Author: I de Villiers

SPATIAL PRACTICES IN LOWLIEBENHOF: THE CASE OF MAPHANGO V AENGUS LIFESTYLE PROPERTIES (PTY) LTD

ISSN 1727-3781



2014 VOLUME 17 No 5

http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/pelj.v17i5.08

SPATIAL PRACTICES IN LOWLIEBENHOF: THE CASE OF *MAPHANGO V* AENGUS LIFESTYLE PROPERTIES (PTY) LTD

I de Villiers^{*}

1 Introduction

The case of *Maphango v Aengus Lifestyle Properties (Pty) Ltd*⁴ raised a number of interesting questions in its aftermath. Some of these inquiries include an extensive analysis of rent control² and conceptualising an unfair practice regime in landlord-tenant law.³ The case has also been used for illuminating the complex concept of subsidiarity,⁴ for discussions on a culture of commitment to the common law,⁵ and to illustrate the "two sides of the coin" that is the South African law of lease.⁶ Central to the case is also the tension between inner city rejuvenation projects and the interests of those who have made a home in the not-yet-rejuvenated inner city.⁷ The

^{*} Isolde de Villiers. LLB LLM (UP). Lecturer, Department of Jurisprudence, University of Pretoria. Email: Isolde.devilliers@up.ac.za.

¹ Maphango v Aengus Lifestyle Properties (Pty) Ltd 2012 5 BCLR 449 (CC) (hereafter Maphango).

² Sue-Mari Maass relies on Karl Klare's project of transformative constitutionalism (Klare 1998 SAJHR 146) in Maass 2012 SAPL 652-670. In the light of the possibility presented by the project of transformative constitutionalism to challenge unequal power relationships that undermine constitutional values, she welcomes the Constitutional Court's interpretation of the *Rental Housing Act* 50 of 1999 in *Maphango* because it enables Tribunals to provide increased tenure protection to tenants that are struggling.

³ Maass 2012 *PER* 41-100. She refers to *Maphango v Aengus Lifestyle Properties* 2011 5 SA 19 (SCA) and two other Supreme Court of Appeal decisions: *Occupiers, Shulana Court, 11 Hendon Road, Yeoville, Johannesburg v Steele* 2010 4 All SA 54 (SCA) and *City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality v Blue Moonlight Properties* 2011 4 SA 337 (SCA). All three of these cases concerned disputes between low-income tenants and private landlords.

⁴ See Van der Walt *Property and Constitution* 57-61.

⁵ Frank Michelman, during a public lecture at the Constitutional Court in 2012, referred to the decision as one that "may or may not" be an example of "the ways in which the dignity of the common law shows up in South African constitutional adjudications" (Michelman 2012 *Harvard Public Law Working Paper*). He describes this culture of commitment as an "inclination of lawyers to defer to the common law, including a reluctance of lawyers to conclude that the words of a statute, or of the Constitution, have actually meant to command a deviation from the common law" (Michelman 2012 *Harvard Public Law Working Paper* 3).

⁶ Philip Stoop relied on the case to illustrate what he refers to as the two sides of the coin that is the South African law of lease (Stoop 2013 *IJPL* 329-340). On the one hand, s 26 of the *Constitution of the Republic of South Africa*, 1996 (hereafter the *Constitution*) provides that the state must afford access to adequate housing. On the other hand, landlords should be protected, as rental housing forms a large portion of the South African housing market. He expresses the concern that if a landlord's right to dispose of his property as he wishes is continuously eroded by a tenant's right to access to adequate housing, the sustainability of the rental market will be affected.

⁷ The issue of gentrification and city clean-up operations is a well-known problem in Johannesburg. The most recent manifestation of this is the removal of informal traders from the

narrow focus of this note is the manner in which the Constitutional Court in the *Maphango* case interpreted the concept of "practice" in the *Rental Housing Act*⁸ and how this in turn reveals the spatial politics of urban spaces. I rely on Michel De Certeau's⁹ theory on the practice of everyday life and bring it in relation to Henri Lefebvre's¹⁰ notion of inhabitance. My inquiry is informed by the spatial justice turn.¹¹ After setting out the facts and litigation background of the case, I discuss the Constitutional Court's treatment of the concept of "practice" in the *Maphango* case. Thereafter I briefly look at how unfair labour practices are defined and interpreted in the context of labour law in order to establish the significance of this comparable concept and the role it played in determining the content of unfair practices in the context of the *Rental Housing Act*. Finally I investigate the idea of "practice" from the vantage point of spatial justice, within theories of everyday life, and in conclusion turn briefly to Doreen Massey's¹² "place called home".

An understanding of "practice" as tactics within the context of spatial justice presents a different angle from which to look at the conduct of both the landlord and the tenant beyond the question of the requirement of continuation or repetition. The argument of the main judgment in the *Maphango* case raises a clear link between labour and home, which provides a link between spatial practices and spatial politics.¹³ In the context of spatial justice the practices of everyday life are often described as potentially subversive acts that challenge spatial arrangements. The distinction between house and home corresponds with the differentiation between habitat and inhabitance as raised by Lefebvre.¹⁴ Everyday spatial practices form part of inhabitance and distinguish inhabited places from mere habitats.

inner city of Johannesburg. See for example Tau *The Citizen* 9 and SERI's condemnation of the crackdown at SERI 2013 http://www.seri-sa.org/index.php/38-latest-news/202-seri-condemns-the-crack-down-on-informal-traders-in-inner-city-johannesburg-23-october-2013.

⁸ *Rental Housing Act* 50 of 1999 (hereafter the *Rental Housing Act*).

⁹ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life;* De Certeau, Jameson and Lovitt 1980 *Social Text*.

¹⁰ Lefebvre *Critique of Everyday Life;* Lefebvre *Critique of Everyday Life III* and Lefebvre *Production of Space*.

¹¹ Spatial justice acknowledges that space is intertwined with normative production. See for example Philippopoulos-Mihalopoulos 2010 *Int'l JLC* 201-216.

¹² Massey *Space, Place and Gender*.

¹³ This link draws from the work of Lefebvre and his concept of the production of space, which are based on Marxist theories of production and labour.

¹⁴ Section 3.4 below deals with the work of Lefebvre.

2 Maphango: facts and litigation background

Lowliebenhof is a block of apartments in the inner city of Johannesburg. It is a ten story apartment block in Braamfontein and the applicants had different rental agreements in terms of which they lived there.¹⁵ These lease agreements were initially concluded with various landlords and therefore they contained different termination and escalation clauses.¹⁶ The first lease agreement dated back to 1994. The respondent-landlord became involved in the management of Lowliebenhof in 2007 through an associated company.¹⁷ The respondent took transfer of the entire block in 2009, improved the building and wanted to increase the rent while claiming that the upgrading of the apartment block was in line with the "city's initiative at refurbishing and upgrading the Johannesburg inner city".¹⁸ The respondent attempted this increase by cancelling the existing rental contracts and offering new contracts on increased rental terms. The *Maphango* case concerned the question of whether the landlord was allowed to cancel the leases and evict the tenants in the event that they refused to accept the revised contracts. Even though the revised rental amounts were based on market-related rentals the revision resulted in a significant increase of the existing rent. For some of the tenants it meant a 100% increase and for others as much as 150%.¹⁹

The litigation history of the applicants living in the block had started prior to the respondent-landlord's acquisition of the apartment block as a whole. The landlord prior to the respondent in the case had issued written notices to vacate in September 2008, upon which the tenants had lodged a complaint at the Gauteng Rental Housing Tribunal.²⁰ In October 2008 a mediation meeting had been convened

¹⁵ *Maphango* para 6.

¹⁶ *Maphango* paras 6-8.

¹⁷ In the Supreme Court of Appeal judgment *Maphango v Aengus Lifestyle Properties* 2011 5 SA 19 (SCA) para 4, Judge of Appeal Brand explains that "The respondent purchased the property in 2007, but only became the owner in May 2009 ... It was not a party to the leases ... However, by operation of the common law principle of *huur gaat voor koop*, the respondent became the successor to all rights and obligations deriving from these lease agreements, when it became owner of the building."

