

BOANEDI MO GO MANGOMO LE LEHUDU KA MMILENG, M.T.:TSHEKATSHEKO LE PAPISO

EILEEN ELIZABETH RAPOO

Verhandeling voorgelê ter voldoening aan die vereistes vir die graad Magister Artium in die Departement Afrikatale in die fakulteit Lettere en Wysbegeerte aan die Potchefstroomse Universiteit vir Christelike Hoër Onderwys.

Leier: Mn. R.S. Pretorius

Potchefstroom

1993.

DITEBOGO

Ditebogo tsa me di tla leba go batho ba ba latelang gonne ka bona ke kgonne go digela patlisiso e.

- * Rre R.S. Pretorius yo o nkamogetseng ka mabogo oomabedi go mekamekana le nna ka patlisiso e. O nkgogile ka bopelotelele le boitshoko go mpontsha lesedi la nnete. E kete Modimo a ka mo okeletsa.
- * Moporofesara ngaka S.A. Swanepoel, yo le ena a neng a eta a latlhela la gagwe la motlala pitso go naya patlisiso e motlhala o o tlhamaletseng.
- * Rre M.T. Mmileng yo ka bokwadi ba gagwe ke neng ka batiwa ke go dira patlisiso ya mothale o. O nneetse tshedimosetso e e tlhwatlhwa, segolo ka ga tsa botshelo ba gagwe go atlegisa tiro e.
- * Rre P.M. Sebate tsala le modirakanna mo Setswaneng, o ntlhotlheleditsethata go dira patlisiso e ka go thusa le go aga setlhogo sa yona jaaka se eme.
- * Moporofesara ngaka T. Gouws wa Lefapha la SeAfrikanse kwa Unibo, yo o thusitseng ka phetolelo ya tshosobanyo go ya mo SeAfrikanseng.
- * Rre C. Okumu yo e leng motlhatlheledi wa lephata le ditlhawga mo lefapheng la Seesimane kwa Unibo, o tlhophile ditlhokwa tsa tiriso ya puo mo tshosobanyong ya Seesimane.

- * Ba HSRC kwa Tshwane ga mmogo le ba lekala la dithuso tsa dipatlisiso kwa Unibo, ba ba nketleel editseng ka madi go tsweletsa le go fetsa tiro e ka thelelo.
- * Mohumagadi Susan Manyane, e leng motlanyi yo o tlantseng tiro e ka matsetseleko le botswererere bo e neng e bo tlhoka ga mmogo le Mohumagatsana Precious Peme yo o rulagantseng le go tshetshetha tiro e e tlantsweng go aga buka e e feletseng.
- * Modirakanna, mme Theriso Tsambo yo o nthusitseng go tlala diatla ka tlhopho e e tlhwatlhwa ya mareo a a maleba le go ntebalebisa tiro e ka go e tlhopho ditlhokwa. Le ka moso Mofokeng!
- * Baithutikanna, mme Vera Motsilanyane yo o thusitseng le ka go tlhopho tiro e ditlhokwa ga mmogo le mme Bertha Noge, ba ntshegeditse mo malatsing otlhe a go mekamekana le tiro e. Ga nkitla ke lebala tirisanommogo e ke e boneng go tswa mo go bona. Ba ba tshwanang nabo ba tlhokwa jaaka metsi mo sekakeng.
- * Banake Mmamoraka le Kagiso ba ba ntseng malatsi a le mantsi ba ntlhoka, ke siane le go kgarakgadiwa ke patlisiso e. Boitshoko ba bona bo nneile tshegetso ya boammaaruri.
- * Go Rara yo o kwa Legodimong, yo e nnileng seikokotlelo le mosireletsi wa me mo tsamaisong yotlhe ya patlisiso e go fitlha bokhutlong. Ke tla mmaka ka malatsi otlhe a botshelo ba me.

Lokwalo lo ke lo akgolela batsadi ba me, Rre Klomfass Jairos le mme
Nkwane Maria Segoe bao ba nkgodisitseng ka go ntaya le go nthotloetsa mo
botshelong ka mafoko a Diane 20, temana ya 13 a a buisegang ka mokgwa o o
latelang:

"O se rate boroko gonne o tla humanega,
buduloga gore o tle o kgore dijo."



