGGCGTGCCAGCTGGCCATT ..... 2760
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int GTTTATTCGTGAAAGCCTGGTGGCGTGCCAGCTGGCCATT ..... 142
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GTGAACACCATTATCTATCCGGCGTTTGGCATGCAGCGTA ..... 2800
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int GTGAACACCATTATCTATCCGGCGTTTGGCATGCAGCGTA ..... 182
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R
pETDuet1_VP2/6 TGCATTATCGTAACGGCGATCCGCAGACCCCGTTTCAGAT ..... 840
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int TGCATTATCGTAACGGCGATCCGCAGACCCCGTTTCAGAT ..... 222
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 TGCGGAACAGCAGATCCAGAACTTTCAGGTGGCGAACTGG ..... 2880
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274 ..... 262
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 CTGCATTTTGTGAACAACAACCAGTTTCGTCAGGCGGTGA ..... 2920
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int CTGCATTTTGTGAACAACAACCAGTTTCGTCAGGCGGTGA ..... 302
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 TTGATGGCGTGCTGAACCAGGTGCTGAACGATAACATTCG ..... 2960
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int TTGATGGCGTGCTGAACCAGGTGCTGAACGATAACATTCG ..... 342
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 TAACGGCCATGTGATTAACCAACTGATGGAAGCCCTGATG ..... 3000
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int TAACGGCCATGTGATTAACCAACTGATGGAAGCCCTGATG ..... 382
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 0
pETDuet1_VP2/6 CAGCTGTCTCGTCAGCAGTTTCCGACCATGCCGATCGATT ..... 3040
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int CAGCTGTCTCGTCAGCAGTTTCCGACCATGCCGATCGATT ..... 422
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R
pETDuet1_VP2/6 ATAAACGTAGCATTCAGCGTGGCATTCTGCTGCTGTCTAA ..... 3080
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ATAAACGTAGCATTCAGCGTGGCATTCTGCTGCTGTCTAA ..... 462
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R .TTCTGCTGCTGTCTAA ..... 16
pETDuet1_VP2/6 CCGTCTGGGCCAGCTGGTTGATCTGACCCGTCTGCTGGCC ..... 3120
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int CCGTCTGGGCCAGCTGGTTGATCTGACCCGTCTGCTGGCC ..... 502
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R CCGTCTGGGCCAGCTGGTTGATCTGACCCGTCTGCTGGCC ..... 56
pETDuet1_VP2/6 TATAACTATGAAACGCTGATGGCATGCATCACGATGAACA ..... 3160
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int TATAACTATGAAACGCTGATGGCATGCATCACGATGAACA ..... 542
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R TATAACTATGAAACGCTGATGGCATGCATCACGATGAACA ..... 96
pETDuet1_VP2/6 TGCAGCATGTGCAGACCCTGACCACCGAAAAACTGCAGCT ..... 3200
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int TGCAGCATGTGCAGACCCTGACCACCGAAAAACTGCAGCT ..... 582
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R TGCAGCATGTGCAGACCCTGACCACCGAAAAACTGCAGCT ..... 136
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GACCAGCGTGACCAGCCTGTGCATGCTGATTGGCAACGCG ..... 3240
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int GACCAGCGTGACCAGCCTGTGCATGCTGATTGGCAACGCG ..... 622
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R GACCAGCGTGACCAGCCTGTGCATGCTGATTGGCAACGCG ..... 176
pETDuet1_VP2/6 ACCGTGATTCCGAGCCCGCAGACCCTGTTCCATTATTATA ..... 3280
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 662
pETDuet1 VP2/6 R ACCGTGATTCCGAGCCCGCAGACCCTGTTCCATTATTATA ..... 216
pETDuet1_VP2/6 ACGTGAACGTGAACTTTCACAGCAACTATAACGAACGTAT ..... 3320
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ACGTGAACGTGAACTTTCACAGCAACTATAACGAACGTAT ..... 702
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ACGTGAACGTGAACTTTCACAGCAACTATAACGAACGTAT ..... 256
pETDuet1_VP2/6 TAACGATGCGGTGGCGATTATTACCGCGGCGAACCGTCTG ..... 3360
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int TAACGATGCGGTGGCGATTATTACCGCGGCGAACCGTCTG ..... 742
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R TAACGATGCGGTGGCGATTATTACCGCGGCGAACCGTCTG ..... 296
pETDuet1_VP2/6 AATCTGTATCAGAAAAAAATGAAAGCGATCGTGGAAGATT ..... 3400
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int AATCTGTATCAGAAAAAAATGAAAGCGATCGTGGAAGATT ..... 782
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R AATCTGTATCAGAAAAAAATGAAAGCGATCGTGGAAGATT ..... 336
pETDuet1_VP2/6 TTCTGAAACGCCTGTACATTTTTGATGTGAGCCGTGTGCC ..... 3440
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int TTCTGAAACGCCTGTACATTTTTGATGTGAGCCGTGTGCC ..... 822
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R TTCTGAAACGCCTGTACATTTTTGATGTGAGCCGTGTGCC ..... 376
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GGATGATCAGATGTATCGTCTGCGTGATCGTCTGCGTCTG ..... 3480
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int GGATGATCAGATGTATCGTCTGCGTGATCGTCTGCGTCTG ..... 862
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R GGATGATCAGATGTATCGTCTGCGTGATCGTCTGCGTCTG ..... 416
pETDuet1_VP2/6 CTGCCGGTGGAAATTCGTCGTCTGGATATCTTTAACCTGA ..... 3520
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int CTGCCGGTGGAAATTCGTCGTCTGGATATCTTTAACCTGA ..... 902
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R CTGCCGGTGGAAATTCGTCGTCTGGATATCTTTAACCTGA ..... 456
pETDuet1_VP2/6 TCCTGATGAATATGGATCAGATCGAACGTGCGAGCGATAA ..... 3560
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int TCCTGATGAATATGGATCAGATCGAACGTGCGAGCGATAA ..... 942
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R TCCTGATGAATATGGATCAGATCGAACGTGCGAGCGATAA ..... 496
pETDuet1_VP2/6 AATTGCGCAGGGCGTGATTATTGCGTATCGTGATATGCAT ..... 3600
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int AATTGCGCAGGGCGTGATTATTGCGTATCGTGATATGCAT ..... 982
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R AATTGCGCAGGGCGTGATTATTGCGTATCGTGATATGCAT ..... 536
pETDuet1_VP2/6 CTGGAACGTGATGAAATGTATGGCTACGTGAACATTGCGC ..... 3640
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int CTGGAACGTGATGAAATGTATGGCTACGTGAACATTGCGC ..... 1022
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R CTGGAACGTGATGAAATGTATGGCTACGTGAACATTGCGC ..... 576
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GTAACCTGGAAGGCTTTCAGCAGATTAACCTGGAAGAACT ..... 3680
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int GTAACCTGGAAGGCTTTCAGCAGATTAACCTGGAAGAACT ..... 1062
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R GTAACCTGGAAGGCTTTCAGCAGATTAACCTGGAAGAACT ..... 616
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GATGCGTAGCGGCGATTATGCGCAGATTACCAACATGCTG ..... 3720
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int GATGCGTAGCGGCGATTATGCGCAGATTACCAACATGCTG ..... 1102
656
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R GATGCGTAGCGGCGATTATGCGCAGATTACCAACATGCTG
pETDuet1_VP2/6 CTGAACAACCAGCCGGTGGCGCTGGTTGGTGCGCTGCCGT ..... 3760
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int CTGAACAACCAGCCGGTGGCGCTGGTTGGTGCGCTGCCGT ..... 1142
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R CTGAACAACCAGCCGGTGGCGCTGGTTGGTGCGCTGCCGT ..... 696
pETDuet1_VP2/6 TTATTACCGATAGCAGCGTGATTAGCCTGATTGCGAAACT ..... 3800
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int TTATTACCGATAGCAGCGTGATTAGCCTGATTGCGAAACT ..... 1182
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R TTATTACCGATAGCAGCGTGATTAGCCTGATTGCGAAACT ..... 736
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GGATGCGACCGTGTTTGCGCAGATTGTGAAACTGCGTAAA ..... 3840
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int GGATGCGACCGTGTTTGCGCAGATTGTGAAACTGCGTAAA ..... 1222
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R GGATGCGACCGTGTTTGCGCAGATTGTGAAACTGCGTAAA ..... 776
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GTGGATACCCTGAAACCGATCCTGTATAAAATCAACAGCG ..... 3880
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int GTG ..... 1225
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R GTGGATACCCTGAAACCGATCCTGTATAAAATCAACAGCG ..... 816
pETDuet1_VP2/6 ATAGCAACGATTTTTATCTGGTGGCGAACTATGATTGGGT ..... 3920
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 1225
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ATAGCAACGATTTTTATCTGGTGGCGAACTATGATTGGGT ..... 856
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GCCGACCAGCACCACCAAAGTGTATAAACAGGTGCCGCAG ..... 3960
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 1225
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R GCCGACCAGCACCACCAAAGTGTATAAACAGGTGCCGCAG ..... 896
pETDuet1_VP2/6 CAGTTTGATTTTCGTAACTCTATGCACATGCTGACCAGCA 4000
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 1225
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R CAGTTTGATTTTCGTAACTCTATGCACATGCTGACCAGCA ..... 936
pETDuet1_VP2/6 ACCTGACCTTTACCGTGTATAGCGATCTGCTGGCCTTTGT ..... 4040
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2 Int ..... 1225
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ACCTGACCTTTACCGTGTATAGCGATCTGCTGGCCTTTGT ..... 976
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GAGCGCGGATACCGTGGAACCGATTAACGCGGTGGCGTTT ..... 4080
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 1225
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R GAGCGCGGATACCGTGGAACCGATTAACGCGGTGGCGTTT ..... 1016
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GATAACATGCGCATCATGAACGAACTGTAATAACCTAGGC 4120
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 1225
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R GATAACATGCGCATCATGAACGAACTGTAATAACCTAGG. ..... 1054
pETDuet1_VP2/6 TGCTGCCACCGCTGAGCAATAACTAGCATAACCCCTTGGG 4160
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F ..... 1274
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int ..... 1225
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R ..... 1054

```
pETDuet1_VP2/6 GCCTCTAAACGGGTCTTGAGGGGTTTTTTGCTGAAAGGAG 4200
pETDuet1_VP2/6_F .......................................... }127
pETDuet-1_VP2_Int .......................................... }122
pETDuet1_VP2/6_R .......................................... . . . . 1054
```

Figure 2.20: Nucleotide sequence alignments of the recombinant pETDuet-1_VP6 vector containing the ORF encoding VP2 with the in silico clone of pETDuet-1_VP2/6. The in silico clone of pETDuet-1_VP2/6 is indicated as pETDuet-1_VP2/6, the forward sequence is indicated as pETDuet1_VP2/6_F nucleotide sequence, the reverse sequence is indicated as pETDuet1_VP2/6_R nucleotide sequence and the internal sequence is indicated as pETDuet-1_VP2/6_Int nucleotide sequence. The restriction enzymes used for the amplification of genome segment 6 are indicated in blue. The start codon of genome segment 6 is indicated in green and the stop codon is indicated in red. The T7 promoter-1 is indicated in purple, the T7 transcription start-1 is indicated in dark blue and the lac operator in yellow.

Commercial available primers (see Figure 2.1) for pETDuet_1 were used for sequencing, as described in section 2.2.3.12. The sequence alignment is indicated for pETDuet-1_VP2 in Figure 2.19 and for pETDuet-1_VP2/6 in Figure 2.20.

It is clear from Figure 2.19 and Figure 2.20 that the ORF encoding VP2 was cloned into both pETDuet-1 and pETDuet-1_VP6, respectively and that no mutations had occurred during cloning procedures. A part of the ORF encoding VP2 could not be sequenced (1459bp 1997bp for pETDuet-1 and 2651bp - 3064bp for pETDuet-1_VP2/6) with the commercially available primers. Therefore, an internal primer had to be designed to sequence this part, which is also indicated in Figure 2.19 and Figure 2.20. The results of the internal primer indicated that the entire open reading frame encoding VP2 was cloned into both pETDuet_1_VP2 and pETDuet-1_VP6 respectively. Based on these results the next step of the project namely the individual and co-expression of VP2/6 in bacteria (discussed in section 2.3.3) was started.

### 2.3.3 Expression of the rotavirus bacterial codon optimised proteins VP2 and VP6

In order to evaluate the individual and co-expression of the bacterial codon optimised proteins, all constructs (pETDuet-1_VP6 colony 13, pETDuet-1_VP2 colony 4 and pETDuet1_VP2/6 colony 6) were transformed into the bacterial Origami cells. The transformation of the Origami cells was done as described in section 2.2.3.10. Expression and analysis of protein expression were done as described in section 2.2.4, 2.2.5 and 2.2.6.

## Expression of rotavirus VP6 from pETDuet-1_VP6:

Expression of the recombinant protein, VP6 (Figure 2.21) was done to determine firstly, if it is expressed in bacteria and if so secondly, if the VP6 was in a soluble form. A band at 48 kDa will indicate that expression of VP6 did occur. If a band at 48 kDa was visible in the soluble fraction sample it would be an indication that the VP6 may be soluble in bacteria.


Figure 2.21: SDS-PAGE analysis of VP6 expression in bacteria. . Lane:

1) Page Ruler protein marker (Fermentas). A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of each of the prepared $40 \mu$ l protein samples was loaded as follows; lanes; 2) induced total fraction; 3) induced soluble fraction; 4) uninduced total fraction; 5) uninduced soluble fraction; 6) positive control, insect cell-produced VP2/6 DLP lysate.

The expected result was obtained as visualized by SDS-PAGE (Figure 2.21). After induction the band at 48 kDa indicated that the recombinant bacterial codon optimised VP6 was expressed in bacteria (Figure 2.21, lane 2). However, the band was only visible in the total
fraction and not the soluble fraction (Figure 2.21, lane 3) indicating that the expressed protein was not soluble in bacteria. Lane 6 is the positive control, double-layered particles VP2/6 insect cell lysate obtained from Dr. Jere.

To improve the solubility of the bacterial codon optimised VP6 in bacteria two steps were taken. Firstly, the recombinant construct was transformed into Origami cells containing plasmids expressing the pGro 7 chaperone and secondly, three lyses buffers were used. The solubility of the proteins was tested using three different lyses buffers. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at $5000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 5 minutes. The lyses buffers that were used were (i) Phosphate buffer solution (PBS) containing $0.5 \%$ nonyl phenoxyl-polyethoxylethanol (NP40), (ii) 10 mM Tris containing 0.1 mM EDTA, $1 \%$ dissolved organic carbon (DOC), $0.1 \%$ SDS and (iii) 10 mM Tris containing 0.1 mM EDTA, $1 \%$ SDS. To prevent the degradation of the proteins, all three lyses buffers contained a Complete Mini EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail solution (Roche) that contains serine and protease inhibitors. The cells were re-suspended in $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the lyses buffers. The soluble and total protein fractions were separated through centrifugation at $13000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 15 minutes. Samples resuspended in buffers (i) and (ii) were centrifuged immediately. The sample re-suspended in buffer (iii) was incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes prior to centrifugation. The insoluble fractions were discarded and the presence of the proteins in the soluble fraction was evaluated using SDS-PAGE (section 2.2.6) (Figure 2.22).


Figure 2.22: SDS-PAGE analysis of VP6 solubility in bacteria using three different lyses buffers. Lane: 1) Page Ruler protein marker (Fermentas). A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of each of the prepared soluble fraction of the protein samples were loaded as follow; lanes: 2) Lyses buffer 1: Phosphate buffer solution (PBS) containing $0.5 \%$ nonyl phenoxyl-polyethoxyl-ethanol (NP40); 3) Lyses buffer 2: 10 mM Tris containing 0.1 mM EDTA, $1 \%$ dissolved organic carbon (DOC), $0.1 \%$ SDS and; 4) Lyses buffer 3: 10 mM Tris containing 0.1 mM EDTA, 1\% SDS; 5) positive control, insect cell-produced DLP VP2/6 lysate.

The same quantity of proteins was loaded for all three buffers. A band at 48 kDa would indicate that bacterial codon optimised VP6 may possibly be soluble in bacteria. A band of the expected size ( 48 kDa ) could be seen in Figure 2.22, lane 2-4, but the best solubility seemed to be in lane 2 as more soluble protein (including possibly VP6) was visible. Lane 5 contains the positive control, insect cell-produced DLP VP2/6 lysate.

## Co-expression of rotavirus VP2/6 proteins in bacteria

Co-expression of the bacterial codon optimised recombinant proteins, VP2 and VP6 were done to determine firstly if they do co-express in bacteria and if so, secondly, if the two proteins (VP2 and VP6) were soluble in bacteria. We expected to see two bands namely at 48 kDa (VP6) and at 102 kDa (VP2) in order to have a positive result for the co-expression of the two proteins. Bands at 48 kDa and 102 kDa in the soluble protein sample will indicate that these two proteins (VP2 and VP6) are soluble in bacteria.


Figure 2.23: SDS-PAGE analysis of co-expression of bacterial codon optimised VP2/6 in bacteria. Lane: 1) Page Ruler protein marker (Fermentas). A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of each of the prepared $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ protein samples were loaded as follows; lanes: 2) Induced total fraction; 3) Induced soluble fraction; 4) Uninduced total fraction; 5) Uninduced soluble fraction; 6) positive control, insect cell-produced DLP VP2/6 lysate.

After induction a band at 48kDa indicated that the bacterial codon optimised VP6 protein was possibly expressed (Figure 2.23). The absence of an additional band at 102 kDa after induction indicated that VP2 was probably not expressed. However, to confirm with certainty that VP2 was not expressed other factors have to be looked at that could possibly confirm the results, these factors include changing gel precentages, overloading of proteins and gel separation conditions. The next step was to try to co-express the proteins in the presence of chaperones. Only the induced total and induced soluble fraction were loaded on the SDS-PAGE gel.


Figure 2.24: SDS-PAGE analysis of co-expression of bacterial codon optimised VP2/6 in Origami cells in the presence of pGro7 chaperones. Lane: 1) Page Ruler protein marker (Fermentas). A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of each of the prepared $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ protein samples were loaded as follows; lanes: 2) induced total fraction; 3) induced soluble fraction.

When VP2 and VP6 was expressed in Origami cells, in the presence of the pGro7 chaperones (Figure 2.24), VP6 was expressed at high levels but no band of the expected size (102kDa, VP2) was present. Therefore, VP2 could also not be expressed in the presence of chaperones. The next step was to investigate if VP2 could be expressed when it was expressed on its own.

## Expression of VP2 in bacteria

Individual expression of the bacterial codon optimised recombinant protein VP2 in the pETDuet-1 plasmid, was done to see whether or not VP2 can be expressed. The expected result would be to see a band at 102 kDa . No control was included with the samples.


Figure 2.25: SDS-PAGE analysis of individual expression of bacterial codon optimised VP2 in the expression plasmid pETDuet-1. Lane: 1) Page Ruler protein marker (Fermentas). A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of each of the prepared $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ protein samples were loaded as follows; lanes: 2 ) induced total fraction; 3) induced soluble fraction; 4) uninduced total fraction; 5) uninduced soluble fraction.

The expected results were also not obtained (Figure 2.25), namely VP2 could not express (lane 2). To determine if the problem was with VP2 itself or with the T7 promoter (since the T7 promoter utilizes the T7 RNA polymerase to catalyse the formation of RNA in a 5 '3 ' direction and to drive expression) in the second multiple cloning site of the expression plasmid pETDuet-1, the ORF encoding VP2 was expressed in its original plasmid, pColdTF.

## Expression of VP2 using the cold-shock vector pColdTF

The expression of VP2 using pColdTF was done as described in the pCold DNA manual. The pColdTF_VP2 construct was transformed into Origami cells. The analysis of the VP2 expression was analysed as described in section 2.2.6. We expected to obtain a band at $\pm 154 \mathrm{kDa}$ VP2 fusion protein to verify that VP2 can be expressed in bacteria, VP2 is 102 kDa and the trigger factor 52 kDa .


Figure 2.26: SDS-PAGE analysis of expression of VP2 expressed in the pColdTF vector, in bacteria. Lane: 1) Page Ruler protein marker (Fermentas). A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of each of the prepared protein samples were loaded as follows; lanes: 2) induced total fraction colony $1 ; 3$ ) induced soluble fraction colony $1 ; 4$ ) induced total fraction colony $2 ; 5$ ) induced soluble fraction colony 2.

No control and only the induced samples were loaded since we only wanted to determine if VP2 did express. A band of approximately 154kDa (VP2 and the trigger factor) was visible (Figure 2.26) indicating that VP2 can be expressed in bacteria. Therefore, the problem was not with VP2 but could somehow be with the pETDuet-1 vector used. However, further studies will have to be conducted to determine for sure why VP2 did not express. Western blot analysis could also be done to confirm the expression of VP2 in bacteria.

### 2.4 Summary

This part of the study had two main objectives. Firstly, to clone the ORFs of the bacterial codon optimised genome segments 2 and 6 of the human rotavirus GR10942 G9P[6] strain into the expression plasmid pETDuet-1. Secondly, to investigate the possible co-expression of the ORF encoding the bacterial codon optimised rotavirus structural proteins VP2 and VP6 in bacteria.

Three constructs were produced in the expression vector namely pETDuet-1_VP2, pETDuet-1_VP6 and pETDuet-1_VP2/6. The expression of the bacterial codon optimised ORF encoding VP6 resulted in insoluble proteins (Figure 2.21). When VP6 was expressed in Origami cells containing plasmids expressing pGro7 chaperones and analysed in three different lysis buffers ((i) Phosphate buffer solution (PBS) containing $0.5 \%$ nonyl phenoxyl-polyethoxyl-ethanol (NP40), (ii) 10 mM Tris containing 0.1 mM EDTA, $1 \%$ dissolved organic carbon (DOC), $0.1 \%$ SDS and (iii) 10 mM Tris containing 0.1 mM EDTA, $1 \%$ SDS) soluble VP6 was obtained (Figure 2.22). However the co-expression of the bacterial codon optimised ORF encoding VP2 and VP6 could not be achieved since only VP6 could be expressed (Figure 2.23) even in the presence of Origami cells expressing pGro7 chaperones (Figure 2.24). VP2 could also not be expressed on its own in the pETDuet-1 vector (Figure 2.25). Since the ORF encoding VP2 could not be expressed individually or co-expressed with VP6, even in the presence of pGro 7 chaperones, VP2 was expressed in bacteria in the expression plasmid it was originallly received in namely pColdTF. This was done to determine if the problem was with the ORF encoding VP2 or possibly with the expression vector, pETDuet-1, that was used. Expression of VP2 in bacteria was possible in the pColdTF vector, however this was achieved in the presence of a trigger factor and with another expression protocol (Figure 2.26). Western blot analysis can also be done to determine if VP2 can express in bacteria. However, if the expected result is not obtained with Western blot analysis further studies will have to be conducted to determine exactly what is causing VP2 not to express in bacteria.

In conclusion; the ORF encoding VP6 could be expressed in bacteria and it was shown that soluble VP6 can be produced in bacteria. The co-expression of the ORF encoding VP2 and VP6 only showed the expression of VP6 but not VP2, even in the presence of chaperones. The expresson of VP2 expression vector pColdTF was possible, in bacteria. In terms of our long term objectives of developing double-layered particles in bacteria, this was an important first step. The next step will be to conduct studies to determine how VP2 can express in bacteria in the expression plasmid pETDuet-1. These studies include (i) western blot analysis to determine if VP2 is expressed at very low levels in bacteria, (ii) expressing VP2 when it is cloned into the first multiple clonning site of pETDuet-1, to determine if the problem is not with the second multiple cloning sites T7 promoter of pETDuet-1, and (iii) to express VP2 in the presence of a trigger factor.

# Chapter 3: Cloning of rotavirus structural proteins VP2 and VP6 open reading frames of a South African G9P[6] strain, for expression in several yeast strains 

### 3.1 Introduction

Due to the difficulty to obtain expression of VP2 and double-layered particles formation in bacteria (Chapter 2), it was decided to pursue expression of VP2 and VP6 in yeast as well. Therefore, this part of the study involves the construction and expression of the rotavirus structural proteins, VP2 and VP6, in several yeast strains. Yeast expression systems are attractive because of their ability to grow quickly to high cell densities on simple defined media, their ability to be adapted to fermentation and the fact that they are easier and less expensive to work with compared to mammalian and insect cells. In the literature, Rodriguez-Limas and co-workers (Rodrigues-Limas et al., 2011) showed that the expression and production of rotavirus-like particles, specifically double-layered particles (DLPs), was possible in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. The three genome segments encoding VP2, VP6 and VP7 were cloned into the pSP-GM2 plasmid (variant of pSP-G2 plasmid developed by Partow et al., 2010) to obtain the pWR267 plasmid used for expression experiments of all three proteins. This study showed the formation of triple-layered particles (tLRP) in yeast although the tLRP yield was very low in comparison to other expression platforms (Rodrigues-Limas et al., 2011). In a second study that Rodriguez-Limas and co-workers conducted they showed that Saccharomyces cerevisiae yeast extract containing rotavirus proteins can efficiently induce protection against infection in adult mice models depending on the route of immunisation serum and antibodies used (Rodriguez-Limas et al., 2014).

The yeast expression part of the study had two main objectives. Firstly, to clone the open reading frames (ORF) of the wild type rotavirus genome segment 2 and genome segment 6 into the yeast expression vector, pKM173 which allows for the simultaneous expression of both genome segments. Secondly, to evaluate the co-expression of the rotavirus structural proteins, VP2 and VP6, in eight different yeast strains namely Kluyveromyces marxianus, Kluyveromyces lactis, Candida deformans, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Yarrowia lipolytica, Arxula adeninivorans, Hansenula polymorpha and Debaryomyces hansenii. The yeast expression was carried out in collaboration with Prof. Jacobus Albertyn, University of the Free State (UFS) who developed, together with Dr. Michel Labuschagne and Prof. Martie

Smit, a wide-range yeast expression system that allows for multiple protein expression in any yeast strain that can be transformed (Albertyn et al., 2011). The preparation of the construct was done at the North-West University (NWU) whereas the expression experiments were done at the University of the Free State (UFS).

### 3.2 Materials and methods

As mentioned previously in Chapter 2 (section 2.2) the names, suppliers, and catalogue numbers of the reagents used in this study, are included in Appendix A.

### 3.2.1 Virus, bacterial and yeast strains used in this project

The ORFs of the wild type genome segment 2 and 6 consensus sequence derived from the viral genome (Potgieter et al., 2009) of the human rotavirus strain GR10924 (G9P[6]) was used for this part of the study. The open reading frame of genome segment 2 and genome segment 6 was purchased from Genscript and provided in the pUC57 vector by the company.