¹⁸ *Maphango* para 11.

¹⁹ *Maphango* para 88.

²⁰ In terms of s 13 of the *Rental Housing Act. Gauteng Unfair Practices Regulations* (PN 4004 in PG 124 of 4 July 2001) (*Gauteng Unfair Practices Regulations*). Both the *Gauteng Unfair Practices*

and because the parties could not reach an agreement at this meeting the matter set down for arbitration to take place in June 2009.²¹ In the interval between mediation and arbitration the landlord at the time had waited for the three-month moratorium²² period to expire and in February 2009 had made an application in the Magistrate's court for the tenants to be evicted.²³ However, subsequent to this application, formal transfer had taken place, the respondent had become the owner of the block, and the eviction proceedings had been withdrawn in May 2009. This withdrawal had not brought about the end of the eviction proceedings though, because the day after withdrawal the new landlord and respondent in this case had instituted fresh eviction proceedings, this time in the High Court. On 7 May 2010 the High Court had granted an eviction order in favour of the landlord.²⁴

In the Constitutional Court the majority-formulated question before the court was whether the termination was capable of constituting an unfair practice and not whether the *Rental Housing Act* prohibited the landlord from terminating the tenants' leases in order to secure higher rents. Judge Cameron referred to the case of *Media Workers Association of South Africa v Press Corporation of South Africa Ltd*²⁵ to argue that the question before the court was not merely a question of fact

Regulations and the *Procedural Regulations* purport to be issued under s 15 of the Act, which gives the National Minister of Housing (Human Settlements) power to make regulations. The Act provides in s 7 that the Member of the Executive Council (the MEC) of a province responsible for housing matters may by notice in the *Gazette* establish a tribunal in the province to be known as the Rental Housing Tribunal. The Gauteng Rental Housing Tribunal was established in terms of the PN 4216 in PG 127 of 18 July 2001. *Maphango* para 13.

²¹ *Maphango* para 14.

²² S 13(7) of the *Rental Housing Act* places a three-month moratorium on evictions, given that the tenant continues to pay rent.

²³ In terms of s 13(7) of the *Rental Housing Act* a landlord may not evict a tenant who is still paying rent until the Tribunal has made a ruling or a period of three months has elapsed. The three months start from the date of the complaint. This leads one to ask why an arbitration date was set for a time after three months from the date of the complaint. The complaint was lodged on 17 September 2008 and three months from then would have been 17 December 2008. The decision is silent on the events between the mediation proceedings and the date on which the arbitration was set down for. This period is, in my view, a key reason for the case's progressing to the Constitutional Court. It may be asked if this had an influence on the Constitutional Court's decision to refer the matter back to the Rental Housing Tribunal.

²⁴ The case in the High Court has not been reported.

²⁵ Media Workers Association of South Africa v Press Corporation of South Africa Ltd 1992 4 SA 791 (A) (hereafter Perskor).

but also a question of law be introduced and determined at the stage of appeal.²⁶ Judge Cameron held further that the *Rental Housing Act* applied to the case and had to be interpreted in the light of the *Constitution*. After finding that the landlord's termination of the leases constituted an "unfair practice" in terms of the *Rental Housing Act*, the majority referred the matter back to the Rental Housing Tribunal. Emphasis was placed on two Constitutional Court decisions by the court on the right to access to housing,²⁷ and on how the "right of access to adequate housing ripples out to private rights".²⁸

The minority judgment, per Judge Zondo, argued that the main judgment had not decided the issue before the court, namely the decision of the Supreme Court of Appeal, and not the question of whether or not the landlord's conduct constituted an unfair practice in terms of the *Rental Housing Act*.²⁹ Accordingly the minority judgment held that the applicants' leases had been validly terminated. In a concurring judgement Judge Froneman, with Judge Yacoob, agreed with the majority judgment and the decision that the Rental Housing Act should apply.³⁰

²⁶ In *Maphango* note 98 attached to para 47 Judge Cameron refers to the *Perskor*-case and quotes from paras 798E-I: "... the definition of an unfair practice entails a determination of the effects or possible effects of certain practices, and of the fairness of such effects". The appellate division held that "a decision of the Court pursuant to [whether the conduct is an unfair labour practice] is not a decision on a question of law in the strict sense of the term. It is the passing of a moral judgment on a combination of findings of fact and opinion".

²⁷ In a footnote Judge Cameron refers to the leading court cases in the issue of considering all relevant circumstances: *City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality v Blue Moonlight Properties 39 (Pty) Ltd* 2012 2 BCLR 150 (CC); *Occupiers of Skurweplaas 353 JR v PPC Aggregate Quarries (Pty) Ltd* 2012 4 *BCLR* 382 (CC) and *Occupiers of Portion R25 of the Farm Mooiplaats 355 JR v Golden Thread Ltd* 2012 4 BCLR 372 (CC).

²⁸ Maphango para 34. Specific reference was made to the fact that debt recovery is subject to judicial consideration of s 26 before creditors can levy execution on the home of a debtor. In this regard the court relied on the Constitutional Court's decisions in *Gundwana v Steko Development* 2011 3 SA 608 (CC) and *Jaftha v Schoeman; Van Rooyen v Stolz* 2005 2 SA 140 (CC). *Maphango* para 33: "And while a private landowner cannot be expected to house unlawful occupiers indefinitely, its right not to be arbitrarily deprived of property must be interpreted in conjunction with the constitutional requirement that every eviction be made by court order after considering all the relevant circumstances."

²⁹ The right not to be arbitrarily deprived of property is contained in s 25(1) of the *Constitution* and the constitutional requirement to consider all relevant circumstances in every case of eviction in s 26(3). See also *Maphango* para 146.

³⁰ Maphango para 158. Judge Froneman wrote the majority judgment in the Schubart Park-case decided by the Constitutional Court shortly after Maphango. Judgment was handed down on 9 October 2012 in favour of the Schubart park residents, who had been evacuated by the Tshwane Metro Council in September 2011 because of an alleged safety risk posed by the dilapidated condition of the high-rise apartments (Schubart Park Residents Association v City of Tshwane Metropolitan Municipality 2013 1 BCLR 68 (CC) (hereafter Schubart Park)). Schubart Park is also

Froneman J deemed it necessary to add the following, in response to the arguments of the minority judgment:

It is common cause that section 26 of the Constitution is implicated. Interpretation of what constitutes an "unfair practice" under the Act in light of this is thus inevitably a constitutional issue, a matter of law. Interpretation and application of the law under the Constitution is never a mechanical application of rules; it always involves a value judgment. Our Constitution and law are infused with moral values. The days of denying the value-laden content of law are long gone.³¹

The Court was divided on whether or not judgment in terms of the concept of unfair practice should come into play, even though the parties did not expressly place it before the Constitutional Court. The majority viewed it as a question of law and therefore argued that it should be part of the Court's consideration, while for the minority it was a value judgment that had to be raised by the parties and could therefore not be raised by the Court. The concurring judgement argued that the distinction between questions of law and value judgements is a false dichotomy given the requirements of constitutionalism in South African jurisprudence. I now turn to the concept of practice.

3 Practices

3.1 The practice of Aengus Propriety Holdings

The Supreme Court of Appeal relied on the definition of "practice" in the *Concise Oxford English Dictionary*³² and rejected the tenants' contention that the termination of their leases contravened the *Rental Housing Act* based on the argument, amongst others, that the landlord's conduct was an isolated occasion and could therefore not constitute a practice.³³ As already noted, the majority in the Constitutional Court

³² Maphango v Aengus Lifestyle Properties 2011 5 SA 19 (SCA) para 34.

an example of struggling tenants in a city high-rise block subjected to the rejuvenation project of the block's owner. Whereas Lowliebenhof in *Maphango* is owned by a business enterprise, Schubart Park is the property of the city. Tshwane 2055 envisages the refurbishment of the Schubart Park complex as part of the Western Precinct project. In *Schubart Park* the tenants triumphed over the City of Tshwane Metropolitan Council and the Council was ordered to meaningfully engage with the residents. Unfortunately the 3000-5000 inhabitants of Schubart Park had, by the time that the judgment was given, already been evicted a year earlier. In his judgment Judge Froneman refers to a number of cases on eviction but does not refer to *Maphango*.