RRE MASEGO TIMOTHY MMILENG

DITTENG

KGAOLO 1	1
1.0 MATSENO, MATHATA, MAIKAELELO LE TSELAYA GO TSAMAISA TIRO	1
1.1 MATSENO	1
1.2 MATHATA	5
1.3 MAIKAELELO	8
1.4 TSELAYA GO TSAMAISA TIRO	9
 KGAOLO 2	11
2.0 MELEBO LE TLHAGISO YA LOKWALOTSHELO IWA MOKWADI	11
2.1 MOLEBO KE ENG?	11
2.2 MOSOLA WA MELEBO MO TSHEKATSHEKONG	12
2.3 MEFUTA YA MELEBO	13
2.3.1 MOLEBO WA TLHAELETSANO	15 =
2.3.1.1 TLHAGISO YA SEHALO SA LETLHOMESO LA TLHAELETSANO	17
2.4 MELEBO E E TLA DIRISIWANG MO TIRONG E:	19
- 2.4.1 MOLEBO WA SEHISETORI-BAYOKERAFI	19 =
2.4.1.1 TLHALOSETSO	19
2.4.1.2 KAMANO YA MOLEBO WA SEHISETORI-BAYOKERAFI LE TIRO	22
2.4.1.3 LOKWALOTSHELO KE ENG?	22
2.4.1.4 MOSOLA WA LOKWALOTSHELO	28
A. Go mmuisi fela	29
B. Go mosekaseki	30
2.4.1.5 KAMANO YA LOKWALOTSHELO LE DIKWALO/DITLHANGWA TSA MOKWADI ...	31
2.4.1.6 TLHAGISO YA LOKWALOTSHELO IWA GA MMILENG, M.T.	32

1.	Tsa Botshelo ba mokwadi Rre Masego Timothy Mmileng	33
1.1	Tsa botshelo ba gagwe kanamana (Personal life)	33
1.2	Tsa bongwana le bo ^v swa ba mokwadi (kgolo ya gagwe)	37
1.3	Tsa ditiro tsa mokwadi	40
1.4	Tsa kamano le mekgatlho ya boset ^v haba	40
1.5	Tsa bokwadi ba gagwe	41
2.4.2	MOLEBO WA BOLEBAPOPEGO	(47)
2.4.2.1	TLHALOSETSO	47
2.4.2.2	KAMANO YA BOLEBAPOPEGO LE TIRO E YA TSHEKATSHEKO	49
2.4.3	MOLEBO WA PAPISO	(50)
2.4.3.1	TLHALOSETSO	50
2.4.3.2	KAMANO YA MOLEBO WA PAPISO LE TIRO E	52
2.5	KONOTELELO	53
2.5.1	KONOTELELO YA TIRISO YA MELEBO MO TSHEKATSHEKONG	54
KGAOLO 3	56
3.0	BAANELWA LE TEORI YA BOANEDI MO DIPADING	56
3.1	MATSENO	56
3.2	BAANELWA KE ENG?	57
3.2.1	DITLHOPHA TSA BAANELWA	61
3.2.1.1	BAANELWA BA DINAANE	62
A.	Baanelwa ba dinaane ka Propp	64
B.	Baanelwa ba dinaane ka Greimas	66
3.2.1.2	BAANELWA BA DITLHANGWA	69
3.2.2	MAPHATA A BAANELWA BA DITLHANGWA	72
3.2.3	MEFUTA YA BAANELWA BA DITLHANGWA	74

A. Karologanyetso ya baanelwa go ya ka Forster	74
(a) Diponagalo tsa moanelwa wa mmopa (flat character)	75
(b) Diponagalo tsa moanelwa wa madi le nama (round character)	76
3.3 BOANEDI KE ENG?	77
3.4 METSWEDI YA DIKITSISO KA GA BAANELWA	78
3.4.1 TSHWANTSHO KA TLHAMALALO	79
A. Kaelo ya moanelwa ka mokwadi	80
B. Kaelo ya moanelwa ka baanelwa ba bangwe	81
C. Kaelo ya moanelwa ka boena	82
3.4.2 TSHWANTSHO E E SA TLHAMALALANG	83
3.4.2.1 TLHAGISO YA METSWEDI MABAPI LE BAANELWA	85
A. Teo ya maina	87
B. Ipoapoletso ya moanelwa	91
C. Dikokoanyo ka ga moanelwa	92
D. Diphetogo tsa moanelwa	92
E. Ditshwantsho-pharologanyo ka ga moanelwa	93
3.5 KONOTELELO	95
3.6 SETHALO SA TSHOBOKANYO KA GA BOANEDI MO DITLHANGWENG	96
 KGAOLO 4	 97
4.0 BOANEDI MO GO MANGOMO	97
4.1 MATSENO	97
4.2 TLHAGISO YA BOANEDI MO GO MANGOMO	98
4.2.1 THUSO	98