JM109 cells are a strain of Escherichia coli (E. coli), which was used for molecular cloning (discussed in Chapter 2, section 2.2.1). Eight yeast strains, Kluyveromyces marxianus, Kluyveromyces lactis, Yarrowia lipolytica, Debaryomyces hansenii, Candida deformans, Hansenula polymorpha, Arxula adeninivorans and Saccharomyces cerevisiae were obtained from the UNESCO-MIRCEN yeast culture collection at the University of the Free State for expression experiments. Saccharomyces cerevisiae was included as reference since VP2 and VP6 were successfully expressed in this yeast previously (Rodrigues-Limas et al., 2011).

### 3.2.2 Cloning vector

The wide-range yeast expression system was developed at the University of the Free State and is not limited to a specific yeast strain but allows for possible heterologous expression in any yeast that can be transformed (Albertyn et al., 2011). A vector pair PKM173 and pKM177 (Figure 3.1) was designed previously to allow upon fusion a dual insert vector. The wide range yeast expression system contains the 18 S rRNA target sequences of Kluyveromyces marxianus to allow genomic integration into yeast cells. The selective marker for yeast expression is the hph gene conferring hygromycin B resistance. The vectors also contain the Yarrowia lipolytica TEF promoter and the Kluyveromyces marxianus
inulinase terminator. To facilitate sub-cloning in Escherichia coli the vectors contain the kanamycin resistance gene. The vector pair, pKM173 and pKM177, also contains the I-Scel restriction enzyme site (pKM173 one I-Scel restriction site and pKM177 two I-Scel restriction sites). The I-Scel site allows for the cassette containing the genome segment of interest (genome segment 6 cloned into pKM177 vector) to be cloned into the pKM173 vector linearized with I-Scel resulting in the dual expression vector.


Figure 3.1: The plasmid map of pKM173 and pKM177. The plasmid maps illustrate the various properties of pKM173 and pKM177. The expression system contains the 18S rRNA target sequences of Kluyveromyces marxianus to allow genomic integration into yeast cells. The selective marker is the hph gene conferring hygromycin B resistance. The vectors also contain the Yarrowia lipolytica TEF promoter and the Kluyveromyces marxianus inulinase terminator.

### 3.2.3 DNA recombinant techniques

Recombinant DNA techniques used in this study, namely polymerase chain reaction (PCR), restriction endonuclease digestion, ligation and transformation were performed according to Sambrook and Russell (2001). Commercial kits were used for plasmid isolation, gel extraction and PCR-clean-up.

The basic principles and description of the following DNA recombinant techniques have been discussed in Chapter 2 (section 2.2.3) and will therefore not be discussed again: restriction endonuclease digestion, purification of PCR amplicons, agarose gel electrophoresis, analysis of DNA concentration and purity, gel purification of desired DNA fragments or product, ligation reactions, preparation of chemical competent Escherichia coli cells, transformation of chemical competent Escherichia coli cells and screening of colonies of transformed bacteria. However, some DNA techniques will be discussed below, namely plasmid isolation (will be discussed since a different plasmid isolation kit was used), PCR amplification of the coding sequences, dephosphorylation, long term storage of desired colonies, DNA sequencing determination, expression of proteins and analysis of protein expression.

### 3.2.3.1 Plasmid isolation

Propagation of bacteria containing the plasmid of interest was inocculated into 50 ml LB medium ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ kanamycin) and grown for 16 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while shaking at 180 rpm . The next day these cultures, containing the appropriate plasmid, were centrifuged at 5000 x g for 10 minutes and the supernatants were discarded.

A commercial plasmid purification kit, QIAGEN ${ }^{\circledR}$ Plasmid Mini, Midi, Maxi, Mega and Giga, system, was used to do the plasmid purifications. The pellets of the cultures were used to isolate plasmids using the QIAGEN ${ }^{\circledR}$ Plasmid Mini, Midi, Maxi, Mega and Giga kit. The isolation was done according to the instructions of the manufacturer. This kit is designed to allow purification of ultrapure supercoiled plasmid DNA with high yields and uses an anion-exchange-based QIAGEN-tip to isolate plasmid DNA. The kit also incorporates LysesBlue which is a colour indicator that provides visual identification of optimum buffer mixing. This prevents common handling errors that lead to inefficient cell lysis and incomplete precipitation of SDS, genomic DNA, and cell debris.

The procedure consists of seven steps namely i) preparation and lysis of cell culture, ii) DNA purification by centrifugation, iii) filtration with an anion-exchange QIAGEN tip iv) medium
salt wash, v) precipitation of DNA, vi) wash and vii) elution. The alkaline conditions denature the chromosomal DNA and proteins. The lysis time ensures the optimum release of plasmid DNA without the release of chromosomal DNA and without exposing the plasmid DNA to denaturing conditions for too long. The lysate is then neutralized which causes denatured components to precipitate while only small plasmid DNA renaturates and stay in the solution. The plasmid DNA binds to the QIAGEN Anion-Exchange Resin under appropriate low-salt and pH conditions and RNA, proteins, dyes, and low-molecular-weight impurities are removed by a medium-salt wash. The plasmid DNA is eluted in a high-salt buffer and then concentrated and desalted by isopropanol precipitation. The second wash step removes precipitated salt and replaces isopropanol with the more volatile ethanol, making the DNA easier to re-dissolve. Plasmid DNA was re-dissolved in $100 \mu$ l nuclease free water.

### 3.2.3.2 PCR amplification of the coding sequences

The amplification was performed with the use of a BioRad ${ }^{\top M}$ thermocycler. The coding regions were amplified in reaction mixtures containing $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of both the forward and reverse primer ( $2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) (indicated in Table 3.1) and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ template ( 57 ng ), $10 \times$ Takara ExTaq buffer (1X), Takara Ex-Taq ( 1.25 units $/ 50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ), 2.5 mM dNTP and nuclease free water added to a final volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$. The negative controls contained no template.

Table 3.1: Oligonucleotide primers used in this study for PCR amplification

| Primer name | Oligonucleotide sequence (5' $\rightarrow$ <br> $\left.\mathbf{3}^{\prime}\right)$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{m}}$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Length <br> $(\mathrm{bp})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VP6yeast_F | CAA CCT CGA GAT GGA TGT CCT <br> GTA C | $58.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 25 |
| VP6yeast_R | GTC CAG CGC TTT ATT TGA CAA <br> GCA TGC T | $61.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 28 |
| VP2yeast_F | CTC ACT CGA GAT GGC GTA <br> CAG GAA AC | $60.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 26 |
| VP2yeast_R | GCG TCC TAG GCT ACA ATT CGT <br> TCA TGA T | $60.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 28 |

[^1]The samples were denaturated at $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 minutes followed by 30 cycles of amplification, unless otherwise stated. Each cycle consisted of 15 seconds denaturation at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30$ seconds of annealing (temperature depends on annealing temperature of primers, indicated in Table 3.2) and 2 minutes of extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The amplification cycle was
followed by one elongation cycle at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. PCR products were analysed by means of gel electrophoresis using a $1 \%$ agarose gel.

Table 3.2: Annealing temperatures of different PCR primers

| Genome segment <br> amplified | Primers used | Annealing $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{m}}$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Genome segment 2 | VP2yeast_F and VP2yeast_R | $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Genome segment 6 | VP6yeast_F and VP6yeast_R | $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

### 3.2.3.3 Restriction endonuclease digestions

Restriction enzyme digestion reactions were performed as explained in section 2.2.3.6, Chapter 2. The enzymes and buffers used for the cloning of the ORFs of the genome segments into the cloning vectors and expression vector are indicated in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3: Restriction enzymes and buffers used in this study

| Genome segment and <br> the vector, the <br> genome segment was <br> cloned into | Restriction <br> enzyme | Recognition <br> sequence | Buffer <br> used | Conditions for <br> double digestion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Genome segment 2 <br> pKM173 | Xhol <br> XmaJI | C $\downarrow$ TCGAG <br> C $\downarrow$ CTAGG | Buffer R | 10 units $/ \mu$ I XmaJI <br> and 5 units $/ \mu$ I <br> Xhol |
| Genome segment 6 <br> pKM177 | Xhol <br> Eco47III | C $\downarrow$ TCGAG <br> AGC $\downarrow G C T ~$ | Buffer R | 5 units $/ \mu$ I Xhol <br> and 5 units $/ \mu \mathrm{l}$ <br> Eco47III |
| Cassette containing <br> genome segment 6 <br> pKM173_VP2 | I-Scel | TAGGG $\uparrow$ ATAA $\downarrow$ <br> CAGGGTAAT | Tango <br> Buffer | 20 units/ I-Scel |

### 3.2.3.4 Dephosphorylation

Dephosphorylating reactions were performed on the restriction digestion reactions of pKM173_VP2 in volumes of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$. Each reaction mixture consisted of 5 units of Antarctic phosphatase enzyme (Biolabs), 1/10 of $10 \times$ Antarctic phosphatase reaction buffer (Biolabs) and approximately 1000 ng DNA cut with any restriction endonuclease in any buffer. Reaction mixtures were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for approximately 15 minutes for $5^{\prime}$ extensions or blunt-ends and 1 hour for 3 ' extensions. The digested samples were heat inactivated at
$65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes and analysed using agarose gel electrophoreses (section 2.2.3.4, Chapter 2) and purified (section 2.2.3.7, Chapter 2).

### 3.2.3.5 PCR colony screening

PCR reactions were performed in reaction volumes of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$. These reaction mixtures consisted of the following namely 0.025 units GoTaq (Promega), $25 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}, 2.5 \mathrm{mM}$ dNTPs, $1 \times$ GoTaq green buffer, $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ of the appropriate forward and reverse primer and nuclease free water to a final volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$. Colonies were picked from the overnight plates using sterile tips and each different one streaked out in a different block on the master plate grids. The colonies were also inoculated in their own $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ master mix (marked according to colony picked up with the particular toothpick) for a colony screening.

The final reaction mixtures of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ was incubated in a Top-line PCR tube. Thermal cycling conditions were denaturation at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes (the denaturation step breaks open the bacterial cell and releases the DNA for PCR) followed by 30 cycles of amplification, unless otherwise stated. Each cycle consisted of 30 seconds denaturation at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30$ seconds of annealing (temperature depends on annealing temperature of primers, indicated in Table 3.2) and 1.30 minutes of extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The amplification cycle was followed by one elongation cycle at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. The PCR products were analysed by means of gel electrophoresis using a $1 \%$ agarose gel. The master plate was incubated for 6 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 3.2.3.6 Long term storage of desired colonies

Positive colonies for the constructs, transformed into the different yeast strains, were prepared for long time storage by adding glycerol to a final concentration of $15 \%$. This was done by combining, in a cryotube, $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the culture and $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $30 \%$ glycerol to obtain a final volume of 1 ml . The stocks were then stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 3.2.3.7 DNA sequence determination

DNA sequencing was carried out to confirm that the recombinant plasmid, containing the genes of insert, does not contain any mutations and that cloning was successful. Sanger sequencing was used. Sequencing reactions were prepared using the BigDye terminator v. 3.1 cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems) and analysed using the 3130 xl Genetic Analyser from Applied Biosystems at the Department of Microbial, Biochemical and Food Biotechnology, University of the Free State. Good quality template DNA should be used for any sequencing reaction, low quantity and quality template DNA can be the major reasons for failed reactions. Plasmid DNA was used for sequencing and, therefore, 500 ng DNA was used for the reaction according to BigDye sequencing manual (published by Apllied Biosystmes). PCR cycle sequencing reaction were performed in a $1 / 16$ reaction size (indicated in the manual).

The amplification was performed with the use of a BioRad ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ thermocycler. The following reactions were done for both the forward and reverse reaction: the coding regions were amplified in reaction mixtures containing $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the forward/reverse primer ( $3.2 \mathrm{pmol} . \mathrm{\mu l}^{-1}$ ) (Table 3.4) and 500 ng template, $0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ reaction premix (supplied by the BigDye terminator v. 3.1 cycle sequencing kit), $2 \mu$ dilution buffer and nuclease free water was added to a final volume of $10 \mu$ l. The samples were denaturated at $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 minute followed by twenty five cycles of amplification. Each cycle consisted of 10 seconds denaturation at $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5$ seconds of annealing (temperature depends on annealing temperature of primer, indicated in Table 3.2) and 4 minutes of extension at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The amplification cycle was paused at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The control reaction was set up according to the manual.

Table 3.4: Oligonucleotide primers used for sequencing in this study

| Primer name | Oligonucleotide sequence (5' $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ ) | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{m}}$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | Length <br> $(\mathbf{b p})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pKM173/177_F | GGT ATA AAA GAC CAC CGT CC | $52.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 |
| pKM173/177_R | GAA CAG CTA GAG TGC GTT GG | $55.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 |
| pKM173VP2/6_F1 | AAC GTT GAA GTG GAG TTT C | $50.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 19 |
| pKM173VP2/6_F2 | GTA TTT ACA GTG GCT TCC | $54.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 |
| pKM173VP2/6_R1 | GTG AGT CTG ATT GTG GTG C | $53.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 19 |
| pKM173VP2/6_R2 | TGG TGG TCT CAT CAA CTG | $51.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 18 |
| InternalVP2(WT)_F | GTT GTT GAC TCA GAA ACG G | $51.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 19 |

*All primers were synthesized by Integrated DNA technologies (IDT)

The post reaction clean-up was done using the EDTA/ethanol precipitation protocol. The sequencing reaction was adjusted to $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ and transferred to an Eppendorf tube containing 125 mM EDTA and absolute ethanol. The sample was mixed by vortexing it for 5 seconds
and then precipitated at room temperature. To remove contaminants the solution was centrifuged at $20000 \times \mathrm{g}\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, for 5 minutes. The supernatant was completely aspirated and the pellet was washed with $200 \mu \mathrm{l} 70 \%$ ethanol, to remove salts and small organic molecules, and centrifuged at $20000 \times \mathrm{g}\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 5 minutes. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet dried for 5 minutes using a Speed-Vac. Samples were stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in the dark (due to the photosensitive Big Dye), until the sequencing procedure was performed.

An in silico sequence of every construct was designed with DNAMAN Version 6 (Lynnon Corporation, 2005) computer software, prior to cloning of the construct, to facilitate the screening of mutations and other mistakes occurring in the construct. The sequences were analysed and edited with FinchTV and the assembly of the construct was confirmed by aligning it with the in silico sequence.

### 3.2.4 Expression of proteins

For the expression of the desired proteins, yeast strains were prepared as described in section 3.2.4.1 and 3.2.4.2, and the transformation of the competent cells was done as described in section 3.2.4.3. The desired strain (which contains the recombinant plasmid for expression) was used to inoculate 5 ml Yeast extract peptone dextrose (YPD - $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ yeast extract, $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ peptone, $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ dextrose) medium containing the appropriate antibiotics for the plasmid and host strain. All cultures except for Kluyveromyces marxianus (grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) were grown at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with shaking at 180 rpm for 24 hours. The cells were harvested by centrifugation and screened for expression as described in section 3.2.4.4. The overnight cultures were also used for genomic DNA isolation (described in section 3.2.4.5) to see whether or not the genome segments of interest integrated into the different yeast strains.

### 3.2.4.1 Preparation of Kluyveromyces lactis, Candida deformans, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Yarrowia lipolytica, Arxula adeninivorans, Hansenula polymorpha and Debaryomyces hansenii competent cells using the Bicine method

Nucleic acids do not enter yeast cells freely, but require assistance traversing the outer and inner cell membranes and in reaching an intracellular site where they can be expressed and replicated (Sambrook and Russell, 2001). Therefore, transformation is the introduction of exogenous DNA into cells and the subsequent inheritance and expression of that DNA. The

Bicine method (Klebe et al., 1983) has the advantage that yeast cells can be made competent for transformation in advance, allowing large batches of cells to be made and saving time. Yeast cells are made competent for transformation by treatment with ethylene glycol and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and then stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

All competent cells used in this study were prepared using the Bicine method, except for Kluyveromyces marxianus. Glycerol stocks of the several yeast strains were streaked out on YPD agar plates and grown for 16 hours at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Each yeast strain was inoculated into 100 ml of YPD medium, respectively. The cultures were grown until an OD of $\mathrm{A}_{600}$ was between $0.6-0.8 \mathrm{~nm}$. The cells were harvested by centrifugation (in two 50 ml Falcon tubes) at $1000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 5 minutes. The supernatant was discarded and the cell pellets of both tubes were combined and washed with 50 ml solution 1 ( 1 M sorbitol, 10 mM bicine $\mathrm{pH} 8.35,3 \%$ ethylene glycol and $5 \%$ DMSO). The centrifugation step was repeated and the cell pellets were re-suspended in 2 ml solution 1 . The suspension was dispensed in $200 \mu$ l aliquots into pre-chilled, sterile microfuge tubes. The cells were frozen for $4-8$ hours at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then transferred and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Slow freezing of cells results in good viability of cells.

### 3.2.4.2 Preparation of Kluyveromyces marxianus competent cells using the one step method

This method is faster, simpler and easier to perform than other competent cell methods. It incorporates four substances namely carrier DNA, Lithium acetate (LiAc), Polyethylene glycol (PEG) and Dithiothreitol (DTT). The method is based on 4 principles namely that i) PEG is essential since it "dries" the cell with YPD which adds an influx ii) LiAc and heat shock enhances the transformation efficiency and makes the cell membrane porous; iii) DTT makes the cell membrane porous and iv) the highest efficiency is obtained when the cells are at the mid-log phase.

Kluyveromyces marxianus competent cells were prepared in the laboratory using the one step method. The glycerol stock of the Kluyveromyces marxianus strain was streaked onto YPD agar plates, and grown for 16 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. One 5 ml culture of YPD medium was inoculated with Kluyveromyces marxianus. The culture was grown until an OD of between $0.6-0.8 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $\mathrm{A}_{600}$ was obtained. The cells were harvested by centrifugation ( $800 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) at 16 $000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 1 minute and the pellet used for transformation.

### 3.2.4.3 Transformation of yeast strains

### 3.2.4.3.1 Linearization of DNA for transformation

Restriction enzyme digestion reactions were performed in reaction volumes of $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$. Each reaction mixture consisted of the applicable restriction enzyme (Notl) and buffer, approximately 500-1000 ng DNA and nuclease free water to a final volume of $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$. Reaction mixtures were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for approximately 1.5 hours. The digested samples were analysed with agarose gel electrophoresis (section 2.2.3.4) and further used for transformation.

### 3.2.4.3.2 Bicine method transformation

The transformation reaction consisted of 1-5 ng linearized DNA reaction and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ carrier DNA ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ stock) in $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ cell suspension. Frozen chemical competent cells were removed from storage at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and thawed on ice. The ligation reaction/carrier DNA was added to the competent cells and gently mixed. The cell mixture was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes (when fresh cells were used) and at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until melting is completed (when frozen cells were used). The cells were suspended in solution 2 ( 200 mM bicine pH 8 and $40 \%$ PEG 1000) and incubated for 1 hour at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After incubation cells were pelleted at 5000 x $g$ for 5 seconds and the supernatant discarded. The pellets were washed with solution 3 ( 0.15 M NaCl and 10 mM bicine pH 8.35 ) and pelleted at 5000 xg for 5 seconds. The cell pellets were re-suspended in $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ solution 3 and plated on selective ( $600 \mathrm{mg} . \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ hygromycin B) YPD plates. The plates were left for fifteen minutes at room temperature to absorb the liquid and then incubated upside-down at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until colonies formed.

### 3.2.4.3.3 One step method transformation

The transformation reaction consisted of 500 ng linearized DNA reaction and $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ preheated fresh one step buffer (OSB-60\% PEG4000, 2 M lithium acetate, 1 M DTT and 2 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ salmon sperm). The fresh chemical competent cell pellet was disrupted when the ligation reaction DNA was added to the competent cells. The cell pellet/DNA ligation mixture was re-suspended with the one step buffer ( $60 \%$ PEG4000, 2 M lithium acetate, 1 M DTT and $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ salmon sperm) and incubated at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 minutes. YPD medium was added to the mixture and the cells were recovered at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. After incubation cells were pelleted at $16000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 30 seconds and the supernatant discarded. The cell pellets were re-suspended in $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ remaining supernatant and plated on selective ( $600 \mathrm{mg} . \mathrm{l}^{-1}$
hygromycin B) YPD plates. The plates were left for fifteen minutes at room temperature to absorb the liquid and then incubated upside down at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until colonies formed.

### 3.2.4.4 Analysis of protein expression

The expression of the proteins was analysed by using two methods, namely sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and western blot analyses.

### 3.2.4.4.1 Sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

SDS-PAGE was done as discussed in Chapter 2, section 2.2.6. After transformation of the several yeast strains, containing the possible protein of interest, colonies were chosen of each yeast strain and inocculated in 5 ml YPD medium overnight. Protein samples were prepared by harvesting 4 ml of the cells (with the construct of interest) at $16000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 1 min . The supernatant was discarded and $10 \mu \mathrm{l} 4 \times$ Laemmli sample buffer (Biorad) was added to the pellet. The samples were then mixed and boiled for 5 minutes at $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Unless otherwise stated, $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of this mixture was loaded on to the gel.

The loaded gel was electrophoresed in $1 \times$ Tris Glycine SDS (TGS - 25 mM Tris, 2 M Glycine and $0.1 \%$ SDS) buffer at a current of 25 mA using a Bio-Rad PowerPac Basic system. Electrophoresis was carried out for about 2 hours or until the pink dye front was at the bottom of the gel. The gels were removed from the glass plates and incubated in 1 x Transfer buffer ( 0.025 M Tris, 0.2 M Glycine and $20 \%$ methanol, pH 8.4), for western blot analysis (section 3.2.4.4.2).

### 3.2.4.4.2 Western blot analysis

The recombinant protein samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE (section 3.2.4.4.1). The proteins were electro-blotted onto the nitrocellulose membrane for 1 hour at 100 V in transfer buffer ( 25 mM Tris, 192 mM Glycine, 20\% Methanol). Protein transfer was verified by staining the membrane with Ponceau S solution. The staining solution was removed after protein verification and the nitrocellulose membrane washed with distilled water. Two types of development methods were used for the western blot analysis namely the Pierce ECL western blotting substrate as well as the BCIP-T/NBT substrate. Both will be discussed below. Two antibodies were used (i) VP6/group specific antibodies and (ii) primary goat
polyclonal anti-rotavirus antibody with the secondary antibody, Donkey anti-Goat IgG (Nebraska calf diarrhoea virus (NCDV).

## Pierce ECL Western blotting substrate

Western blot analysis was performed with the Pierce ECL western blotting substrate to verify the co-expression of the recombinant proteins. After transfer of the proteins onto a nitrocellulose membrane, the membrane was blocked in $5 \%$ non-fat milk in TNT buffer ( $0.05 \%$ Tween, $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}[\mathrm{pH} 8.5]$ ) for 3.5 hours at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to prevent nonspecific binding of the antibodies to the proteins. The membrane was washed three times with the TNT buffer and incubated at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 hours with the goat polyclonal anti-rotavirus antibody prepared against rotavirus NCDV, which was diluted to 1:1000 in TNT buffer. The membrane was washed three times with TNT buffer and incubated with the secondary antibody donkey horseradish peroxidase conjugated anti-goat IgG, which was specific to the primary antibody used. Incubation of the secondary antibody was diluted to 1:500 in TNT buffer, for 1 hour at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Following secondary antibody incubation the membrane was washed three times with TNT buffer to remove any traces of remaining antibody. The membrane was developed with the Pierce ECL western blotting substrate. The substrate contained a $1: 1$ ratio of detection reagent 1 (Peroxide solution) and detection reagent 2 (Luminol enhancer solution). The substrate binds to the horseradish peroxidase enzyme which in turn leads to the decay of oxidised luminal radicals and results in light emission that can be captured on X-ray film. The film was exposed to AGFA rapid developing solution until the protein bands were visible. The film was then fixed for 5 minutes in AFGA Rapid Fixer solution.

## BCIP-T/NBT Western blotting substrate

Western blot analysis was performed with the BCIP-T/NBT western blotting substrate to verify the co-expression of the proteins. After transfer of the proteins onto a nitrocellulose membrane, the membrane was blocked in $5 \%$ non-fat milk in TBST buffer ( 20 mM Tris-HCI $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,137 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$ and $0.1 \%$ Tween 20) for 1 hour at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to prevent non-specific binding of the antibodies to the proteins. The membrane was washed twice, for 5 min , with the TBST buffer and incubated at room temperature for 2 hours with the rotavirus group specific antigen, which was diluted to 1:1000 in TBST buffer. The membrane was washed twice, for 5 minutes, with TBST buffer and incubated with the secondary antibody goat antigoat $\operatorname{lgG}-A P$, which was specific to the primary antibody used. Incubation of the secondary
antibody was diluted to $1: 5000$ in TBST buffer, for 1 hour at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Following secondary antibody incubation the membrane was washed two times with TBST buffer to remove any traces of remaining antibody. The membrane was developed with BCIP-T/NBT western blotting substrate. Incubation was done until bands were visible in the BCIP-T/NBT alkaline phosphatase solution that produces an insoluble blue end product that can be observed visually. BCIP-T (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate, p-toluidine salt) is a chromogenic substrate for alkaline phosphatase that enhances a blue colour development when used in conjunction with the oxidant NBT (nitro blue tetrazolium). Alkaline phosphatase catalyses the removal of a phosphate group from BCIP-T, to generate a product that oxidizes and dimerizes to dibromodichloro indigo. The reducing equivalents produced during the dimerization reaction reduce NBT to an insoluble purple dye, diformazan. The substrate was prepared by adding $33 \mu \mathrm{I}$ BCIP-T ( $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) and $44 \mu \mathrm{I}$ NBT ( $75 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) to 10 ml alkaline phosphatase (AP) buffer ( 100 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 9.5$ ), 100 mM NaCl and 10 mM MgCl ). Alternatively all steps can also be carried out at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 3.2.4.5 Genomic DNA isolation

Propagation of yeast containing the construct of interest was inoculated into 5 ml YPD media and grown for 24 hours at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, unless otherwise stated, while shaking. The next day the cultures, containing the appropriate construct, were centrifuged at $16000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 1 minute and the supernatants discarded.

A commercial genomic isolation kit, ZR Fungal/Bacterial DNA MiniPrep ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (Zymo research), was used to do the genomic DNA isolation. The isolation was done according to the instructions of the manufacturer. This kit is designed for the simple, rapid isolation of DNA from though-to-lyse fungi as well as from mycelium and bacteria. This system incorporates a ZR BashingBead ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ lyses tube that rapidly and efficiently lyses fungal/bacterial samples by beads beating without using organic denaturants or proteinases. DNA is isolated using fastspin column technology that is ideal for downstream molecular-based applications such as PCR, array etc.