³¹ *Maphango v Aengus Lifestyle Properties* 2011 5 SA 19 (SCA) para 151.

³³ *Maphango v Aengus Lifestyle Properties* 2011 5 SA 19 (SCA) para 34.

argued that the *Rental Housing Act* does apply and should be considered as a question of law, which any party is free to raise at any time.³⁴ The minority differed by supporting the argument of the Appellate Division that the consideration of "unfair practice" constituted a question of value judgment and not a question of law.³⁵ Maass³⁶ stresses the importance of the manner in which Judge Cameron formulated the question: "whether the termination was capable of constituting an unfair practice". She argues that the fact that the Court did not ask if the ground for termination amounted to an unfair practice is an indication that the ground for termination is not markedly significant in determining whether termination of the lease amounted to an unfair practice or not.³⁷

In giving content to the concept of "practice" Judge Cameron refers to the *Labour Relations Act* 28 of 1956 and the 1986 case of *Marievale Consolidated Mines v President of the Industrial Court*³⁸ to highlight that practice as a single act has long been established in South African law.³⁹ For him the authority in the *Marievale* case forms "the interpretive backdrop for understanding the use of the word 'practice' in the Act".⁴⁰ He quotes Judge Goldstone: "a practice does not in any way relate to habitual or repetitious conduct".⁴¹ Furthermore, he also relies on the definition in the *Shorter English Dictionary* to argue that one of the ordinary meanings of the word "practice" accords with the meaning of a single act.⁴² The *Rental Housing Act* lists examples of unfair practices. The nature of these examples is not such that it is

³⁴ *Maphango* paras 29, 56.

³⁵ *Maphango* para 106.

³⁶ Maass 2012 *SAPL* 660.

³⁷ Maass 2012 *SAPL* note 52.

³⁸ *Marievale Consolidated Mines v President of the Industrial Court* 1986 2 SA 485 (T) (hereafter *Marievale*).

³⁹ Maphango v Aengus Lifestyle Properties 2011 5 SA 19 (SCA) para 57. In this regard he refers to Marievale 491H-I, 498B-D. The Marievale interpretation of practice has been applied in Media Workers Association of South Africa v Press Corporation of South Africa Ltd 1992 4 SA 791 (A) 798G; National Union of Metalworkers of South Africa v Macsteel (Pty) Ltd 1992 3 SA 809 (A) 814C-D; and National Union of Mineworkers v East Rand Gold and Uranium Co Ltd 1992 1 SA 700 (A) 734G. See note 111 attached to para 57 of Maphango.

⁴⁰ *Maphango v Aengus Lifestyle Properties* 2011 5 SA 19 (SCA) para 57.

⁴¹ *Marievale* paras 491H-I, 498B-D. See *Maphango v Aengus Lifestyle Properties* 2011 5 SA 19 (SCA) note 110 attached to para 57.

⁴² *The Shorter Oxford Dictionary* (quoted by Brassey *et al New Labour Law* 49) defines "practice" as (also) "the action of doing something... an action, a deed".

necessarily repetitive or continuous.⁴³ In the context of labour law, the term "labour practice" can be interpreted to mean a single act.⁴⁴ The majority in *Maphango* found it problematic that the Supreme Court of Appeal required unfair conduct to be perpetual in order to qualify as a practice and accordingly be challenged under the *Rental Housing Act*. Judge Cameron finally argues that a limited interpretation of the term "practice" would stifle the Act's aim to give effect to the right of access to adequate housing and would therefore not accord with the *Constitution*.⁴⁵

3.2 Unfair labour practices

Judge Zondo, in the minority judgment, invokes the *Labour Relations Act*⁴⁶ in the context of the failed mediation proceedings between the parties on 22 October 2008. The mediator issued a certificate to the effect that the matter had not been resolved. In connection with this certificate Judge Zondo remarks that "[i]t would seem that drafters of the [Rental Housing Act] modelled... [it] along the same lines as the Labour Relations Act 66 of 1995".⁴⁷ He refers specifically to measures for dispute resolution in these two acts and lists the similarities: the *Rental Housing Act*, complaints based on unfair practices in terms of both acts follow the process of conciliation or mediation and, if this fails, to arbitration, the *Labour Relations Act* establishes the Commission for Conciliation Mediation and Arbitration (CCMA) as a tribunal for conducting most of the mediation and arbitrations, and the *Rental Housing Act* establishes the Rental Housing Tribunal for this purpose.⁴⁸

⁴³ These examples are stipulated in s 15(1) under the ministerial power to regulate unfair practices, which may amongst other things relate to: (i) the changing of locks; (ii) deposits; (iii) damage to property; (iv) demolitions and conversions; (vi) forced entry and obstruction of entry; (vii) House Rules, subject to the *Sectional Titles Act* 95 of 1986; (viii) intimidation; (ix) issuing of receipts; (x) tenants' committees; (xi) municipal services; (xii) nuisances; (xiii) overcrowding and health matters; (xiv) tenant activities; (xv) maintenance; (xvi) reconstruction or refurbishment work.

⁴⁴ Van Niekerk *et al Work@Law* 169.

⁴⁵ Maphango v Aengus Lifestyle Properties 2011 5 SA 19 (SCA) para 57: "The Act is a postconstitutional enactment adopted expressly to give effect to the right of access to adequate housing."

⁴⁶ Labour Relations Act 66 of 1995 (hereafter the Labour Relations Act).

⁴⁷ *Maphango* note 7 attached to para 82.

⁴⁸ *Maphango* note 7 attached to para 82.

The definition of "unfair practice" in the *Rental Housing Act* was replaced by section 1 of the 2007 *Rental Housing Amendment Act.*⁴⁹ Prior to this amendment, an unfair practice meant "a practice prescribed as a practice unreasonably prejudicing the rights or interests of a tenant or a landlord".⁵⁰ The definition was explicitly altered to include any act or omission (in the singular) that contravenes the act by either party to a rental agreement. In the light of this extended and seemingly clear definition, the Constitutional Court's extensive reliance on the Labour Relations Act for the interpretation of "practice" in the *Rental Housing Act* is interesting. The court in *Maphango* explains that there is a presumption that the legislature is aware of existing judicial interpretations of comparable concepts in legislation.⁵¹ The 1995 Labour Relations Act and the 1999 Rental Housing Act do not only contain comparable concepts, but excerpts from their long titles, stated purpose and preambles also illustrate their similar objectives.⁵² The *Rental Housing Act* emphasises the need for mechanisms through which conflicts between tenants and landlords can be resolved speedily at minimum cost to the parties.⁵³ In a similar vein the Labour Relations Act reiterates the need for the creation of simple procedures for the resolution of labour disputes through statutory conciliation, mediation and arbitration. Whereas the Labour Relations Act was drafted to give effect to section 27 of the Constitution, the Rental Housing Act was drafted to give effect to section

⁴⁹ *Rental Housing Amendment Act* 43 of 2007.

⁵⁰ The Amendment Act therefore added the current paragraph (a) to the current paragraph (b) of the definition.

⁵¹ Maphango v Aengus Lifestyle Properties 2011 5 SA 19 (SCA) para 57. In footnote 112 Judge Cameron refers to the following cases in support of this presumption: Fundstrust (Pty) Ltd (in liquidation) v Van Deventer 1997 1 SA 710 (A) 732A-B; S v Marais 1982 3 SA 988 (A) 1017E-G, per Acting Judge of Appeal Botha, concurring in the majority judgment; Cooper v Trustee in Insolvent Estate of Pretorius 1967 3 SA 602 (O) 610-611. The following authors are also referenced for authority on this point: De Ville Constitutional and Statutory Interpretation 216-217; Devenish Interpretation of Statutes 135; and Du Plessis Interpretation of Statutes 70.