4.2.1.1 TSHWANTSHO KA TLHAMALALO	100
4.2.1.2 TSHWANTSHO E E SA TLHAMALALANG	104
4.2.2 SELEPE	115
4.2.2.1 TSHWANTSHO KA TLHAMALALO	116
4.2.2.2 TSHWANTSHO E E SA TLHAMALALANG	120
4.2.3 MOTSHEDISE	123
4.2.3.1 TSWANTSHO KA TLHAMALALO	123
4.2.3.2 TSHWANTSHO E E SA TLHAMALALANG	125
4.2.4 THANDILE	128
4.2.4.1 TSHWANTSHO KA TLHAMALALO	128
4.2.4.2 TSHWANTSHO E E SA TLHAMALALANG	130
4.2.5 SEITISO	131
4.2.5.1 TSHWANTSHO KA TLHAMALALO	132
4.2.5.2 TSHWANTSHO E E SA TLHAMALALANG	135
4.2.6 BAANELWA BA TLALELEISO	137
4.2.6.1 MMADINONO	138
A. Tshwantsho ka tlhamalalo	138
B. Tshwantsho e e sa tlhamalalang	139
4.2.6.2 SEENG	141
A. Tshwantsho ka tlhamalalo	142
4.2.6.3 RRAAGWE THANDILE	143
A. Tshwantsho e e sa tlhamalalang	143
4.3 KONOTELELO	145

KGAOLO 5	146
5.0 BOANEDI MO GO LEHUDU	146
5.1 MATSENO	146
5.2 TLHAGISO YA BOANEDI MO GO LEHUDU	149
5.2.1 SEMENOGI	149
5.2.1.1. TSHWANTSHO KA TLHAMALALO	151
5.2.1.2 TSHWANTSHO E E SA TLHAMALALANG	154
5.2.2 MOKGALAJWE	167
5.2.2.1 TSHWANTSHO KA TLHAMALALO	167
5.2.2.2 TSHWANTSHO E E SA TLHAMALALANG	171
5.2.3 LEGARE	177
5.2.3.1 TSHWANTSHO KA TLHAMALALO	178
5.2.3.2 TSHWANTSHO E E SA TLHAMALALANG	183
5.2.4 BAANELWA BA TLALELETSO	195
5.2.4.1 SEYANOKENG	196
A. Tshwantsho ka tlhamalalo	196
B. Tshwantsho e e sa tlhamalalang	199
5.2.4.2 BONOKO	202
A. Tshwantsho ka tlhamalalo	202
B. Tshwantsho e e sa tlhamalalang	203
5.2.4.3 MOGODI	206
A. Tshwantsho ka tlhamalalo	207
B. Tshwantsho e e sa tlhamalalang	208

5.3 KONOTELELO	212
KGAOLO 6	215
6.0 PAPISO	215
6.1 MATSENO	215
6.2 PAPISO YA BOANEDI MO GO MANGOMO LE LEHUDU	216
6.2.1 PAPISO YA BOANEDI KA TLHAGISO YA TSHWANTSHO E E TLHAMALETSENG	216
6.2.1.1 KA MOKWADI	216
6.2.1.2 KA BAANELWA BA BANGWE	219
6.2.1.3 KA BAANELWA KA BOBONA	221
6.2.2 PAPISO YA BOANEDI KA TLHAGISO YA TSHWANTSHO E E SA TLHAMALALANG	223
6.2.2.1 TEO YA MAINA A BAANELWA	223
6.2.2.2 IPOAPOELETSO YA BAANELWA	227
6.2.2.3 DIKOKOANYO KA GA BAANELWA	228
6.2.2.4 DIPHETOGO TSA BAANELWA	230
6.2.2.5 DITSHWANTSHO - PHAROLOGANTSHO KA GA BAANELWA	231
6.3 GO TSHWANA LE GO FAROLOGANA GA BOANEDI GO TSWA GO MANGOMO LE LEHUDU.....	234
6.3.1 TSHWANO	234
6.3.2 PHAROLOGANO	235
6.4 KONOTELELO	237

KGAOLO 7	239
7.0 TSHOSOBANYO	239
8.0 BIBELEOKERAFI	244
9.0 MAMETLELELO	250
9.1 MAREO LE DITLHALOSO KA TATELANO YA DITLHAKA LE YA DIKGAOLO	250

SUMMARYCHARACTERIZATION IN MANGOMO AND LEHUDU BY MMILENG, M.T.: AN INVESTIGATIVE
- COMPARISON STUDY

The main objective of this study is to investigate in detail the theory of characterization and to analyse critically the ways in which characters are presented with particular reference to Mangomo and Lehudu. This study further compares and contrasts the development and growth of characters in these two novels.

The study focuses specifically on providing a comprehensive background on characterization. It is anticipated that this will help clear the confusion around characters as they feature in novels as opposed to real life situations.

Theoretical aspects of characterization shed new light on these novels and it was explained why this theory could be used with success in analysing characterization in Setswana novels.

Chapter one of this study gives a brief introduction, problems, aims as well as the methodology employed in the study.

The author's biography is fully given in chapter two in order to introduce him to the readers. The biography given is of utmost importance in the

sense that the two novels under discussion were largely influenced by the author's background which reveals his biography to a certain extend.