This procedure consists of five steps namely i) preparation and lysis of yeast cell cultures, ii) DNA binding, iii) pre-wash wash step, iv) wash step and v) elution. The bashing beads minimize DNA shearing by chemical and physical methods since the beads are fracture resistant and chemically inert. The yeast cells are lysed and pelleted and the released genomic DNA is bound to the Zymo-Spin ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ IIC column in the presence of the DNA Binding buffer. Under these conditions only the genomic DNA will bind to Zymo-Spin ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ IIC column
while most of the contaminating RNA and cellular proteinaceous components are removed in the flow through. The bound DNA is then washed to remove any remaining impurities. Genomic DNA was eluted in $50 \mu$ I DNA elution buffer.

### 3.3 Results and Discussion

As mentioned previously, this chapter focuses on the introduction and expression of the open reading frames of the wild type genome segment 2 (VP2) and genome segment 6 (VP6) of the GR10924 G9P[6] rotavirus strain in several yeast strains. The cloning strategy used to generate the dual expression vector, pKM173_VP2/6, for expression is indicated in Figure 3.2A and B.


Figure 3.2A: A schematic illustration of the cloning strategy to generate pKM173_VP2 and pKM177_VP6. PCR was used to amplify the ORF encoding VP2 (genome segment 2) and VP6 (genome segment 6) from pUC57. The ORF of genome segment 2 and genome segment 6 as well as their respective plasmids, pKM173 and pKM177 were digested with the appropriate enzymes (ORF of genome segment 2 and pKM173: Xhol and XmaJl: ORF of genome segment 6 and pKM177: Xhol and Eco47III). The ligation reactions yielded the vectors, pKM173_VP2 and pKM177_VP6.


Figure 3.2B: A schematic illustration of the cloning strategy to generate the dual expression vector for expression in several yeast strains. Both pKM173_VP2 and pKM177_VP6 were both digested with I-Scel and pKM173_VP2 dephosphorylated before ligation reactions which yielded the expression vector, pKM173_VP2/6.

### 3.3.1 Cloning of the open reading frame of genome segment 2 (VP2) into pKM173

The wild type ORF of genome segment 2 was purchased from GenScript which provided the ORF of genome segment 2 in the plasmid pUC57.

In order to clone the ORF of genome segment 2 (VP2), into the expression vector (pKM173, Figure 3.1), it was PCR amplified from pUC57_VP2 with primers that were designed to contain the restriction enzymes, Xhol and XmaJl, to facilitate directional cloning. The enzyme sites are contained in the multiple cloning site of the cloning vector pKM173 to facilitate the insertion of the amplified ORF of genome segment 2 (VP2). The primers were designed using the wild type sequence of genome segment 2 (VP2) (Figure 3.3).

| Restriction enzyme XhoI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forward primer $5^{\prime}$-CTCACTCGAGATGG CGTACAGGAA AC-3' |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | GGCTATTAAA | GGCTCAATGG | CGTACAGGAA | ACGTGGAGCG | CGCCGTGAGG | CGAACTTAAA |
|  | CCGATAATTT | CCGAGTTACC | GCATGTCCTT | TGCACCTCGC | GCGGCACTCC | GCTTGAATTT |
| 61 | TAATAATGAC | CGAATGCAGG | AGAAAATTGA | TGAAAAACAA | GATTCAAATA | AAATACAATT |
|  | ATTATTACTG | GCTTACGTCC | TCTITTAACT | ACtittigit | CTAAGTTTAT | tttatgitan |
| 121 | ATCCGATAAG | GTACTTTCGA | AGAAAGAAGA | AAttgialicg | GATAGTCATG | AGGAAGTtAA |
|  | TAGGCTATTC | CATGAAAGCT | tCtITCTICT | TTAACATTGC | CTATCAGTAC | TCCTTCAATT |
| 181 | Agttactgat | GAGTTAAAAA | AATCAACGAA | AGAAGAATCA | AAACAATTGC | TTGAAGTGTT |
|  | TCAATGACTA | CTCAATtTtT | TTAGTTGCTT | TCTICTTAGT | TTTGTTAACG | AACTTCACAA |
| 241 | GAAAACAAAG | GAAGAACATC | AGAAAGAAAT | ACAGTATGAA | AtAttacaga | AAACtatacc |
|  | CTTTTGTTTC | CTICTTGTAG | tCtitctita | TGTCATACTT | TATAATGTCT | tTtGATATGG |
| 301 | AACATTCGAA | CCTAAAGAGA | CGAtAttgag | AAAATTAGAG | GATATTCAAC | CAGAACTAGC |
|  | TTGTAAGCTT | GGAttictct | GCTATAACTC | TTTTAATCTC | CTATAAGTTG | GTCTTGATCG |
| 361 | GAAAAAACAG | ACTAAGTTAT | ttagatitat | TGAACCGAAA | CAATTACCGA | tttatagagc |
|  | CTITTTTGTC | TGATTCAATA | AATCTtATAA | ACTTGGCTTT | GTTAATGGCT | AAATATCTCG |
| 421 | AAATGGAGAG | AGAGAATTGC | GTAATAGATG | GTATTGGAAA | TTAAAAAAAG | ATACACTACC |
|  | tttacctctc | TCTCTTAACG | CAttatctac | CATAACCTTT | AAttittitc | TATGTGATGG |
| 481 | AGACGGAGAC | TATGATGTGA | GAGAGTATTT | TCTGAATTTG | TATGATCAAG | TGCTTACTGA |
|  | TCTGCCTCTG | AtACtACACT | CTCTCATAAA | AGACTTAAAC | ATACTAGTTC | ACGAATGACT |
| 541 | AATGCCAGAC | tactiattat | tGAAAGATAT | GGCAGTAGAA | AATAAGAACT | CTAGGGATGC |
|  | TTACGGTCTG | AtGAATAATA | Actitctata | CCGTCATCTT | ttattctiga | GAtccitacg |
| 601 | AGGTAAAGTT | GTTGACTCAG | AAACGGCTAG | tatatgcgat | GCCATATTTC | AAGATGAAGA |
|  | TCCATTTCAA | CAACTGAGTC | tTTGCCGATC | ATATACGCTA | CGGTATAAAG | tTCTACTICT |
| 661 | AACGGAAGGT | GCCGITAGAA | GAttcattgc | AGAAATGAGA | CAACGTGTGC | AAGCtGAtAg |
|  | tTGCCTTCCA | CGGCAATCTT | CTAAGTAACG | TCTTTACTCT | GTTGCACACG | tTCGACTATC |
| 721 | AAATGTTGTC | AATtATCCAT | CAATATTACA | TCCAATAGAT | TATGCATTTA | AtGAATACtT |
|  | TTTACAACAG | ttaAtAggta | GTTATAATGT | AGGTtATCTA | ATACGTAAAT | tactiatgat |
| 781 | TTTACAACAT | CAATTGGTTG | AACCATTGAA | TAATGATATA | ATATTTAATT | ATATACCAGA |
|  | AAATGTTGTA | GTTAACCAAC | TTGGTAACTT | Attactatat | TATAAATTAA | TATATGGTCT |
| 841 | AAGGATAAGA | AATGATGTTA | Attatattct | CAATATGGAC | AGAAATTTAC | CATCAACTGC |
|  | TTCCTATTCT | tTACTACAAT | taAtatanga | GTtATACCTG | TCTTTAAATG | GTAGTTGACG |
| 901 | CAgAtatata | AGACCTAATT | TACTICAAGA | TAGATTAAAT | TTGCACGATA | Attitgatic |
|  | GTCTATATAT | TCTGGATTAA | AtGAAGTTCT | ATCTAATTTA | AACGTGCTAT | taAAACtTAG |
| 961 | ACTATGGGAT | ACAATAACTA | CATCAAATTA | TATTTTGGCG | AGATCGGTAG | TACCAGATTT |
|  | TGATACCCTA | TGTtAtTGAT | GTAGTTTAAT | ATAAAACCGC | TCTAGCCATC | AtgGtctana |
| 1021 | AAAGGAATTA | GTGTCAACGG | AAGCACAAAT | TCAGAAAATG | TCACAAGATT | tgcantiaga |
|  | TITCCTTAAT | CACAGTTGCC | tTCGIGTTTA | AGTCTItTAC | AgTGTICTAA | ACGTTAATCT |
| 1081 | AGCATTAACA | ATTCAGTCAG | AAACACAATT | TCTAACAGGT | ATAAATTCAC | AAGCAGCTAA |
|  | TCGTAATTGT | TAAGTCAGTC | ttigigitai | AGATTGTCCA | TATTTAAGTG | TTCGTCGATT |
| 1141 | CGATTGTtTT | AAAACCTTAA | ttgCagcant | GTTAAGTCAA | CGTACTATGT | CAttagattt |
|  | GCTAACAAAA | TTTTGGAATT | AACGTCGTTA | CAATTCAGTT | GCATGATACA | GTAATCTAAA |


| 1201 | TGTAACTACT <br> ACATTGATGA | AATTATATGT TTAATATACA | CATTGATTTC <br> GTAACTAAAG | AGGTATGTGG TCCATACACC | CTATTGACGG <br> GATAACTGCC | TTGTGCCAAA AACACGGTTT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1261 | TGATATGTTT | ATAAGGGAAT | CGTTAGTCGC | GTGTCAACTA | GCTATAGTAA | ATACAATAAT |
|  | ACTATACAAA | TATTCCCTTA | GCAATCAGCG | CACAGTTGAT | CGATATCATT | TATGTTATTA |
| 1321 | CTATCCAGCA | TTTGGAATGC | AACGAATGCA | TTATAGAAAC | GGGGATCCAC | T |
|  | GATAGGTCGT | AAACCTTACG | TTGCTTACGT | AATATCTTTG | CCCCTAGGTG | TTTGTGGCAA |
| 1381 | TCAGATAGCA | GAACAGCAGA | TTCAAAATTT | CCAAGTCGCA | AATTGGTTAC | ATTTTGTTAA |
|  | AGTCTATCGT | CTTGTCGTCT | AAGTTTTAAA | GGTTCAGCGT | TTAACCAATG | TAAAACAATT |
| 1441 | TAATAATCAA | TTTAGACAGG | CAGTTATTGA | TGGTGTATTG | AATCAGGTAC | TGAATGACAA |
|  | ATTATTAGTT | AAATCTGTCC | GTCAATAACT | ACCACATAAC | TTAGTCCATG | ACTTACTGTT |
| 1501 | TATTAGAAAT | GGTCATGTTA | TTAACCAACT | GATGGAGGCT | CTAATGCAGC | CA |
|  | ATAATCTTTA | CCAGTACAAT | AATTGGTTGA | CTACCTCCGA | GATTACGTCG | ACAGCGCTGT |
| 1561 | ACAATTTCCA | ACCATGCCAA | TTGATTATAA | GAGATCAATT | CAACGTGGAA | TATTACTGTT |
|  | TGTTAAAGGT | TGGTACGGTT | AACTAATATT | CTCTAGTTAA | GTTGCACCTT | ATAATGACAA |
| 1621 | ATCTAACAGA | CTTGGTCAGT | TAGTTGATTT | AACTAGATTA | TTAGCTTACA | ATTATGAGAC |
|  | TAGATTGTCT | GAACCAGTCA | ATCAACTAAA | TTGATCTAAT | AATCGAATGT | TAATACTCTG |
| 1681 | ATTAATGGCA | TGCATTACAA | TGAACATGCA | ACATGTTCAA | ACCTTAACAA | T |
|  | TAATTACCGT | ACGTAATGTT | ACTTGTACGT | TGTACAAGTT | TGGAATTGTT | GTCTTTTTAA |
| 1741 | ACAATTAACG | TCAGTTACAT | CATTATGTAT | GCTTATTGGA | AATGCGACTG | TTATACCAAG |
|  | TGTTAATTGC | AGTCAATGTA | GTAATACATA | CGAATAACCT | TTACGCTGAC | AATATGGTTC |
| 1801 | CA | TTATTTCATT | ATTATAACGT | TAACGTTAAT | TTTCATTCAA | TACAATGA |
|  | AGGTGTTTGT | AATAAAGTAA | TAATATTGCA | ATTGCAATTA | AAAGTAAGTT | TAATGTTACT |
| 1861 | GAGAATTAAT | GATGCAGTAG | CTATAATAAC | TGCTGCTAAC | AGACTGAATC | TATATCAGAA |
|  | CTCTTAATTA | CTACGTCATC | GATATTATTG | ACGACGATTG | TCTGACTTAG | ATATAGTCTT |
| 1921 | AAAAATGAAG | GCTATTGTTG | AGGATTTCTT | AAAAAGATTA | TACATTTTTG | ATGTATCTAG |
|  | TTTTTACTTC | CGATAACAAC | TCCTAAAGAA | TTTTTCTAAT | ATGTAAAAAC | TACATAGATC |
| 1981 | AGTTCCGGAC | GACCAAATGT | ATAGATTAAG | GGATAGATTA | CGCTTATTGC | CAGTAGAAAT |
|  | TCAAGGCCTG | CTGGTTTACA | TATCTAATTC | CCTATCTAAT | GCGAATAACG | GTCATCTTTA |
| 2041 | CAGAAGATTA | GATATCTTCA | ATCTAATACT | AATGAACATG | GATCAAATTG | AACGTGCCTC |
|  | GTCTTCTAAT | CTATAGAAGT | TAGATTATGA | TTACTTGTAC | CTAGTTTAAC | TTGCACGGAG |
| 2101 | AGATAAAATT | GCTCAAGGTG | TAATCATTGC | TTATCGTGAC | ATGCATCTTG | AAAGAGATGA |
|  | TCTATTTTAA | CGAGTTCCAC | ATTAGTAACG | AATAGCACTG | TACGTAGAAC | TTTCTCTACT |
| 2161 | GATGTACGGA | TATGTAAATA | TAGCTAGAAA | TTTAGAGGGA | TTTCAACAGA | TAAATTTAGA |
|  | CTACATGCCT | ATACATTTAT | ATCGATCTTT | AAATCTCCCT | AAAGTTGTCT | ATTTAAATCT |
| 2221 | GGAGCTGATG | AGATCAGGTG | ACTATGCGCA | AATAACTAAC | ATGCTTTTGA | ATAATCAACC |
|  | CCTCGACTAC | TCTAGTCCAC | TGATACGCGT | TTATTGATTG | TACGAAAACT | TATTAGTTGG |
| 2281 | AGTAGCATTG | GTTGGAGCAC | TTCCATTTAT | TACTGATTCA | TCAGTTATAT | CGCTAATAGC |
|  | TCATCGTAAC | CAACCTCGTG | AAGGTAAATA | ATGACTAAGT | AGTCAATATA | GCGATTATCG |
| 2341 | AAAACTTGAC | GCTACAGTGT | TCGCTCAAAT | AgTTAAATTA | CGAAAAGTTG | ATACTTTAAA |
|  | TTTTGAACTG | CGATGTCACA | AGCGAGTTTA | TCAATTTAAT | GCTTTTCAAC | TATGAAATTT |

```
    ACCAATATTA TACAAGATAA ATTCAGACTC AAATGACTTT TATTTAGTAG CTAATTACGA
```

    ACCAATATTA TACAAGATAA ATTCAGACTC AAATGACTTT TATTTAGTAG CTAATTACGA
    TGGTTATAAT ATGTTCTATT TAAGTCTGAG TTTACTGAAA ATAAATCATC GATTAATGCT
    TGGTTATAAT ATGTTCTATT TAAGTCTGAG TTTACTGAAA ATAAATCATC GATTAATGCT
    TTGGGTGCCA ACTTCGACTA CAAAAGTATA CAAACAGGTT CCGCAACAAT TTGATTTTAG
    TTGGGTGCCA ACTTCGACTA CAAAAGTATA CAAACAGGTT CCGCAACAAT TTGATTTTAG
        AACCCACGGT TGAAGCTGAT GTTTTCATAT GTTTGTCCAA GGCGTTGTTA AACTAAAATC
        AACCCACGGT TGAAGCTGAT GTTTTCATAT GTTTGTCCAA GGCGTTGTTA AACTAAAATC
    AAATTCAATG CATATGTTAA CTTCGAATCT TACTTTTACG GTTTATTCAG ATCTTCTCGC
    AAATTCAATG CATATGTTAA CTTCGAATCT TACTTTTACG GTTTATTCAG ATCTTCTCGC
        TTTAAGTTAC GTATACAATT GAAGCTTAGA ATGAAAATGC CAAATAAGTC TAGAAGAGCG
        TTTAAGTTAC GTATACAATT GAAGCTTAGA ATGAAAATGC CAAATAAGTC TAGAAGAGCG
    GTTCGTATCA GCTGACACAG TAGAACCTAT AAATGCAGTT GCATTTGATA ATATGCGCAT
    GTTCGTATCA GCTGACACAG TAGAACCTAT AAATGCAGTT GCATTTGATA ATATGCGCAT
        CAAGCATAGT CGACTGTGTC ATCTTGGATA TTTACGTCAA CGTAAACTAT TATACGCGTA
        CAAGCATAGT CGACTGTGTC ATCTTGGATA TTTACGTCAA CGTAAACTAT TATACGCGTA
                                    Reverse primer 3'- TA
                                    Reverse primer 3'- TA
    CATGAACGAA TTGTAGACGC CAACCCCACT GTGGAGATAT GACC
    CATGAACGAA TTGTAGACGC CAACCCCACT GTGGAGATAT GACC
    GTACTTGCTT AACATCTGCG GTTGGGGTGA CACCTCTATA CTGG
    GTACTTGCTT AACATCTGCG GTTGGGGTGA CACCTCTATA CTGG
    GTACTTGCTT AACATCCCTA GGTGCG-5'
    GTACTTGCTT AACATCCCTA GGTGCG-5'
    Restriction enzyme XmaJI

```
Restriction enzyme XmaJI
```

Figure 3.3: Sequence of the wild type ORF of genome segment 2 (GR10924 G9P[6]) indicating the primers used for amplification. The forward and reverse primers are indicated in purple and the restriction enzymes sites in black. The start codon is indicated in green and the stop codon in red.

In order to PCR amplify the open reading frame of genome segment 2 from pUC57 to facilitate cloning into the expression vector (pKM173); optimisation of the annealing temperatures had to be done. A temperature gradient ranging from $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was used. A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of the PCR annealing temperature optimisation was analysed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel, as shown in Figure 3.4.


Figure 3.4: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of the PCR amplification temperature gradient of the ORF of genome segment 2 (VP2) from pUC57. Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of each $50 \mu \mathrm{IPCR}$ reaction of the ORF of genome segment 2 was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) amplification reaction at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 3) amplification reaction at $57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 4) amplification reaction at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 5) amplification reaction at $63^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 6) reaction at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 7) no template control.

The temperature gradient amplification of the ORF of genome segment 2 from pUC57 was successful as seen in Figure 3.4. Lanes 2 to 6 show a 2700bp amplicon as expected, however, the yield of amplicon at $63^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lane 5 and lane 6) was very low. The lack of a band in lane 7, which serves as the negative control (the negative control has no template DNA and is usually water instead of DNA), indicates that no contamination took place. The ORF of genome segment 2 was subsequently amplified in duplicate as described in section 3.2.3.2, with the annealing temperature at $57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ since the band was the clearest at this temperature during PCR annealing temperature optimisation. A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of the duplicate amplification reaction, of the ORF of genome segment 2, was analysed on a 1\% agarose gel, as shown in Figure 3.5.


Figure 3.5: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of the PCR amplification of the ORF of genome segment 2 (VP2) from pUC57 at $57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Lane: 1) 10000 bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ PCR reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2-3) ORF of genome segment 2 amplification reactions (done in duplicate); 4) negative control.

The PCR amplification was successful as seen in Figure 3.5. Lane 2 to 3 show a 2700bp amplicon as expected (the same reaction done in duplicate). The lack of a band in lane 4, which serves as the negative control, indicates that no contamination took place. The two PCR amplified ORF of genome segment 2 reactions were pooled and purified by removing oligonucleotides and other contaminations by means of PCR clean-up to ensure good quality DNA for cloning, as described in section 2.2.3.3 (Chapter 2). This yielded about $64.3 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ product of the ORF of genome segment 2 (VP2), which was stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for cloning. A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of the purified ORF of genome segment 2 was analysed using a $1 \%$ agarose gel, as shown in Figure 3.6.


Figure 3.6: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of the PCR clean-up of the amplified ORF of genome segment 2. Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ reaction of the $50 \mu \mathrm{lgel}$ extracted reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2-3) ORF of genome segment 2.

Double restriction endonuclease digestion was done with Xhol and XmaJI, as described in section 3.2.3.3., for the amplified ORF of genome segment 2 and pKM173. These digestions were analysed by a 1\% agarose gel, as shown in Figure 3.7. The expected results for the restriction digestion were for pKM173 to give two bands and the ORF of genome segment 2 to give one band, since the enzymes only cuts both (pKM173 and ORF of genome segment 2) at one site. Fragments of 7461 bp and 57 bp were expected for pKM173 and a fragment of 2684bp was expected for the ORF of genome segment 2 (VP2).


Figure 3.7: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis Xhol and XmaJI restriction enzyme digestions of pKM173 and the ORF of genome segment 2. Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Xhol and XmaJI digestion reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) ORF of genome segment 2; 3) pKM173 vector.

A band at 7461 bp for the restriction digest of pKM173 was obtained (Figure 3.7) as well as a band at 2684bp for the restriction digest of the ORF of genome segment 2 (Figure 3.7), indicating that the expected results were obtained. However, the second band for pKM173 at 57 bp could not be observed on the agarose gel due to the small size of the band. The remaining sample of the double restriction digestions were analysed on a $0.8 \% \mathrm{gel}$ and purified by means of gel extraction. This resulted in about $35 \mu \mathrm{l}$ gel purified product of both the ORF of genome segment 2 and pKM173 ( $26.2 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ORF of genome segment 2 and $59.2 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{pKM} 173)$. The ligation reaction of the ORF of genome segment 2 into pKM173 was done as described in section 2.2.3.8 (Chapter 2). The ligation background control contained no DNA insert. Transformation of competent JM109 cells using above mentioned ligation reaction mixture was done. More than 100 colonies were obtained. Twenty colonies of possible pKM173_VP2 plasmids were chosen to perform colony screening on by means of PCR, as described in section 3.2.3.5. A colony was considered positive if the PCR reaction gave an amplicon of 2684bp when analysed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel. The results of the PCR colony screening of possible pKM173_VP2 plasmids are shown in Figure 3.8.


Figure 3.8: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of the PCR colony screening of the ORF of genome segment 2 (VP2) for possible pKM173_VP2 plasmids. Lanes 1 and 13) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of each $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ pKM173_VP2 colony screening reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) Colony 1; 3) Colony 2; 4) Colony 3; 5) Colony 4; 6) Colony 5; 7) Colony 6; 8) Colony 7; 9) Colony 8; 10) Colony 9 ; 11) Colony 10; 12) Colony 11; 14) Colony 12; 15) Colony 13; 16) Colony 14; 17) Colony 15; 18) Colony 16; 19) Colony 17; 20) Colony 18; 21) Colony 19; 22) Colony 20; 23) No template control.

Colonies 1-3, 5-7, 9, 11-12, and 16-17 (Lanes 2-4, 6-8, 10, 12, 14, and 18-19) gave the expected results of a 2684bp amplicon. However, colonies 4, 8, 10, 13-15 and 19-20 (Lanes $5-7,9,11,15-17$ and 21-22) showed no visible band at 2684bp. Lane 23 was the negative control containing no DNA template. Mini-preparations of plasmid DNA and restriction enzyme digestions were done on overnight LB medium cultures of the colonies that gave the expected result with the PCR colony screening to see if the ORF of genome segment 2 was cloned into the pKM173 vector. The plasmid map that would result when the ORF of genome segment 2 was cloned into pKM173, is shown in Figure 3.9.


Figure 3.9: Plasmid map that would result when the ORF of genome segment 2 is cloned into the pKM173 vector. The Xhol and XmaJI recognition sites indicate the enzymes used to clone the ORF of genome segment 2 (indicated in purple) into the pKM173 vector. The Yarrowia lipolytica translation elongation factor (yITEF) promoter is indicated in light grey, and the kanamycin resistance gene in dark grey. The Hygromycin B resistance gene (hph) is the antibiotic used during yeast transformation and the KmiNut is the Kluyvermomyces marxianus inulinase target.

The samples that gave the expected result with the PCR colony screening were subjected to a double restriction endonuclease digestion with the restriction enzymes Xhol and XmaJI, since these were the enzymes used for cloning. A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of each $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ double restriction digestion was analysed by a $1 \%$ agarose gel, as shown in Figure 3.10. A colony was considered positive if two fragments ( 7461 bp and 2684 bp ) were visible after the double restriction digestion reaction.


Figure 3.10: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of Xhol and XmaJI restriction enzyme digestion of possible pKM173_VP2 plasmids. Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Xhol and XmaJI digestion reaction of possible pKM173_VP2 plasmids was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) Colony 2; 3) Colony 3; 4) Colony 4; 5) Colony 6; 6) Colony 7; 7) Colony 8 ; 8) Colony 10; 9) Colony 12; 10) Colony 14; 11) Colony 18; 12) Colony 19.

All eleven colonies (colonies 1-3, 5-7, 9, 11-12, and 16-17) gave the expected result namely two bands, one at 7461 bp and the other one at 2684bp. A midi-preparation of the plasmid DNA was done for two colonies (colony 2 - lane 3 and colony 3 - lane 4), as described in section 3.2.3.1. A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of each $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ plasmid preparation was analysed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel, as shown in Figure 3.11.


Figure 3.11: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of plasmid extraction of pKM173_VP2. Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ plasmid extraction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) pKM173_VP2 colony 2; 3) pKM173_VP2 colony 3.

The results in Figure 3.11 showed that plasmid DNA was obtained for both pKM173_VP2 colonies. However, to make sure that the ORF of genome segment 2 was cloned into pKM173 successfully, both colonies were subjected to a restriction enzyme digestion with Xbal. This enzyme was chosen to confirm the correct insertion of the ORF of genome segment 2 into pKM173, since the restriction enzyme (Xbal) has a recognition site within the ORF of genome segment 2. A $10 \mu$ l sample of each $25 \mu$ restriction digestion was analysed on a 1\% agarose gel (Figure 3.12). Two fragments (1823bp and 8280bp) were expected for pKM173_VP2, after restriction digestion.


Figure 3.12: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of Xbal restriction enzyme digestion of pKM173_VP2 plasmids following midi-plasmid preparation. Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A 10 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Xbal digestion reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) pKM173_VP2 colony 2; 3) pKM173_VP2 colony 3.