⁵² By invoking the preamble of the *Rental Housing Act*, the Constitutional Court in *Maphango* emphasises that "rental housing is a key component of the housing sector" and that there is a "need to promote the provisions of rental housing" (*Maphango* para 35).

⁵³ Part A of Schedule 4 to the *Constitution* provides that housing is a functional area of concurrent national and provincial competence. The *Rental Housing Act* made provision for provincial measures to secure its practical operation. From the preamble of the *Rental Housing Act*: "a need to balance the rights of tenants and landlords and to create mechanisms to protect both tenants and landlords against unfair practices and exploitation"; definition of "unfair practice" ("a practice prescribed as a practice unreasonably prejudicing the rights or interests of a tenant or a landlord") and s 13(5) (rent determinations must be "just and equitable to both tenant and landlord").

26 of the *Constitution*.⁵⁴ Drafted in close proximity as constitutional legislation, these acts have a shared political and legal culture within which they came into existence. The minority, despite not being prepared to entertain the question of "unfair practice" as a question of law in the case, nonetheless concedes to the similarities between the *Labour Relations Act* and the *Rental Housing Act*.

The difference between proving an unfair labour practice in terms of the *Labour Relations Act* as opposed to discrimination as defined in the *Constitution* and the *Employment Equity Act*⁵⁵ is important for establishing the correct forum for resolving the dispute.⁵⁶ Unless the parties agree to arbitration, disputes involving discrimination must be referred to the Labour Court or the civil courts for adjudication. Unfair labour practice disputes, in terms of section 186(2), must be referred for arbitration under the *Labour Relations Act*.⁵⁷ A comparable restriction appears in the *Rental Housing Act*: Housing Tribunals can hear matters concerning unfair practices, but not matters dealing with evictions.⁵⁸ The *Rental Housing Amendment Act* 43 of 2007 expressly deleted subparagraph (v), namely evictions, from the list of unfair practices in the ministerial regulatory powers.⁵⁹

⁵⁴ The question of unfair labour practices intersected with unfair rental practices in the case of SAFRAWU obo Members / Rainbow Farms 2002 11 BALR 1184 (CCMA). In this case the respondent rented out houses to some of the applicant's members, who were farm workers of the respondent. After not increasing the rent of these houses the respondent proposed to raise the rental of houses which it leased to some of the applicant's members. The applicant claimed that this constituted an unfair labour practice in relation to the provision of benefits. The respondent denied that the rented houses constituted a benefit. The commissioner held that it was unnecessary to determine if the provision of housing was a "benefit" as contemplated in the definition of unfair labour practice, because the union had failed to prove that the company had acted unfairly by proposing to increase the rentals. The company had notified the applicant of its intention to do so, and the matter had been discussed and negotiated. The case was brought and considered in terms of the Labour Relations Act and the Commission found that the provision of houses to employees did not constitute a benefit and that the increase therefore did not constitute an unfair labour practice. Had the dispute been brought in terms of the Rental Housing Act, the increase would have fulfilled the definition of unfair practice in terms of the Rental Housing Act.

⁵⁵ *Employment Equity Act* 55 of 1998.

⁵⁶ Grogan Workplace Law 74.

⁵⁷ Grogan Workplace Law 74.

⁵⁸ S 13(4) of the *Rental Housing Act*.

⁵⁹ The subparagraph was deleted by s 7(b) of the *Rental Housing Amendment Act* 43 of 2007. See also Maass 2012 *SAPL* 660 for a discussion of other amendments trough the *Rental Housing Amendment Act*.

The definitions for "unfair labour practice" in the *Labour Relations Act* and "unfair practice" in the *Rental Housing Act* read respectively:

Unfair labour practice means any unfair act or omission that arises between an employer and an *employee* involving-

(*a*) unfair conduct by the employer relating to the promotion, demotion, probation (excluding *disputes* about dismissals for a reason relating to probation) or training of an *employee* or relating to the provision of benefits to an *employee*;

(*b*) the unfair suspension of an *employee* or any other unfair disciplinary action short of dismissal in respect of an *employee*;

(*c*) a failure or refusal by an employer to reinstate or re-employ a former *employee* in terms of any agreement; and

(*d*) an occupational detriment, other than dismissal, in contravention of the Protected Disclosures Act, 2000 (Act No. 26 of 2000), on account of the *employee* having made a protected disclosure defined in that Act.⁶⁰

unfair practice means-

(a) any act or omission by a landlord or tenant in contravention of this Act; or

(*b*) a practice prescribed as a practice unreasonably prejudicing the rights or interests of a tenant or a landlord. 61

The Labour Court ruled that for employees to succeed with actions based on unfair labour practices the conduct must fall within this definition.⁶² The definition of "(unfair) practice" in the *Labour Relations Act* seems to refer mostly to conduct that consists of single acts, and does not necessarily need to be continuing conduct. The continuing nature of unfair labour practices does become relevant, however, in determining when disputes should be referred to a bargaining council or the CCMA for conciliation. In terms of section 191(1) of the *Labour Relations Act* the unfair

⁶⁰ This definition can be found in s 186(2) of the *Labour Relations Act*. S 23(1) of the *Constitution* also guarantees a fundamental right to fair labour practices by stating: "Everyone has the right to fair labour practices." This fundamental right entails three components, namely: (a) persons entitled to the right. It is clear that every person involved in an employment relationship, whether an employee, employer or body or entity, is entitled to fair labour practices; (b) a labour practice; and (c) a labour practice which is fair. It is uncertain, however, what meaning should be attached to the expression "fair labour practices" as referred to in the *Constitution*. In the *Labour Relations Act* the concept of unfair labour practice has been removed from Schedule 7 and incorporated within the *Labour Relations Act* alongside the dismissal provisions. The scope thereof remains basically the same but changes lie in the need to refer these forms of dispute within 90 days of occurrence or knowledge.

⁶¹ See the definition section of the *Rental Housing Act*.

⁶² Nawa v Department of Trade and Industry 1998 7 BLLR 701 (LC).

labour practice dispute should be referred within 90 days from the commission of the unfair labour practice or from the date when the employee became aware of the unfair labour practice. The Labour Appeal Court considered the requirement of unfair labour practices as continuing in the case of SABC Ltd v CCMA.⁶³ In this case the court acknowledged that unfair labour practices could have "on-going" effects, but the court also accepted that some unfair labour practices may indeed consist of "once-off" actions.⁶⁴ The question in the case concerned the time frame within which disputes based on unfair labour practices should be referred. The court found that a dispute can be referred at any time, as long as the effects of the unfair labour practice are still continuing. In this case the employer paid similarly gualified and skilled employees different salaries for doing similar work. According to the Labour Appeal Court the alleged discrimination was continuing and repetitive and "end[ed] only when the employer cease[d] paying different salaries".⁶⁵ Even though it was important in this case to acknowledge the on-going nature of the effects of unfair labour practices, the practices themselves were not continuous. From the definition and the cases discussed it is clear that continuation is not a requirement for acts to constitute "(unfair labour) practices" in terms of the Labour Relations Act.

Apart from the question of the singular or continuous nature of practices, the *Maphango* decision also shows the way in which spatial practices constitute and are constituted by social and power relationships. It is not only the landlord that exercises practices (be they constant or once-off) in the space of the apartment block, but also the tenants. Before I consider the practices of the landlord and tenants, I look at the issue of the practices of employees in the context of labour law.

⁶³ SABC Ltd v CCMA 2010 3 BLLR 251 (LAC). The decision dealt mainly with an application for condonation and the date of the alleged unfair practice was therefore of the essence. It concerned the promotion or upgrading of employees to a higher post level. The aggrieved employees were doing similar work and had the same or similar skills as three other employees who were promoted while the aggrieved respondents in the case were not promoted. The employees were denied promotion in 1998 and the dispute was referred only in 2005. The court found that the date of the dispute did not coincide with the date on which the respondent employees were denied promotion and that the CCMA's designation of the referral date as "on-going" was correct. See also Grogan Workplace Law 75.