Chapter three gives a detailed scientific theory of characterization as well as provides the framework for a satisfactory character depiction.

The theories of investigative - comparison are also discussed to evaluate character growth and development in Mangomo and Lehudu.

Chapters four and five present critical examinations of characterization in Mangomo and Lehudu respectively. Particular attention is given to how the actions and deeds of the main character(s) and background characters impact on characterization. These characters are evaluated individually to reveal the direct as well as the indirect presentations.

A comparison approach is used in the characterization of the two novels. The differences and similarities are investigated to establish whether progressive growth in characterization could be discerned especially because both novels are written by the same author.

The findings of this study reveal that the literary theory in general could be successfully applied in Setswana novels. Points of similarities and differences were discernable in Mmileng's characterization in the two novels under focus. It also became obvious that naming among the Batswana differs from that of the English and Afrikaans people.

The author tended to alter his style of presenting characters in the two novels as characterization in Mangomo and Lehudu differs in some respect.

In conclusion, this study has shown that characterization in Mangomo and Lehudu lends itself to literary theory in general. It became obvious that the characters in the two novels could be subjected to direct and indirect presentation. The findings further revealed that the author succeeded to present and create living characters by using words. In conclusion therefore, the author's character depiction is highly commendable.

OPSOMMING**KARAKTERISERING IN MANGOMO EN LEHUDU DEUR MMILENG, M.T.: 'n ONDERSOEKEND - VERGELYKENDE STUDIE**

Die hoof doelwit van hierdie studie is om die teorie van karakterisering in besonderhede te ondersoek en om die wyses waarop die karakters voorgestel word krities te analyseer met spesifieke verwysing na Mangomo en Lehudu. Verder vergelyk en kontrasteer hierdie studie die ontwikkeling en groei van karakters in hierdie twee romans.

Die studie fokus ook spesifiek daarop om 'n omvattende agtergrond van karakterisering te verskaf. Dit word in die vooruitsig gestel dat sondanige navorsing saï bydra om die fiktiwiteit van romankarakters toe te lig.

Teoretiese aspekte van karakterisering het veel bygedra tot 'n beter verstaan van die bestudeerde romans en daar is uitgewys waarom hierdie teorie met suskes gebruik kan word in die analise van karakterisering in ander Setswana-romans.

Hoofstuk een van hierdie studie bevat 'n beknopte inleiding, probleemstellings, doelwitte asook die metodologie van die studie.

Die biografie van die skrywer word volledig in hoofstuk twee aangebied om hom sodoende aan die lesers bekend te stel. Die biografie is van die grootste belang in die sin dat die twee romans onder bespreking grootliks beïnvloed is deur die skrywer se agtergrond, en daarbenewens ook fasette van sy biografiese bestaan dokumenteer.

In hoofstuk drie word 'n wetenskaplike teorie oor karakterisering gebied. Dit verskaf die raamwerk vir 'n bevredigende karakteruitbeelding.

Die teorie van ondersoekende vergelyking word ook bespreek om die karakters se groei en ontwikkeling in Mangomo en Lehudu te evalueer.

Hoofstuk vier doen verslag van die kritiese ondersoek van karakterisering in Mangomo; dieselfde ten opsigte van Lehudu kom in hoofstuk vyf aan bod. Besondere aandag word gegee aan hoe die handeling en dade van die hoofkarakters en newekarakters impak het op die karakterisering. Hierdie karakters word individueel geeevalueer om die direkte sowel as die indirekte voorstellings uit te wys.

'n Vergelykende benadering word gebruik in die karakterisering van die twee romans. Die verskille en die ooreenkomsste word ondersoek om vas te stel of 'n progressiewe groei in karakterisering waargeneem kan word, veral omdat beide romans van een skrywer is.

Die bevindinge van hierdie studie onthul dat die literêre teorie in die algemeen suksesvol aangewend kan word vir Setswana romans. Punte van ooreenkoms en verskille was opmerklik in Mmileng se karakterisering in die twee romans onder bespreking. Dit het ook duidelik geword dat naamgewing onder die Batswana verskil van die van Engelse en Afrikaanse mense.

Die skrywer was geneig om sy styl van aanbieding van karakters in die twee romans te verander, aangesien karakterisering in Mangomo en Lehudu in sekere opsigte verskil.

Ten slotte het hierdie studie getoon dat karakterisering in Mangomo en Lehudu meetbaar is aan bestaande standaarde. Dit het duidelik gevlyk dat die karakters in die twee romans op 'n implisiële en eksplisiële wyse gekarakteriseer word. Die bevindinge het verder uitgewys dat die skrywer geslaag het om lewende karakters voor te stel en te skep deur die gebruik van taal. Derhalwe kan gekonstuteer word dat die skrywer se karakteruitbeelding van hoogstaande gehalte is.