Figure 3.12 shows that the expected results were obtained for both colonies namely two fragments (1823bp and 8280bp). This provides further conformation that the ORF of genome segment 2 was cloned into pKM173. Although these results were encouraging sequencing was still necessary to ensure successful cloning. The midi-plasmid preparation of both pKM173_VP2 colonies was sent for sequencing, to ensure that the ORF of genome segment 2 was cloned into pKM173 and that no mutations had occurred. Primers designed for pKM173 sequencing (Table 3.4) were used. The sequence alignment, of only pKM173_VP2 colony 2, is shown in Figure 3.13.
pKM173_VP2 TATAAAAGACCACCGTCCCCGAATTACCTTTCCTCTTCTT ..... 360
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 13
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 0
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 TTCTCTCTCTCCTTGTCAACTCACACCCGAAATGCTCGAG ..... 400
pKM173_VP2_F TTCTCTCTCTCCTTGTCAACTCACACCCGAAATGCTCGAG ..... 52
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 0
pKM173_VP2_R440
pKM173_VP2 ATGGCGTACAGGAAACGTGGAGCGCGCCGTGAGGCGAACT
pKM173_VP2_F ATGGCGTACAGGAAACGTGGAGCGCGCCGTGAGGCGAACT ..... 92
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 0
pKM173_VP2_RpKM173_VP2 TAAATAATAATGACCGAATGCAGGAGAAAATTGATGAAAA480
pKM173_VP2_F TAAATAATAATGACCGAATGCAGGAGAAAATTGATGAAAA ..... 132
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 0
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 ACAAGATTCAAATAAAATACAATTATCCGATAAGGTACTT ..... 520
pKM173_VP2_F ACAAGATTCAAATAAAATACAATTATCCGATAAGGTACTT ..... 172
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 0
pKM173_VP2_R
pKM173_VP2 TCGAAGAAAGAAGAAATTGTAACGGATAGTCATGAGGAAG ..... 560
pKM173_VP2_F TCGAAGAAAGAAGAAATTGTAACGGATAGTCATGAGGAAG ..... 212
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 0
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 TTAAAGTTACTGATGAGTTAAAAAAATCAACGAAAGAAGA ..... 600
pKM173_VP2_F TTAAAGTTACTGATGAGTTAAAAAAATCAACGAAAGAAGA ..... 252 ..... 252
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 0
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 ATCAAAACAATTGCTTGAAGTGTTGAAAACAAAGGAAGAA ..... 640
pKM173_VP2_F ATCAAAACAATTGCTTGAAGTGTTGAAAACAAAGGAAGAA ..... 292
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 0
pKM173_VP2_R
pKM173_VP2 CATCAGAAAGAAATACAGTATGAAATATTACAGAAAACTA ..... 680
pKM173_VP2_F CATCAGAAAGAAATACAGTATGAAATATTACAGAAAACTA ..... 332
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 0
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 TACCAACATTCGAACCTAAAGAGACGATATTGAGAAAATT ..... 720
pKM173_VP2_F TACCAACATTCGAACCTAAAGAGACGATATTGAGAAAATT ..... 372 ..... 372
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 0
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 AGAGGATATTCAACCAGAACTAGCGAAAAAACAGACTAAG ..... 760
pKM173_VP2_F AGAGGATATTCAACCAGAACTAGCGAAAAAACAGACTAAG ..... 412
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 0
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 TTATTTAGAATATTTGAACCGAAACAATTACCGATTTATA ..... 800
pKM173_VP2_F TTATTTAGAATATTTGAACCGAAACAATTACCGATTTATA ..... 452
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 0
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 GAGCAAATGGAGAGAGAGAATTGCGTAATAGATGGTATTG ..... 840
pKM173_VP2_F GAGCAAATGGAGAGAGAGAATTGCGTAATAGATGGTATTG ..... 492
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 0
pKM173_VP2_R . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 GAAATTAAAAAAAGATACACTACCAGACGGAGACTATGAT ..... 880
pKM173_VP2_F GAAATTAAAAAAAGATACACTACCAGACGGAGACTATGAT ..... 532
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 0
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 GTGAGAGAGTATTTTCTGAATTTGTATGATCAAGTGCTTA ..... 920
pKM173_VP2_F GTGAGAGAGTATTTTCTGAATTTGTATGATCAAGTGCTTA ..... 572
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 0
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 CTGAAATGCCAGACTACTTATTATTGAAAGATATGGCAGT ..... 960
pKM173_VP2_F CTGAAATGCCAGACTACTTATTATTGAAAGATATGGCAGT ..... 612
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 0
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 AGAAAATAAGAACTCTAGGGATGCAGGTAAAGTTGTTGAC ..... 1000
pKM173_VP2_F AGAAAATAAGAACTCTAGGGATGCAGGTAAAGTTGTTGAC ..... 652
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 0
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 TCAGAAACGGCTAGTATATGCGATGCCATATTTCAAGATG pKM173_VP2_F TCAGAAACGGCTAGTATATGCGATGCCATATTTCAAGATG ..... 1040 ..... 692
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 5
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 AAGAAACGGAAGGTGCCGTTAGAAGATTCATTGCAGAAAT ..... 1080
pKM173_VP2_F AAGAAACGGAAGGTGCCGTTAGAAGATTCATTGCAGAAAT ..... 732
pKM173_VP2_Int AAGAAACGGAAGGTGCCGTTAGAAGATTCATTGCAGAAAT ..... 45
pKM173_VP2_RpKM173_VP2 GAGACAACGTGTGCAAGCTGATAGAAATGTTGTCAATTAT1120
pKM173_VP2_F GAGACAACGTGTGCAAGCTGATAGAAATGTTGTCAATTAT ..... 772
pKM173_VP2_Int GAGACAACGTGTGCAAGCTGATAGAAATGTTGTCAATTAT ..... 85
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0

```
pKM173_VP2 CCATCAATATTACATCCAATAGATTATGCATTTAATGAAT 1160
pKM173_VP2_F CCATCAATATTACATCCAATAGATTATGCATTTAATGAAT }81
pKM173_VP2_Int CCATCAATATTACATCCAATAGATTATGCATTTAATGAAT 125
```

pKM173_VP2_R . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
pKM173_VP2 ACTTTTTACAACATCAATTGGTTGAACCATTGAATAATGA 1200
pKM173_VP2_F ACTTTTTACAACATCAATTGGTTGAACCATTGAATAATGA 852
pKM173_VP2_Int ACTTTTTACAACATCAATTGGTTGAACCATTGAATAATGA 165
pKM173_VP2_R . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
pKM173_VP2 TATAATATTTAATTATATACCAGAAAGGATAAGAAATGAT 1240
pKM173_VP2_F TATAATATTTAATTATATACCAGAAAGGATAAGAAATGAT 892
pKM173_VP2_Int TATAATATTTAATTATATACCAGAAAGGATAAGAAATGAT 205
pKM173_VP2_R
pKM173_VP2 GTTAATTATATTCTCAATATGGACAGAAATTTACCATCAA 1280
pKM173_VP2_F GTTAATTATATTCTCAATATGGACAGAAATTTACCATCAA 932
pKM173_VP2_Int GTTAATTATATTCTCAATATGGACAGAAATTTACCATCAA 245
pKM173_VP2_R
pKM173_VP2 CTGCCAGATATATAAGACCTAATTTACTTCAAGATAGATT
pKM173_VP2_F CTGCCAGATATATAAGACCTAATTTACTTCAAGATAGATT
pKM173_VP2_Int CTGCCAGATATATAAGACCTAATTTACTTCAAGATAGATT 285
972
pKM173_VP2_R
pKM173_VP2 AAATTTGCACGATAATTTTGAATCACTATGGGATACAATA 1360
pKM173_VP2_F AAATTTGCACGATAATTTTGAATCACTATGGGATACAATA 1012
pKM173_VP2_Int AAATTTGCACGATAATTTTGAATCACTATGGGATACAATA 325

pKM173_VP2_R
pKM173_VP2 ACTACATCAAATTATATTTTGGCGAGATCGGTAGTACCAG 1400
pKM173_VP2_F ACTACATCAAATTATATTTTGGCGAGATCGGTAGTACCAG 1052
pKM173_VP2_Int ACTACATCAAATTATATTTTGGCGAGATCGGTAGTACCAG 365
pKM173_VP2_R . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
pKM173_VP2 ATTTAAAGGAATTAGTGTCAACGGAAGCACAAATTCAGAA 1440
pKM173_VP2_F ATTTAAAGGAATTAGTGTCAACGGAAGCACAAATTCAGAA 1092
pKM173_VP2_Int ATTTAAAGGAATTAGTGTCAACGGAAGCACAAATTCAGAA 405
pKM173_VP2_R
pKM173_VP2 AATGTCACAAGATTTGCAATTAGAAGCATTAACAATTCAG 1480
pKM173_VP2_F AATGTCACAAGATTTGCAATTAGAAGCATTAACAATTCAG 1132
pKM173_VP2_Int AATGTCACAAGATTTGCAATTAGAAGCATTAACAATTCAG 445
pKM173_VP2_R
pKM173_VP2 TCAGAAACACAATTTCTAACAGGTATAAATTCACAAGCAG 1520
pKM173_VP2_F TCAGAAACACAATTTCTAACAGGTA.............. 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int TCAGAAACACAATTTCTAACAGGTATAAATTCACAAGCAG 485
pKM173_VP2_R
0
pKM173_VP2 CTAACGATTGTTTTAAAACCTTAATTGCAGCAATGTTAAG ..... 1560
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int CTAACGATTGTTTTAAAACCTTAATTGCAGCAATGTTAAG ..... 525
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 TCAACGTACTATGTCATTAGATTTTGTAACTACTAATTAT ..... 1600
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int TCAACGTACTATGTCATTAGATTTTGTAACTACTAATTAT ..... 565
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 ATGTCATTGATTTCAGGTATGTGGCTATTGACGGTTGTGC ..... 1640
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ATGTCATTGATTTCAGGTATGTGGCTATTGACGGTTGTGC ..... 605
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 CAAATGATATGTTTATAAGGGAATCGTTAGTCGCGTGTCA ..... 1680
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int CAAATGATATGTTTATAAGGGAATCGTTAGTCGCGTGTCA ..... 645
pKM173_VP2_R
pKM173_VP2 ACTAGCTATAGTAAATACAATAATCTATCCAGCATTTGGA ..... 1720
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ACTAGCTATAGTAAATACAATAATCTATCCAGCATTTGGA ..... 685
pKM173_VP2_R . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 ATGCAACGAATGCATTATAGAAACGGGGATCCACAAACAC ..... 1760
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ATGCAACGAATGCATTATAGAAACGGGGATCCACAAACAC ..... 725
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 CGTTTCAGATAGCAGAACAGCAGATTCAAAATTTCCAAGT ..... 1800
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int CGTTTCAGATAGCAGAACAGCAGATTCAAAATTTCCAAGT ..... 765
pKM173_VP2_R
pKM173_VP2 CGCAAATTGGTTACATTTTGTTAATAATAATCAATTTAGA ..... 1840
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int CGCAAATTGGTTACATTTTGTTAATAATAATCAATTTAGA ..... 805
pKM173_VP2_R
pKM173_VP2 CAGGCAGTTATTGATGGTGTATTGAATCAGGTACTGAATG ..... 1880
pKM173_VP2_F . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int CAGGCAGTTATTGATGGTGTATTGAATCAGGTACTGAATG ..... 845
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 ACAATATTAGAAATGGTCATGTTATTAACCAACTGATGGA ..... 1920
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ACAATATTAGAAATGGTCATGTTATTAACCAACTGATGGA ..... 885
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 GGCTCTAATGCAGCTGTCGCGACAACAATTTCCAACCATG ..... 1960
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int GGCTCTAATGCAGCTGTCGCGACAACAATTTCCAACCATG ..... 925
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 CCAATTGATTATAAGAGATCAATTCAACGTGGAATATTAC ..... 2000
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int CCAATTGATTATAAGAGATCAATTCAACGTGGAATATTAC ..... 965
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 TGTTATCTAACAGACTTGGTCAGTTAGTTGATTTAACTAG ..... 2040
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int TGTTATCTAACAGACTTGGTCAGTTAGTTGATTTAACTAG ..... 1005
pKM173_VP2_R ..... 0
pKM173_VP2 ATTATTAGCTTACAATTATGAGACATTAATGGCATGCATT ..... 2080
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ATTATTAGCTTACAATTATGAGACATTAATGGCATGCATT ..... 1045
pKM173_VP2_R TAATGGCATGCATT ..... 14
pKM173_VP2 ACAATGAACATGCAACATGTTCAAACCTTAACAACAGAAA ..... 2120
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ACAATGAACATGCAACATGTTCAAACCTTAACAACAGAAA ..... 1085
pKM173_VP2_R ACAATGAACATGCAACATGTTCAAACCTTAACAACAGAAA ..... 54
pKM173_VP2 AATTACAATTAACGTCAGTTACATCATTATGTATGCTTAT ..... 2160
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int AATTACAATTAACGTCAGTTACATCATTATGTATGCTTAT ..... 1125
pKM173_VP2_R AATTACAATTAACGTCAGTTACATCATTATGTATGCTTAT ..... 94
pKM173_VP2 TGGAAATGCGACTGTATACCAAGTCCACAAACATTATTTC ..... 2200
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int TGGAAATGCGACTGTATACCAAGTCCACAAACATTATTTC ..... 1165
pKM173_VP2_R TGGAAATGCGACTGTATACCAAGTCCACAAACATTATTTC ..... 133
pKM173_VP2 ATTATTATAACGTTAACGTTAATTTTCATTCAAATTACAA ..... 2240
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ATTATTATAACGTTAACGTTAATTTTCATTCA ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R ATTATTATAACGTTAACGTTAATTTTCATTCAAATTACAA ..... 173
pKM173_VP2 TGAGAGAATTAATGATGCAGTAGCTATAATAACTGCTGCT ..... 2280
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R TGAGAGAATTAATGATGCAGTAGCTATAATAACTGCTGCT ..... 213
pKM173_VP2 AACAGACTGAATCTATATCAGAAAAAAATGAAGGCTATTG ..... 2320
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R AACAGACTGAATCTATATCAGAAAAAAATGAAGGCTATTG ..... 253
pKM173_VP2 TTGAGGATTTCTTAAAAAGATTATACATTTTTGATGTATC ..... 2360
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R TTGAGGATTTCTTAAAAAGATTATACATTTTTGATGTATC ..... 293
pKM173_VP2 TAGAGTTCCGGACGACCAAATGTATAGATTAAGGGATAGA ..... 2400
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R TAGAGTTCCGGACGACCAAATGTATAGATTAAGGGATAGA ..... 333
pKM173_VP2 TTACGCTTATTGCCAGTAGAAATCAGAAGATTAGATATCT ..... 2440
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R TTACGCTTATTGCCAGTAGAAATCAGAAGATTAGATATCT ..... 373
pKM173_VP2 TCAATCTAATACTAATGAACATGGATCAAATTGAACGTGC ..... 2480
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R TCAATCTAATACTAATGAACATGGATCAAATTGAACGTGC ..... 413
pKM173_VP2 CTCAGATAAAATTGCTCAAGGTGTAATCATTGCTTATCGT ..... 2520
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R CTCAGATAAAATTGCTCAAGGTGTAATCATTGCTTATCGT ..... 453
pKM173_VP2 GACATGCATCTGAAAGAGATGAGATGTACGGATATGTAAA ..... 2560
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R GACATGCATCTGAAAGAGATGAGATGTACGGATATGTAAA ..... 493
pKM173_VP2 TATAGCTAGAAATTTAGAGGGATTTCAACAGATAAATTTA ..... 2600
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R TATAGCTAGAAATTTAGAGGGATTTCAACAGATAAATTTA ..... 533
pKM173_VP2 GAGGAGCTGATGAGATCAGGTGACTATGCGCAAATAACTA ..... 2640
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R GAGGAGCTGATGAGATCAGGTGACTATGCGCAAATAACTA ..... 573
pKM173_VP2 ACATGCTTTTGAATAATCAACCAGTAGCATTGGTTGGAGC ..... 2679
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R ACATGCTTTTGAATAATCAACCAGTAGCATTGGTTGGAGC ..... 613
pKM173_VP2 ACTTCCATTTATTACTGATTCATCAGTTATATCGCTAATA ..... 2719
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R ACTTCCATTTATTACTGATTCATCAGTTATATCGCTAATA ..... 653
pKM173_VP2 GCAAAACTTGACGCTACAGTGTTCGCTCAAATAGTTAAAT ..... 2759
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R GCAAAACTTGACGCTACAGTGTTCGCTCAAATAGTTAAAT ..... 693
pKM173_VP2 TACGAAAAGTTGATACTTTAAAACCAATATTATACAAGAT ..... 2799
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R TACGAAAAGTTGATACTTTAAAACCAATATTATACAAGAT ..... 733
pKM173_VP2 AAATTCAGACTCAAATGACTTTTATTTAGTAGCTAATTAC ..... 2839
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R AAATTCAGACTCAAATGACTTTTATTTAGTAGCTAATTAC ..... 773
pKM173_VP2 GATTGGGTGCCAACTTCGACTACAAAAGTATACAAACAGG ..... 2879
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R GATTGGGTGCCAACTTCGACTACAAAAGTATACAAACAGG ..... 813
pKM173_VP2 TTCCGCAACAATTTGATTTTAGAAATTCAATGCATATGTT ..... 2919
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R TTCCGCAACAATTTGATTTTAGAAATTCAATGCATATGTT ..... 853
pKM173_VP2 AACTTCGAATCTTACTTTTACGGTTTATTCAGATCTTCTC ..... 2959
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R AACTTCGAATCTTACTTTTACGGTTTATTCAGATCTTCTC ..... 893
pKM173_VP2 GCGTTCGTATCAGCTGACACAGTAGAACCTATAAATGCAG ..... 2999
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R GCGTTCGTATCAGCTGACACAGTAGAACCTATAAATGCAG ..... 933
pKM173_VP2 TTGCATTTGATAATATGCGCATCATGAACGAATTGTAGCC ..... 3039
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R TTGCATTTGATAATATGCGCATCATGAACGAATTGTAGCC ..... 973
pKM173_VP2 TAGGTGATCTGATCTGCTTACTTTACTTAACGACCAAAGA ..... 3079
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R TAGGTGATCTGATCTGCTTACTTTACTTAACGACCAAAGA ..... 1013
pKM173_VP2 AAAACGACAAAAAAAAAATATTACTACTATTAAAATAAAT ..... 3119
pKM173_VP2_F ..... 1157
pKM173_VP2_Int ..... 1197
pKM173_VP2_R AAAACGACAAAAAAAAAATATTACTACTATTAAAATAAAT ..... 1053

```
pKM173_VP2 TAGTATTTTTCTCTTCTTACGATATGATATGATGCTATGA 3159
pKM173_VP2_F . ........................................ }115
pKM173_VP2_Int ......................................... }119
pKM173_VP2_R TAGTATTTTTCTCTTCTTACGATATGATATGATGCTATGA 1093
pKM173_VP2 AATCATCATCTTCTTAACTTTCTTGTCTCTTACACGTCAC 3199
pKM173_VP2_F ........................................ }115
pKM173_VP2_Int . . . ...................................... }119
pKM173_VP2_R AATCATCATCTTCTTAACTTTCTTGTCTCTTACACGTCAC 1133
```

Figure 3.13: Nucleotide sequence alignments of the recombinant pKM173 vector containing the ORF of genome segment 2 (VP2) with the in silico clone of pKM173_VP2. The in silico clone of pKM173_VP2 is indicated as pKM173_VP2, the forward sequence is indicated as pKM173_VP2_F nucleotide sequence, the reverse sequence is indicated as pKM173_VP2_R nucleotide sequence and the internal sequence is indicated as pKM173_VP2_Int nucleotide sequence. The restriction enzymes used for the cloning of the ORF of genome segment 2 are indicated in grey. The start codon of the ORF of genome segment 2 is indicated in green and the stop codon is in red.

Both pKM173_VP2 colonies gave the expected result, however, only the sequence results of pKM173_VP2 colony 2 is shown in Figure 3.13. Figure 3.13 provides information that the open reading frame of genome segment 2 was correctly cloned into pKM173 and that no mutations had occurred. The primers designed for sequencing made it possible to see the restriction enzymes used for cloning as well as the start and stop codon of the ORF of genome segment 2. However, a part of the ORF of genome segment 2 (from 1505bp to 206bp) could not be sequenced with the reverse and forward primer designed for sequencing. Therefore, an internal primer set was designed to sequence this part of the ORF of genome segment 2. Figure 3.13 also shows the sequencing results of the internal primer, indicating that the entire ORF of genome segment 2 was correctly cloned into pKM173. All further experiments were performed using pKM173_VP2 colony 2.

### 3.3.2 Cloning of the ORF of genome segment 6 (VP6) into pKM177

The wild type ORF of genome segment 6 was purchased from GenScript which provided the gene in the plasmid pUC57.

In order to clone the ORF of genome segment 6 (VP6), into the pKM177 vector (Figure 3.1), it was PCR amplified from pUC57 with primers that were designed to contain the restriction enzyme sites, Xhol and Eco47III to facilitate directional cloning. The ORF of genome segment 6 did not contain these two restriction enzyme sites and these enzyme sites are contained in the multiple cloning site of the cloning vector pKM177. The primers were designed using the wild type sequence of the ORF of genome segment 6 (VP6) (Figure 3.14).

|  | Restriction enzyme: Xho |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Forward primer | - - CAACCTC | GAGATGGATG | TCCTGTAC-3' |

```
    ACTATTCACC ATCTAGAGAG GATAACTTGC AGCGTGTATT TACAGTGGCT TCCATTAGAA
```

    ACTATTCACC ATCTAGAGAG GATAACTTGC AGCGTGTATT TACAGTGGCT TCCATTAGAA
    TGATAAGTGG TAGATCTCTC CTATTGAACG TCGCACATAA ATGTCACCGA AGGTAATCTT
    TGATAAGTGG TAGATCTCTC CTATTGAACG TCGCACATAA ATGTCACCGA AGGTAATCTT
                                    Reverse primer 3'-T
                                    Reverse primer 3'-T
    GCATGCTTGT CAAATAAGGA CCAAGCTAAC CACTTGGTAT CCAACTTTGA TGAGTATGTA
    GCATGCTTGT CAAATAAGGA CCAAGCTAAC CACTTGGTAT CCAACTTTGA TGAGTATGTA
    CGTACGAACA GTTTATTCCT GGTTCGATTG GTGAACCATA GGTTGAAACT ACTCATACAT
    CGTACGAACA GTTTATTCCT GGTTCGATTG GTGAACCATA GGTTGAAACT ACTCATACAT
    CGTACGAACA GTTTATTTCGCGACCTG- '5
    CGTACGAACA GTTTATTTCGCGACCTG- '5
    Restriction enzyme Eco47III
Restriction enzyme Eco47III
GCTACGTCAA GCTGTTTGAA CTCTGTAAGT AAGGATGCGC TTACGTATTC GCTACACAGA
GCTACGTCAA GCTGTTTGAA CTCTGTAAGT AAGGATGCGC TTACGTATTC GCTACACAGA
CGATGCAGTT CGACAAACTT GAGACATTCA TTCCTACGCG AATGCATAAG CGATGTGTCT
CGATGCAGTT CGACAAACTT GAGACATTCA TTCCTACGCG AATGCATAAG CGATGTGTCT
GTAATCACTC AGATGACGTA GTGAGAGGAT GTGACC
GTAATCACTC AGATGACGTA GTGAGAGGAT GTGACC
CATTAGTGAG TCTACTGCAT CACTCTCCTA CACTGG

```
    CATTAGTGAG TCTACTGCAT CACTCTCCTA CACTGG
```

Figure 3.14: Sequence of wild type ORF of genome segment 6 (GR10924 G9P[6]) indicating the primers used for amplification. The forward and reverse primers are indicated in purple and the restriction enzymes sites in black. The start codon is indicated in green and the stop codon in red.

In order to obtain the ORF of genome segment 6 amplicon, PCR amplification was done as described in section 2.2.3.2 (Chapter 2). The annealing temperature was $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of the PCR amplification reaction was analysed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel, as shown in Figure 3.15.


Figure 3.15: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of the PCR amplification of the ORF of genome segment 6 (VP6) from pUC57. Lane:

1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $5 \mu$ of each $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ PCR reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2-7) the ORF of genome segment 6 amplification reactions (done in duplicate); 8) negative control.

The PCR amplification was successful as seen in Figure 3.15. Lanes 2 to 7 show a 1270bp amplicon as expected (the same reaction done in duplicate). The lack of a band in lane 8, which serves as the negative control, indicates that no contamination took place. The six PCR amplified ORF of genome segment 6 reactions were pooled (three reactions were pooled together) and purified by removing oligonucleotides and other contaminations by means of PCR clean-up to ensure good quality DNA for cloning. This yielded about 120 $\mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ product of the ORF of genome segment 6 (VP6), which was stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, for cloning. A $5 \mu$ l sample of the purified ORF of genome segment 6 was analysed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel, as shown in Figure 3.16.


Figure 3.16: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of the PCR clean-up of the amplified ORF of genome segment 6. Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ reaction of each $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ gel extracted reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2-3) pool of amplification reactions of the ORF of genome segment 6.

Double restriction endonuclease digestion was done with Xhol and Eco47III, as described in section 3.2.3.3, for the amplified ORF of genome segment 6 and pKM177. These digestions were analysed by loading a $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of each reaction on a $1 \%$ agarose gel, as shown in Figure 3.17. The expected results for the restriction digestion were two bands (7489bp and 77 bp ) for pKM177 and one band (1190bp) for the ORF of genome segment 6, since the enzymes cuts both (pKM177 and ORF of genome segment 6) at only one site.


Figure 3.17: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of Xhol and Eco47III restriction enzyme analysis of pKM177 and the ORF of genome segment
6. Lane: 1) 10000 bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Xhol and Eco47III digestion reactions was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) ORF of genome segment 6; 3) pKM177 vector.

Figure 3.17 provides information that the expected result of a band at 7489bp for the restriction digestion of pKM177 was obtained (lane 3) but the 77bp band could not be observed on the agarose gel due to the small size of the band. The expected result of a band at 1190bp was also obtained for the ORF of genome segment 6, after restriction digestion (Figure 3.17, lane 2). The remaining samples of the double restriction digestions were analysed with a $0.8 \%$ agarose gel and purified by means of a gel extraction as described in section 2.2.3.7 (Chapter 2). The double restriction enzyme reaction yielded about $35 \mu$ l purified product of both the ORF of genome segment 6 and pKM177 (118.2 ng/ $\mu \mathrm{l}$ ORF of genome segment 6 and $42.2 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ pKM177). Ligation of the ORF of genome segment 6 and pKM177 was done as described in section 2.2.3.8 (Chapter 2). The ligation background control contained no DNA insert. Transformation of competent JM109 cells, using the above mentioned ligation reaction mixture, was done as described in section 2.2.3.10 (Chapter 2). Twenty colonies of possible pKM177_VP6 plasmids were chosen to perform colony screening on, by means of PCR, as described in section 3.2.3.5. A colony
was considered positive if the PCR colony screening gave an amplicon of 1190bp when analysed on a 1\% agarose gel (Figure 3.18).