⁶⁴ SABC Ltd v CCMA 2010 3 BLLR 251 (LAC) para 27.

⁶⁵ SABC Ltd v CCMA 2010 3 BLLR 251 (LAC) para 27.

Employees can allege unfair practices committed by the employer, but the converse is not possible, in terms of the decision of the Labour Appeal Court in National Entitled Workers Union (NEWU) v CCMA.⁶⁶ The decision of the Labour Court was confirmed by the Labour Appeal Court, namely that an employee's conduct cannot constitute an unfair labour practice under the Labour Relations Act or the *Constitution*, and added that legislation that enabled employers to institute actions based on the unfair practices of their employees would diminish the power of employees and "would be a step backwards in the field of labour relations and employment law in our country".⁶⁷ The limitation on the remedy for unfair labour practices available to employers pertains to the consideration of the fairness of practices. Employees do engage in once-off and on-going practices in the space of the workplace, just like tenants in rented spaces. In the following section I consider the practices of both landlord and tenant, what De Certeau would refer to as "practitioners of urban space".⁶⁸ The question of what constitutes urban spatial practices could also be asked for purposes other than that of instituting actions based on unfair practices in terms of the *Rental Housing* or other Act. It can also be raised in order to ask how certain spatial practices can be linked to the way in which a landlord and a tenant perceive of their space, how the law endorses and enforces this engagement, and how space produces and is produced by certain relationships of power. In order to address this, I turn to theory on the practices of everyday life.

⁶⁶ National Entitled Workers Union v Commission for Conciliation, Mediation and Arbitration 2007 7 BLLR 623 (LAC). See also Reddy v KwaZulu-Natal Department of Education and Culture 2003 24 ILJ 1358 (LAC) for the requirement that the alleged act should be between an employer and an employee. The trade union NEWU referred a dispute to the CCMA, alleging that one of their union officials had committed an unfair labour practice by resigning, not serving the required three month notice period, and commencing employment with another trade union on the day he resigned. According to the CCMA the case was closed due to a lack of jurisdiction as the conduct did not constitute an unfair labour practice. On review the Labour Court ruled that the concept of an unfair labour practice contemplated by Item 2 of Schedule 7 to the Labour Relations Act "does not embrace a labour practice committed by an employee vis-a-vis an employer" and that the CCMA correctly dismissed the dispute.

⁶⁷ *National Entitled Workers Union v Commission for Conciliation, Mediation and Arbitration* 2007 7 BLLR 623 (LAC) para 22.

⁶⁸ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* iv.

3.3 The practice of everyday life: making do, strategies and tactics

Judge Cameron chooses the word "live" as opposed to "reside" or "stay" to describe the tenants' relationship with Lowliebenhof: "The flats are their homes and they live there".⁶⁹ The use of the word "live" interlaces the fact of their living with these apartments and with their homes. A February 2010 satellite photo shows an Aengus Lifestyle Properties poster at the entrance of Lowliebenhof displaying the words: "Do you want the ultimate living space?"⁷⁰ This creation of "ultimate living spaces" at the cost of living and "making do" in the city space stands central to this case. The terms "live" (verb, inhabit) and "living space" (habitat) capture the core of the *Maphango* case: life had to make way for ultimate living spaces and homes had to be turned into profitable units. These opposing views of the space of Lowliebenhof relate closely to a difference in spatial practices.

In considering what an interpretation of "practice" in the context of spatial justice might entail, I rely on the work of De Certeau.⁷¹ In his two-volume book *The Practice of Everyday Life* he approaches the idea of a "practice" in a different way from that of the courts in *Maphango*.⁷² According to De Certeau⁷³ a "practice" can be classified as a "strategy" or as a "tactic". I suggest that the same distinction applies to the practices of landlords and tenants. Place stands central to the distinction. Strategies assume a specific circumscribed place, but tactics are independent of "proper" places.⁷⁴ Where strategy asserts power, tactics carry the potential to subvert it. De Certeau⁷⁵ considers the value of everyday practices as tactics that are available to ordinary people to reclaim their own autonomy from the pervasive power of culture, politics and commerce. The connection between force or power and practices is clear from the outset. In this sense De Certeau exposes the

⁶⁹ *Maphango v Aengus Lifestyle Properties* 2011 5 SA 19 (SCA) para 2.

⁷⁰ Google Maps 2010 https://maps.google.co.za/maps?ie=UTF-8&q=Lowliebenhof&fb=1&gl=za& hq=lowliebenhof&hnear=0x1e95619cbec65033:0xf66262b07a847b4c,Pretoria&cid=0,0,6666383 01094739748&ei=8HWDUpmFdCihgewj4GwDw&ved=0CJgBEPwSMAs.

⁷¹ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life;* De Certeau, Jameson and Lovitt 1980 *Social Text*.

⁷² De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life;* De Certeau, Giard and Mayol *Practice of Everyday Life Volume 2*.

⁷³ De Certeau, Jameson and Lovitt 1980 *Social Text* 5.

⁷⁴ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* xix.

⁷⁵ He dedicates the essay to the "ordinary man. The common hero" (De Certeau, Jameson and Lovitt 1980 *Social Text* 3).

seemingly ordinary as something powerful. Power and place are central to De Certeau's conceptualisation of practice.⁷⁶ Exercises of power are not necessarily acts performed continuously by an individual and a practice can be exercised by a larger community. An individual's participation in these actions, albeit only once, then becomes a practice by virtue of its association with that of the broader group, and by extension is then an expression (or subversion) of power.⁷⁷ De Certeau's understanding of everyday practices has been criticised from a Marxist perspective for being a "prevailing 'redemptive' model of the productive consumer".⁷⁸ Chris Butler,⁷⁹ with reference to the work of John Roberts, explains that De Certeau's model bypasses the importance of the everyday for a "critique of culture" and for "the development of radical forms of politics". My focus is therefore not on De Certeau's emphasis on consumption, but rather on his distinction between strategies and tactics and how these practices confirm or subvert power. I relate this distinction to habitat and inhabitance as understood by Lefebvre.

De Certeau's concept of practice is described in terms of art and closely associated with creativity: the "art of using" or "making" or "making do".⁸⁰ To explain the formal structure of practices he considers on the one hand certain ways of "making" according to their value for strategy: "functions that make possible (or permit) everyday practices".⁸¹ On the other hand he looks at poetic ways of "making do" for their tactical value: from "familial practices" to "the tactics of the art of cooking".⁸² De Certeau also makes a case for the city walker as a voyeur.⁸³ Walking in the city has its own logic or "rhetoric".⁸⁴ The ordinary walkers live on the streets of the city where visibility begins, they live down below as opposed to those who possess a

⁷⁶ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* xv.

⁷⁷ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* xiv.

⁷⁸ Butler *Henri Lefebvre* 8.

⁷⁹ Butler *Henri Lefebvre* 107. Butler relies on Roberts *Philosophizing the Everyday* 2-4.

⁸⁰ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* xv.

⁸¹ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* xiv.

⁸² De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* xv.

⁸³ Under spatial practices he dedicates chapters to "Walking in the City" (91-110), "Railway Navigation and Incarceration" (111-114) and "Spatial Stories" (115-130).

⁸⁴ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* 92.

privileged, panoramic view of the city.⁸⁵ For him the inhabitants of the city write the urban text and fill the empty space with the life they breathe into it.⁸⁶

In contrast to strategies, tactics, according to De Certeau,⁸⁷ are calculated actions determined by "the absence of a proper place". Strategies seek to establish their own place and are connected to power. They keep the existing power in place and assert power.⁸⁸ He calls strategy the "calculus (or the manipulation)" of power relationships, which become possible whenever a subject of will and power can be isolated. This form of making constitutes the manipulation of force made possible by the power of a subject.⁸⁹ Strategic rationalisation, in management, begins by distinguishing its "appropriate" place from an "environment", that is, the "place of its own power and will".⁹⁰

Tactics on the other hand are determined by the absence of power,⁹¹ and take advantage of opportunities.⁹² Within the framework of De Certeau many everyday practices are tactical: talking, moving about, reading and cooking. A tactic operates "blow by blow, ... profits from and depends upon 'occasions' ... It poaches there. It creates surprise."⁹³ The *Maphango* case considered the conduct of Aengus Propriety Holdings as a practice. The "practice" of renovating dilapidated inner-city blocks and then charging higher rentals is a well-known strategy of business-driven landlords. De Certeau⁹⁴ describes oppositional practices or tactics as an art of the weak: "The weaker the forces which are subjected to strategic direction, the more they will be vulnerable to wile".

