

THE KNOWING SUBJECT

A PHILOSOPHICAL STUDY, WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE

TO THE CONTRIBUTION OF JEAN PIAGET

STUART FOWLER

Thesis accepted in the Faculty of Arts of the  
Potchefstroomse Universiteit vir Christelike  
Hoer Onderwys in fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree of Doctor Philosophiae

Promoter: Prof. N.T. van der Merwe

June 1986

G 88 / 0 15 5

# THE KNOWING SUBJECT

A PHILOSOPHICAL STUDY  
WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE CONTRIBUTION OF JEAN PIAGET

## CONTENTS

### 1: THE PROBLEM IN HISTORICAL CONTEXT

1.1	Introduction	1
1.1.1	The Aims of this Study	1
1.1.2	Research Background	1
1.1.3	The Special Importance of a Systematic Historical Orientation	3
1.2	Identifying the Contours of the Problem	8
1.2.1	The Justificationist Problem	8
1.2.2	The Problem of Evaluative Criteria	12
1.2.3	The Problem of Intersubjective Universality	14
1.2.4	The Role of the Knowing Subject	18
1.3	The Metaphysical Problem	21
1.4	Cognitive Status of Science	23
1.5	The Historical Background	26
1.5.1	Knowing as Rational Apprehension of Universal Truth	27
1.5.2	Knowing as Rational Abstraction from Sensory Experience	30
1.5.3	Knowing as Rational Processing of Sensory Data	37
1.5.4	Rationalism and Irrationalism, Intellectualism and Empiricism	40
1.5.5	The Greek Heritage	44
1.5.6	The Consolidation of the Rationalist Tradition	51
1.5.7	The Divorce of Epistemology and Metaphysics	52
1.5.8	Knowing as Rational Formation	55
1.5.9	The Renewal of Empiricism	59
1.5.10	Epistemology as Logical Analysis of Language	65
1.5.11	The Development of a Constructivist Epistemology	70
1.6	Piaget, Popper and Polanyi - Convergence and a New Divergence	77
1.7	Summary	85

### 2: THE EPISTEMOLOGY OF JEAN PIAGET

2.1	Introduction	89
2.1.1	A Major Epistemological Enterprise	89
2.1.2	"Epistemology" and "Epistemologie"	92
2.1.3	Why "genetique"	93
2.1.4	Knowledge as Open-ended Activity	99
2.1.5	Knowledge as a Progressive Spiral	100
2.1.6	Scientific Epistemology and the Piagetian Vision	103
2.1.7	The Need for a Systematic Review	108
2.1.8	Questions of Terminology	109
2.2	Constructivism and Structuralism	110
2.3	Cognitive Abstraction	114
2.4	Cognitive Structures and Formal Systems	124
2.5	Cognition as Dialectical Process	128
2.6	Piaget, Hegel and Marx	134

2.7	Objectivity, Necessity and Theories	145
2.8	Realism Without Empiricism	162
2.9	Commitment, Weltanschauungen and Epistemic Frameworks	174
2.10	An Affinity with Pragmatism?	187
2.11	The Piagetian Epistemology : An Overview	189
2.12	Conclusion	204
3: THE KNOWING SUBJECT IN PIAGET, POPPER AND POLANYI		
3.1	Introduction	207
3.1.1	Under the Shadow of Kant	207
3.1.2	Psychological Data and Piagetian Epistemology	216
3.2	The Rationality of the Knowing Subject	224
3.2.1	A Constructivist Rationality	225
3.2.2	The Subject as Rational Critic	228
3.2.3	Rationality with Fiduciary Roots	238
3.2.4	A Comparative Summary	239
3.3	The Interplay of Rational and Extra-Rational	243
3.3.1	The Extra-Rational as Epistemic Framework	243
3.3.2	The Extra-Rational as Epistemological Background	249
3.3.3	The Extra-Rational as Epistemic Governing Principle	253
3.3.4	A Comparative Summary	258
3.4	Constraints External to the Knowing Subject	260
3.4.1	Reality as the Transformational Content of Knowledge	260
3.4.2	The Objective World of Theories	261
3.4.3	Knowledge within a Structure of Commitment	268
3.4.4	A Comparative Summary	270
3.5	Beyond Popper and Polanyi: Feyerabend and Deutscher	272
3.5.1	The Epistemological Anarchism of Paul Feyerabend	272
3.5.2	Epistemology without Theories - Max Deutscher	275
3.6	The State of Play: A Summary	279
3.6.1	The Shadow of Kant Lengthens and Fades	279
3.6.2	The Converging and Diverging of Epistemological Discussion	282
3.6.3	Incommensurability, Commitments and Epistemic Frameworks	285
4: A CONCLUSION AND A BEGINNING		
4.1	The Basic Problems Re-examined	289
4.1.1	The Evaluation of Knowledge Claims	289
4.1.2	The Intersubjective Universality of Knowledge	293
4.1.3	The Metaphysical Problem	296
4.1.4	The Knowing Subject	301
4.1.5	Some General Trends: A Summary	302
4.2	The Neglected Question: What is Knowledge?	303
4.3	The Knowing Subject within a Modest Theory of Knowledge	310
4.3.1	Why Modest?	310
4.3.2	Knowing as the Rationally Qualified, Multi-faceted Activity of a Multi-faceted, Integral Subject	311
4.3.3	The Two Modes of Knowing	324
4.3.4	The Multiple Foci of Knowing	345
4.3.5	The Constructivity of the Subject	362
4.3.6	Commitments, Weltanschauungen and Beliefs	385
4.3.7	The Grounds and Limits of Intersubjective Universality	407
4.4	A Beginning	413

APPENDIX: PHILOSOPHY, THEOLOGY AND CHRISTIAN FAITH

415

BIBLIOGRAPHY

425



## PREFACE

The number of those who have contributed, in one way or another, to this work are too many to mention. They include colleagues and students, friends, fellow church members, and family, including my parents who have not lived to see this work fulfilled. Any human work of significance, it seems to me, is always in a certain sense a communal product to which many have contributed in many, sometimes unseen, ways. In this case, however, there are some who must be mentioned more specifically.

First there is the Christian community of Potchefstroomse Universiteit vir Christelike Hoër Onderwys whose support has been so freely given; especially members of the administration who have consistently shown consideration, patience and understanding, and the members of the Philosophy Department who have given warm encouragement and constructively critical interaction.

My promoter, Professor N.T. (Theo) van der Merwe, through much personal trial and difficulty, has given invaluable support with constructive criticism and advice, constant encouragement and stimulus and a quality of Christian friendship that is invaluable.

The personnel of the Centre international d'Épistémologie génétique and the Fondation Archives Jean Piaget at the Université de Genève gave me and my wife the warmest of welcomes and every assistance during two months spent there in research. The secretary of the Centre, Sylvain Dionnet must be mentioned in particular for all he did beyond the call of duty.

Then there is my wife, Joy, who has been in every best sense of the word my partner with me in this work, not only contributing her unique gifts toward its presentation, but standing with me in constant encouragement and support throughout.

Finally while, for reasons that I give in the appendix, I have deliberately written the main text of this work as an exercise in philosophy without employing the terminology of Christian faith, it has been pursued throughout as an exercise of faith. I have been conscious constantly of the grace of God given in Jesus Christ with me as I have laboured over it. Whatever there is of worth in what I have written is the fruit of that grace.