Figure 3.18: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR colony screening of the ORF of genome segment 6 (VP6) for possible pKM177_VP6. Lanes 1 and 13) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of each $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ pKM177_VP6 colony screening reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) Colony 1 ; 3) Colony 2; 4) Colony 3; 5) Colony 4; 6) Colony 5; 7) Colony 6; 8) Colony 7; 9) Colony 8; 10) Colony 9; 11) Colony 10; 12) Colony 11 ; 14) Colony 12; 15) Colony 13; 16) Colony 14 ; 17) Colony 15 ; 18) Colony 16 ; 19) Colony 17 ; 20) Colony 18; 21) Colony 19; 22) Colony 20; 23) No template control.

Colonies 1-20 (Lanes 2-12 and 14-22) gave the expected result of a band at 1190bp. Lane 23 was the negative control containing no DNA template. Mini-preparations of plasmid DNA and restriction enzyme digestions were done on overnight LB medium of all 20 colonies, to
see if the ORF of genome segment 6 was cloned into the pKM177 vector. The plasmid map that would result, when the ORF of genome segment 6 is cloned into pKM177, is illustrated in Figure 3.19.


Figure 3.19: Plasmid map that would result when the ORF of genome segment 6 is cloned into the pKM177 vector. The Xhol and Eco47III recognition sites indicate the enzymes used to clone the ORF of genome segment 6 (indicated in purple) into the pKM177 vector. The Yarrowia lipolytica translation elongation factor (yITEF) promoter is indicated in light grey, and the kanamycin resistance gene in dark grey. The Hygromycin B resistance gene (hph) is the antibiotic used during yeast transformation and the KmiNut is the Kluyvermomyces marxianus inulinase target.

Each sample was subjected to a double restriction endonuclease digestion with the restriction enzymes, Xhol and EcoR47III, since these were the enzymes used for cloning. A $10 \mu$ l sample of each $25 \mu$ l double restriction digestion was analysed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel as shown in Figure 3.20. A colony was considered positive if two fragments (7489bp and 1190bp) were visible after the double restriction digestion reaction.


Figure 3.20: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of Xhol and Eco47III restriction enzyme digestion of possible pKM177_VP6 plasmids. (A) Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{I}$ Xhol and Eco47III pKM177_VP6 digestion reactions were loaded as follows; lanes: 2) Colony 1; 3) Colony 2; 4) Colony 3; 5) Colony 4; 6) Colony 5; 7) Colony 6; 8) Colony 7; 9) Colony 8 ; 10) Colony 9; 11) Colony 10; 12) Colony 11; 13) Colony 12; 14) Colony 13; 15) Colony 14; 16) Colony 15; 17) Colony 16; 18) Colony 17; 19) Colony 18; 20) Colony 19. (B) Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Xhol and Eco47III pKM177_VP6 digestion reactions were loaded as follows; lanes: 2) Colony 20; 3) Negative control, digested pKM177.

Colonies 1-20 in Figure 3.20A and lane 2 in Figure 3.20B gave the expected result namely two bands one at 7489bp and the other at 1190bp. Lane 3 (Figure 3.20B) served as the negative control namely digested pKM177 vector containing no insert. A midi-preparation of plasmid DNA was done for two colonies (colony 1 - lane 2 and colony 2 - lane 3) as described in section 3.2.3.1. A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of each $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ plasmid preparation was analysed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel, as shown in Figure 3.21.


Figure 3.21: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of plasmid preparation of pKM177_VP6 colonies. Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ plasmid extraction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) pKM177_VP6 colony 1; 3) pKM177_VP6 colony 2.

The results in Figure 3.21 showed that plasmid DNA was obtained for both pKM177_VP6 colonies. However, to make sure that the ORF of genome segment 6 was cloned into pKM177 successfully, both colonies (colonies 1 and 2) were subjected to a restriction digestion, with Xbal. A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of each $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ restriction digestion was analysed on a 1\% agarose gel (Figure 3.22). Two fragments (7450bp and 1241bp) were expected for pKM177_VP6 after restriction digestion reaction.


Figure 3.22: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of Xbal restriction enzyme analysis of pKM177_VP6 plasmids, from the midi-plasmid preparation. Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A 10 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Xbal digestion reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) pKM173_VP6 colony 1; 3) pKM173_VP6 colony 2

Figure 3.22 shows that the expected results were obtained for both pKM177_VP6 colonies namely two fragments, one at 7450bp and the other at 1241bp. This indicates that the ORF of genome segment 6 was cloned into pKM177. These results were encouraging but sequencing was still necessary to ensure that the plasmid contained the coding region of interest. The midi-plasmid preparation of both pKM177_VP6 colonies were sent for sequencing, to ensure that the ORF of genome segment 6 was cloned into pKM177 and that no mutations occurred.

Primers designed for the sequencing of pKM177 (Table 3.4) were used, as described in section 2.2.3.12 (Chapter 2). Both pKM177_VP6 colonies were sent for sequencing, however, only the sequence alignment of pKM177_VP6 colony 1 is shown in Figure 3.23.
pKM177_VP6 AAAATTTTTTTGCTTTGTGGTTGGGACTTTAGCCAAGGGT ..... 320

pKM177_VP6_F
PKM17——P_E ..... 0
pKM177_VP6_RpKM177_VP6 ATAAAAGACCACCGTCCCCGAATTACCTTTCCTCTTCTTT360
pKM177_VP6_F ................... . GAATTACCTTTCCTCTTCTTT ..... 21
pKM177_VP6_R 
pKM177_VP6 TCTCTCTCTCCTTGTCAACTCACACCCGAAATGCTCGAGA ..... 400
pKM177_VP6_F TCTCTCTCTCCTTGTCAACTCACACCCGAAATGCTCGAGA ..... 61
pKM177_VP6_R ..... 0
pKM177_VP6 TGGATGTCCTGTACTCCTTATCAAAAACTCTTAAAGATGC ..... 440
pKM177_VP6_F TGGATGTCCTGTACTCCTTATCAAAAACTCTTAAAGATGC ..... 101
pKM177_VP6_R -••••••••••••••••••••••••pKM177_VP6 TAGAGACAAAATTGTCGAAGGCACATTATACTCTAATGTG480
pKM177_VP6_F TAGAGACAAAATTGTCGAAGGCACATTATACTCTAATGTG ..... 141
pKM177_VP6_R
pKM177_VP6 AGTGATCTAATTCAACAATTTAACCAAATGATAATTACTA ..... 520
pKM177_VP6_F AGTGATCTAATTCAACAATTTAACCAAATGATAATTACTA ..... 181
pKM177_VP6_R
pKM177_VP6 TGAATGGAAATGAGTTCCAAACTGGAGGAATTGGTAATCT ..... 560
pKM177_VP6_F TGAATGGAAATGAGTTCCAAACTGGAGGAATTGGTAATCT ..... 221
pKM177_VP6_RpKM177_VP6 ACCAATTAGAAATTGGAATTTTGATTTTGGATTACTTGGA600
pKM177_VP6_F ACCAATTAGAAATTGGAATTTTGATTTTGGATTACTTGGA ..... 261
pKM177_VP6_R ..... 0
pKM177_VP6 ACAACTCTACTAAATTTAGACGCTAACTACGTCGAAACAG ..... 640
pKM177_VP6_F ACAACTCTACTAAATTTAGACGCTAACTACGTCGAAACAG ..... 301
pKM177_VP6_R AACAG ..... 5
pKM177_VP6 CCCGTAACACAATTGATTATTTTGTAGATTTTGTAGATAA ..... 680
pKM177_VP6_F CCCGTAACACAATTGATTATTTTGTAGATTTTGTAGATAA ..... 341
pKM177_VP6_R CCCGTAACACAATTGATTATTTTGTAGATTTTGTAGATAA ..... 45
pKM177_VP6 CGTATGTATGGATGAAATGGTTAGAGAATCACAAAGAAAT ..... 720
pKM177_VP6_F CGTATGTATGGATGAAATGGTTAGAGAATCACAAAGAAAT ..... 381
pKM177_VP6_R CGTATGTATGGATGAAATGGTTAGAGAATCACAAAGAAAT ..... 85
pKM177_VP6 GGAATTGCACCACAATCAGACTCACTTAGAAAATTGTCAG ..... 760
pKM177_VP6_F GGAATTGCACCACAATCAGACTCACTTAGAAAATTGTCAG ..... 421
pKM177_VP6_R GGAATTGCACCACAATCAGACTCACTTAGAAAATTGTCAG ..... 125
pKM177_VP6 GCATTAAGTTCAAAAGGATAAATTTTGATAATTCATCGGA ..... 800
pKM177_VP6_F GCATTAAGTTCAAAAGGATAAATTTTGATAATTCATCGGA ..... 461
oKM177_VP6_R GCATTAAGTTCAAAAGGATAAATTTTGATAATTCATCGGA ..... 165
pKM177_VP6 ATATATAGAGAACTGGAATCTACAAAACAGAAGACAACGA ..... 840
pKM177_VP6_F ATATATAGAGAACTGGAATCTACAAAACAGAAGACAACGA ..... 501
pKM177_VP6_R ATATATAGAGAACTGGAATCTACAAAACAGAAGACAACGA ..... 205
pKM177_VP6 ACAGGTTTTACATTTCATAAACCAAATATTTTCCCTTATT ..... 880
pKM177_VP6_F ACAGGTTTTACATTTCATAAACCAAATATTTTCCCTTATT ..... 541245
pKM177_VP6 CAGCGTCATTCACACTGAATAGATCACAACCAGCTCATGA ..... 920
pKM177_VP6_F CAGCGTCATTCACACTGAATAGATCACAACCAGCTCATGA ..... 581285
pKM177_VP6 TAACTTGATGGGTACAATGTGGCTGAACGCAGGATCAGAA ..... 960
pKM177_VP6_F TAACTTGATGGGTACAATGTGGCTGAACGCAGGATCAGAA ..... 621
pKM177_VP6_R TAACTTGATGGGTACAATGTGGCTGAACGCAGGATCAGAA ..... 325
pKM177_VP6 ATTCAGGTCGCTGGATTCGACTATTCGTGTGCAATTAATG ..... 1000pKM177_VP6_F ATTCAGGTCGCTGGATTCGACTATTCGTGTGCAATTAATG661
oKM177_VP6_R ATTCAGGTCGCTGGATTCGACTATTCGTGTGCAATTAATG ..... 365
pKM177_VP6 CGCCAGCTAATACACAACAATTTGAACATATTGTACAGCT ..... 1040pKM177_VP6_F CGCCAGCTAATACACAACAATTTGAACATATTGTACAGCT701
pKM177_VP6_R CGCCAGCTAATACACAACAATTTGAACATATTGTACAGCT ..... 405
pKM177_VP6 CCGAAGAGTTTTAACTACAGCTACAATAACACTTTTACCG ..... 1080
pKM177_VP6_F CCGAAGAGTTTTAACTACAGCTACAATAACACTTTTACCG ..... 741
445
pKM177_VP6_R CCGAAGAGTTTTAACTACAGCTACAATAACACTTTTACCG
pKM177_VP6 GATGCAGAAAGATTCAGTTTTCCAAGAGTGATTAATTCAG ..... 1120
pKM177_VP6_F GATGCAGAAAGATTCAGTTTTCCAAGAGTGATTAATTCAG ..... 781
pKM177_VP6_R GATGCAGAAAGATTCAGTTTTCCAAGAGTGATTAATTCAG ..... 485
pKM177_VP6 CTGACGGAGCAACTACATGGTATTTTAATCCAGTAATTCT ..... 1160pKM177_VP6_F CTGACGGAGCAACTACATGGTATTTTAATCCAGTAATTCT821
pKM177_VP6_R CTGACGGAGCAACTACATGGTATTTTAATCCAGTAATTCT ..... 525
pKM177_VP6 TAGACCAAATAACGTTGAAGTGGAGTTTCTACTAAACGGG ..... 1200pKM177_VP6_F TAGACCAAATAACGTTGAAGTGGAGTTTCTACTAAACGGG861
pKM177_VP6_R TAGACCAAATAACGTTGAAGTGGAGTTTCTACTAAACGGG ..... 565
pKM177_VP6 CAGATAATAAACACTTACCAGGCTAGATTTGGAACGATCG ..... 1240
pKM177_VP6_F CAGATAATAAACACTTACCAGGCTAGATTTGGAACGATCG ..... 901
pKM177_VP6_R CAGATAATAAACACTTACCAGGCTAGATTTGGAACGATCG ..... 605
pKM177_VP6 TAGCTAGAAATTTTGATACAATCAGATTGTCGTTTCAGTT ..... 1280
pKM177_VP6_F TAGCTAGAAATTTTGATACAATCAGATTGTCGTTTCAGTT ..... 941
pKM177_VP6_R TAGCTAGAAATTTTGATACAATCAGATTGTCGTTTCAGTT ..... 645
pKM177_VP6 GATGAGACCACCAAATATGACACCATCGGTAGCAGCATTA ..... 1320
pKM177_VP6_F GATGAGACCACCAAATATGACACCATCGGTAGCAGCATTA ..... 981
pKM177_VP6_R GATGAGACCACCAAATATGACACCATCGGTAGCAGCATTA ..... 685pKM177_VP6 TTTCCAAATGCGCAACCATTTGAACATCATGCTACAGTAG1360
pKM177_VP6_F TTTCCAAATGCGCAACCATTTGAACATCATGCTACAGTAG ..... 1021
pKM177_VP6_R TTTCCAAATGCGCAACCATTTGAACATCATGCTACAGTAG ..... 725
pKM177_VP6 GACTTACATTGAAAATTGAATCTGCAGTTTGTGAATCTGT ..... 1400
pKM177_VP6_F GACTTACATTGAAAATTGAATCTGCAGTTTGTGAATCTGT ..... 1061
pKM177_VP6_R GACTTACATTGAAAATTGAATCTGCAGTTTGTGAATCTGT ..... 765
pKM177_VP6 ACTTGCTGACGCAAGCGAGACAATGCTAGCAAATGTGACA ..... 1440
pKM177_VP6_F ACTTGCTGACGCAAGCGAGACAATGCTAGCAAATGTGACA ..... 1101
pKM177_VP6_R ACTTGCTGACGCAAGCGAGACAATGCTAGCAAATGTGACA ..... 805
pKM177_VP6 TCTGTTAGACAAGAATACGCGATACCAGTTGGACCAGTCT ..... 1480
pKM177_VP6_F TCTGTTAGACAAGAATACGCGATACCAGTTGGACCAGTCT ..... 1141
pKM177_VP6_R TCTGTTAGACAAGAATACGCGATACCAGTTGGACCAGTCT ..... 845
pKM177_VP6 TTCCACCAGGTATGAATTGGACTGATTTGATCACTAACTA ..... 1520
pKM177_VP6_F TTCCACCAGGTATGAATTGGACTGATTTGATCACTAACTA ..... 1181
pKM177_VP6_R TTCCACCAGGTATGAATTGGACTGATTTGATCACTAACTA ..... 885
pKM177_VP6 TTCACCATCTAGAGAGGATAACTTGCAGCGTGTATTTACA 1560
pKM177_VP6_F TTCACCATCTAGAGAGGATAACTTGCAGCGT ..... 1212
pKM177_VP6_R TTCACCATCTAGAGAGGATAACTTGCAGCGTGTATTTACA ..... 925
pKM177_VP6 GTGGCTTCCATTAGAAGCATGCTTGTCAAATAAAGCGCTA ..... 1600
pKM177_VP6_F ..... 1212
pKM177_VP6_R GTGGCTTCCATTAGAAGCATGCTTGTCAAATAAAGCGCTA ..... 965
pKM177_VP6 TTAATCCTAGGTGATCTGATCTGCTTACTTTACTTAACGA ..... 1640
pKM177_VP6_F ..... 1212
pKM177_VP6_R TTAATCCTAGGTGATCTGATCTGCTTACTTTACTTAACGA ..... 1005
pKM177_VP6 CCAAAGAAAAACGACAAAAAAAAAATATTACTACTATTAA 1680
pKM177_VP6_F ..... 1212
pKM177_VP6_R CCAAAGAAAAACGACAAAAAAAAAATATTACTACTATTAA ..... 1045
pKM177_VP6 AATAAATTAGTATTTTTCTCTTCTTACGATATGATATGAT ..... 1720
pKM177_VP6_F ..... 1212
pKM177_VP6_R AATAAATTAGTATTTTTCTCTTCTTACGATATGATATGAT ..... 1085

```
pKM177_VP6 GCTATGAAATCATCATCTTCTTAACTTTCTTGTCTCTTAC 1760
pKM177_VP6_F ......................................... }121
pKM177_VP6_R GCTATGAAATCATCATCTTCTTAACTTTCTTGTCTCTTAC 1125
pKM177_VP6 ACGTCACTTACTCTATATACCCGTTTATATAAGTGTACGT 1800
pKM177_VP6_F . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . }121
pKM177_VP6_R ACGTCACTTACTCTATATACCCGTTTATATAAGTGTACGT 1165
pKM177_VP6 ATTTTCTTTTTTTTAAAAAATTTCTATTCTATCCTTAGAA 1840
pKM177_VP6_F . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . }121
pKM177_VP6_R ATTTTCTTTTTTTTAAAAAATTTCTATTCTATCCTTAGAA 1205
```

Figure 3.23: Nucleotide sequence alignments of the recombinant pKM177 vector containing the ORF of genome segment 6 (VP6) using the in silico clone of pKM177_VP6. The in silico clone of pKM177_VP6 is indicated as pKM177_VP6, the forward sequence is indicated as pKM177_VP6_F nucleotide sequence and the reverse sequence is indicated as pKM177_VP6_R nucleotide sequence. The restriction enzymes used for the amplification of the ORF of genome segment 6 are indicated in grey. The start codon of the ORF of genome segment 6 is indicated in green and the stop codon is in red.

Both pKM177_VP6 colonies (colony 1 and colony 2) gave the expected results, however, only pKM177_VP6 colony 1 sequence results are shown. It is clear from Figure 3.23 that the open reading frame of genome segment 6 was cloned into pKM177. The primers designed for pKM177 made it possible to see the restriction enzymes used for cloning as well as the start and stop codon of the ORF of genome segment 6. All further experiments were performed using pKM177_VP6 colony 1.

### 3.3.3 Cloning of cassette, containing the ORF of genome segment 6 (VP6), into pKM173_VP2

In order to obtain a plasmid containing both the ORF of genome segment 2 and genome segment 6 the pKM177_VP6 and pKM173_VP2 plasmids had to be digested with the restriction enzyme I-Scel (see Figure 3.2B - illustration of cloning strategy). Restriction enzyme digestion was done, as described in section 3.2.3.3, for both pKM177_VP6 and pKM173_VP2. The digestions were done to clone the cassette containing: the ORF of genome segment 6, the Yarrow lipolytica translation elongation factor promoter (yITEF) and the Kluveromyces marxianus inulinase target (KmINut) into the expression vector pKM173_VP2. These digestions were analysed by loading a $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of each reaction on a $1 \%$ agarose gel to evaluate if the digestions had worked (results not shown). The expected result for pKM173_VP2 was a linearized vector (10 103bp) and two bands (6493bp and 2263bp) for pKM177_VP6 since the plasmid contains two I-Scel restriction sites.

The expected results for the restriction digestion were obtained for both pKM177_VP6 and pKM173_VP2 (results not shown). The remaining sample of the restriction digestions were run on a $0.8 \% \mathrm{gel}$ and purified by means of a gel extraction as described in section 2.2.3.7 (Chapter 2). This yielded about $35 \mu \mathrm{l}$ gel purified product of both the cassette containing the ORF of genome segment 6 and pKM173_VP2 ( $96 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the cassette containing the ORF of genome segment 6 and $67 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{\mu l}$ pKM173_VP2). Before the ligation reaction could be performed, pKM173_VP2 had to be dephosphorylated as described in section 3.2.3.4. This was done in order for pKM173_VP2 not to ligate to itself when performing the ligation reaction. The ligation background control contained no DNA insert. Transformation of competent JM109 cells, using the above mentioned ligation reaction mixture, was done as described in section 2.2.3.10 (Chapter 2). More than 100 colonies were obtained. One hundred and fifty colonies of possible pKM173_VP2/6 plasmids were chosen for screening by means of PCR colony screening, as described in section 3.2.3.5. Two colonies were pooled into one PCR reaction. A colony was considered positive if the PCR reaction gave one band at 1190bp (since the ORF of genome segment 6 PCR amplification primers were used) when analysed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel. The results of the PCR colony screening are not shown due to the bad quality of the agarose gels.

Colonies 1-2, 7-8, 15-16, 19-20, 35-36 and 40-41 gave the expected results of a band at 1190bp. Mini-preparations of plasmid DNA and restriction enzyme digestions were done on overnight LB medium cultures, of the colonies that gave the expected result with the PCR colony screening, to see if the cassette containing the ORF of genome segment 6 was cloned into pKM173_VP2. The plasmid map that would result, when the cassette containing the ORF of genome segment 6 was cloned into pKM173_VP2, is shown in Figure 3.24.


Figure 3.24: Plasmid map that would result when the cassette containing the ORF of genome segment 6 is cloned into pKM173_VP2. The I-Scel recognition sites, used to clone the cassette containing the ORF of genome segment 6 into pKM173_VP2, are indicated. The Yarrowia lipolytica translation elongation factor (yITEF) promoters are indicated in light grey, and the kanamycin resistance gene in dark grey. The hygromycin B resistance gene (hph) is the antibiotic used during yeast transformation and the KmINut is the Kluyvermomyces marxianus inulinase target sites.

Each sample was subjected to a restriction endonuclease digestion with the restriction enzyme Xhol. A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of each $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ double restriction digestion was analysed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel as shown in Figure 3.25. A colony was considered positive if two fragments were visible, namely 2101bp and 10 103bp, after the digestion reaction.


Figure 3.25: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of Xhol restriction enzyme digestion of possible pKM177_VP2/6 plasmids. Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ pKM177_VP2/6 Xhol digestion reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2)
Colony 1; 3) Colony 2; 4) Colony 7; 5) Colony 8; 6) Colony 15; 7) Colony 16; 8) Colony 19; 9) Colony 20; 10) Colony 35; 11) Colony 36; 12) Colony 40; 13) Colony 41.

Colonies 1, 2 and 41 (lanes 2, 3 and 13) gave the expected result namely two band one at 2101 bp and the other at 10 103bp. Only two colonies (colony 1 and colony 41) were used for further analysis. A midi-preparation of plasmid DNA was done for both as described in section 3.2.3.1 (results not shown).

The midi-preparation showed that plasmid DNA was obtained for both pKM173_VP2/6 colonies (results not shown). However, to confirm that the cassette containing the ORF of genome segment 6 was cloned into pKM173_VP2, the two pKM173_VP2/6 colonies (colonies 1 and 41) were subjected to a restriction digestion with Notl. A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of each $25 \mu$ l double restriction digestion was analysed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel, as shown in Figure 3.26. Two fragments (2210bp and 9994bp) were expected after the double restriction digestion.


Figure 3.26: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of Notl restriction enzyme digest of pKM173_VP2/6 plasmids. Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Notl digestion reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) pKM173_VP2/6 colony 1; 3) pKM173_VP2/6 colony 41.