⁸⁵ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* 94.

⁸⁶ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* 96.

⁸⁷ De Certeau, Jameson and Lovitt 1980 *Social Text* 6.

⁸⁸ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* xx.

⁸⁹ De Certeau lists businesses, armies, cities and scientific institutions as examples of subjects whose power and will enables strategies (De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* 36).

⁹⁰ De Certeau, Jameson and Lovitt 1980 *Social Text* 5.

⁹¹ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* 38.

⁹² De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* 36.

⁹³ De Certeau, Jameson and Lovitt 1980 *Social Text* 6: "Power is bound by its very visibility. In contrast, trickery is possible for the weak ... The weaker the forces at the disposition of the strategist, the more the strategy ... is transformed into tactics."

⁹⁴ De Certeau quotes Von Clausewitz *De la Guerre* 212-213. De Certeau, Jameson and Lovitt 1980 *Social Text* 6.

De Certeau continues by suggesting various ways in which tactical practices of consumers can be considered afresh. He considers activities such as walking, dwelling, reading and cooking as activities that present "characteristics of tactical ruses and surprises: tricks of the 'weak' within the order established by the 'strong'".⁹⁵ This approach to practice as tactics links with the idea of inhabitance and therefore with the notion of home. Translating the words of Judge Cameron in terms of this description, his statement could read: "The flats are their homes" and they inhabit them, they perform tactical practices of everyday life there. The unfair practice of the landlord belongs to the realm of strategy, which is confined to space and reliant on power. The everyday practices of the tenants, in their insistence on continuing to inhabit the apartments after eviction notices had been served,⁹⁶ can be described in De Certeau's terms as tactics: not confined to space and aimed at disrupting the power of private property.

De Certeau brings his own work in relation to that of Foucault and Bourdieu.⁹⁷ Both of these theorists viewed practices as a way in which to explain society in relationship to structures.⁹⁸ Foucault's interest in practices concerned the conditions produced by the practices and Bourdieu on the other hand was interested in the conditions that produced the practices.⁹⁹ De Certeau relates strategies to the Foucauldian synonyms for educational, penitential and medical processes: "instrumentalities", "techniques", or "mechanisms".¹⁰⁰ Procedures that do not have "their own place on which the panoptic machinery can operate" are the ordinary practices or tactics of De Certeau.¹⁰¹ Bourdieu's concept of *habitus* or technicity also informed De Certeau's work on practice.¹⁰² Engaging in a strategy in the Bourdieuian

⁹⁵ De Certeau, Jameson and Lovitt 1980 *Social Text* 8.

⁹⁶ The first applicant, who has given her name to the case, Ntombidzodwa Yvonne Maphango, got married in the time that the application was first brought and its hearing in the Consitutional Court. In the citation of the parties, the words (now Mgidlana) appear next to her name. Life went on and she continued to perform the practices of everyday life in spite of the court case.

⁹⁷ De Certeau thoroughly discusses this in the chapter entitled "Foucault and Bordieu" (De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* 45-60).

⁹⁸ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* 57.

⁹⁹ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* 58.

¹⁰⁰ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* 45. He refers in particular to Foucault *Surveiller et Punir*. De Certeau further also relies on Bourdieu *Le Métier de sociologue*.

¹⁰¹ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* 49.

¹⁰² De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* 51.

sense is similar to participating in a trick in a card game: it depends both on the hand that has been dealt and the skill or ability to play cards.¹⁰³ Habitus refers to the expectations and outlooks of certain social groups, that are acquired through participation in everyday life. For the purposes of the *Maphango* decision, the link that Bourdieu introduces between *habitus* and *habitat* is of importance. All of the practices described as *habitus* (strategies) are dominated by what De Certeau calls "an economy of proper place".¹⁰⁴ A politics of place therefore forms the base of all these strategies.¹⁰⁵ Tactics, on the other hand, do not have a "proper" place and therefore should depend on time and use the institutional and symbolic organisation in such an independent and self-governing way that they usurp scientific representations of society.¹⁰⁶ De Certeau understands home to incorporate both the house (property) and the family (the genealogical body). He links this dual understanding with practices and the way in which practices reproduce two complementary forms of "dwelling': wealth and the body".¹⁰⁷ The dwelling provides the *habitus* with its form, but it does not give content. Dwelling is therefore "hidden in the theory under the metaphor of the *habitus*".¹⁰⁸ In the light of the critique that De Certeau redeems consumption through his understanding of everyday practices, I link his distinction between strategies and practices to Lefebvre's differentiation between habitat and inhabitance. In the context of the *Maphango* decision this distinction can be translated into the difference between "we create the ultimate living spaces"¹⁰⁹ and "these are [our] homes and [we] live here".¹¹⁰

¹⁰³ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* 53-54.

¹⁰⁴ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* xix, 55.

¹⁰⁵ For De Certeau, Bourdieu's theory "throws a blanket" over tactics "as if to put out their fire" (De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* 59).

¹⁰⁶ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* 59.

¹⁰⁷ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* 215 note 24.

¹⁰⁸ De Certeau *Practice of Everyday Life* 60.

¹⁰⁹ The slogan of Aengus Lifestyle Properties.

¹¹⁰ The words Judge Cameron uses to describe the tenants at Lowliebenhof.

3.3 Spatial practices and inhabitance¹¹¹

Depictions of the everyday in the work of Lefebvre draw deeply on the notion of inhabitance, which he relies on to explain the human body's relationship to space and to express the political dimensions of the urban landscape.¹¹² In the period after World War II Lefebvre wrote the *Critique of Everyday Life* in an attempt to address alienation in everyday life through the theories of Marxism.¹¹³ For Lefebvre, his introduction of the notion of the "everyday", which enabled an account of alienation beyond economic forms of domination, was his most significant contribution to Marxist thought.¹¹⁴ The post-war period was marked by a shift from inhabitance to habitat. For Lefebvre inhabitance is embodied, whereas habitat is functionalist and instrumentalist.¹¹⁵

In conversations before the adoption of the *Constitution* and the inclusion of section 26, Geoff Budlender¹¹⁶ suggested that it may be "more appropriate to talk of the right to shelter and a home, than the right to housing". For him the phrase "right to housing" conjured up images of "long queues of people waiting at a government office to be allocated a matchbox house".¹¹⁷ He argued that people need the state to provide land and access to clean water with "an administration which encourages rather than obstructs" attempts to build your own house.¹¹⁸ I will extend this call to a call for inhabitance and an interpretation of the right to housing that acknowledges inhabitance as opposed to habitat.

Lefebvre highlights the rationality in the practices of urban planners and city administrators and argues that this leads to a "bureaucratic society of controlled

¹¹¹ See also Van Marle and De Villiers 2013 *AFLJ* 129-145 for a discussion of inhabitance in the context of Schubart Park in the city of Tshwane/Pretoria. I should like to thank Karin van Marle for valuable insights and for shaping my understanding of Lefebvre in the process of co-authoring and over many discussions and conversations.

¹¹² Butler *Henri Lefebvre* 116.

¹¹³ Lefebvre *Critique of Everyday Life*.

¹¹⁴ Butler *Henri Lefebvre* 5.

¹¹⁵ Butler *Henri Lefebvre* 7.

¹¹⁶ The Department of Private Law of the University of South Africa hosted a seminar on 2 November 1990 and the papers read were taken up in an edited collection (Van der Walt *Land Reform*). Budlender "Towards a Right to Housing" 50.