The expected results were obtained for both colonies, as shown in Figure 3.26, namely two fragments, one at 2210bp and the other at 9994bp. Although these results were encouraging for both pKM173_VP2/6 constructs, sequencing was still necessary to ensure that the pKM173_VP2 plasmid contained the coding region of both genome segments. The midi-plasmid preparation of only pKM173_VP2/6 colony 1 was sent for sequencing, to ensure that the cassette containing the ORF of genome segment 6 was cloned into pKM173_VP2 and that no mutations occurred. Primers were designed, for pKM173_VP2/6 (Table 3.4 and indicated in Figure 3.27) sequencing in order to determine if the ORF of genome segment 6 was cloned into pKM173_VP2. Sequencing was done as described in section 3.2.3.7.

| 1 | CTAGGGATAA | CAGGGTAATG GTACCAGAGA | CCGGGTTGGC | GGCGTATtTG | TGTCCCAAAA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GATCCCTATT | GTCCCATTAC CATGGTCTCT | GGCCCAACCG | CCGCATAAAC | ACAGGGTTTT |
| 61 | AACAGCCCCA | ATTGCCCCAA TTGACCCCAA | ATTGACCCAG | TAGCGGGCCC | AACCCCGGCG |
|  | TTGTCGGGGT | TAACGGGGTT AACTGGGGTT | TAACTGGGTC | ATCGCCCGGG | TTGGGGCCGC |
| 121 | AGAGCCCCCT | TCACCCCACA TATCAAACCT | CCCCCGGTTC | CCACACTTGC | CGTTAAGGGC |
|  | TCTCGGGGGA | AGTGGGGTGT ATAGTTTGGA | GGGGGCCAAG | GGTGTGAACG | GCAATTCCCG |
| 181 | GTAGGGTACT | GCAGTCTGGA ATCTACGCTT | GTTCAGACTT | TGTACTAGTT | TCTITGTCTG |
|  | CATCCCATGA | CGTCAGACCT TAGATGCGAA | CAAGTCTGAA | ACATGATCAA | AGAAACAGAC |
| 241 | GCCATCCGGG | TAACCCATGC CGGACGCAAA | AtAGACTACT | GAAAATTTTT | tigctitglg |
|  | CGGTAGGCCC | Attg $k t A C G ~ G C C T G C G T T T ~$ | TATCTGATGA | CTTTTAAAAA | AACGAAACAC |
| 301 | GTtGGGACtT | TAGCCAAGGG TATAAAAGAC | CACCGTCCCC | GAATTACCTT | tcctcticti |
|  | CAACCCTGAA | AtCGGTtCCC ATATtTTCTG | GTGGCAGGGG | CTTAATGGAA | AGGAGAAGAA |
| 361 | TTCTCTCTCT | CCTTGTCAAC TCACACCCGA | AATGCTCGAG | ATGGATGTCC | TGTACTCCTT |
|  | AAGAGAGAGA | GGAACAGTTG AGTGTGGGCT | TTACGAGCTC | TACCTACAGG | ACATGAGGAA |
| 421 | ATCAAAAACT | CTTAAAGATG CTAGAGACAA | AAttgicgat | GGCACATTAT | Actctantg |
|  | TAGTITTTGA | GAATtICTAC GATCTCtGtt | TTAACAGCTT | CCGTGTAATA | TGAGATTACA |
| 481 | GAGTGATCTA | ATTCAACAAT TTAACCAAAT | GATAATTACT | ATGAATGGAA | ATGAGTTCCA |
|  | CTCACTAGAT | TAAGTTGTTA AATTGGTTTA | CTATTAATGA | TACTTACCTT | tactcangat |
| 541 | AACTGGAGGA | Attg | AAATTGGAAT | TTTGATtITG | GAttActigg |
|  | TTGACCTCCT | TAACCATTAG ATGGTTAATC | TTTAACCTTA | AAACTAAAAC | CTAATGAACC |
| 601 | AACAACTCTA | CTAAATTTAG ACGCTAACTA | CGTCGAAACA | GCCCGTAACA | CAATtGAtta |
|  | TTGTTGAGAT | GATITAAATC TGCGATTGAT | GCAGCTITGT | CGGGCATTGT | GTTAACTAAT |
| 661 | TTTTGTAGAT | tttgiagata Acgiatgiat | GGATGAAATG | GTTAGAGAAT | CACAAAGAAA |
|  | AAAACATCTA | AAACATCTAT TGCATACATA | CCTACTITAC | CAATCTCTTA | GTGTTICTTT |
| Reverse primer 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 721 | TGGAATTGCA | CCACAATCAG ACTCACTTAG | AAAATTGTCA | GGCATTAAGT | TCAAAAGGAT |
|  | ACCTTAACGT | GGTGTTAGTC TGAGTGAATC | TTTTAACAGT | CCGTAATTCA | AGTTITCCTA |
| 781 | AAAttitgat | AAttcatcg antatataga | GAACTGGAAT | CTACAAAACA | GAAGACAACG |
|  | TTTAAAACTA | TTAAGTAGCC TTATATATCT | CTTGACCTTA | GATGITTTGT | Cttctatigc |
| 841 | AACAGGTtTT | ACATtTCATA AACCAAATAT | tticcctiat | TCAGCGTCAT | TCACACTGAA |
|  | TTGTCCAAAA | TGTAAAGTAT TTGGTTTATA | AAAGGGAATA | AGTCGCAGTA | AGTGTGACTT |
| 901 | TAGATCACAA | CCAGCTCATG ATAACTIGAT | GgGTACAATG | TGGCTGAACG | CAGGATCAGA |
|  | ATCTAGTGTT | GGTCGAGTAC TATTGAACTA | CCCATGITAC | ACCGACTTGC | GTCCTAGTCT |
| 961 | AATTCAGGTC | Gctgatitcg Actattcgig | TGCAATTAAT | GCGCCAGCTA | ATACACAACA |
|  | TTAAGTCCAG | CGACCTAAGC TGATAAGCAC | ACGTTAATTA | CGCGGTCGAT | TATGTGTTGT |
| 1021 | AttTGAACAT | Attgiacagc tccalagag | TTTAACTACA | GCTACAATAA | CACtittacc |
|  | TAAACTTGTA | TAACATGTCG AGGCTICTCA | AAATTGATGT | CGATGTTATT | GTGAAAATGG |
| 1081 | GGATGCAGAA | AgAtTCAGTT TTCCAAGAGT | GATTAATTCA | GCTGACGGAG | CAACTACATG |
|  | CCTACGTCTT | TCTAAGTCAA AAGGTTCTCA | CTAATTAAGT | CGACTGCCTC | GTIGATGTAC |

## Forward primer 1

| 1141 | GTAttitaAt | CCAGTAATTC | TTAGACCAAA | TAACGTTGAA | GTGGAGTTTC | TAAACGG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CATAAAATTA | GGTCATTAAG | AATCTGGTtT | Attgcancti | CACCTCAAAG | AtGAttitcc |
| 1201 | GCAGATAATA | AACACTTACC | AGGCTAGATT | TGGAACGATC | Gtagctagat | Attitgatac |
|  | CGICTATTAT | TTGTGAATGG | TCCGATCTAA | Acctigctag | CATCGATCTT | TAAAACTATG |
| Reverse primer 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1261 | AATCAGATTG | TCGITTCAGT | TGATGAGACC | ACCAAATATG | ACACCATCGG | TAGCAGCATT |
|  | TTAGTCTAAC | AGCAAAGTCA | ACTACTCTGG | TGGTtTATAC | TGTGGTAGCC | ATCGTCGTAA |
| 1321 | ATITCCAAAT | GCGCAACCAT | ttgancatca | TGCTACAGTA | GGACTIACAT | TGAAAATTGA |
|  | TAAAGGTTTA | CGCGTTGGTA | AACTIGTAGT | ACGATGTCAT | CCTGAATGTA | ACTITTAACI |
| 1381 | AtCTGCAGTT | TGTGAATCTG | TACTIGCTGA | CGCAAGCGAG | ACAATGCTAG | CAAATGTGAC |
|  | TAGACGTCAA | ACACTTAGAC | ATGAACGACT | GCGTTCGCTC | TGTTACGATC | GTITACACTG |
| 1441 | ATCTGTTAGA | CAAGAATACG | CGATACCAGT | TGGACCAGTC | TITCCACCAG | GTATGAATTG |
|  | TAGACAATCT | GTTCTTATGC | GCTATGGTCA | Acctgatcag | AAAGGTGGTC | CATACTTAAC |
|  |  |  |  |  | Forward primer |  |
| 1501 | GACTGATTTG | ATCACTAACT | ATTCACCATC | TAGAGAGGAT | AACTTGCAGC | GTGTATTTAC |
|  | CTGACTAAAC | TAGTGATTGA | TAAGTGGTAG | Atctctccta | ttgAACGTCG | CACATAAATG |
| 1561 | AGTGGCTTCC | AtTAGAAGCA | TGCttgicai | ATAAAGCGCT | Attantccta | GGTGATCTGA |
|  | TCACCGAAGG | TAATCTTCGT | ACGAACAGTT | TATTTCGCGA | TAATTAGGAT | CCACTAGACT |
| 1621 | TCTGCTTACT | TTACTTAACG | ACCAAAGAAA | AACGACAAAA | AAAAAATATT | ACTACTATTA |
|  | AGACGAATGA | AATGAATTGC | TGGTtTCtTt | tTGCTGTtTT | tttttiataA | TGATGATAAT |
| 1681 | AAATAAATTA | Gtattitict | Cttcttacga | tatgatatga | TGCtATGAAA | TCATCATCTT |
|  | ttiattiant | CATAAAAAGA | GAAGAATGCT | AtActatact | ACGATACTTT | AgtagtagAA |
| 1741 | CTTAACTITC | tTGTCTCTTA | CACGTCACTT | Actctatata | CCCGTtTATA | TAAGTGTACG |
|  | GAATTGAAAG | AACAGAGAAT | GTGCAGTGAA | TGAGATATAT | GGGCAAATAT | ATTCACATGC |
| 1801 | TAttitctit | TtTtTAAAAA | Attictattc | tatcctiaga | AAAGTGCCCT | TACATCAGTT |
|  | ATAAAAGAAA | AAAAATTTTT | TAAAGATAAG | ATAGGAATCT | TTTCACGGGA | ATGTAGTCAA |
| 1861 | CCAACGCACT | CTAGCTGTTC | TGGCACTGTA | tcticatcat | GTGCCGGTCG | TTITCCACCC |
|  | GGTTGCGTGA | GATCGACAAG | ACCGTGACAT | AGAAGTAGTA | CACGGCCAGC | AAAAGGTGGG |
| 1921 | CAAAAATAAC | titcticcet | titcctitca | Attaitggcc | TGGAATTCCG | AACCCATTTT |
|  | Gtititattg | AAAGAAGGGA | AAAGGAAAGT | TAATTACCGG | ACCTTAAGGC | TTGGGTAAAA |
| 1981 | CGCATCTGAA | ACTAATTCTC | GAAACCTITA | AtATCAAACA | AttGAAAAGA | TCATCATCAC |
|  | GCGTAGACTT | TGATTAAGAG | CTTTGGAAAT | TATAGTTTGT | TAACTITTCT | AGTAGTAGTG |
| 2041 | TAGAAATGAG | AAAAAGATCA | ACAGCACTTA | AtAACAGTAC | GAAAGAAAGA | TCGCTCGGAT |
|  | ATCTTTACTC | TTTTTCTAGT | TGTCGTGAAT | TATTGTCATG | CTTTCTTTCT | AGCGAGCCTA |
| 2101 | CCTAGGGATA | ACAGGGTA GG | GTACCAGAG A | CCGGGttg CG | GGCGTATTT GI | TGTCCCAAA |
|  | GGATCCCTAT | TGTCCCATTA | CCATGGTCTC | TGGCCCAACC | GCCGCATAAA | CACAGGGTTI |
| 2161 | AAACAGCCCC | AATTGCCCCA | ATTGACCCCA | AATTGACCCA | GTAGCGGGCC | CAACCCCGGC |
|  | TTTGTCGGGG | TTAACGGGGT | TAACTGGGGT | TTAACTGGGT | CATCGCCCGG | GTTGGGGCCG |
| 2221 | GAGAGCCCCC | TTCACCCCAC | ATATCAAACC | TCCCCCGGTT | CCCACACTTG | CCGTTAAGGG |
|  | CTCTCGGGGG | AAGTGGGGTG | TATAGTTTGG | AgGgGgccai | GGGTGTGAAC | GGCAATTCCC |


| 2281 | CGTAGGGTAC | TGCAGTCTGG | AATCTACGCT | TGTTCAGACT | tTGTACTAGT | CT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GCATCCCATG | ACGICAGACC | tTAGATGCGA | ACAAGTCTGA | AACATGATCA | AAGAAACAGA |
| 2341 | GGCCATCCGG | GTAACCCATG | CCGGACGCAA | AATAGACTAC | TGAAAATTTT | ttigctitg |
|  | CCGGTAGGCC | CATTGGGTAC | GGCCTGCGTT | TTATCTGATG | ACTTTTAAAA | AAACGAAACA |
| 2401 | GGTTGGGACT | TTAGCCAAGG | GTATAAAAGA | CCACCGTCCC | CGAATTACCT | tICCTCTTCT |
|  | CCAACCCTGA | AATCGGTTCC | CATATTTTCT | GGTGGCAGGG | GCTTAATGGA | AAGGAGAAGA |
| 2461 | tttctctctc | TCCTTGTCAA | CTCACACCCG | AAATGCTCGA | G GCGTAC | AGGAAACGTG |
|  | AAAGAGAGAG | AGGAACAGTT | GAGTGTGGGC | TTTACGAGCT | CTACCGCATG | TCCTTTGCAC |
| 2521 | GAGCGCGCCG | TGAGGCGAAC | TTAAATAATA | ATGACCGAAT | GCAGGAGAAA | AttgAtgAAA |
|  | CTCGCGCGGC | ACTCCGCTIG | AATTTATTAT | TACTGGCTTA | CGTCCTCTTT | TAACTACTIT |
| 2581 | AACAAGATTC | AAATAAAATA | CAATTATCCG | ATAAGGTACT | TTCGAAGAAA | GAAGAAATIG |
|  | TTGTTCTAAG | TITATITTAT | GTTAATAGGC | TATTCCATGA | AAGCTTCTTT | CTICTITAAC |
| 2641 | TAACGGATAG | TCATGAGGAA | GTTAAAGTTA | CTGATGAGTT | AAAAAAATCA | ACGAAAGAAG |
|  | AttGcctatc | AGTACTCCTT | CAATITCAAT | GACTACTCAA | titititagt | TGCTITCTIC |
| 2701 | AATCAAAACA | Attcettgat | GTGTTGAAAA | CAAAGGAAGA | ACATCAGAAA | GAAATACAGT |
|  | TTAGTTTTGT | TAACGAACTT | CACAACTTTT | GITTCCTTCT | TGTAGTCTTT | CTITATGTCA |
| 2761 | ATGAAATATT | ACAGAAAACT | ATACCAACAT | TCGAACCTAA | AGAGACGATA | TIGAGAAAAT |
|  | TACTTTATAA | TGTCTITIGA | TATGGITGTA | AGCtIGGATT | tCTCTGCTAT | AACTCTITIA |
| 2821 | TAGAGGATAT | TCAACCAGAA | CTAGCGAAAA | AACAGACTAA | GITATtiAgA | AtAtitgAAC |
|  | AtCtcctata | AGITGGICTT | GATCGCTTTT | tTGTCTGATT | CAATAAATCT | tatanactig |
| 2881 | CGAAACAATT | ACCGATTTAT | AGAGCAAATG | GAGAGAGAGA | AtTGCGTAAT | AGATGGTATT |
|  | GCTITGTTAA | TGGCTAAATA | TCTCGITTAC | CTCTCTCTCT | TAACGCATTA | TCTACCATAA |
| 2941 | GGAAATTAAA | AAAAGATACA | CTACCAGACG | GAGACTATGA | TGTGAGAGAG | TATITICTGA |
|  | CCTTTAATTT | titictatg | GATGGTCTGC | CTCTGATACT | ACACTCTCTC | ATAAAAGACT |
| 3001 | ATTTGTATGA | TCAAGTGCTI | ACTGAAATGC | CAGACTACTT | AtTATTGAAA | GATATGGCAG |
|  | TAAACATACT | AGTTCACGAA | TGACTITACG | GICTGATGAA | TAATAACTTT | CTATACCGIC |
| 3061 | TAGAAAATAA | GAACTCTAGG | GATGCAGGTA | AAGITGTTGA | CTCAGAAACG | GCTAGTATAT |
|  | Atctittatt | CTIGAGATCC | CTACGICCAT | TTCAACAACT | GAGTCTITGC | CGATCATATA |
| 3121 | GCGATGCCAT | ATITCAAGAT | GAAGAAACGG | AAGGTGCCGT | TAGAAGATTC | ATIGCAGAAA |
|  | CGCTACGGTA | TAAAGITCTA | CtICtitgcc | TICCACGGCA | ATCTTCTAAG | TAACGTCTI |
| 3181 | TGAGACAACG | TGTGCAAGCT | GATAGAAATG | TTGTCAATTA | TCCATCAATA | TTACATCCAA |
|  | ACTCTGTTGC | ACACGITCGA | CTATCTITAC | AACAGTTAAT | AGGTAGTTAT | AAtGIAgGIT |
| 3241 | TAGATTATGC | AtITAATGAA | TACtITtIAC | AACATCAATT | GGTTGAACCA | ttgatiantg |
|  | ATCTAATACG | TAAATTACTT | ATGAAAAATG | TIGTAGTIAA | CCAACTIGGT | AACTIATTAC |
| 3301 | ATATAATATT | TAATTATATA | CCAGAAAGGA | TAAGAAATGA | TGTTAATTAT | ATTCTCAATA |
|  | TATATTATAA | Attantatat | GGICTITCCT | ATTCTITACT | ACAATTAATA | TAAGAGTIAT |
| 3361 | TGGACAGAAA | TTTACCATCA | ACTGCCAGAT | ATATAAGACC | TAATTTACTT | CAAGATAGAT |
|  | ACCTGTCTIT | AAATGGTAGT | TGACGGTCTA | TATATTCTGG | ATTAAATGAA | GTICtATCTA |
| 3421 | TAAATTTGCA | CGATAATITT | GAATCACTAT | GGGATACAAT | AACTACATCA | AAtTATATTT |
|  | ATTTAAACGT | GCtATtAAAA | CTTAGTGAT | CCCTATGIT | TGATGTA | TAATAI |


| 3481 | TGGCGAGATC | GGTAGTACCA | GATTTAAAGG | AATTAGTGIC | AACGGAAGCA | CAAATTCAGA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ACCGCTCTAG | CCATCATGGT | CTAAATTICC | TTAATCACAG | ttgccticgt | GITTAAGTCT |
| 3541 | AAATGTCACA | AGATITGCAA | TTAGAAGCAT | TAACAATTCA | GTCAGAAACA | CAATTICTAA |
|  | TTTACAGTGT | TCTAAACGTT | AATCTICGTA | ATTGITAAGT | CAGTCTITGT | GITAAAGATT |
| 3601 | CAGGTATAAA | TTCACAAGCA | GCTAACGATT | GITITAAAAC | CTTAATTGCA | GCAATGITAA |
|  | GTCCATATTT | AAGTGITCGT | CGATTGCTAA | CAAAATTITG | GAATTAACGT | CGITACAATT |
| 3661 | GTCAACGTAC | TATGTCAITA | GAtITTGTAA | CTACTAATTA | TATGTCATTG | ATITCAGGTA |
|  | CAGTTGCATG | ATACAGTAAT | CTAAAACATT | GATGATTAAT | ATACAGTAAC | TAAAGTCCAT |
| 3721 | TGTGGCTATT | GACGGITGTG | CCAAATGATA | TGITTATAAG | GGAATCGTTA | GTCGCGTGTC |
|  | ACACCGATAA | CTGCCAACAC | GGItTACTAT | ACAAATATTC | CCTTAGCAAT | CAGCGCACAG |
| 3781 | AACTAGCTAT | AGTAAATACA | ATAATCTATC | CAGCATTIGG | AATGCAACGA | ATGCATTATA |
|  | TTGATCGATA | TCATTTATGT | TATTAGATAG | GTCGTAAACC | TTACGTTGCT | TACGTAATAT |
| 3841 | GAAACGGGGA | TCCACAAACA | CCGTITCAGA | TAGCAGAACA | GCAGATTCAA | AATTTCCAAG |
|  | CTITGCCCCT | AGGIGITIGT | GGCAAAGTCT | ATCGICTIGT | CGICTAAGTT | TTAAAGGTTC |
| 3901 | TCGCAAATTG | GITACATTIT | GTTAATAATA | AtCAAttiag | ACAGGCAGTT | AttgAtgGig |
|  | AGCGITTAAC | CAATGTAAAA | CAATTATTAT | TAGTTAAATC | TGTCCGTCAA | TAACTACCAC |
| 3961 | TATTGAATCA | GGTACTGAAT | GACAATATTA | GAAATGGTCA | IGITATTAAC | CAACTGATGG |
|  | ATAACTTAGT | CCATGACTTA | CTGTTATAAT | CTITACCAGT | ACAATAATTG | GITGACTACC |
| 4021 | AGGCTCTAAT | GCAGCTGICG | CGACAACAAT | TTCCAACCAT | GCCAATTGAT | tatAAGAGAT |
|  | TCCGAGATTA | CGICGACAGC | GCTGTTGTTA | AAGGTTGGTA | CGGTTAACTA | ATAITCTCTA |
| 4081 | CAATICAACG | TGGAATATTA | CTGTTATCTA | ACAGACTIGG | TCAGTTAGTT | GATITAACTA |
|  | GTTAAGTTGC | ACCITATAAT | GACAATAGAT | TGTCTGAACC | AGTCAATCAA | CTAAATTGAT |
| 4141 | GATtATTAGC | ttacaittat | GAGACATTAA | TGGCATGCAT | TACAATGAAC | ATGCAACATG |
|  | CTAATAATCG | AATGTTAATA | CTCTGTAATT | ACCGTACGTA | ATGTTACTTG | TACGITGTAC |
| 4201 | TICAAACCTI | AACAACAGAA | AAATTACAAT | TAACGTCAGT | TACATCATTA | tgtatgctia |
|  | AAGTITGGAA | tIGITGICTT | TTTAATGTTA | AtTGCAGICA | AtGTAGTAAT | ACATACGAAT |
| 4261 | TTGGAAATGC | GACTGTATAC | CAAGTCCACA | AACATTATTT | CAttattata | ACGITAACGT |
|  | AACCTITACG | CTGACATATG | GTTCAGGTGT | TTGTAATAAA | GTAATAATAT | TGCAATTGCA |
| 4321 | TAATITICAT | TCAAATTACA | ATGAGAGAAT | TAATGATGCA | GTAGCTATAA | TAACTGCTGC |
|  | AtTAAAAGTA | AGITIAATGT | tactctctia | ATTACTACGT | CATCGATATT | ATIGACGACG |
| 4381 | TAACAGACTG | AATCTATATC | AGAAAAAAAT | GAAGGCTATT | GTTGAGGATT | TCTIAAAAAG |
|  | Attgictal | ttagatatag | TCtititita | Cttccaltal | CAACTCCTAA | AgAAtittic |
| 4441 | ATTATACATT | tITGATGTAT | CTAGAGTICC | GGACGACCAA | Atgtatagat | TAAGGGATAG |
|  | TAATATGTAA | AAACTACATA | GATCTCAAGG | CCTGCTGGTT | TACATATCTA | Attccctat |
| 4501 | Attacgctia | TIGCCAGTAG | AAATCAGAAG | Attagatatc | TTCAATCTAA | tactantgan |
|  | TAATGCGAAT | AACGGTCATC | ITIAGTCTIC | TAATCTATAG | AAGTTAGATT | ATGATTACTI |
| 4561 | CATGGATCAA | ATTGAACGTG | CCTCAGATAA | AAttGctcan | GGTGTAATCA | tigctiatcg |
|  | GTACCTAGTT | TAACTIGCAC | GGAGTCTATT | TTAACGAGTT | CCACATTAGT | AACGAATAGC |
| 4621 | TGACATGCAT | CTGAAAGAGA | IGAGATGTAC | GGATATGTAA | AtAtagctag | AAATITAGAG |
|  | ACTGTACGTA | GACTITCTCT | ACTCTACATG | CCTATACAT | TATATCGAT | ITTAAATC |


| 4681 | GGATTTCAAC | AGATAAATTT | AGAGGAGCTG | ATGAGATCAG | GTGACTATGC | GCAAATAACT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CCTAAAGTTG | TCTATTTAAA | TCTCCTCGAC | TACTCTAGTC | CACTGATACG | CGTTTATTGA |
| 4741 | AACATGCTTT | TGAATAATCA | ACCAGTAGCA | TTGTTGGAGC | ACTTCCATTT | ATTACTGATT |
|  | TTGTACGAAA | ACTTATTAGT | TGGTCATCGT | AACAACCTCG | TGAAGGTAAA | TAATGACTAA |
| 4801 | CATCAGTTAT | ATCGCTAATA | GCAAAACTTG | ACGCTACAGT | GTTCGCTCAA | ATAGTTAAAT |
|  | GTAGTCAATA | TAGCGATTAT | CGTTTTGAAC | TGCGATGTCA | CAAGCGAGTT | TATCAATTTA |
| 4861 | TACGAAAAGT | TGATACTTTA | AAACCAATAT | TATACAAGAT | AAATTCAGAC | TCAAATGACT |
|  | ATGCTTTTCA | ACTATGAAAT | TTTGGTTATA | ATATGTTCTA | TTTAAGTCTG | AGTTTACTGA |
| 4921 | TTTATTTAGT | AGCTAATTAC | GATTGGGTGC | CAACTTCGAC | TACAAAAGTA | TACAAACAGG |
|  | AAATAAATCA | TCGATTAATG | CTAACCCACG | GTTGAAGCTG | ATGTTTTCAT | ATGTTTGTCC |
| 4981 | TTCCGCAACA | ATTTGATTTT | AGAAATTCAA | TGCATATGTT | AACTTCGAAT | CTTACTITTA |
|  | AAGGCGTTGT | TAAACTAAAA | TCTTTAAGTT | ACGTATACAA | TTGAAGCTTA | GAATGAAAAT |
| 5041 | CGGTTTATTC | AGATCTTCTC | GCGTTCGTAT | CAGCTGACAC | AGTAGAACCT | ATAAATGCAG |
|  | GCCAAATAAG | TCTAGAAGAG | CGCAAGCATA | GTCGACTGTG | TCATCTTGGA | TATTTACGTC |
| 5101 | TTGCATTTGA | TAATATGCGC | ATCATGAACG | AATTGTAGCC | TAGGTGATCT | GATCTGCTTA |
|  | AACGTAAACT | ATTATACGCG | TAGTACTTGC | TTAACATCGG | ATCCACTAGA | CTAGACGAAT |
| 5161 | CTTTACTTAA | CGACCAAAGA | AAAACGACAA | AAAAAAAATA | TTACTACTAT | TAAAATAAAT |
|  | GAAATGAATT | GCTGGTTTCT | TTTTGCTGTT | TTTTTTTTAT | AATGATGATA | ATTTTATTTA |
| 5221 | TAGTATTTTT | CTCTTCTTAC | GATATGATAT | GATGCTATGA | AATCATCATC | TTCTTAACTT |
|  | ATCATAAAAA | GAGAAGAATG | CTATACTATA | CTACGATACT | TTAGTAGTAG | AAGAATTGAA |
| 5281 | TCTTGTCTCT | TACACGTCAC | TTACTCTATA | TACCCGTTTA | TATAAGTGTA | CGTATTTTCT |
|  | AGAACAGAGA | ATGTGCAGTG | AATGAGATAT | ATGGGCAAAT | ATATTCACAT | GCATAAAAGA |
| 5341 | TTTTTTTAAA | AAATTTCTAT | TCTATCCTTA | GAAAAGTGCC | CTTACATCAG | TTCCAACGCA |
|  | AAAAAAATTT | TTTAAAGATA | AGATAGGAAT | CTTTTCACGG | GAATGTAGTC | AAGGTTGCGT |

Figure 3.27: Primer design for the sequencing of pKM173_VP2/6 construct to determine if the cassette containing the ORF of genome segment 6 was cloned into pKM173_VP2/6. The forward and reverse primers designed for sequencing are highlighted in yellow. All the restriction enzymes used for cloning of the ORF of genome segment 2 and 6 into their respective plasmid are highlighted in grey. The start codons of the ORF of the genome segments are highlighted with green and the stop codons in red. The I-Scel restriction enzyme sequences are highlighted in blue. The sequence of the ORF of genome segment 6 is indicated in purple and the sequence of the ORF of genome segment 2 in blue. The sequence of the pKM173 plasmid is indicated in black.

Primers designed for the sequenicng of pKM173_VP2/6 (Table 3.4, Figure 3.27) were used, as described in section 3.2.3.7. The sequence alignment of pKM173_VP2/6 colony 1 is indicated in Figure 2.28A and B.
pKM173_VP2/6 CTAGGGATAACAGGGTAATGGTACCAGAGACCGGGTTGGC ..... 40
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6 GGCGTATTTGTGTCCCAAAAAACAGCCCCAATTGCCCCAA ..... 80
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6 TTGACCCCAAATTGACCCAGTAGCGGGCCCAACCCCGGCG ..... 120
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1
pKM173_VP2/6 AGAGCCCCCTTCACCCCACATATCAAACCTCCCCCGGTTC ..... 160
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ..... 0pKM1 73_VP2/6_R1 ......... TCACCCCACATATCAAACCTCCCCCGGTTC30
pKM173_VP2/6 CCACACTTGCCGTTAAGGGCGTAGGGTACTGCAGTCTGGA ..... 200
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 CCACACTTGCCGTTAAGGGCGTAGGGTACTGCAGTCTGGA ..... 70
pKM173_VP2/6 ATCTACGCTTGTTCAGACTTTGTACTAGTTTCTTTGTCTG ..... 240
pKM173_VP $2 / 6 \_F 1$ ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ATCTACGCTTGTTCAGACTTTGTACTAGTTTCTTTGTCTG ..... 110
pKM173_VP2/6 GCCATCCGGGTAACCCATGCCGGACGCAAAATAGACTACT ..... 280
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 GCCATCCGGGTAACCCATGCCGGACGCAAAATAGACTACT ..... 150
pKM173_VP2/6 GAAAATTTTTTTGCTTTGTGGTTGGGACTTTAGCCAAGGG
pKM173_VP2/6_F1
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 GAAAATTTTTTTGCTTTGTGGTTGGGACTTTAGCCAAGGG ..... 320 ..... 0 ..... 190
pKM173_VP2/6 TATAAAAGACCACCGTCCCCGAATTACCTTTCCTCTTCTT ..... 360
pKM173_VP2/6_F1
TATAAAAGACCACCGTCCCCGAATTACCTTTCCTCTTCTT ..... 230
pKM173_VP2/6 TTCTCTCTCTCCTTGTCAACTCACACCCGAAATGCTCGAG ..... 400
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 TTCTCTCTCTCCTTGTCAACTCACACCCGAAATGCTCGAG ..... 270
pKM173_VP2/6 ATGGATGTCCTGTACTCCTTATCAAAAACTCTTAAAGATG ..... 440 ..... 0
pKM173 VP2/6 R1 ATGGATGTCCTGTACTCCTTATCAAAAACTCTTAAAGATG ..... 310
pKM173_VP2/6 CTAGAGACAAAATTGTCGAAGGCACATTATACTCTAATGT ..... 480
pKM173_VP $2 / 6 \_$F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 CTAGAGACAAAATTGTCGAAGGCACATTATACTCTAATGT ..... 350
pKM173_VP2/6 GAGTGATCTAATTCAACAATTTAACCAAATGATAATTACT ..... 520
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 GAGTGATCTAATTCAACAATTTAACCAAATGATAATTACT ..... 390
pKM173 VP2/6 ATGAATGGAAATGAGTTCCAAACTGGAGGAATTGGTAATC ..... 560
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ATGAATGGAAATGAGTTCCAAACTGGAGGAATTGGTAATC ..... 430
pKM173_VP2/6 TACCAATTAGAAATTGGAATTTTGATTTTGGATTACTTGG ..... 600
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 TACCAATTAGAAATTGGAATTTTGATTTTGGATTACTTGG ..... 470
pKM173_VP2/6 AACAACTCTACTAAATTTAGACGCTAACTACGTCGAAACA ..... 640
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 AACAACTCTACTAAATTTAGACGCTAACTACGTCGAAACA ..... 510
pKM173_VP2/6 GCCCGTAACACAATTGATTATTTTGTAGATTTTGTAGATA ..... 680
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 GCCCGTAACACAATTGATTATTTTGTAGATTTTGTAGATA ..... 550
pKM173_VP2/6 ACGTATGTATGGATGAAATGGTTAGAGAATCACAAAGAAA ..... 720
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ACGTATGTATGGATGAAATGGTTAGAGAATCACAAAGAA. ..... 589
pKM173_VP2/6 TGGAATTGCACCACAATCAGACTCACTTAGAAAATTGTCA ..... 760
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ..... 589
pKM173_VP2/6 GGCATTAAGTTCAAAAGGATAAATTTTGATAATTCATCGG ..... 800
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1pKM173_VP2/6AATATATAGAGAACTGGAATCTACAAAACAGAAGACAACG840
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1
pKM173_VP2/6 AACAGGTTTTACATTTCATAAACCAAATATTTTCCCTTAT ..... 880
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ..... 589
pKM173_VP2/6 TCAGCGTCATTCACACTGAATAGATCACAACCAGCTCATG ..... 920
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 589
pKM173_VP2/6 ATAACTTGATGGGTACAATGTGGCTGAACGCAGGATCAGA ..... 960
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ..... 589
pKM173_VP2/6 AATTCAGGTCGCTGGATTCGACTATTCGTGTGCAATTAAT ..... 1000
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ..... 589
pKM173_VP2/6 GCGCCAGCTAATACACAACAATTTGAACATATTGTACAGC ..... 1040
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ..... 589
pKM173_VP2/6 TCCGAAGAGTTTTAACTACAGCTACAATAACACTTTTACC ..... 1080
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ..... 589 ..... 589
pKM173_VP2/6 GGATGCAGAAAGATTCAGTTTTCCAAGAGTGATTAATTCA ..... 1120
pKM173_VP2/6_F1pKM173_VP2/6_R1
pKM173_VP2/6 GCTGACGGAGCAACTACATGGTATTTTAATCCAGTAATTC ..... 1160
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1
pKM173_VP2/6 TTAGACCAAATAACGTTGAAGTGGAGTTTCTACTAAACGG ..... 1200
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R1pKM173_VP2/6GCAGATAATAAACACTTACCAGGCTAGATTTGGAACGATC1240
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 . . . . . .AATAAACACTTACCAGGCTAGATTTGGAACGATC ..... 34
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ..... 589
pKM173_VP2/6 GTAGCTAGAAATTTTGATACAATCAGATTGTCGTTTCAGT ..... 1280
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 GTAGCTAGAAATTTTGATACAATCAGATTGTCGTTTCAGT ..... 74
pKM173_VP2/6_R1pKM173_VP2/6 TGATGAGACCACCAAATATGACACCATCGGTAGCAGCATT1320
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 TGATGAGACCACCAAATATGACACCATCGGTAGCAGCATT ..... 114
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ..... 589
pKM173_VP2/6 ATTTCCAAATGCGCAACCATTTGAACATCATGCTACAGTA ..... 1360
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ATTTCCAAATGCGCAACCATTTGAACATCATGCTACAGTA ..... 154
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ..... 589
pKM173_VP2/6 GGACTTACATTGAAAATTGAATCTGCAGTTTGTGAATCTG ..... 1400
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 GGACTTACATTGAAAATTGAATCTGCAGTTTGTGAATCTG ..... 194
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ..... 589
pKM173_VP2/6 TACTTGCTGACGCAAGCGAGACAATGCTAGCAAATGTGAC ..... 1440
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 TACTTGCTGACGCAAGCGAGACAATGCTAGCAAATGTGAC ..... 234
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ..... 589
pKM173_VP2/6 ATCTGTTAGACAAGAATACGCGATACCAGTTGGACCAGTC ..... 1480
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ATCTGTTAGACAAGAATACGCGATACCAGTTGGACCAGTC ..... 274
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ..... 589
pKM173_VP2/6 TTTCCACCAGGTATGAATTGGACTGATTTGATCACTAACT 1520 pKM173_VP2/6_F1 TTTCCACCAGGTATGAATTGGACTGATTTGATCACTAACT 314

pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ............................................ . . 589
pKM173_VP2/6 ATTCACCATCTAGAGAGGATAACTTGCAGCGTGTATTTAC 1560
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ATTCACCATCTAGAGAGGATAACTTGCAGCGTGTATTTAC 354
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ............................................ .. 589
pKM173_VP2/6 AGTGGCTTCCATTAGAAGCATGCTTGTCAAATAAAGCGCT 1600
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 AGTGGCTTCCATTAGAAGCATGCTTGTCAAATAAAGCGCT 394
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ............................................ 589
pKM173_VP2/6 ATTAATCCTAGGTGATCTGATCTGCTTACTTTACTTAACG 1640
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ATTAATCCTAGGTGATCTGATCTGCTTACTTTACTTAACG 434
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ............................................. . . 589
pKM173_VP2/6 ACCAAAGAAAAACGACAAAAAAAAAATATTACTACTATTA 1680
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ACCAAAGAAAAACGACAAAAAAAAAATATTACTACTATTA 474
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 .......................................... 589
pKM173_VP2/6 AAATAAATTAGTATTTTTCTCTTCTTACGATATGATATGA 1720
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 AAATAAATTAGTATTTTTCTCTTCTTACGATATGATATGA 514
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ........................................... 589
pKM173_VP2/6 TGCTATGAAATCATCATCTTCTTAACTTTCTTGTCTCTTA 1760
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 TGCTATGAAATCATCATCTTCTTAACTTTCTTGTCTCTTA 554
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ........................................... 589
pKM173_VP2/6 CACGTCACTTACTCTATATACCCGTTTATATAAGTGTACG 1800
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 CACGTCACTTACTCTATATACCCGTTTATATAAGTGTACG 594
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ........................................... 589
pKM173_VP2/6 TATTTTCTTTTTTTTAAAAAATTTCTATTCTATCCTTAGA 1840
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 TATTTTCTTTTTTTTAAAAAATTTCTATTCTATCCTTAGA 634
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 . ............................................. 589
pKM173_VP2/6 AAAGTGCCCTTACATCAGTTCCAACGCACTCTAGCTGTTC 1880
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 AAAGTGCCCTTACATCAGTTCCAACGCACTCTAGCTGTTC 674
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 .......................................... 589
pKM173_VP2/6 TGGCACTGTATCTTCATCATGTGCCGGTCGTTTTCCACCC 1920
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 TGGCACTGTATCTTCATCATGTGCCGGTCGTTTTCCACCC 714
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ........................................... . 589

```
pKM173_VP2/6 CAAAAATAACTTTCTTCCCTTTTCCTTTCAATTAATGGCC 1960
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 CAAAAATAACTTTCTTCCCTTTTCCTTTCAATTAATGGCC 754
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ........................................ }58
pKM173_VP2/6 TGGAATTCCGAACCCATTTTCGCATCTGAAACTAATTCTC 2000
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 TGGAATTCCGAACCCATTTTCGCATCTGAAACTAATTCTC 794
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 .......................................... . . . . . 589
pKM173_VP2/6 GAAACCTTTAATATCAAACAATTGAAAAGATCATCATCAC 2040
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 GAAACCTTTAATATCAAACAATTGAAAAGATCATCATCAC 834
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ............................................ }58
pKM173_VP2/6 TAGAAATGAGAAAAAGATCAACAGCACTTAATAACAGTAC 2080
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 TAGAAATGAGAAAAAGATCAACAGCACTTAATAACAGTAC 874
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 .......................................... 
pKM173_VP2/6 GAAAGAAAGATCGCTCGGATCCTAGGGATAACAGGGTAAT 2120
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 GAAAGAAAGATCGCTCGGATCCTAGGGATAACAGGGTAAT 914
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . }58
pKM173_VP2/6 GGTACCAGAGACCGGGTTGGCGGCGTATTTGTGTCCCAAA 2160
pKM173_VP2/6_F1 ......................................... }91
pKM173_VP2/6_R1 ......................................... }58
```

Figure 3.28A: Nucleotide sequence alignments of the recombinant pKM173_VP2 vector containing the ORF of genome segment 6 (VP6) using the in silico clone of pKM173_VP2/6. The in silico clone of pKM173_VP2/6 is indicated as pKM173_VP2/6, the forward (forward primer 1) sequence is indicated as pKM173_VP2/6_F1 nucleotide sequence and the reverse (reverse primer 1) sequence is indicated as pKM173_VP2/6_R1 nucleotide sequence. The restriction enzymes used for the amplification of the ORF of genome segment 6 are indicated in grey. The start codon of the ORF of genome segment 6 is indicated in green and the stop codon is in red. The part of the ORF of genome segment 6 that could not be sequenced with the forward 1 primer and reverse 1 primer is indicated in dark grey.

| pKM173_VP2/6 | CTAGAGACAAAATTGTCGAAGGCACATTATACTCTAATGT | 480 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | . CTCTAATGT | 9 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | GAGTGATCTAATTCAACAATTTAACCAAATGATAATTACT | 520 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | GAGTGATCTAATTCAACAATTTAACCAAATGATAATTACT | 49 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | ATGAATGGAAATGAGTTCCAAACTGGAGGAATTGGTAATC | 560 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | ATGAATGGAAATGAGTTCCAAACTGGAGGAATTGGTAATC | 89 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | TACCAATTAGAAATTGGAATTTTGATTTTGGATTACTTGG | 600 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | TACCAATTAGAAATTGGAATTTTGATTTTGGATTACTTGG | 129 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | AACAACTCTACTAAATTTAGACGCTAACTACGTCGAAACA | 640 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | AACAACTCTACTAAATTTAGACGCTAACTACGTCGAAACA | 169 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | GCCCGTAACACAATTGATTATTTTGTAGATTTTGTAGATA | 680 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | GCCCGTAACACAATTGATTATTTTGTAGATTTTGTAGATA | 209 |
| pKM173_VP $2 / 6$ | ACGTATGTATGGATGAAATGGTTAGAGAATCACAAAGAAA | 720 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | ACGTATGTATGGATGAAATGGTTAGAGAATCACAAAGAAA | 249 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | TGGAATTGCACCACAATCAGACTCACTTAGAAAATTGTCA | 760 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | TGGAATTGCACCACAATCAGACTCACTTAGAAAATTGTCA | 289 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | GGCATTAAGTTCAAAAGGATAAATTTTGATAATTCATCGG | 800 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | GGCATTAAGTTCAAAAGGATAAATTTTGATAATTCATCGG | 329 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | AATATATAGAGAACTGGAATCTACAAAACAGAAGACAACG | 840 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | AATATATAGAGAACTGGAATCTACAAAACAGAAGACAACG | 369 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | AACAGGTTTTACATTTCATAAACCAAATATTTTCCCTTAT | 880 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | AACAGGTTTTACATTTCATAAACCAAATATTTTCCCTTAT | 409 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | TCAGCGTCATTCACACTGAATAGATCACAACCAGCTCATG | 920 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | TCAGCGTCATTCACACTGAATAGATCACAACCAGCTCATG | 449 |

pKM173_VP2/6_F2 .......................................... . 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 GAGTGATCTAATTCAACAATTTAACCAAATGATAATTACT 49
pKM173_VP2/6 ATGAATGGAAATGAGTTCCAAACTGGAGGAATTGGTAATC 560
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 .............................................. . ... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 ATGAATGGAAATGAGTTCCAAACTGGAGGAATTGGTAATC 89
pKM173_VP2/6 TACCAATTAGAAATTGGAATTTTGATTTTGGATTACTTGG 600
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 TACCAATTAGAAATTGGAATTTTGATTTTGGATTACTTGG 129
pKM173_VP2/6 AACAACTCTACTAAATTTAGACGCTAACTACGTCGAAACA 640
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 ........................................... . . ... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 AACAACTCTACTAAATTTAGACGCTAACTACGTCGAAACA 169
pKM173_VP2/6 GCCCGTAACACAATTGATTATTTTGTAGATTTTGTAGATA 680
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 GCCCGTAACACAATTGATTATTTTGTAGATTTTGTAGATA 209
pKM173_VP2/6 ACGTATGTATGGATGAAATGGTTAGAGAATCACAAAGAAA 720
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 ........................................... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 ACGTATGTATGGATGAAATGGTTAGAGAATCACAAAGAAA
24
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { pKM173_VP2 / } 6 & \text { AATATATAGAGAACTGGAATCTACAAAACAGAAGACAACG } & 840 \\ \text { pKM1 73_VP2/6_F2 } & \text {. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } & 0\end{array}$
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 AATATATAGAGAACTGGAATCTACAAAACAGAAGACAACG 369
pKM173_VP2/6 AACAGGTTTTACATTTCATAAACCAAATATTTTCCCTTAT 880
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 ........................................... . . . . . 0
pKM173_VP2/6 TCAGCGTCATTCACACTGAATAGATCACAACCAGCTCATG
0
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 TCAGCGTCATTCACACTGAATAGATCACAACCAGCTCATG
449

| pKM173_VP2/6 | ATAACTTGATGGGTACAATGTGGCTGAACGCAGGATCAGA | 960 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | ATAACTTGATGGGTACAATGTGGCTGAACGCAGGATCAGA | 489 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | AATTCAGGTCGCTGGATTCGACTATTCGTGTGCAATTAAT | 1000 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | AATTCAGGTCGCTGGATTCGACTATTCGTGTGCAATTAAT | 529 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | GCGCCAGCTAATACACAACAATTTGAACATATTGTACAGC | 1040 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | GCGCCAGCTAATACACAACAATTTGAACATATTGTACAGC | 569 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | TCCGAAGAGTTTTAACTACAGCTACAATAACACTTTTACC | 1080 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | TCCGAAGAGTTTTAACTACAGCTACAATAACACTTTTACC | 609 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | GGATGCAGAAAGATTCAGTTTTCCAAGAGTGATTAATTCA | 1120 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | GGATGCAGAAAGATTCAGTTTTCCAAGAGTGATTAATTCA | 649 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | GCTGACGGAGCAACTACATGGTATTTTAATCCAGTAATTC | 1160 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | GCTGACGGAGCAACTACATGGTATTTTAATCCAGTAATTC | 689 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | TTAGACCAAATAACGTTGAAGTGGAGTTTCTACTAAACGG | 1200 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | TTAGACCAAATAACGTTGAAGTGGAGTTTCTACTAAACGG | 729 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | GCAGATAATAAACACTTACCAGGCTAGATTTGGAACGATC | 1240 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | GCAGATAATAAACACTTACCAGGCTAGATTTGGAACGATC | 769 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | GTAGCTAGAAATTTTGATACAATCAGATTGTCGTTTCAGT | 1280 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  |  |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 | GTAGCTAGAAATTTTGATACAATCAGA | 796 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | TGATGAGACCACCAAATATGACACCATCGGTAGCAGCATT | 1320 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 |  | 796 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | ATTTCCAAATGCGCAACCATTTGAACATCATGCTACAGTA | 1360 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 |  | 796 |
| pKM173_VP2/6 | GGACTTACATTGAAAATTGAATCTGCAGTTTGTGAATCTG | 1400 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_F2 |  | 0 |
| pKM173_VP2/6_R2 |  | 796 |

pKM173_VP2/6 AATTCAGGTCGCTGGATTCGACTATTCGTGTGCAATTAAT 1000
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 .................................................. 0
pKM173_VP2/6 GCGCCAGCTAATACACAACAATTTGAACATATTGTACAGC 1040
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 ................................................. 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 GCGCCAGCTAATACACAACAATTTGAACATATTGTACAGC 569
pKM173_VP2/6 TCCGAAGAGTTTTAACTACAGCTACAATAACACTTTTACC 1080
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 TCCGAAGAGTTTTAACTACAGCTACAATAACACTTTTACC 609
pKM173_VP2/6 GGATGCAGAAAGATTCAGTTTTCCAAGAGTGATTAATTCA 1120
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 ............................................... 0

pKM173_VP2/6_R2 GCTGACGGAGCAACTACATGGTATTTTAATCCAGTAATTC 689

pKM173_VP2/6_R2 TTAGACCAAATAACGTTGAAGTGGAGTTTCTACTAAACGG 729
pKM173_VP2/6 GCAGATAATAAACACTTACCAGGCTAGATTTGGAACGATC 1240
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 ................................................... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 GCAGATAATAAACACTTACCAGGCTAGATTTGGAACGATC 769
pKM173_VP2/6
pKM173_VP2/6_F2
pKM173_VP2/6_R2
pKM173_VP2/6
pKM173_VP2/6_F2
pKM173_VP2/6 ATTTCCAAATGCGCAACCATTTGAACATCATGCTACAGTA 1360
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 ..................................................... . . 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 ................................................... 796
pKM173_VP2/6 GGACTTACATTGAAAATTGAATCTGCAGTTTGTGAATCTG 1400
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 .................................................. 796

pKM173_VP2/6 TACTTGCTGACGCAAGCGAGACAATGCTAGCAAATGTGAC ..... 1440
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 ..... 796
pKM173 VP2/6 ATCTGTTAGACAAGAATACGCGATACCAGTTGGACCAGTC ..... 1480
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 ..... 796
pKM173_VP2/6 TTTCCACCAGGTATGAATTGGACTGATTTGATCACTAACT ..... 1520
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 ..... 0
pKM1 73_VP 2 /6_R2 ..... 796
pKM173_VP2/6 ATTCACCATCTAGAGAGGATAACTTGCAGCGTGTATTTAC ..... 1560
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 ..... 0
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 ..... 796
pKM173_VP2/6 AGTGGCTTCCATTAGAAGCATGCTTGTCAAATAAAGCGCT ..... 1600
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AATAAAGCGCT ..... 11
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 ..... 796
pKM173_VP2/6 ATTAATCCTAGGTGATCTGATCTGCTTACTTTACTTAACG
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 ATTAATCCTAGGTGATCTGATCTGCTTACTTTACTTAACG ..... 640 ..... 51
pKM173_VP2/6_R2
pKM173_VP2/6 ACCAAAGAAAAACGACAAAAAAAAAATATTACTACTATTA ..... 1680
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 ACCAAAGAAAAACGACAAAAAAAAAATATTACTACTATTA PKM173-VP2/6_F2 ..... 91
pKM173_VP2/6_R2
pKM173_VP2/6 AAATAAATTAGTATTTTTCTCTTCTTACGATATGATATGA ..... 1720
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 AAATAAATTAGTATTTTTCTCTTCTTACGATATGATATGA ..... 131
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 ..... 796
pKM173_VP2/6 TGCTATGAAATCATCATCTTCTTAACTTTCTTGTCTCTTA ..... 1760
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 TGCTATGAAATCATCATCTTCTTAACTTTCTTGTCTCTTA ..... 171
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 ..... 796
pKM173_VP2/6 CACGTCACTTACTCTATATACCCGTTTATATAAGTGTACG ..... 1800
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 CACGTCACTTACTCTATATACCCGTTTATATAAGTGTACG ..... 211 ..... 796
pKM173_VP2/6 TATTTTCTTTTTTTTAAAAAATTTCTATTCTATCCTTAGA ..... 1840
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 TATTTTCTTTTTTTTAAAAAATTTCTATTCTATCCTTAGA ..... 251
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 ..... 796
pKM173_VP2/6 AAAGTGCCCTTACATCAGTTCCAACGCACTCTAGCTGTTC ..... 1880
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 AAAGTGCCCTTACATCAGTTCCAACGCACTCTAGCTGTTC ..... 291
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 ..... 796

```
pKM173_VP2/6 TGGCACTGTATCTTCATCATGTGCCGGTCGTTTTCCACCC 1920
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 TGGCACTGTATCTTCATCATGTGCCGGTCGTTTTCCACCC 331
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 ........................................... 
pKM173_VP2/6 CAAAAATAACTTTCTTCCCTTTTCCTTTCAATTAATGGCC 1960
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 CAAAAATAACTTTCTTCCCTTTTCCTTTCAATTAATGGCC 371
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 .......................................... }79
pKM173_VP2/6 TGGAATTCCGAACCCATTTTCGCATCTGAAACTAATTCTC 2000
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 TGGAATTCCGAACCCATTTTCGCATCTGAAACTAATTCTC 411
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 ........................................... }79
pKM173_VP2/6 }\quad\mathrm{ GAAACCTTTAATATCAAACAATTGAAAAGATCATCATCAC 
pKM173_VP2/6 TAGAAATGAGAAAAAGATCAACAGCACTTAATAACAGTAC 2080
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 TAGAAATGAGAAAAAGATCAACAGCACTTAATAACAGTAC 491
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 
pKM173_VP2/6 GAAAGAAAGATCGCTCGGATCCTAGGGATAACAGGGTAAT 2120
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 GAAAGAAAGATCGCTCGGATCCTAGGGATAACAGGGTAAT }53
```



```
pKM173_VP2/6 GGTACCAGAGACCGGGTTGGCGGCGTATTTGTGTCCCAAA 2160
pKM173_VP2/6_F2 ......................................... }53
pKM173_VP2/6_R2 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . }79
```

Figure 3.28B: Nucleotide sequence alignments of the recombinant pKM173_VP2 vector containing the ORF of genome segment 6 (VP6) using the in silico clone of pKM173_VP2/6. The in silico clone of pKM173_VP2/6 is indicated as pKM173_VP2/6 and forward (forward primer 2) sequence is indicated as pKM173_VP2/6_F2 nucleotide sequence and the reverse (reverse primer 2) sequence is indicated as pKM173_VP2/6_R2 nucleotide sequence. The part of the ORF of genome segment 6 that could not be sequenced with the forward 1 primer and reverse 1 primer is indicated in dark grey. The l-Scel restriction site is indicated in teal.

It is clear from Figure 23.8A that the cassette containing the open reading frame of genome segment 6 was cloned correctly into pKM173_VP2 and that no mutations are visible. The primers designed for sequencing made it possible to confirm the presence of key factors namely the restriction enzymes used for cloning as well as the start and stop codon of the ORF of genome segment 6. A part of the ORF of genome segment 6 (from 719 bp to 1206
bp) could not be sequenced with the forward 1 primer and reverse 1 primer designed for sequencing. However, the forward 2 and reverse 2 primers also designed for sequencing could sequence this part of the ORF of genome segment 6 . Figure 3.28 B shows the sequencing results of the forward 2 primer and reverse 2 primer indicating that the entire ORF of genome segment 6 was cloned into pKM173_VP2. Transformation of the pKM173_VP2/6 construct into several yeast strains and the possible co-expression of the proteins in these strains were performed using pKM173_VP2/6 colony 1.

### 3.3.4 Co-expression of proteins

In order for the recombinant plasmids to integrate efficiently into the yeast genomes, the recombinant plasmid had to be linearized before transformation could occur. Therefore, the recombinant plasmid sample (pKM177_VP2/6) was subjected to a restriction endonuclease digestion with the restriction enzyme Notl, as described in section 3.2.3.3. A $1.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of each $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ restriction digestion was analysed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel, as shown in Figure 3.29. Two fragments (2210bp and 9994bp) were expected for pKM173_VP2/6, after restriction digestion.


Figure 3.29: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of pKM173_VP2/6 plasmids, by means of Notl restriction enzyme analysis for transformation purposes. Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker (Fermentas). A $1.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Notl digestion reaction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) pKM173_VP2/6 recombinant plasmid.

Two bands were expected since the pKM173_VP2/6 plasmid contains two Notl restriction cutting sites. The expected results were obtained namely two bands (2210bp and 9994bp),
as seen in Figure 3.29. Transformation of the linearized recombinant plasmid, into the several yeast strains, was done as described in section 3.2.4.3. Twenty five recombinant plasmid colonies of each yeast strain were chosen for further experimental purposes. These colonies were plated out on fresh YPD (Yeast, Peptone, Dextrose) selective plates containng Hygromycin B and incubated overnight at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for all the yeast strains except for Kluyvermonyces marxianus which was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ten colonies of each yeast strain, that had the strongest growth, were chosen to screen for possible co-expression of the two rotavirus proteins (VP2 and VP6) in these yeast strains. The co-expression and analysis of the co-expressions of the proteins were done as described in section 3.2.4.4.

## Pierce ECL Western Blotting Substrate

The analysis of the co-expression of rotavirus proteins VP2 (genome segment 2) and VP6 (genome segment 6) using the Pierce ELC western blotting substrate method was done as described in section 3.2.4.4. Ten recombinant colonies (containing the ORF of genome segment 2 and genome segment 6) for each individual yeast strain (Kluyveromyces marxianus, Kluyveromyces lactis, Candida deformans, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Yarrowia lipolytica, Arxula adeninivorans, Hansenula polymorpha and Debaryomyces hansenii) were chosen to do protein analysis on. We expected to see two bands namely one at 48kDa (VP6) and one at 102 kDa (VP2). However, no expression for either genome segment 2 (VP2) or genome segment 6 (VP6) was visible for any of the yeast strain colonies chosen for the co-expression analysis. For the Pierce ECL western blotting substrate method different developmental times ( 30 seconds, $1 \mathrm{~min}, 2 \mathrm{~min}$ and 10 min ) were tested to determine whether or not that will make a difference, however, no expression was visible for any of the developmental times used (results not shown).