¹¹⁷ Budlender "Towards a Right to Housing" 50.

¹¹⁸ Budlender "Towards a Right to Housing" 50.

consumption".¹¹⁹ This society is marked by the everyday as structured by the aesthetic and political dominance of technological modernism.¹²⁰ Modernism as a technological practice (technicity or Bordieuian *habitus*) and devoid of ideology (politics) contributed to the demise of inhabitance and the rise of habitat.¹²¹

The user's space is *lived* - not represented (or conceived). When compared with the abstract space of the experts (architects, urbanists, planners), the space of the everyday activities of users is a concrete one, which is to say, subjective. As a space of 'subjects' rather than of calculations, as a representational space, it has an origin, and that origin is childhood, with its hardships, its achievements, and its lacks.¹²²

Within the spatial practices of tenants and landlords, the tenants' space is lived and the space of the business owner, who is merely interested in higher rental income, is abstract space. The tension between tactics and strategies, inhabitance and habitat, lived and abstract space is aptly captured by the fact that the landlord attempted to justify the evictions by arguing that they were in line with the city's rejuvenation policies. The city of Johannesburg has an extensive fifty-five page Inner City Regeneration Charter.¹²³ The *Maphango* case illustrates the tense relation between the rejuvenation of the city and the poor. The Johannesburg policy manages to capture this tension in stating that:

Our Inner City will not be a dormitory for the poor, nor an exclusive enclave of loft apartments, galleries and coffee shops.¹²⁴

4 Concluding remarks

The majority decision in the *Maphango* case presents the possibility of an understanding of home that takes preference over business ventures, tactics over

¹¹⁹ Butler *Henri Lefebvre* 7.

¹²⁰ Butler *Henri Lefebvre* 7.

¹²¹ Butler *Henri Lefebvre* 113. Butler quotes from Lefebvre *Critique of Everyday Life III* 50: "If the career of modernity as ideology is over, modernism as technological practice is more than ever with us ... From [the] great confusion ... emerges modernism: a clear field for the development of technology and the proclamation of the end of ideologies".

¹²² Lefebvre *Production of Space* 362.

¹²³ Joburg date unknown http://www.joburg.org.za/index.php?option=com_content&task= view&id=1705&Itemid=49.

¹²⁴ The recent clamp down on informal traders contradicts this section of the Charter and other parts of the Charter that try to acknowledge that "City efforts have sometimes been seen as localised, fragmented and episodic and have been critiqued as not always sensitive enough to the circumstances of poorer residents and informal businesses".

strategy, and inhabitance over habitat. The majority decision situates this distinction between business and home by explaining the historical context of the *Rental Housing Act*.¹²⁵ In the minority judgment, considerations of public policy, fairness and the equitability of the rent to both the landlord and the tenant are more important. Judge Zondo emphasizes the landlord's economic justification for charging market-related rents. Abstract space, habitat and functionalism take preference. It captures an understanding of home in terms of which ownership and market-demands (strategies) turn apartments into business ventures (habitats) and thereby it diminishes the possibility of a home in the city centre of Johannesburg.

The tension between inhabitance and habitat in the *Maphango* case is illustrated not only in the opposing arguments of the parties but also through the majority and minority decisions of the Constitutional Court. The Constitutional Court did not grapple with this tension, but instead referred the matter to the Rental Housing Tribunal. On the one hand the Consitutional Court can be said to have avoided the problem, but in another sense, referring the matter back to the Housing Tribunal can be read as an "everyday" remedy. My contention is that the majority decision was in support of the tactics of the tenants and encouraged them and other tenants to follow an ordinary, everyday route in addressing rental disputes, namely the procedure available through the Rental Housing Tribunals.

To approach the notion of home from the vantage point of everyday practices as tactics and to acknowledge the political nature of inhabitance are to resist a romanticised, static and nostalgic conception of home. Instead, such an approach inscribes social relationships into the understanding of these spaces. Massey's understanding of spatial practices, place and space supports a dynamic and contested notion of "a place called home".¹²⁶ She quotes Bell Hooks:

¹²⁵ Maphango para 29. The housing shortages after World War I lead to the enactment of the *Tenant's Protection Act* 7 of 1920, which formed the centre of later rent control legislation. Judge Cameron quotes from Rosenow and Diemont *Rents Act* 1: the *Tenant's Protection Act* "provided that as long as a lessee paid the stipulated rent on due date, and performed all other conditions appurtenant to the lease, he or she could not be ejected unless the lessor required the premises for personal accommodation". The lessee was protected regardless of the amount of rent. As long as she paid the rent she was protected even if the rent was unreasonably low.

¹²⁶ Massey Space, Place and Gender.

Home is no longer just one place. It is locations. Home is that place which enables and promotes varied and ever-changing perspectives, a place where one discovers new ways of seeing reality, frontiers and difference.¹²⁷

Home need not be a single and stable place or space but could be "home-places" that become equally complex products of "the ever-shifting geography of social relations present and past".¹²⁸ The tactics of making do and the strategies of increasing rental income related to how the Lowliebenhof as a home-place was perceived and conceived of. The tenants filed a complaint with the Rental Housing Tribunal before the deadline prescribed by the Constitutional Court,¹²⁹ but the case was not followed through.¹³⁰ The living spaces in Lowliebenhof are now the homes of other tenants who can afford to practice everyday life there.

¹²⁷ Hooks *Yearning* 171.

¹²⁸ Hooks *Yearning* 172.

¹²⁹ In May 2012 a complaint was filed with the Gauteng Rental Housing Tribunal. A copy of that complaint and subsequent activity on the case can be accessed at the website of the Social and Economic Rights Institute of South Africa (SERI 2014 http://www.seri-sa.org). The order in *Maphango* para 70 read: "Any of the parties may, if so advised, lodge a complaint in terms of section 13 of the Rental Housing Act 50 of 1999 with the Gauteng Rental Housing Tribunal on or before Wednesday 2 May 2012. If a complaint is lodged on or before that date, the parties are granted leave to apply to the Court within fifteen court days of the ruling by the Gauteng Rental Housing Tribunal, or other disposition of the matter, for further directions. If no complaint is lodged on or before that date, the appeal is dismissed with costs."

¹³⁰ A telephone call to the Tribunal confirmed that the matter was never heard after the filing of the dispute.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Literature

Bourdieu *Le Métier de sociologue* Bourdieu P *Le Métier de sociologue* 2nd ed (Mouton La Haye 1973)

Brassey et al New Labour Law

Brassey MSM et al The New Labour Law (Juta Cape Town 1987)

Budlender "Towards a Right to Housing"

Budlender G "Towards a Right to Housing" in Van der Walt AJ (ed) *Land Reform and the Future of Land-ownership in South Africa* (Juta Johannesburg 1991) 45-52

Butler Henri Lefebvre

Butler C *Henri Lefebvre: Spatial Politics, Everyday Life and the Right to the City* (Routledge Oxon 2012)

De Certeau Practice of Everyday Life

De Certeau M *The Practice of Everyday Life* (translated from the original French by Rendall SF) (University of California Press Berkeley 1988)

De Certeau, Jameson and Lovitt 1980 Social Text

De Certeau M, Jameson F and Lovitt C "On the Oppositional Practices of Everyday Life" 1980 *Social Text* 3-43

De Certeau, Giard and Mayol Practice of Everyday Life Volume 2

De Certeau M, Giard L and Mayol P *The Practice of Everyday Life Volume 2: Living and Cooking,* (translated from the original French by Tomasik TJ) (University of Minnesota Press Minneapolis 1994)

De Ville Constitutional and Statutory Interpretation

De Ville JR *Constitutional and Statutory Interpretation* (Interdoc Consultants Cape Town 2000)

Devenish Interpretation of Statutes

Devenish GE Interpretation of Statutes (Juta Cape Town 1992)

Du Plessis Interpretation of Statutes

Du Plessis LM The Interpretation of Statutes (Butterworths Durban 1986)