## BCIP-T/NBT Western Blotting substrate

The analysis of the co-expression of rotavirus proteins VP2 (genome segment 2) and VP6 (genome segment 6) using the BCIP-T/NBT western blotting substrate was done as described in section 3.2.4.4. No expression of the rotavirus proteins could be obtained with the Pierce ELC western blotting substrate method using the NCDV antibodies that were previously successful with detecting baculovirus expressed VP2 and VP6 in our laboratory (M van der Westhuizen, MSc thesis and Dr K Jere, PhD thesis). The next step was to use the BCIP-T/NBT western blotting substrate with a different antibody, to try and confirm if the
individual expression of VP6, in yeast, can be obtained. The group specific/VP6 antibody was used for this study since it has been used before by the University of Stellenbosch in yeast expression experiments that they have conducted with VP6. The first step was to test the method on our positive control, which is a DLP VP2/6 insect cell lysate obtained from Dr Jere, to see whether or not we got expression with the positive control and if the conditions are optimal for the protein that we want to express. The analysis of the expression of the positive rotavirus control BCIP-T/NBT western blotting substrate (using the group specific/VP6 antibody) was done as described in section 3.2.4.4. We expected to see one band namely at 48kDa (VP6). The expression of the positive VP2 (genome segment 2) and VP6 (genome segment 6) insect cell lysate proteins was developed on a nitrocellulose membrane as seen in Figure 3.30.


Figure 3.30: Western Blot analysis of the expression of the positive DLP VP2/6 insect cell lysate control. Lane: 1) Page Ruler protein marker (Fermentas). A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample was used for analysis. Lane: 2) Positive DLP VP2/6 insect cell lysate.

The expected result was obtained namely a band at 48 kDa , as seen in Figure 3.30. A nonspecific band was also obtained at $\pm 100 \mathrm{kDa}$ which can be due to the fact that a DLP VP2/6 insect cell lysate was used that did not only contain VP6 but also the VP2 protein. Since the expression experiment with the DLP VP2/6 lysate positive control was successful, the next step was to see whether or not expression was possible in the several recombinant yeast strains colonies.

Sixteen recombinant colonies (containing the ORF of genome segment 2 and the ORF of genome segment 6) were chosen for each individual yeast strain (Kluyveromyces marxianus, Kluyveromyces lactis, Arxula adeninivorans, Hansenula polymorpha and) to do protein analysis on, except for four yeast strains, only seven recombinant colonies were chosen (Yarrowia lipolytica, Debaryomyces hansenii, Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Candida deformans) to do protein analysis on. The recombinant colonies co-expression was developed on nitrocellulose membranes as seen in Figure 3.31.


Figure 3.31: Western blot analysis to detect VP6 expression in Kluyveromyces marxianus, Kluyveromyces lactis, Candida deformans, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Yarrowia lipolytica, Arxula adeninivorans, Hansenula polymorpha and Debaryomyces hansenii. A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample was used for analysis. All gels were loaded similarly. Lanes; 1) Page Ruler protein marker (Fermentas); 2-9) Colonies (17/colonies 9-14), 10) positive VP2/6 control. The different yeast strains tested were as follows: Blots A-B) Arxula adeninivorans; C-D) Kluyveromyces marxianus; E-F) Kluyveromyces lactis; G-H), Hansenula polymorpha; I) Saccharomyces cerevisiae; J) Yarrowia lipolytica; K) Debaryomyces hansenii and L) Candida deformans.

We expected to see one band namely at 48kDa (VP6) since the group specific/VP6 antibody was used that only detects VP6. Unfortunately, no expression for VP6 in yeast could be detected as seen in Figure 3.31. The next step was to determine whether or not the ORFs of genome segment 2 and genome segment 6 were present in these recombinant yeast colonies, since it was possible that the ORFs did not integrate correctly into the different yeast strains. In order to determine whether or not the ORFs were present the same colonies (from each yeast strain used for the western blot analysis) DNA were examined. This was achieved by plating the glycerol stocks of each recombinant yeast strain colony out on YPD agar medium and incubating overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Kluyveromyces marxianus) and $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Kluyveromyces lactis, Candida deformans, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Yarrowia lipolytica, Arxula adeninivorans, Hansenula polymorpha and Debaryomyces hansenii). All further experiments were carried out from these plates.

### 3.3.5 Genomic DNA isolation

Since the co-expression of VP2 (genome segment 2) and VP6 (genome segment 6), in all seven yeast strains, could not be achieved the next step was to see whether or not the ORF of the genome segments were actually present in the colonies used for expression. In order to achieve this, yeast genomic DNA extraction had to be done as described in section 3.2.4.5. Two colonies of each recombinant yeast strain were chosen to do DNA extraction on. A $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample of each $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ DNA extraction was analysed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel, as shown in Figure 3.32.


Figure 3.32: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of genomic DNA extraction of pKM173_VP2/6 containing colonies. (A) Lanes: 1 and 10) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker. A $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of the $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ extraction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) A. adeninivorans colony 1 ; 3) C. derformans colony 1; 4) D. hansenii colony 1; 5) H. polymorpha colony 1; 6) K. lactis colony 1; 7) K. marxianus colony 1; 8) S. cerevisiae colony 1; 9) Y. lipolytica colony 1 ; 11) $A$. adeninivorans colony 3 ; 12) $C$. derformans colony 2; 13) D. hansenii colony 2 ; 14) H. polymorpha colony 2 ; 15) K. lactis colony 2 ; 16) K. marxianus colony 3; 17) S. cerevisiae colony 2; 18) Y. lipolytica colony 2. (B) Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker. A $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of the $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ extraction was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) A. adeninivorans colony 2; 3) K. marxianus colony 2.

DNA was obtained for all eighteen colonies as seen in Figure 3.32. All eighteen colonies of pKM173_VP2/6 recombinants, in the different yeast strains, were then screened by means of PCR, as described in section 3.2.3.5. Two PCR reactions were set up for each recombinant colony, one containing primers for the ORF of genome segment 2 and a second PCR reaction containing primers for the ORF of genome segment 6. A colony was considered positive if the PCR reaction gave an amplicon of 2700bp (for the ORF of genome segment 2) and an amplicon of 1270bp (for the ORF of genome segment 6) respectively, that could be seen on a $1 \%$ agarose gel. Figure 3.33 A and B show the results of the PCR screening for genome segment 2 and genome segment 6 respectively.


Figure 3.33: Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR colony screening for the ORF of genome segment 2 (VP2) and the ORF of genome segment 6 (VP6). (A) Lane: 1) 10 000bp O'Generuler DNA marker. A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of each $20 \mu$ l colony screening reaction of the ORF of genome segmnet 2 was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) A. adeninivorans colony 1 ; 3) $A$. adeninivorans colony 3 ; 4) C. deformans colony 1 ; 5) ) C. deformans colony 2; 6) $D$. hansenii colony 1 ; 7) $D$. hansenii colony 2; 8) H. polymorpha colony 1; 9) H. polymorpha colony 2; 10) K. lactis colony 1 ; 11) $K$. lactis colony 2 ; 12) $K$. marxianus colony 1 ; 13) $K$. marxianus colony 3; 14) S. cerevisiae colony 1; 15) S. cerevisiae colony 2; 16) Y.lipolytica colony 1; 17) Y.lipolytica colony 2; 18) positive control (pKM173_VP2/6); 19) A. adeninivorans colony 2; 20) K. marxianus colony 2. (B) Lane: 1) 10000 bp O'Generuler DNA marker. A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of each $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ colony screening reaction of the ORF of genome segmnet 6 was loaded as follows; lanes: 2) A. adeninivorans colony 1 ; 3) A. adeninivorans colony 3 ; 4) C. deformans colony 1 ; 5) C. deformans colony 2; 6) D. hansenii colony 1 ; 7) D. hansenii colony 2 ; 8) H. polymorpha colony 1; 9) H. polymorpha colony 2 ; 10) K. lactis colony 1 ; 11) K. lactis colony 2; 12) K. marxianus colony 1 ; 13) $K$. marxianus colony 3 ; 14) $S$. cerevisiae colony 1 ; 15) $S$. cerevisiae colony 2; 16) Y. lipolytica colony 1; 17) Y. lipolytica colony 2; 18) positive control (pKM173_VP2/6); 19) A. adeninivorans colony 2; 20) K. marxianus colony 2.

The results obtained from the PCR colony screening analysis for the ORF of genome segment 2 and genome segment 6 are shown in Figures 3.33A and B, respectively. It was expected that one fragment (2700bp) should result from the PCR colony screening for the ORF of genome segment 2 and one fragment (1270bp) for the ORF of genome segment 6. The expected results were not obtained for all eighteen colonies.

Eight of the eighteen colonies screened for the presence of the ORF of genome segment 2 gave the expected result namely a band at 2700bp. These colonies were $A$. adeninivornas colony 1 and colony 2, D. hansenii colony 1, H. polymorpha colony 1 and colony 2, S. cerevisiae colony 1, Y. lipolytica colony 1 and K. marxianus colony 2, as seen in Figure 3.33 A. This indicated that the ORF of genome segment 2 encoding for VP2 was only present in these recombinant yeast constructs. Seven of the eighteen colonies screened for the presence of the ORF of genome segment 6 gave the expected result namely a band at 1270bp. These colonies were $H$. polymorpha colony 1 and colony 2 , K. lactis colony 1 and colony 2, S. cerevisiae colony 1 and Y. lipolytica colony 1 and colony 2, as seen in Figure 3.33B. This indicated that the ORF of genome segment 6 encoding for VP6 was only present in these recombinant yeast constructs. From the eighteen recombinant yeast colonies screened for the presence of the ORF of genome segment 2 encoding for VP2 and the ORF of genome segment 6 encoding for VP6, only four colonies screened positive for the presence of both genes. These colonies were $H$. polymorpha colony 1 and colony $2, S$ cerevisiae colony 1 and $Y$. lipolytica colony 1.

It is clear from these experiments that the ORF of genome segment 2 and genome segment 6 was present in some of the recombinant yeast constructs, therefore, expression of these proteins should probably have been possible. The next step will be to eliminate the possibilities that could have been responsible for the reason why the rotavirus proteins (VP2 and VP6) did not express in the different yeast strains. An elimination experiment that can be conducted is to see whether or not enough RNA is produced for the proteins to express. An experiment can also be conducted to determine why some of the yeast constructs only contained one of the ORF of the genome segments and not both of the ORF of genome segment 2 and 6.

### 3.4 Summary

This part of the study had three main objectives. Firstly, to clone the ORFs of the wild type genome segment 2 and 6 of the human strain GR10924 G9P[6] genotype, into the pKM173 and pKM177 vectors respectively. Secondly, to clone the cassette containing genome
segment 6, into the pKM173_VP2 vector, to obtain the pKM173_VP2/6 construct. Thirdly, to investigate the possible co-expression of the wild type rotavirus structural proteins VP2 and VP6 in several yeast strains.

The various clones (pKM177_VP6, pKM173_VP2 and pKM173_VP2/6) were successfully constructed and the yeast strains engineered for the expression of the proteins were successfully engineered as well. The co-expression of the recombinant proteins VP2 and VP6, in the pKM173 vector could not be achieved in any of the several yeast strains (Kluyveromyces marxianus, Kluyveromyces lactis, Candida deformans, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Yarrowia lipolytica, Arxula adeninivorans, Hansenula polymorpha and Debaryomyces hansenii). Two different types of western blot analyses were used to verify protein expression namely the Pierce ECL Western Blotting Substrate method and the BCIPT/NBT western blotting substrate method. Two types of antigens were used for the western blotting substrate methods namely 1) the group specific/VP6 antigen and 2) the goat polyclonal anti-rotavirus antibody with the secondary antibody donkey horseradish peroxidase conjugated anti-goat IgG. Using DNA extraction experiment and PCR analyses it was determined that the ORFs encoding for the proteins (VP2 and VP6) were present in some of the yeast strains. However, only four of the colonies screened for the presence of the ORFs encoding for the proteins contained both ORFs (genome segment 2 and genome segment 6), while the other only contained one of the two ORFs encoding for the proteins.

The conclusion of the work described in this chapter is: the recombinant proteins VP2 and VP6 could not be expressed in several yeast strains. However, it was determined that the DNA encoding for the proteins was present in some of the yeast strains indicating that they probably should have been able to be expressed in these yeast strains. Further studies can now be conducted to see where we can improve to make the co-expression of the recombinant proteins in these yeast strains possible. These studies include firstly, determining why both ORFs encoding for the proteins are not present in all the yeast strains. This can be done by conducting studies to determine if some of the yeast strains do not discard one of the ORFs encoding for the proteins during expression experiments. Secondly, to determine why the proteins did not express in the yeast strains. This can be done by determining if enough RNA is produced for protein expression to occur, if the expression levels of these proteins are not very low and lastly if the expression conditions are optimal for the expression of these two proteins (VP2 and VP6).

## Chapter 4:

## Concluding remarks and future prospects

Rotavirus causes gastroenteritis worldwide with children in developing countries, such as Sub-Saharan Africa and India, being affected the most. The two licensed rotavirus live attenuated vaccines (Rotarix and RotaTeq) have various shortcomings as described in Chapter 1 (section 1.9.3). Therefore, safer and better locally effective alternative vaccine candidates should be investigated such as non-live vaccine candidates since they have advantages that live attenuated vaccines do not have. One such an advantage is the fact that they contain the same antigenic properties as live vaccines but cannot replicate because they do not contain any genetic material. It has also been shown that the currently licensed rotavirus vaccines are not as efficacious in developing countries such as in Africa where their combined efficiency is only $55.4 \%$. Factors that can affect the efficacy might be the frequent occurrence of breastfeeding, malnutrition and the prevalence of HIV (immuno-compromised) infections in these regions. It can also be due to the fact that different serotypes are prevalent in these regions (Seheri et al., 2014). Other disadvantages of the currently licensed vaccines include the high cost of the vaccines and the fact that the vaccine manufacturing companies do not have the production capacity to produce enough vaccines for global distribution. In this study the local GR10924 G9P[6] strain was used, since the G9 and P6 serotypes are prevalent in Sub-Saharan Africa.

The first experimental chapter (Chapter 2) of this study reported the cloning and expression of the bacterial codon optimised open reading frames (ORFs) of genome segment 2 (VP2) and genome segment 6 (VP6) in bacteria. The ORFs of the bacterial codon optimised genome segment 2 (VP2) and genome segment 6 (VP6) were sub-cloned into the dual expression vector, pETDuet-1, from their original vectors, respectively, using compatible restriction enzymes. Three constructs were prepared for the bacterial codon optimised genome segments namely, pETDuet-1_VP6, pETDuet-1_VP2 and pETDuet-1_VP2/6, to evaluate the individual and co-expression of VP2 (genome segment 2) and VP6 (genome segment 6) in bacteria. The individual expression of VP6 resulted in insoluble proteins, as observed by a Coomassie stained SDS-PAGE. In order to investigate if the VP6 protein can be expressed soluble, the construct was expressed with the chaperone pGro7 and analysed with three different lysis buffers namely (i) Phosphate buffer solution (PBS) containing 0.5\% nonyl phenoxyl-polyethoxyl-ethanol (NP40), (ii) 10 mM Tris containing 0.1 mM EDTA, $1 \%$
dissolved organic carbon (DOC), $0.1 \%$ SDS and (iii) 10 mM Tris containing 0.1 mM EDTA, $1 \%$ SDS. Results were obtained that showed that the VP6 protein could be soluble in bacteria with the second lysis buffer ( 10 mM Tris; 0.1 mM EDTA, $1 \%$ DOC; $0.1 \%$ SDS). The expression of the bacterial codon optimised VP2 as well as the co-expression of the bacterial codon optimised VP2/6 was not possible. To eliminate the possible reasons for the failure to express, the DColdTF_VP2 construct, a cold shock based expression vector, was used to determine if the VP2 protein could be expressed. Since, the bacterial codon optimised VP2 could be expressed in the pColdTF vector but not in the pETDuet-1 vector, it is possible that the problem may be with the second multiple cloning site of pETDuet-1. Two points support this possibility. Firstly, the VP2 protein (genome segment 2) could be expressed in the pColdTF vector. Secondly, sequencing confirmed that no mutations were introduced into the open reading frame of genome segment 2 (VP2) during cloning. However, it has to be taken into consideration that the VP2 protein was expressed in the presence of a trigger factor in the pColdTF vector. Therefore, future studies still have to be conducted to determine exactly what caused VP2 not to express using the pETDuet-1 vector and if the expression of VP2 is only possible in the presence of a chaperone such as a trigger factor.

The second experimental chapter (Chapter 3) reports the engineering of several yeast strains that contain the ORFs of the wild type genome segment 2 and genome segment 6 that could express the rotavirus proteins (VP2 and VP6). The ORF of the wild type genome segment 2 (VP2) and genome segment 6 (VP6) was sub-cloned from their original plasmids, respectively, using compatible restriction enzyme sites. Two constructs were obtained namely pKM173_VP2 and pKM177_VP6. The expression cassette containing genome segment 6 as well as the yeast promoter and terminator regions were sub-cloned from pKM177_VP6 into the pKM173_VP2 construct, using the compatible restriction enzyme IScel. This yielded the construct pKM173_VP2/6 which was used for all expression purposes. The pKM173_VP2/6 construct was transformed into several yeast strains namely Kluyveromyces marxianus, Kluyveromyces lactis, Yarrowia lipolytica, Debaryomyces hansenii, Candida deformans, Hansenula polymorpha, Arxula adeninivorans and Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Co-expression of VP2 (genome segment 2) and VP6 (genome segment 6) could not be observed using western blot analysis. Two types of antibodies and western blot analysis were used. Firstly, the Pierce ECL western blotting substrate method was used with the goat polyclonal anti-rotavirus antibody and the secondary antibody donkey horseradish peroxidase conjugated anti-goat IgG. Secondly, the BCIP-T/NBT western blotting substrate method was used with the group specific (VP6) antibody. Coexpression of VP2 and VP6 was not detected in the several yeast strains screened, using
both western blot methods and both antibodies. To determine the possible reason for the proteins not expressing in the yeast strains, DNA extraction from the recombinant yeast strains was done. Two colonies of each of the various yeast strains, which were used for the expression experiments, were chosen for DNA extraction to determine whether or not the constructs contained the two genome segments of interest. It was observed that some of the constructs only contained one of the genome segments of interest and that only four of the eighteen constructs screened contained both genome segments 2 and 6. Therefore, further studies will have to be conducted to determine why the co-expression of VP2/6 was not possible in several yeast strains. Future experiments that could be conducted are to i) determine why some of the constructs only contained one of the two genome segments of interest and ii) determine if RNA was produced for the translation of proteins for expression.

When future studies are conducted and the co-expression of VP2/6, in bacteria and yeast, can be achieved, the next step will be to determine if double-layered particles (DLPs) can be produced in bacteria and yeast, by means of transmission electron microscopy. If DLPs can be produced based on local rotavirus strains, in these expression systems, it might become possible to produce safer and more efficacious alternatives to the currently licensed rotavirus vaccines or complement the current live rotavirus vaccines in developing countries.

## Appendix A

## Materials utilized in study

| Item | Product number | Supplier |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 x Laemmli sample buffer | $161-0737$ | Biorad |
| 6 x Orange loading dye | R0631 | Fermentas |
| Acetic acid | 1.0063 .2500 | Merck |
| Acrylamide | 1.00209 .10 | Merck |
| AGFA rapid developing solution | G153 | AGFA |
| AGFA rapid fixer solution | G354 | AGFA |
| Ammonium persulfate | A0166 | Sigma |
| Ampicillin | M02895 | Sigma |
| Antartic Phosphatse | R0821 | Biolabs |
| BCIP-T | ER0081 | Thermo Scientific |
| BgIII | B3876 | Fermentas |
| Bicine | BO5 | Sigma |
| Buffer O | BR5 | Fermentas |
| Buffer R | $70584-4$ | Fermentas |
| Bugbuster | D2650 | Novagen |
| Coomassie Brilliant Blue R250 | Sigma |  |
| DMSO | A |  |


| dNTP | BG3001A | Takara |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Donkey-anti-goat lgG | Ab97120 | Abcam |
| DTT | R0862 | Thermo Scientific |
| Eco47III | ER0321 | Fermentas |
| EcoRI | ER0271 | Fermentas |
| EDTA | G3685 | Fluka analytical |
| Ethanol | 1.00983 .2500 | Merck |
| Ethidium bromide | 160539 | Sigma |
| Ex-Taq buffer | RR001A | Takara |
| Ex-Taq enzyme | RR001AM | Takara |
| Fermentas pageruler | SM1811 | Fermentas |
| GoTaq | M891A | Promega |
| Kanamycin | Lithium acetate | M8303 |


| Magnesium Chloride (PCR purpose) | F510MG | Finnzymes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magnesium Chloride | 8.14733 .0500 | Merck |
| Magnesium Sulfate | M4643 | Merck |
| Methanol | 1.03009.2500 | Merck |
| Rotavirus group specific antigen | sc-52198 | Santa Cruz |
| NBT | R0841 | Thermo scientific |
| Ncol | ER0571 | Fermentas |
| N'N, Bis-acrylamide | 130672 | Sigma |
| NP40 | 74385 | Fluka |
| Notl | ER0592 | Fermentas |
| Nucleospin extract II kit | 636972 | Clonetech |
| O'Generuler DNA ladder mix | SM1773 | Fermentas |
| pETDuet-1 | TB337 | Novagen |
| PEG-4000 | 8.07490 .1000 | Merck |
| Phosphate buffer solution (PBS) | P4417 | Sigma |
| Polyclonal goat primary antibody | Ab69560 | Abcam |
| Ponceou S | 101195165 | Sigma |
| Potassium acetate | 204.8222 | Sigma |
| Potassium chloride | AB004936.500 | Merck |
| Promega Pureyield plasmid midi-prep system | A2495 | Promega |


| Protein loading buffer x 4 dual colour | R1011 | Fermentas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QIAGEN ${ }^{\circledR}$ Plasmid Mini, Midi, Maxi, Mega and Giga kit | 12145 | Qiagen |
| SDS | L-4390 | Sigma |
| Sodium Chloride | S3014 | Sigma |
| Sodium hydroxide | S5881 | Sigma |
| Sorbitol | S1876 | Sigma |
| T4 DNA ligase | EL0011 | Thermo Scientific |
| T4 DNA ligase buffer | B69 | Thermo Scientific |
| Tango buffer | BY5 | Fermentas |
| TEMED | 1.10732 .026 | Merck |
| Trisbase | 11814273001 | Roche |
| Tryptone | 1.10676 .0500 | Merck |
| Tween | 1001331191 | Sigma |
| Xbal | ER0681 | Fermentas |
| Xhol | ER091 | Fermentas |
| XmaJl (Avrlı) | ER1561 | Fermentas |
| Yeast extract | HG00BX6.500 | Merck |
| ZR Fungal/Bacterial DNA MiniPrep | D6005 | Zymo Research |
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## Abbreviations

## Chapter 1:

ACIP: Advisory committee on immunization practice

BBIL: Bharat Biotech International Ltd

Bp: Base pair
BVES: Baculovirus expression system
B.subtillus: Bacillus subtilis

Ca: Calcium

CD8 ${ }^{+}$-cells: Cytotoxic T-cells
CD4 ${ }^{+}$T-cells: T-helper cells
CDC: Center for Disease control and prevention
CT: Cholera toxin
DLP: Double-layered particle

DNA: Deoxyribonucleic acid
dsRNA: Double stranded ribonucleic acid
E.coli: Escherichia coli

EDIM: Epizootic diarrhoea of infant mice
eIF4G: Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4G

ER: Endoplasmic reticulum
FDA: Food and Drug administration
GAVI: Global Alliance for vaccine and immunisation

G-type: Glycosylated structure
HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus
IFN: Interferon
$\operatorname{Ig} \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{G} / \mathbf{M}$ : Immunoglobulin $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{M}$
IPV: Inactivated polio vaccine
IRF: Interferon regulatory factor
IRV: Inactivated rotavirus vaccine

ISG: Interferon stimulated gene
LT: Heat labile toxin

MBP: Maltose binding protein
mRNA: Messenger ribonucleic acid
NF: Nuclear factor
NIAID: National Institute of Allergy and infectious diseases
NIH: National Institute of Health
NSP: Non-structural proteins
NTPase: Nucleoside triphosphatases
OmpA: Outer membrane protein A
OPV: Oral polio vaccine
PABP: Poly (A) binding protein
PATH: Program for Appropriate Technology in Health
PCV: Porcine circovirus
P-type: Protease sensitive
PRR: Pattern recognition receptors
PRV: Porcine rotavirus

RNA: Ribonucleic acid
RRV-TV vaccine: Rhesus rotavirus tetravalent vaccine

SAGE: Strategic advisory group of experts
SCID: Severe combined immunodeficiency
ssRNA: Single stranded ribonucleic acid
TB: Tuberculosis
TLP: Triple-layered particle

US: United States
VAERS: Vaccine Adverse events reporting system
VLP: Virus-like particle
VP: Virus protein
WHO: World Health Organization

## Chapter 2:

x g: G-force
$\mu \mathrm{g}:$ Microgram
$\mu \mathrm{I}$ : Microliter
$\boldsymbol{\mu m}$; Micromolar
APS: Ammoniumperoxodisulphate

DMSO: Dimethylsulfoxide
dNTP: Deoxynucleotide Triphosphate
DOC: Dissolved organic carbon
EDTA: Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid
gor: Glutathione reductase

IDT: Integrated DNA technologies
IPTG: Isopropyl- $\beta$-D-thio-galactoside
kDa: Kilo Dalton
M: Molar
MCS: Multiple cloning site
mg: Miligram
ml: Mililiter
mM: Milimolar
NP40: Nonyl phenoxyl-polyethoxyl-ethanol
ng: Nanogram
nm: Nano metre
ORF: Open reading frame
Ori: Origin of replication
PBS: Phosphate buffer solution
PCR: Polymerase chain reaction
rbs: Ribosome binding site
rpm: Rotation per minute
SDS: Sodium deodecyl sulphate
SOB: Super optimal broth
TAE: Tris acetate Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid
TEMED: Tetramethylethylenediamine
trxB: Thioredoxin reductase

V: Volt
v/v: Volume/Volume
w/v: Weight/ Volume

## Chapter 3:

AP: Alkaline phosphatase

DTT: Diyhiothreitol
hph: Hygromycin B resistance gene
LiAc: Lithium acetate

NBT: Nitro blue tetrazolium
NCDV: Nebraska calf diarrhoea virus
OSB: One step buffer
PEG: Polyethylene glycol
TEF: Translation elongation factor
TGS: Tris glycine SDS (Sodium deodecyl sulphate)
UFS: University of the Free State
YPD: Yeast extract peptone dextrose
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