Foucault Surveiller et Punir

Foucault M *Surveiller et Punir* (Gallimard Paris 1975) (*Discipline and Punish* translated from the original French by Sheridan A) (Pantheon New York 1977)

Grogan Workplace Law

Grogan J *Workplace Law* 10th ed (Juta Cape Town 2009)

Hooks Yearning

Hooks B *Yearning: Race, Gender and Cultural Politics* (Turnaround London 1991)

Klare 1998 SAJHR

Klare K "Legal Culture and Transformative Constitutionalism" 1998 *SAJHR* 146-188

Lefebvre Critique of Everyday Life

Lefebvre H *Critique of Everyday Life* (translated from the original French by Moore J) (Verso London 1991)

Lefebvre Critique of Everyday Life III

Lefebvre H *Critique of Everyday Life III: From Modernity to Modernism* (*Towards a Metaphilosphy of Daily Life*) (translated from the original French by Moore J) (Verso London 2005)

Lefebvre Production of Space

Lefebvre H *The Production of Space* (translated from the original French by Nicholson-Smith D) (Blackwell Cambridge 1991)

Maass 2012 SAPL

Maass S "Conceptualising an Unfair Practice Regime in Landlord-tenant Law" 2012 *SAPL* 652-670

Maass 2012 PER

Maass S "Rent Control: A Comparative Analysis" 2012 PER 15(4) 41-100

Massey Space, Place and Gender

Massey D Space, Place and Gender (Polity Press Cambridge 1994)

Michelman 2012 Harvard Public Law Working Paper

Michelman F "Expropriation, Eviction, and the Dignity of the Common Law" 2012 *Harvard Public Law Working Paper* No 12-37

Philippopoulos-Mihalopoulos 2010 Int'l JLC

Philippopoulos-Mihalopoulos A "Spatial Justice: Law and the Geography of Withdrawal" 2010 *Int'l JLC* 6(3) 201-216

Roberts Philosophizing the Everyday

Roberts J Philosophizing the Everyday (Pluto Press London 2006)

Rosenow and Diemont Rents Act

Rosenow REG and Diemont MA *The Rents Act in South Africa* 2nd ed (Juta Cape Town 1950)

Stoop 2013 IJPL

Stoop PN "The South African Law of Lease and Socioeconomic Rights" 2013 *IJPL* 329-340

Tau The Citizen

Tau S "Crackdown on Street Traders" The Citizen (23 October 2013) 9

Van der Walt Property and Constitution

Van der Walt AJ *Property and Constitution* (PULP Pretoria 2012)

Van der Walt Land Reform

Van der Walt AJ (ed) *Land Reform and the Future of Land-ownership in South Africa* (Juta Johannesburg 1991)

Van Marle and De Villiers 2013 AFLJ

Van Marle K and De Villiers I "Law and Resistance in the City of Pretoria: Space, History and the Everyday" 2013 *AFLJ* 129-145

Van Niekerk et al Work@Law

Van Niekerk A et al Work@Law (LexisNexis Durban 2008)

Von Clasewitz De la Guerre

Von Clasewitz K *De la Guerre* (Ed de Minuit Paris 1955)

Case law

City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality v Blue Moonlight Properties 2011 4 SA 337 (SCA)

City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality v Blue Moonlight Properties 39 (Pty) Ltd 2012 2 BCLR 150 (CC)

Cooper v Trustee in Insolvent Estate of Pretorius 1967 3 SA 602 (O)

Fundstrust (Pty) Ltd (in liquidation) v Van Deventer 1997 1 SA 710 (A)

Gundwana v Steko Development 2011 3 SA 608 (CC)

Jaftha v Schoeman; Van Rooyen v Stolz 2005 2 SA 140 (CC)

Maphango v Aengus Lifestyle Properties 2011 5 SA 19 (SCA)

Maphango v Aengus Lifestyle Properties (Pty) Ltd 2012 5 BCLR 449 (CC)

Marievale Consolidated Mines v President of the Industrial Court 1986 2 SA 485 (T)

Media Workers Association of South Africa v Press Corporation of South Africa Ltd 1992 4 SA 791 (A)

National Entitled Workers Union v Commission for Conciliation, Mediation and Arbitration 2007 7 BLLR 623 (LAC)

National Union of Metalworkers of South Africa v Macsteel (Pty) Ltd 1992 3 SA 809 (A) National Union of Mineworkers v East Rand Gold and Uranium Co Ltd 1992 1 SA 700 (A) Nawa v Department of Trade and Industry 1998 7 BLLR 701 (LC) Occupiers of Portion R25 of the Farm Mooiplaats 355 JR v Golden Thread Ltd 2012 4 BCLR 372 (CC) Occupiers of Skurweplaas 353 JR v PPC Aggregate Quarries (Pty) Ltd 2012 4 BCLR 382 (CC) Occupiers, Shulana Court, 11 Hendon Road, Yeoville, Johannesburg v Steele 2010 4 All SA 54 (SCA) Reddy v KwaZulu-Natal Department of Education and Culture 2003 24 ILJ 1358 (LAC) S v Marais 1982 3 SA 988 (A) SABC Ltd v CCMA 2010 3 BLLR 251 (LAC) SAFRAWU obo Members / Rainbow Farms 2002 11 BALR 1184 (CCMA) Schubart Park Residents Association v City of Tshwane Metropolitan Municipality 2013 1 BCLR 68 (CC)

Legislation

Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 *Employment Equity Act* 55 of 1998 *Labour Relations Act* 66 of 1995 *Rental Housing Act* 50 of 1999 *Rental Housing Amendment Act* 43 of 2007 *Sectional Titles Act* 95 of 1986 *Tenant's Protection Act* 7 of 1920

Government publications

PN 4004 in PG 124 of 4 July 2001 PN 4216 in PG 127 of 18 July 2001

Internet sources

Google Maps 2010 https://maps.google.co.za/maps?ie=UTF-8&q=Lowliebenhof&fb= 1&gl=za&hq=lowliebenhof&hnear=0x1e95619cbec65033:0xf66262b07a847b 4c,Pretoria&cid=0,0,666638301094739748&ei=8HWDUp-mFdCihgewj4Gw Dw&ved=0CJgBEPwSMAs Google Maps 2010 *Lowliebenhof* https://maps.google.co.za/maps?ie=UTF-8&q=Lowliebenhof&fb=1&gl=za&hq=lowliebenhof&hnear=0x1e95619cbec65 033:0xf66262b07a847b4c,Pretoria&cid=0,0,666638301094739748&ei=8HWD Up-mFdCihgewj4GwDw&ved=0CJgBEPwSMAs accessed 20 October 2013

Joburg date unknown http://www.joburg.org.za/index.php?option=com_ content&task=view&id=1705&Itemid=49 Joburg date unknown *An Introduction to the Inner City Charter* http://www.joburg.org.za/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=17 05&Itemid=49 accessed 20 October 2013

SERI 2013 http://www.seri-sa.org/index.php/38-latest-news/202-seri-condemns-thecrack-down-on-informal-traders-in-inner-city-johannesburg-23-october-2013 Social and Economic Rights Institute of South Africa 2013 *SERI Condemns the Crack-down on Informal Traders in Inner City Johannesburg* http://www.serisa.org/index.php/38-latest-news/202-seri-condemns-the-crack-down-oninformal-traders-in-inner-city-johannesburg-23-october-2013 accessed 24 October 2013

SERI 2014 http://www.seri-sa.org

Social and Economic Rights Institute of South Africa 2014 *About Us* http://www.seri-sa.org accessed 24 October 2013

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AFLJ	Australian Feminist Law Journal				
CCMA	Commission	for	Conciliation,	Mediation	and
	Arbitration				
IJPL	International Journal of Private Law				

Int'l JLC	International Journal of Law in Context		
SAJHR	South African Journal on Human Rights		
SAPL	South African Public Law		
SERI	Social and Economic Rights Institute of South		
	Africa		
PER	Potchefstroom Electronic Law Journal		